# Ozone and Other Air Pollutants and the Risk of Oral Clefts

## Bing-Fang Hwang<sup>1</sup> and Jouni J.K. Jaakkola<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Occupational Safety and Health, College of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Institute of Health Sciences, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

BACKGROUND: Air pollution influences the development of oral clefts in animals. There are few epidemiologic data on the relation of prenatal air pollution exposure and the risk of oral clefts.

OBJECTIVES: Our goal in this study was to assess the relations between exposure to ambient air pollution and the risk of cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CL/P).

METHODS: We conducted a population-based case–control study of all 653 cases of CL/P and a random sample of 6,530 control subjects from 721,289 Taiwanese newborns in 2001–2003. We used geographic information systems to form exposure parameters for sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, ozone, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter  $\leq$  10 µm (PM<sub>10</sub>) during the first 3 months of pregnancy using inverse distance weighting method. We present the effect estimates as odds ratios (ORs) per 10-ppb change for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>, 100-ppb change for CO, and 10-µg/m<sup>3</sup> change for PM<sub>10</sub>.

**RESULTS:** The risk of CL/P was increased in relation to O<sub>3</sub> levels in the first gestational month [adjusted OR = 1.20; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.02–1.39] and second gestational month (adjusted OR = 1.25; 95% CI, 1.03–1.52) in the range from 16.7 ppb to 45.1 ppb, but was not related to CO,  $NO_{xy}$  SO<sub>2</sub>, or PM<sub>10</sub>.

CONCLUSIONS: The study provides new evidence that exposure to outdoor air  $O_3$  during the first and second month of pregnancy may increase the risk of CL/P. Similar levels of  $O_3$  are encountered globally by large numbers of pregnant women.

KEY WORDS: air pollution, cleft lip, ozone, traffic. *Environ Health Perspect* 116:1411–1415 (2008). doi:10.1289/ehp.11311 available via *http://dx.doi.org/* [Online 2 June 2008]

The prevalence of oral clefts varies broadly from 0.06% to 0.17% in Caucasian births (Gorlin et al. 1990). Both genetic and environmental factors play important roles in the etiology of oral clefts (Zeiger and Beaty 2002), and there is probably also genetic susceptibility to the effects of environmental exposures. Ozone and carbon monoxide are toxic for the fetal development in rats and have been shown to produce skeletal malformation in animals (Garvey and Longo 1978; Kavlock et al. 1979; Longo 1977). Air pollution may influence the development of skeletal malformation through various biologic mechanisms, including hemodynamic, anoxic events, oxidative stress, and toxicity to certain cell populations during pregnancy (Ritz et al. 2002).

Two previous epidemiologic studies have elaborated the effects of exposure to ambient air pollution during pregnancy on the risk of birth defects (Gilboa et al. 2005; Ritz et al. 2002). In a case-control study in Southern California, Ritz et al. (2002) found an association between CO exposure during the second trimester and the risk of ventral septal defects and between second-month O<sub>3</sub> exposure and the risk of aortic artery and valve defects, pulmonary artery and valve anomalies, and conotruncal defects. Gilboa et al. (2005) in a population-based case-control study in Texas found that the risk for aortic artery and valve defects, pulmonary artery and valve anomalies, and conotruncal defects was related to second-month O3 exposure. Both studies assessed also the relations

between exposure to air pollutants and the risk of oral clefts, and reported weak positive, but statistically nonsignificant associations with  $O_3$  exposure.

We conducted a nationwide populationbased case-control study in Taiwan to assess the effects of ambient air pollution exposure during pregnancy on the risk of cleft lip with or without palate (CL/P). We focused on predominantly traffic-related pollutants such as nitrogen oxides, CO, and O<sub>3</sub> and air pollutants mainly from other fossil-fuel combustion sources, such as sulfur dioxide and particles with an aerodynamic diameter of  $\leq 10 \ \mu m (PM_{10})$ .

### **Materials and Methods**

*Study design.* This was a population-based case–control study of CL/P. The source population consisted of all 721,289 births registered by the Taiwanese Birth Registry from 2001 through 2003. Our goal was to identify all the cases of CL/P in the source population during the study period. We randomly selected control subjects from the source population. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the College of Public Health, China Medical University.

