



Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

Radiolysis Effect on Wasteform Corrosion

Presented to: OCRWM Fellowship Conference

Presented by: Amanda Kline University of Missouri-Columbia

September 20, 2005 Las Vegas, Nevada

This presentation has been funded in whole or in part by the U.S. Department of Energy

Acknowledgements

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

- > The research was performed under appointment of the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Fellowship Program administered by Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education under a contract between the U.S. Department of Energy and the Oak Ridge Associated Universities.
- Office of Science and Technology and International (OST&I) Source Term Strategic Thrust Area
- University of Missouri-Columbia
 - > Professor William Miller
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
 - > Dr. Brady Hanson
 - > Dr. Stephen Cumblidge



Purpose

- Spent fuel waste packages in Yucca Mountain
 - Looking at what would happen if after 500+ years the waste package breached allowing water to come into contact with the fuel



Failed Fuel Rods

- 0.1-1% of the cladding surrounding the uranium in the fuel rods fail during reactor operation. If the drip shield and waste package fail and water gets to this failed fuel rod it may result in the dissolution of spent fuel.
 - > This is statistically ~6 rods per waste package
- Potential causes of cladding failure
 - > Cladding initially fails within the reactor
 - > Rockfall
 - > Earthquake severely shakes and severs the rods
 - Igneous intrusion (annealing)



Cladding Failures



CANDU Fuel



Pinhole Defect Followed by Fuel Oxidation



Spent Fuel from N-Reactor at Hanford



Spent Fuel from N-Reactor at Hanford

Release of Radionuclides

- There are two types of releases
 - Fast: the inventory of radionuclides that are in the gap between the fuel pellets and the cladding (gap inventory) and the radionuclides at the grain boundaries
 - Slow: release as the fuel matrix degrades





Current Models

- Current Yucca Mountain models conservatively do not account for the effects of radiolysis
 - Questions on how significant radiolysis is under oxidizing conditions
- Current models based on experimental data on 10-30 year old fuel with significant ⁹⁰Sr and ¹³⁷Cs concentrations contributing to radiolysis
- After 1,000 years there will be a 1,000-10,000 decrease in dose
- Due to this decrease in dose, we hypothesize waste form degradation rates may be much lower than the present conservative models predict



Radiolysis

- Radiolysis: the chemical decomposition of water by use of radiation
 - > Main radiolysis products: e_{aq}^{-} , H⁺, OH⁻, H₂, O₂, O⁻, H₂O₂, H₃O⁺, H₂O⁺
 - Radiolytic products can turn a reducing or anoxic environment into an oxidizing environment increasing the solubility of UO₂





Radiolytic Products

- Different types of radiation result in different ratios of radiolytic products*
 - > α -Higher yields of molecules (H₂O₂ and H₂)
 - > β/γ -Higher yields of radicals (OH, e_{aq}^{-} , H⁺)
- Radicals are more effective than H₂O₂ in dissolving UO₂^{*}
 - So spent fuel after 500 years which will have mainly αdecay, will result in a lower rate of fuel dissolution than spent fuel after only 10 years of decay where β/γ radiolysis contributes to radical production



*Christensen, Sunder "Current state of knowledge of water radiolysis effects on spent nuclear fuel corrosion," *Nuclear Technology*, 131 (2000) 102-123

Different Tests

- *Individual effects of α , β , γ radiation on the dissolution
 - > Dope UO₂ with each type of radiation
- *Simulation of 500+ year old spent fuel
- Chemistry effects of different isotopes in the fuel
 - Run simultaneous tests with a radioactive isotope and their corresponding nonradioactive isotope
- Effect of different water situations
 - > SPFT (Single Pass Flow Through) Tests
 - > Static Tests
 - > Humid Air Tests



*Focus of my research

SPFT Tests

- Unlike the static tests the SPFT tests will prevent alteration products from forming on the surface
 - > This maintains a forward rate of reaction
 - The alteration products have been shown to slow down the dissolution of the uranium
 - >> It will block the fuel corrosion process
 - >> Deposits will retain radionuclides released by fuel corrosion
 - > Therefore SPFT tests will show a worst case scenario
 - >> The effects of decrease in radiolysis over time should be even more dramatic under low flow conditions



Radiation Effects on Dissolution

- Isotopes we are testing to observe radiation effects
 - β: Sr-90 (28.79 yr t_{1/2}; 0.546 MeV β)/ Y-90 (64 hr t_{1/2}; 2.280 MeV β) Cs-137 (30.07 yr t_{1/2}; 1.176 MeV β)
 - α: Pu-238 (87.7yr t_{1/2}; 5.593 MeV α) Pu-239 (24,110 yr t_{1/2}; 5.245 MeV α) Pu-240 (6,564 yr t_{1/2}; 5.256 MeV α) Np-237 (2,144,000 yr t_{1/2}; 4.959 MeV α)
 - γ: Ba-133 (10.51 yr t_{1/2}; 0.517 MeV γ)



RADFUEL

- RADFUEL: Radiolytically Aged Fuel
- Contain all main radioactive constituents that will be present at a specific time in the future
 - > 500 years
 - > 1,000 years
 - > 5,000 years



Pellet Production

- UO₂ powder and dopant are added together, wetted, and tumbled overnight
- UO₂/dopant slurry are vacuum filtered and then oven dried overnight







