

**SMALL FARMER OUTREACH TRAINING AND
 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
 (EVALUATION)**

Institution	State
-------------	-------

TITLE OF PROPOSAL

Number	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended
--------	------------------	--------------------

INSTRUCTIONS: Evaluate the proposal against the five criteria provided using the following scale - Excellent (5); Good (3); Fair (1); Poor (0).

	CRITERIA FACTORS	CRITERIA WEIGHT		EVALUATION SCORE		TOTAL
1	INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT	3.5	X		=	
2	NO. COUNTIES AND NO. BORROWERS SERVED	3.5	X		=	
3	SDA OUTREACH	3.5	X		=	
4	STATEMENT OF WORK	6.0	X		=	
5	FEASIBILITY AND POLICY CONSISTENCY	3.5	X		=	
GRAND TOTAL						

COMMENTS:

Name of Reviewer	Date
------------------	------

EVALUATION AND DISPOSITION OF PROPOSED COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

All proposals shall be evaluated for funding consideration. To assist in the evaluation and obtain the best possible balance of viewpoints for funding consideration, an assessment review panel will be used.

The major purpose of the evaluation will be to provide information and recommendations for final funding decisions.

The Criteria includes:

1. Institutional Commitment

Degree to which the institution is committed to the project, as shown by college or university funds, in-kind services, faculty time and historical success at retaining minority students in agricultural and related science careers.

2. Number of Counties and Borrowers Served

Degree to which the proposal reflects collaborative approaches in meeting with other agencies or private funding sources in order to seek alternative funding. Also, the number of borrowers who would benefit from the amount of monies requested.

3. Socially Disadvantaged Applicants - Outreach

Degree to which the proposal contains efforts to reach persons identified as Socially Disadvantaged borrowers; and farmers in designated socially disadvantaged counties.

4. Preparatory Features - Statement of Work

Degree to which the proposal reflects special innovative features to attract, interest, and improve the economical and social conditions of the Limited Resource Farmer.

5. Feasibility and Policy Consistency

Degree to which the proposal clearly describes its objective and evidences a high level of feasibility and consistency with USDA policy and Rural Development mission.