Definition and selection of cases. All births are compulsorily reported within 15 days to the Taiwan Local Household Registry, which is managed by the Taiwan Department of Health. Taiwanese pregnant women are almost all covered by national health insurance (> 99%), and access to prenatal care is free and good (at least 10 times during pregnancy). The follow-up time is from 1 month after conception through 7 days after birth. Birth defects are diagnosed mostly by a physician, most often by a pediatrician using ultrasound. A validation study of the Taiwanese birth registration showed a low percentage of missing information (1.6%) and high degree of validity (sensitivity and specificity were 92.8% and 99.6%, respectively) and reliability (Cohen's kappa measure of agreement was 0.92) for preterm births (< 37 weeks of gestational age) (Lin et al. 2004).

We identified all births with CL/P from the Taiwanese Birth Registry from 2001 through 2003. The definition of CL/P was a fissure or elongated opening of the lip; cleft palate was a fissure in the roof of the mouth. We based the definition on the U.S. Committee of Federal and State Health Statistics Officials for the National Association of Public Health Statistics and Information Systems (Wyszynski and Wu 2002a). We identified a total of 653 subjects with sufficient information on gestational age and air pollutants and excluded 20 cases from the mountain area because of missing air pollution data (Figure 1).

Selection of control subjects. We randomly drew the control subjects from the source population. The eligibility criteria included being born during the study period and having no birth defects, information on gestational age, and sufficient information on air pollutants. The case–control ratio was approximately 1:10 to approach optimal statistical power. The final study population included 6,530 controls.

*Exposure assessment.* Complete monitoring data for the air pollutants  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$ , CO, and  $PM_{10}$ , as well as daily temperature and relative humidity, are available from 1994 for 72 Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) monitoring stations on Taiwan's main island (Figure 1). Concentrations of each

This study was supported by grant CMU95-188 from China Medical University.

The authors declare they have no competing financial interests.

Received 30 January 2008; accepted 27 May 2008.

Address correspondence to J.J.K. Jaakkola, Institute of Health Sciences, University of Oulu, Aapistie 1, P.O.Box 5000, FIN-900014, Oulu, Finland. Telephone: 358-8-537-5669. Fax: 358-8-537-5661. E-mail: jouni.jaakkola@oulu.fi

We thank the Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency for providing air pollutant monitoring data and the Department of Health for access to the birth registration data.

pollutant are measured continuously and reported hourly—CO by nondispersive infrared absorption,  $NO_x$  by chemiluminescence,  $O_3$  by ultraviolet absorption,  $SO_2$ by ultraviolet fluorescence, and  $PM_{10}$  by beta gauge.

We identified the map coordinates of the monitoring stations and air pollution sources. We managed the data by the Arcview 3.2 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA) geographic information system (GIS). We integrated the air pollutant measurements from the Taiwan EPA monitoring stations into monthly point data. We interpolated these data to pollutant surfaces using the inverse distance weighting method, which is the simplest interpolation method: Users identify a neighborhood about the interpolated point and take a weighted average of the observed values within this neighborhood. The weights are a decreasing function of distance. The user has control over the mathematical form of the weighting function and the size of the neighborhood (expressed as a radius or a number of points) (Fisher et al. 1987). The weighting function [w(p)] is  $w(d) = 1/d^p$  with p > 0, where d represents distance away from the specified monitoring station. The value of pis specified by the user. The most common choice is p = 2. The air pollutant surfaces derived as described below provide the spatial distribution of each pollutant. We extracted the air pollutant information for each woman during pregnancy, corresponding to the center of townships or districts, from the derived concentration surface maps using ArcGIS Spatial Analyst tool (developed by ESRI) (e.g., center of a polygon). We excluded 25 of 365 townships located in the mountain area where there



**Figure 1.** Geographic locations of 72 air pollution monitoring stations (shown in dots) in Taiwan. Shaded area indicates the mountain area that has no air monitoring stations.

are no air monitoring stations (Figure 1). This represents only 2% of all the births.

We calculated exposure parameters from the monthly average concentrations for the duration of pregnancies from 2000 through 2003. Based on the date of birth and gestational age, we estimated the monthly average concentration corresponding to the first, second, and third month of gestation.

