



Monique Blue <mnqblue@yahoo.com> on 01/10/2008 12:24:13 AM

RRR000784

To: EIS_Office@ymp.gov
cc:
Subject: Yucca Mountain issue Urgent!

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged
User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

January 9, 2008

Jane R. Summerson

M. Lee Bishop

Environmental Impact Statement Office

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

1551 Hillshire Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89134

Re: Comments on draft Repository Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and draft Nevada Rail Corridor/Alignment Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Ms. Summerson and Mr. Bishop,

In preparing my response to the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) draft Repository Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) and draft Nevada Rail Corridor/Alignment Environmental Impact Statement, I have identified several issues regarding both documents that should be addressed by the DOE in the course of developing both Final Environmental Impact Statements (EIS).

- 1 [Using the Yucca Mountain site to 'dispose' of nuclear waste is a very risky and therefore an unexceptable choice as a nuclear waste repository. Geological fault lines that run through and near the Yucca Mountain area. Yucca Mountain is extremely unstable to be used as a site for nuclear storage with the unpredictable weather and geological changes that are expected in that area.]
 - 2 [Yucca Mountain has been and continues to be a sacred and beloved site for thousands of years to the local Native American tribes. The Timbisha tribe and other Western Shoshone tribes have conducted spring renewal ceremonies on Yucca Mountain for an unknown time, and continue to do so into the present on the western portion they are still able to access. The SEIS also fails to mention the ongoing dispute and litigation involving the United States' violation of the 1863 Treaty of Ruby Valley with the Western Shoshone which clearly defines territorial borders for their nation of Newe Sogobia as well outside the proposed land withdrawal. This treaty was fully ratified
-

by Congress, and is legally "the supreme law of the land". In April of 2004, the United Nation's Committee to End Racial Discrimination upheld the Shoshone claim in a record decision, and their declaration clearly identifies the Yucca Mountain Repository as one of several ongoing serious human rights violations by the United States against the Western Shoshone Nation.]

1
cont

[There are serious risks associated with the 'disposing' and transportation of nuclear waste. One of the most deadly waste materials on earth, nuclear waste should be stored on-site, in retrievable casks, and not transported across the country. There is an extremely high likelihood that there will be adverse impacts to the drinking water supply, impacts from truck transport of nuclear waste, socio-economic impacts, impacts to cultural resources, and environmental justice issues.

A nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain creates a false sense of security for using nuclear energy while we should be focusing on alternative renewable energy sources.

Overall, the research on this site clearly shows that storing nuclear waste in this area is not safe or ethical and I do not wish to see this project carried out. For these and other reasons, Yucca Mountain is unacceptable as a nuclear waste repository.]

Sincerely,
Tonya D. Lewis

* HOME is a California §501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation, founded in 2000 to conduct independent research and public education on issues of military and civilian nuclear industries and their impacts on health and habitat, particularly in the Nevada Test Site and Yucca Mountain region.

Also stay posted at Western Shoshone Defense Project: <http://www.wsdp.org/>

- 3 [additional info.
Draft Repository Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
Inadequate analysis of groundwater impacts to California
Unprecedented nomination of Death Valley Regional Water Groundwater Flow System for National Nuclear Sacrifice Zone
Inadequate analysis of effects of groundwater pumping on groundwater migration
Inadequate analysis of socio-economic impacts
Inadequate analysis of impacts to the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe

Inadequate analysis of Land Ownership issues
Transportation, Aging, and Disposal (TAD) Canisters
Inadequate analysis of proposed repository capacity
Inadequate analysis of MRS-type storage for newer hotter irradiated
nuclear fuel
Inadequate analysis of potential hazards from military overflights
and airspace jurisdiction
Inadequate analysis of baseline soil and water data for future
comparison
Draft Rail Corridor/Alignment Supplemental Environmental Impact
Statements
Inadequate analysis of reasonable alternatives to the Caliente Rail
Corridor
Potential truck transportation of nuclear materials on California
Highways 127 and 178
Inadequate analysis of California impacts from the movement of
construction equipment
Inadequate analysis of severe accidents and acts of terrorism
No final federal Environmental Protection Agency compliance standard
NEPA Procedural Concerns regarding availability of hearings and SEIS
documents section titles of HOME's* comments to the draft Yucca Mt.
Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), available at
www.h-o-m-e.org.]

Looking for last minute shopping deals? [Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.](#)
