



"David Hornbeck Law Office" <davidhornbecklaw@msn.com> on 12/05/2007
11:40:22 AM

To: "EIS Comments" <EIS_Comments@ymp.gov>
cc:
Subject: RE: EIS Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

This e-mail requires categorization and determination of LSN Relevancy . You may categorize now, or later when you exit, delete, reply to or forward the e -mail.

Thank you for your email. Please consider my comments below, now without the confidentiality notice.

David A. Hornbeck, Esq.

From: EIS Comments [mailto:EIS_Comments@ymp.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2007 10:29 AM
To: davidhornbecklaw@msn.com
Subject: Re: EIS Comment

Your comment cannot be considered due to the Confidentiality Notice. If you wish to have your comments considered, please resubmit them absent the Confidentiality Notice.

To: EIS_Comments@ymp.gov
cc:
Subject: EIS Comment

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged
User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

December 03, 2007 16:40:14

IP address: 69.227.143.67

The Commentors Name:
---->

The Commentors Address:
---->
----> , 89509

Email Information:

---->

----> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

Contact Information:

----> fax number :

----> phone number :

----> organization :

----> position :

Comment Text :

--> December 03, 2007

EIS Office

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

1551 Hillshire Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89134

Re: No nuclear waste storage, Yucca Mountain, Nevada.

Submitted as a public comment on the supplements to the environmental impact statements (SEIS) on Repository at Yucca Mountain & on the draft SEIS and EIS for the Nevada Rail Corridor, posted at <http://www.eh.doe.gov/nepa> and <http://www.ocrwm.doe.gov>

This message also sent by email to:

http://www.ocrwm.doe.gov/ym_repository/seis/comment_seis.shtml

Dear correspondent at the EIS Office U.S. Department of Energy:

1 [I feel that the only acceptable course of action is to choose "no action" for all three EISs. We should contain nuclear waste as close to its generation site. Moving the waste is very dangerous. Storing the waste at a single national site is an extremely bad idea.]

2 [Moving the waste represents a huge threat of a deadly accident and countless terrorist opportunities during transport and during above ground storage prior to burial of the radioactivity. This is the highest possible level nuclear waste, material from 100s of nuclear power plants. Furthermore, after Yucca Mt storage I, there will be a Yucca Mountain II, assuring constant high level radioactive traffic. The rail way is a highly predictable target. The citizens of Nevada and of the entire USA deserve better treatment.

The long term storage site itself is a 100,000 year invitation to terrorists. What could be more inviting as a bomb target than a site that would be lethal to the whole world? Deep burial sounds good, but it would not be deep enough to prevent either a bomb from above or a bomb from the inside. The casks will melt and/or fall apart in less than 500 years. The waste could easily leak into any natural water at the site. And who knows what the climate will be for 100,000 years, particularly with global warming?]

1 [The only logical longterm actions are to (1) stop producing high level nuclear waste, including decommissioning existing nuclear power plants and no more new plants and (2) entombment storage at individual sites.]

Continued
Yours truly,

David Hornbeck

The Law Offices of David A. Hornbeck
1675 Lakeside Drive, Reno, NV 89509

Tel: 775-323-6655

Fax: 775-322-0223

Email: DavidHornbeckLaw@msn.com <mailto:DavidHornbeckLaw@msn.com>