*Covariates.* We used routine birth registry data to construct the following covariates: sex of infant (male, female), maternal age (< 20 years, 20–34 years,  $\geq$  35 years), plurality (singleton, multiple birth), gestational age (< 37 weeks,  $\geq$  37 weeks), and season of conception (spring, summer, fall, winter). We received municipal-level data from the Department of Household Registration Affairs, Taiwanese Population Data Services, which we used to construct municipal-level population density, which is a measure of the proportion of urban population in the municipality.

Statistical methods. We focused on the first 3 months of pregnancy, because the relevant embryologic period for oral cleft is from the 4th to the 12th week of gestation (Wyszynski and Wu 2002b). We used odds ratio (OR) as a measure of the relation between exposure to air pollution and the risk of CL/P. We estimated adjusted ORs using logistic regression analysis and present the results from the models as ORs, along with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We assessed the goodness of fit with likelihood ratio tests to determine whether a variable contributed significantly to the model. First, we fitted a full model with a complete set of covariates. To elaborate sources of confounding, we fitted models with different combinations of covariates and compared the effect from models with and without the

covariate of interest. If the inclusion of a covariate changed the studied effect estimate more than 10%, we kept the corresponding covariate in the final model (Gilboa et al. 2005; Greenland 1989; Ritz et al. 2007). We first fitted one-pollutant models and then considered two-pollutant models by fitting one traffic-related and one stationary fossil-fuel combustion-related pollutant. Finally, we fitted two-pollutant models with O3 and another pollutant. The two-pollutant models provide estimates of the independent effects of CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> on CL/P controlling for the second pollutant in the model. We also considered three-pollutant models with one traffic-related pollutant, one stationary fossilfuel combustion-related pollutant, and O3. We present the effect of each pollutant on the risk of CL/P as ORs per 10-ppb change for SO<sub>2</sub>,  $NO_x$ , and  $O_3$ , 100-ppb (10-pphm) change for CO, and  $10-\mu g/m^3$  change for  $PM_{10}$ , along with their 95% CIs.

#### **Results**

*Characteristics of control and case subjects.* A larger proportion of cases than controls was male ( $\chi^2 = 3.8$ , p = 0.05) and had older mothers ( $\chi^2 = 5.8$ , p = 0.06) and shorter gestational age (< 37 weeks) ( $\chi^2 = 306$ , p = 0.001) (Table 1). We adjusted for these factors in the multivariate analysis.

*Air pollution.* Table 2 presents the distributions of the monthly mean air pollutant concentrations during the first 3 months pregnancy. The correlation between NO<sub>x</sub> and CO trimester average concentrations during the first trimester was high (r = 0.82), which reflects the common source of motor vehicles. The concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> were also highly correlated (r = 0.50), indicating a

<b>Fable 1</b>	. Characteristics o	f controls and	cases of cleft li	p in Taiwan	. 2001–2003 [no.	(%)].
			04000 01 010101	p		1,0,1.

Characteristic	Controls	Cases	Total
Total	6,530 (100)	653 (100)	7,183 (100)
Sex of infant	$\chi^2$ (df = 1) = 3.8, <i>p</i> -value = 0.05		
Male Female	3,429 (52.5) 3 101 (47.5)	369 (56.5) 284 (43.5)	3,798 (52.9) 3 385 (47 1)
Maternal age (years)	$\chi^2$ (df = 2) = 5.8, p-value = 0.06	201 (10.0)	0,000 ( 11 11 )
< 20 20–34 ≥ 35	272 (4.2) 5,693 (87.2) 565 ( (8.6)	35 (5.4) 548 (83.9) 70 (10.7)	307 (4.3) 6,241 (86.9) 635 (8.8)
Plurality	$\chi^2$ (df = 1) = 0.1, <i>p</i> -value = 0.75		
Singleton Multiple birth	6,373 (97.6) 157 (2.4)	636 (97.4) 17 (2.6)	7,009 (97.6) 174 (2.4)
Gestational age	$\chi^2$ (df = 1) = 306, <i>p</i> -value = 0.001		
< 37 weeks ≥ 37 weeks	543 (8.3) 5,987 (91.7)	197 (30.2) 456 (69.8)	740 (10.3) 6,443 (89.7)
Population density (people/km <sup>2</sup> )	$\chi^2$ (df = 2) = 1.9, <i>p</i> -value = 0.37		
< 1,000 1,000–5,000 > 5,000	1,597 (24.4) 2,793 (42.8) 2,140 (32.8)	176 (27.0) 270 (41.3) 207 (31.7)	1,773 (24.7) 3,063 (42.6) 2,347 (32.7)
Season of conception	$\chi^2$ (df = 3) = 2.8, <i>p</i> -value = 0.42		
Spring Summer Fall Winter	1,493 (22.9) 1,620 (24.5) 1,573 (24.1) 1,862 (28.5)	144 (22.1) 176 (27.0) 143 (21.9) 190 (29.1)	1,637 (22.8) 1,778 (24.8) 1,716 (23.9) 2.052 (28.6)

