

Jo Anne Garrett
P.O. Box 130
Baker, NV 89311

RRR000694

January 9, 2008

RE: Repository Draft SEIS, Corridor Draft SEIS, Rail Alignment DEIS

1 [The trio of EISs being considered for comment does not address the central issues: Yucca Mountain is unsafe, the plans to dispose of nuclear waste are flawed, the repository will leak, and the program and EISs are moving forward illegally in the absence of the EPA radiation standard.] 2

3 [Regarding transportation, DOE is now choosing the Caliente rail corridor without considering the shortest route and safest for members of the public in Nevada - through the Nevada Test Site and Training Range.]

... 1
Continued [DOE and its predecessors have considered Nevada to be a sacrifice zone for 50 years. But now Nevada is the fastest growing state, and Yucca Mountain is no longer isolated and may become wetter due to rapid climate change.]

If DOE took the facts of Yucca Mountain - earthquake faults, young volcanoes, planned to leak, corrosive containers, heat management challenges - and asserted that such a repository in Wisconsin or New Hampshire would be safe, DOE would never be allowed to proceed.

The "no action alternative" should describe pulling the plug on this faulty project. A repository at Yucca Mountain should not be used as a gateway to spawn the next generation of nuclear power.

What we have learned so far is that siting a repository based on politics and without regard to the wishes of the State of Nevada is a foolish and wasteful proposition. End this project now.]

Thank you for considering my point of view.

Jo Anne Garrett

Jo Anne Garrett
Baker, Nevada