To: EIS_Comments@ymp.gov
cc:
Subject: EIS Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

November 23, 2007 12:44:59

IP address: 71.1.186.28

~~~~~~
The Commentors Name:
---> Steven P Curtis

~~~~~~
The Commentors Address:
---> 5055 N. Monte Cristo Way
---> Las Vegas, Nevada 89149

~~~~~~
Email Information:
---> sszjcurtis@att.net
---> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

~~~~~~
Contact Information:
---> fax number :
---> phone number : 702 655 2859
---> organization : Alphatech, Inc.
---> position : President

~~~~~~
Comment Text :
--> The EIS is very well done and represents a very objective approach to
solving the problem.

While you note in your document that the State of Nevada is fighting the Yucca
Mountain project, it is important to note that there is a significant minority
that is vocal about this issue. It is clear from their statements that they
have no background in the project documentation and no basis in technological
education.

SEISs for both the Geologic Repository and the Rail Alignment are thorough,
complete and comprehensive. For the sake of the National interest, these
should be considered final and the country should move on to the next step of
licensing the repository.

It is significant to note that, with the advent of GNEP, it is doubtful that
the repository will be used as envisioned by the original design.
Nevertheless, it would make an excellent facility for conducting further work
in reprocessing and transmutation. To be a national asset, however, these
EISs must become final and the license issued.

Finally, it is the consensus of both the American Nuclear Society and the
Health Physics Society that the dangers of such a repository (even if it
becomes a geologic repository, which most radiological science scholars doubt)
that the risk is so insignificant that the benefits to the nation far outweigh

any of their possible consequences. Education is the only way to overcome this deficiency, and Nevada is far more neutral on this issue than publicly portrayed.]

~~~~~~