common source of stationary fuel combustion, although SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were also correlated with both traffic-related pollutants. The concentration of O<sub>3</sub> was negatively correlated with the mainly traffic-related pollutants, but positively with PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, and it was only weakly correlated with that of traffic-related and stationary fossil-fuel combustion-related air pollutants (Table 3).

Air pollution and the risk of CL/P. Table 4 shows the effect estimates from onepollutant and three-pollutant models. Table 5 displays the results from the two-pollutant models. In the one-pollutant model, the risk of CL/P was related to O3 levels, particularly in the first month of pregnancy (adjusted OR = 1.17 per 10-ppb change; 95% CI, 1.01-1.36) and second month of pregnancy (adjusted OR = 1.22; 95% CI, 1.03-1.46). The effect estimate for the third-month exposure to O<sub>3</sub> was slightly elevated but not statistically significant (adjusted OR = 1.09; 95% CI, 0.93-1.26) (Table 4). In the three-pollutant models, the effect estimates for O<sub>3</sub> exposure were stable for the four different combinations of pollutants, varying between 1.18 and 1.20 for the first month and between 1.21 and 1.25 for the second month, and were all statistically significant (Table 4). The adjusted OR for a 100-ppb change in CO was 1.01 (95% CI, 0.97-1.04) for the first month of pregnancy, and the estimates changed little when we added a second or third pollutant. The adjusted OR for a 10-ppb change in SO<sub>2</sub> alone was 0.92 (95% CI, 0.63–1.35) for the first month, but including both of the traffic-related pollutants and O<sub>3</sub> reduced the effect estimate substantially. The risk of CL/P was not related to traffic-related (NO<sub>x</sub>) and stationary fossil-fuel combustion-related (PM<sub>10</sub>) air pollutant concentration.

In summary, we found positive statistically significant associations for first- and second-month  $O_3$  exposure. In contrast, we found negative or weak associations for traffic-related (CO and  $NO_x$ ) and stationary fossil-fuel combustion-related (SO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>) pollutants.

#### Discussion

The risk of CL/P increased with increasing O<sub>3</sub> levels during the first and second month of pregnancy. The effect estimate indicating an approximately 20% risk increase per 10-ppb increase in O<sub>3</sub> level was stable with different combinations of air pollutants in the multipollutant models. The risk of CL/P was not related to two traffic-related pollutants (NO<sub>x</sub> and CO) or two stationary fossil-fuel combustion-related pollutants (PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>). The results provide evidence that O<sub>3</sub> exposure in the most susceptible time periods in pregnancy may increase the risk of CL/P. This finding is consistent with animal toxicologic evidence of the effects of O<sub>3</sub> (Kavlock et al. 1979; Lohnes et al. 1995; Takahashi et al. 1990).

