



cohenj@clark.edu on 01/08/2008 11:48:59 AM

RRR000586

To: EIS_Office@ymp.gov
cc:
Subject: comment against nuclear site at Yucca Mountain

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged
User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

- 1 [I am writing to register a public comment in opposition to the siting of nuclear waste at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.]
- 2 [DOE's selection of the Caliente Corridor is not supported by the information presented in the Draft SEIS ? the information in the DEIS does not adequately compare Caliente with other viable rail corridors.]
- 3 [DOE's study of the Mina Corridor as a "non-preferred alternative" is not warranted given the Walker River Paiute Tribal Council's withdrawal of support.]
- 4 [Because DOE has now announced that the rail line it proposes would be a "Shared Use" line, the USDOT Surface Transportation Board should be the lead agency that prepares the Rail Alignment EIS.]
- 5 [The DOE contention that non-rail shipments would be made by over-weight trucks is unsubstantiated, and the impacts of the use of overweight truck in Nevada and elsewhere are not analyzed.]