Pellet Production

The UO2 is crushed into a powder and pressed at ~48,600 psi into thin slices



The slices are then crushed and the powder is pressed (at the same pressure) into a pellet



Pellet Production

• The pellet is sintered for 24 hours at 1650 °C







Preparation

- The pellet is then crushed
 - In a spent fuel scenario the pellets are cracked due to thermal gradients during reactor operations as shown below
 - SPFT tests use fragments (as-received) or fuel crushed to individual grains to provide a known, maximum surface area





SPFT Set-Up

- Single-pass Flow Through Tests
 - Flows: 0.05-0.2 ml/min of water (enough to maintain forward rate of fuel dissolution)
 - > Temperatures: 50°C, 75°C, 90°C
 - > Water Composition:
 - Initial tests will be with DI water (neutral pH) sparged with air to allow equilibrium concentrations of dissolved oxygen and carbonate
 - Simulates scenario of water vapor infiltration into a failed waste package, followed by condensation
 - Subsequent tests will use a simulated pore water containing dissolved species
 - Simulates scenario of dripping groundwater into a failed waste package



Flow Set Up

- Doped UO₂ is crushed to a powder consisting of individual grains
- Powder is weighed and placed inside the Linear Flow Cells
- Water is equilibrated to desired temperature, pH, and sparged with air
- The equilibrated water is pumped into the oven, up through the cell, and out the top of the oven
- At the top is a 2-way valve where the flow can be directed to either collection vials or to a waste container













Analysis Methods

- Standard radiochemical separations and counting
- KPA (Kinetic Phosphorescence Analysis)-Uranium concentration in the water after the SPFT test
- XRD (X-ray Diffraction)-determine crystalline phases present (metallic, oxide, compound, etc.)
- XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy)-look at oxidation state of elements on the surface of the reacted particles
- SEM-Grain Size of UO₂ in pellet and for visual examination to look at morphology
- TEM with EELS (Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy)-Find small quantities of radionuclides in the reacted fuel



Current Modeling

- Using Monte Carlo techniques to determine dose of radionuclide(s) to water
 - > Results of Sr-90/Y-90

	Sr-90 (Avg. E = 0.196 MeV)		Y-90 (Avg. E = 0.935 MeV)		
U/Water	Fraction of E Deposited in H_20	E Deposited in H ₂ 0 (MeV)	Fraction of E Deposited in H_20	E Deposited in H ₂ 0 (MeV)	Total E Deposited in H ₂ 0 (MeV)
1:1	0.06	0.012	0.065	0.061	0.073
1:1.56	0.13	0.026	0.159	0.148	0.174
1:2.25	0.209	0.041	0.27	0.252	0.293



Water Chemistry

- G-Values can then be used to calculate the concentration of e⁻_{aq}, H⁺, OH⁻, H₂, O₂, O⁻, H₂O₂, H₃O⁺, H₂O⁺
- G(x) Value: The number of molecules of (x) created for each 100 eV of energy absorbed
 - G Values for γ and α irradiation of Neutral Water*

	G Value		
Species	Gamma	Alpha	
OH	2.67	0.24	
e _{aq}	2.66	0.06	
H⁺	2.76	0.30	
Н	0.55	0.21	
H_2	0.45	1.30	
H_2O_2	0.72	0.985	
OH	0.1	0.02	
H ₂ O	-6.87	-2.71	
O ₂	0	0.22	

G Values for γ and 5 MeV α **

	G Value		
Species	Gamma	5 MeV He	
OH	2.70	0.35	
e _{aq}	2.60	0.15	
H^{+}	3.10	0.18	
Н	0.66	0.10	
H ₂	0.45	1.20	
H_2O_2	0.70	1.00	
OH	0.50	0.03	
HO ₂	0.02	0.10	

OST&I

*Christensen, Sunder, "Current State of Knowledge of Water Radiolysis Effects on Spent Nuclear Fuel Corrosion," *Nuclear Technology*, 131, (2000), 102-123

**Pastina, LaVerne, "Effect on Molecular Hydrogen on Hydrogen Peroxide in Water Radiolysis," *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 105, (2001), 9316-9322

nanda Kline, OCRWM Fellowship Conference, 9/20/2005, radiolysis.ppt PNNL-SA-46576

Uranium Concentration

For the α-radiation, once the H₂O₂ Concentration is known, the UO₂ dissolution rate can be estimated



Fig. 2. Effect of the concentration of hydrogen peroxide on UO_2 and spent fuel dissolution rates. Calibration of model calculations with experimental results published in literature.



Grambow, B., et. al. "Electrochemical Aspects of Radiolytically Enhanced UO2 Dissolution," *Radiochimica Acta* 92, 603-609, 2004

Modeling for RADFUEL

- Using the Final Environmental Impact Statement*
 - Statements gives the radionuclide activity for average PWR fuel assemblies
- Set up a system of equations for isotope activity as a function of time
- Use ORIGEN 2.2 to compare isotope inventory/activity
- Base composition of RADFUEL on this information
- Predict initial radiological products from dose using Monte Carlo techniques
- Calculate resulting chemistry in the water



An Aerial View of Yucca Mountain





http://www.nei.org/images/Yucca_Mountain_Aerial_View.jpg

Amanda Kline, OCRWM Fellowship Conference, 9/20/2005, radiolysis.ppt PNNL-SA-46576