Validity of results. We were able to include a high proportion of Taiwanese CL/P cases (98%) because all births are compulsorily reported to the Taiwan Local Household Registry within 15 days. Thus, the magnitude of potential selection bias was likely to be negligible. Important features in the Taiwan national health care system limit the amount of outcome misclassification. Taiwanese pregnant women are almost all covered by health insurance (> 99%), and access to prenatal care is free of charge (at least 10 times during pregnancy). The follow-up time is from 1 month after conception through 7 days after birth. In our study, the cases had a higher proportion of premature infants than did the controls. Although we included gestational age (< 37 weeks vs.  $\geq$  37 weeks) in the multivariate analysis adjusting for the potential difference between cases and controls, we still cannot rule out the possibility that the presence of premature infants in the case

**Table 3.** Correlations of air pollutants' trimesteraverage concentration during the first 3 monthspregnancy.

	CO	NO <sub>x</sub>	03	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>
$\begin{array}{c} \text{CO} \\ \text{NO}_{\text{X}} \\ \text{O}_{3} \\ \text{PM}_{10} \\ \text{SO}_{2} \end{array}$	1.00	0.82* 1.00	-0.19 -0.05 1.00	-0.19 0.56* 0.39 1.00	0.24 0.45* 0.23 0.50* 1.00
* <i>p</i> < 0.0	5.				

Table 2. Mean and distribution of air	pollutants in different seasons from 7	72 monitoring stations in Taiwan, 2001-	-2003.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Pollutant	Mean ± SD	Minimum	25th percentile	Median	75th percentile	Interquartile range <sup>a</sup>	Maximum
0 <sub>3</sub> (ppb)							
Spring Summer Fall Winter Average	$\begin{array}{c} 29.69 \pm 6.06 \\ 23.56 \pm 4.14 \\ 29.07 \pm 5.42 \\ 26.92 \pm 5.57 \\ 27.31 \pm 4.88 \end{array}$	16.30 14.37 15.87 17.60 16.70	25.47 20.90 25.80 22.80 24.43	28.90 23.57 28.93 26.27 26.78	32.97 25.37 32.07 30.83 30.11	7.50 4.47 6.27 8.03 5.68	50.27 39.10 42.80 48.13 45.08
CO (pphm)							
Spring Summer Fall Winter Average	71 $\pm$ 37 60 $\pm$ 40 65 $\pm$ 42 78 $\pm$ 42 69 $\pm$ 40	27 23 26 25 25	51 41 46 53 48	64 50 57 71 62	80 66 71 88 76	29 25 25 35 28	247 256 306 298 277
NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)							
Spring Summer Fall Winter Average	$21.97 \pm 8.17$ $15.89 \pm 6.86$ $17.60 \pm 6.53$ $25.33 \pm 8.88$ $20.20 \pm 7.24$	1.23 0.95 0.83 1.08 1.02	17.43 11.54 13.68 19.23 16.03	21.72 14.47 17.49 25.70 20.16	26.27 19.08 21.25 30.87 23.94	8.84 7.54 7.57 11.64 7.91	49.68 41.58 39.93 48.38 44.20
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)							
Spring Summer Fall Winter Average	$\begin{array}{c} 4.22 \pm 2.59 \\ 3.35 \pm 2.00 \\ 3.41 \pm 2.07 \\ 4.88 \pm 3.71 \\ 3.96 \pm 2.36 \end{array}$	0.23 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.21	2.53 1.96 2.00 2.96 2.36	3.67 2.98 2.83 3.95 3.42	5.18 4.23 4.54 5.38 5.01	2.64 2.27 2.54 2.42 2.65	12.63 10.02 11.07 17.93 11.48
$PM_{10}$ (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		00.00	50.00	07.00	75.40	00.10	
Spring Summer Fall Winter Average	$64.44 \pm 16.21$ $39.11 \pm 8.31$ $47.76 \pm 11.77$ $68.00 \pm 21.88$ $54.83 \pm 13.07$	23.33 17.33 21.00 21.33 20.75	53.00 33.92 39.33 50.25 44.81	67.00 39.67 49.17 67.17 57.17	75.42 43.42 55.66 84.67 64.54	22.42 9.50 16.33 34.42 19.73	94.33 60.00 72.00 116.0 78.05

<sup>a</sup>Range from 25th to 75th percentile of site-specific concentrations

group may augur other exposures that also may mediate risk for CL/P.

