



## RRR000977

To:	EIS_Comments@ymp.gov	
cc: Subject:	EIS Comment	User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS
Januar	cy 10, 2008 21:16:30	
IP add	dress: 76.29.86.60	
The Commentors Name:> Mrs. Maureen K. Headington		
> (	ommentors Address: 6760 County Line Lane Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527	<u> </u>
Email	Information: moeteam@comcast.net Add commentor to the mailing list : yes	
Contac > 1 > 0	ct Information:  fax number : 630 323 6714  phone number : 630 323 6891  organization :  position :	, ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	

- Comment Text :
- --> Yucca Mountain is unsuitable as a place to perpetually store high-level radioactive wastes for many reasons, among which are the following:
  - 1. The dangers of transporting nuclear waste carry a health risk according to the esteemed National Academy of Science.
  - 2. You cannot honestly provide adequate assurances that no accident or incident whether caused by human error or mechanical failure will occur either during transport or in the actual storage of what are clearly the most toxic substances known to man. Further the very existence of the Price Anderson Act merely underscores my point, as does the dumping of water laced with radioactive tritium at the Braidwood facility here in Illinois, which was unknown to the public for at least 10 years.
  - I do not want nuclear waste in my own "backyeard" or in anyone else's.
  - 4. Conventional wisdom is that radioactive waste be buried onsite (of reactors) in what is known as "hardened onsite storage" during which times the best scientific minds can take up to 100 years to figure out an answer that does not jeopardize human health, animal well-being, the health of our planet, or property values.
  - 5. You cause citizens to live in fear.