January 8, 2008

Jane R. Summerson
M. Lee Bishop
Environmental Impact Statement Office
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
1551 Hillshire Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89134

Re: Comments on draft Repository Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and draft Nevada Rail Corridor/Alignment Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Ms. Summerson and Mr. Bishop,

In preparing my response to the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) draft Repository Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) and draft Nevada Rail Corridor/Alignment Environmental Impact Statement, I have identified several issues regarding both documents that should be addressed by the DOE in the course of developing both Final Environmental Impact Statements (EIS).

Using the Yucca Mountain site to 'dispose' of nuclear waste is a very risky and therefore an unexceptable choice as a nuclear waste repository. Geological fault lines that run through and near the Yucca Mountain area. Yucca Mountain is extremely unstable to be used as a site for nuclear storage with the unpredictable weather and geological changes that are expected in that area.

Yucca Mountain has been and continues to be a sacred and beloved site

for thousands of years to the local Native American tribes. The

Timbisha tribe and other Western Shoshone tribes have conducted

spring renewal ceremonies on Yucca Mountain for an unknown time, and

continue to do so into the present on the western portion they are

still able to access. The SEIS also fails to mention the ongoing

dispute and litigation involving the United States' violation of the

1863 Treaty of Ruby Valley with the Western Shoshone which clearly

defines territorial borders for their nation of Newe Sogobia as well

outside the proposed land withdrawal. This treaty was fully ratified

by Congress, and is legally "the supreme law of the land". In April

of 2004, the United Nation's Committee to End Racial Discrimination

upheld the Shoshone claim in a record decision, and their declaration

clearly identifies the Yucca Mountain Repository as one of several

ongoing serious human rights violations by the United States against

the Western Shoshone Nation. ]

Const

There are serious risks associated with the 'disposing'

transportation of nuclear waste. One of the most deadly waste

materials on earth, nuclear waste should be stored onsite, in

retrievable casks, and not transported across the country. There is

an extremely high liklihood that there will be adverse impacts to the

drinking water supply, impacts from truck transport of nuclear

waste, socio-economic impacts, impacts to cultural resources, and environmental justice issues.

A nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain creates a false sense of security for using nuclear energy while we should be focusing on alternative renewable energy sources.

Overall, the research on this site clearly shows that storing nuclear waste in this area is not safe or ethical and I do not wish to see this project carried out. For these and other reasons, Yucca Mountain is unacceptable as a nuclear waste repository.

Sincerely

Dely Carrine

Deny Carrine

Doug Carrine

Monthson Hiboneau 1/9/08

Sinda M. Carnine

1/9/08

Linda Carnine