



"Alan Baker" <bake4116@bellsouth.net> on 01/05/2008 08:50:38 PM

RRR000533

To: EIS_Office@ymp.gov
cc:
Subject:

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged
User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

EIS Office,

I oppose the process by which the DOE has been trying to get Yucca Mountain approved for a nuclear waste depository.

They have mentioned in their proposal rail transportation alternatives that they can't construct. Any option that they can't currently proceed with shouldn't be mentioned, along with items that are 'uncertain'. If the DOE is uncertain how to proceed in certain areas or are not sure how they will deal with certain issues, they should figure that out. Approving a plan with 'uncertain' items in it is silly.

The storage units proposed by the DOE would require the repackaging of current dry storage containers at nuclear sites, which would increase risk at those site and the surrounding community.

The transportation of radioactive waste is dangerous enough. The DOE has not provided enough planning for terrorist attacks, accidents during transport and situations made worse by driver/transporter error.

Until the DOE can create a plan that goes into detail about security issues, public safety issues and can take care of the "uncertain" items in the proposal, it should not be allowed to proceed.]

Thanks
Alan