



webserver@yucca-web.ymp.gov on 12/01/2007 12:51:13 PM

To: EIS_Comments@ymp.gov
 cc:
 Subject: EIS Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

December 01, 2007 12:51:13

IP address: 209.78.18.124

 The Commentors Name:

----> Dr. Dorothy Hudig Ph.D.

 The Commentors Address:

----> 15 Hastings Dr.
 ----> Reno, Nevada 89503

 Email Information:

----> dhudig@sbcglobal.net
 ----> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

 Contact Information:

----> fax number :
 ----> phone number : 775 323 4835
 ----> organization :
 ----> position :

 Comment Text :

1 --> [I feel that the only acceptable course of action is to choose ?no action?
 for all three EISs. We should contain nuclear waste as close to its
 generation site. Moving the waste is very dangerous. Storing the waste at a
 single national site is an extremely bad idea.]

2 [Moving the waste represents a huge threat of a deadly accident and countless
 terrorist opportunities during transport and during above ground storage prior
 to burial of the radioactivity. This is the highest possible level nuclear
 waste, material from 100s of nuclear power plants. Furthermore, after Yucca
 Mt storage I, there will be a Yucca Mountain II, assuring constant high level
 radioactive traffic. The rail way is a highly predictable target. The
 citizens of Nevada and of the USA deserve better treatment.

The long term storage site itself is a 100,000 year invitation to terrorists.
 What could be more inviting as a bomb target than a site that would be lethal
 to the whole world? Deep burial sounds good, but it would not be deep enough
 to prevent either a bomb from above or a bomb from the inside. The casks will
 melt and/or fall apart in less than 500 years. The waste could easily leak
 into any natural water at the site. And who knows what the climate will be
 for 100,00 years, particularly with global warning?]

1 Cont. [The only logical longterm actions are to (1) stop producing high level nuclear
 waste, including decommissioning existing nuclear power plants and no more new
 plants and (2) entombment storage at individual sites.]

This message was also mailed as a letter