We were able to adjust for several confounders in logistic regression analysis to eliminate these factors as a potential explanation for our results. Although there is evidence that oral clefts are related to maternal smoking, folic acid deficiency, and genetic factors (Shaw et al. 2005; Zeiger et al. 2005), we have no reason to suspect that these factors would be associated with exposure. Information on maternal smoking was not available for our study, but from other sources (Chen et al. 2002) we know that the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy is low (4.9%), and therefore the magnitude of potential confounding is small. We based our outcome

assessment on a physician's diagnosis, usually a pediatrician, within 15 days of the delivery. CL/P is present and relatively easily detectable after delivery. Regional variation in diagnostic practice and reporting was a possible source of misclassification, which may be related to exposure levels, because we based the exposure contrasts on regional differences. Adjustment for population density not only adjusted indirectly for municipal differences in these behavior factors, but also reduced any bias introduced by regional differences in diagnosis and reporting. However, residual confounding is still possible by unmeasured or poorly characterized factors or by other environmental toxicants. We systematically carried out stratified analyses in different categories of exposure

and other covariates to elaborate the potential effect modification. The stratified analyses did not indicate any major effect modification.

Any known or unknown factors, such as physical activity, time spent outdoors, occupational status, air exchange, penetration, deposition, and emission strengths for indoor pollutants, could be responsible for the observed association between personal exposure and municipal level exposure. We assumed these errors to be nondifferential with respect to cases and controls. Therefore, such misclassification would lead to underestimation of the effect estimates. This was a common problem in all the previous studies assessing the effects of air pollution on the risk of pregnancy outcomes (Srám et al. 2005).

fable 4. Adjusted ORs <sup>a</sup> (95% Cls) for cleft	lip during the first 3 i	nonths of pregnancy in si	ngle- and three-pollutant models.
--	--------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Pollutant	Single-pollutant model	Three-pollutant model 1 $(O_3 + CO + SO_2)$	Three-pollutant model 2 $(O_3 + NO_x + SO_2)$	Three-pollutant model 3 (O <sub>3</sub> + CO + PM <sub>10</sub> )	Three-pollutant model 4 (O <sub>3</sub> + NO <sub>x</sub> + PM <sub>10</sub> )
O <sub>3</sub> (10 ppb)					
1st month	1.17 (1.01–1.36)	1.20 (1.02–1.40)	1.20 (1.02–1.39)	1.20 (1.01–1.41)	1.18 (1.00–1.39)
2nd month	1.22 (1.03–1.46)	1.21 (1.03–1.42)	1.28 (1.06–1.56)	1.25 (1.04–1.51)	1.25 (1.03–1.52)
3rd month	1.09 (0.93–1.26)	1.12 (0.96–1.31)	1.12 (0.96–1.31)	1.11 (0.94–1.30)	1.09 (0.92–1.29)
CO (100 ppb)					
1st month	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	1.01 (0.97–1.04)		1.01 (0.97-1.05)	
2nd month	1.00 (0.96–1.03)	1.00 (0.97–1.04)		1.01 (0.97–1.05)	
3rd month	1.00 (0.96–1.03)	1.00 (0.97–1.04)		1.00 (0.96–1.04)	
NO <sub>x</sub> (10 ppb)					
1st month	0.95 (0.81-1.12)		0.97 (0.81-1.15)		0.95 (0.78–1.15)
2nd month	0.96 (0.81-1.13)		1.06 (0.88–1.28)		1.03 (0.84–1.26)
3rd month	0.93 (0.79–1.09)		0.99 (0.83–1.18)		0.95 (0.78–1.15)
SO <sub>2</sub> (10 ppb)					
1st month	0.92 (0.63-1.35)	0.82 (0.55-1.22)	0.85 (0.55–1.31)		
2nd month	0.84 (0.57-1.25)	0.74 (0.49–1.12)	0.70 (0.44–1.11)		
3rd month	0.72 (0.47-1.08)	0.67 (0.44-1.03)	0.68 (0.43-1.07)		
PM <sub>10</sub> (10 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )					
1st month	1.01 (0.96-1.06)			0.99 (0.94-1.04)	1.00 (0.94-1.06)
2nd month	1.00 (0.95–1.05)			0.99 (0.94-1.04)	0.98 (0.92-1.05)
3rd month	0.99 (0.95-1.05)			0.98 (0.93-1.04)	1.00 (0.93–1.06)

<sup>a</sup>Logistic regression analysis adjusting for maternal age, plurality, gestational age, population density, and season of conception.

