Midwest CRI <midwestcri@yahoo.com> on 01/06/2008 10:32:45 AM

de la

 To:
 EIS_Office@ymp.gov

 cc:
 donaldk@nirs.org

 Subject:
 Nuclear Waste at Yucca Mountain

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

The answer to Nuclear Waste is not more Waste and not at Yucca Mountain! The answer to Nuclear Waste is no more nuclear plants.....and establishing alternative forms of energy.

I live within 80 miles of the Calloway Plant in Missouri; I don't want trucks on I-70 nor on I-29 nor anywhere through this country carrying such dangerous cargo!. Accidents will happen, especially along I-70! The Department of Transportation has reported that in a ten yer period, 100,000 truck accidents have happened nationwide, 350 major injuries, 100 deaths! And these were just the trucks carrying hazardous materials!

New Mexico offered to have a waste facility and now has found since the late 1990's, that all of the promises made by the DEO were not fulfilled: jobs are not created for this specialized work, local businesses move away, and <u>un</u>employment goes up.

No one wants nuclear waste in their backyard. Why should the Shoshone accept it? Why should Nevada accept it?

Why should their water be at risk? Why should the water from Calloway County to St. Louis Missouri be at risk?

Nuclear plants and nuclear waste are not the answer to our energy demand; curtailing our consumption and alternative clean technologies are.

Thank you for your attention.

Barbara Jennings, CSJ Director, Midwest Coalition for Responsible Investment 326 East Ripa Ave. St. Louis, MO 63125-2800 Phone/Fax 314-638-5453

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.