#### Table 5. Adjusted ORs<sup>a</sup> (95% CIs) of CL/P exposure to first 3 months of pregnancy in two-pollutant models.

Pollutant	Two-pollutant model 1 (O <sub>3</sub> + CO)	Two-pollutant model 2 (O <sub>3</sub> + NO <sub>x</sub> )	Two-pollutant model 3 (O <sub>3</sub> + SO <sub>2</sub> )	Two- pollutant model 4 (O <sub>3</sub> + PM <sub>10</sub> )	Two- pollutant model 5 (CO + PM <sub>10</sub> )	Two- pollutant model 6 (CO + SO <sub>2</sub> )	Two- pollutant model 7 (NO <sub>x</sub> + PM <sub>10</sub> )	Two- pollutant model 8 (NO <sub>x</sub> + SO <sub>2</sub> )
O <sub>3</sub> (10 ppb)								
1st month	1.17 (1.01–1.37)	1.17 (1.01–1.37)	1.19 (1.02–1.40)	1.19 (1.01–1.40)				
2nd month	1.23 (1.03–1.48)	1.22 (1.02–1.46)	1.26 (1.05–1.51)	1.24 (1.03–1.48)				
3rd month	1.09 (0.93–1.26)	1.08 (1.93–1.26)	1.12 (0.96–1.31)	1.10 (0.95–1.55)				
CO (100 ppb)								
1st month	1.00 (0.97–1.04)				1.00 (0.96–1.04)	1.00 (0.97–1.04)		
2nd month	1.01 (0.97–1.05)				1.00 (0.96–1.04)	1.00 (0.96–1.04)		
3rd month	1.00 (0.96–1.04)				1.00 (0.96–1.03)	1.00 (0.96–1.04)		
NO <sub>x</sub> (10 ppb)								
1st month		0.94 (0.80–1.11)					0.91 (0.75–1.10)	0.96 (0.80–1.14)
2nd month		0.99 (0.84–1.18)					0.94 (0.78–1.14)	0.98 (0.82–1.17)
3rd month		0.93 (0.79–1.10)					0.92 (0.76–1.11)	0.97 (0.81–1.15)
SO <sub>2</sub> (10 ppb)								
1st month			0.82 (0.55–1.23)			0.92 (0.62–1.35)		0.96 (0.63–1.45)
2nd month			0.75 (0.50–1.13)			0.84 (0.57–1.25)		0.86 (0.56–1.31)
3rd month			0.67 (0.44–1.03)			0.72 (0.48–1.08)		0.74 (0.48–1.14)
PM <sub>10</sub> (10 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )								
1st month				0.99 (0.94–1.04)	1.01 (0.96–1.06)		1.02 (0.97–1.08)	
2nd month				0.99 (0.94–1.04)	1.00 (0.95–0.1.05)		1.01 (0.95–1.07)	
3rd month				0.98 (0.93–1.04)	0.99 (0.95–1.05)		1.01 (0.95–1.07)	

<sup>a</sup>Logistic regression analysis adjusting for maternal age, plurality, gestational age, population density, and season of conception.

A major challenge of this study was the imprecision of exposure assessment that we based on monthly municipal level air pollutant information. Navidi and Lurmann (1995) reported that when using the municipal level exposure obtained from air pollution monitoring stations as a proxy for personal exposure, the effect estimates are in general smaller than those based on personal assessment of exposure. A plausible mechanism of information bias is that pregnant women may change residential area, which will lead to exposure misclassification. Any random migration between cases and controls might introduce nondifferential misclassification and decrease the accuracy of exposure assessment. This most likely underestimates the air pollution effects rather than introducing a positive bias in the associations.

In general, the assessment of the independent effects of different pollutants is difficult, because urban air pollution constitutes a complex mixture of several compounds. Typically, NO<sub>x</sub> and CO concentrations are highly correlated (r = 0.82) because they both are predominantly from vehicle emissions. Similarly,  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$  are somewhat correlated (r =0.50), having stationary fossil combustion processes as important sources. In addition,  $PM_{10}$  may also be partly traffic related, because it is correlated with NO<sub>x</sub> (r = 0.56). O<sub>3</sub> is, as a product of photochemical oxidation, a secondary air pollutant generated in the troposphere from precursors of the vehicle emissions (nitrogen dioxide and hydrogen carbon), but the concentrations of  $O_3$  are slightly negatively related to NO<sub>x</sub> (r = -0.05) and CO (r = -0.19) concentrations. This enables somewhat more valid assessment of the effects of O3 independent from other traffic-related pollutants. In the modeling, we were able to control for one stationary fossilfuel pollutant at a time as a potential confounder when assessing the effect of one of the traffic-related pollutants and vice versa.

Synthesis with previous knowledge. In the present study, we found a 20% increase in the risk of CL/P per 10-ppb increase in  $O_3$  exposure during the second month of exposure. The average monthly means of  $O_3$  varied from 16.7 ppb to 45.1 ppb. Two previous population-based case–control studies, conducted in Southern California (Ritz et al.

2002) and in Texas (Gilboa et al. 2005), have elaborated the relations between exposure to ambient air pollution and the risk of oral clefts. Both studies reported elevated but not statistically significant effect estimates for O<sub>3</sub> exposure, which is in line with our results in a similar range of O<sub>3</sub> levels. The Southern California study, with 450 CL/P cases and 3,000 controls, reported an adjusted OR of 1.13 per 10 ppb (95% CI, 0.90-1.40) during the second month; the range of exposure was 1.4-99.4 ppb (Ritz et al. 2002). The Texas study of 305 CL/P cases and 3,594 controls reported an adjusted OR of 1.09 (95% CI, 0.70–1.69) for the fourth quartile ( $\geq$  31 ppb) contrasted with the first quartile (< 18 ppb) of exposure during 3-8 weeks of pregnancy (Gilboa et al. 2005).

The evidence of a positive association between the risk of CL/P and exposure to  $O_{3}$ , provided by our study, is compatible with toxicologic studies (Kavlock et al. 1979; Lohnes et al. 1995; Takahashi et al. 1990). Kavlock et al. (1979) reported that high exposure to O<sub>3</sub> (> 1.26 ppm) during organogenesis reduced skeletal ossification. In rats, exposure to 0.4 ppm O3 for 1-4 days lowered the serum retinol concentration by 85% (Takahashi et al. 1990), which supports the hypothesized adverse effects of O<sub>3</sub>, because vitamin A deprivation during organogenesis is known to cause several congenital defects (Lohnes et al. 1995). The risk of CL/P was associated with the levels of O<sub>3</sub>. The most susceptible time periods in pregnancy for the effects of O3 were the first and second month of gestation. O3 is a secondary pollutant in the atmosphere produced from traffic exhausts but scavenged by direct motor vehicle emissions. O3 is a known strong oxidizing agent that can generate hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, and superoxides. It could contribute to oxidative stress and causally influence the development of oral clefts.

Our finding of a lack of association between the risk of CL/P and traffic-related (CO, NO<sub>x</sub>) and combustion-related (SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>) air pollutant levels is consistent with the results from two previous studies in Southern California and Texas (Gilboa et al. 2005; Ritz et al. 2002). The present study provides an original finding that the effect of exposure to outdoor air O<sub>3</sub> during the first and second month of pregnancy increases the risk of CL/P. Given that similar levels are encountered globally by large numbers of pregnant women,  $O_3$  may be an important determinant of orofacial birth defects.

#### REFERENCES

- Chen PC, Li CY, Lin RS, Sung FC. 2002. Maternal smoking, environmental tobacco smoking and low birth weight. Taiwan J Public Health 21(5):321–328.
- Fisher NI, Lewis T, Embleton BJJ. 1987. Statistical Analysis of Spherical Data. Cambridge, UK:Cambridge University Press.
- Garvey DJ, Longo LD. 1978. Chronic low level maternal carbon monoxide exposure and fetal growth and development. Biol Reprod 19(1):8–14.
- Gilboa SM, Mendola P, Olshan AF, Langlois PH, Savitz DA, Loomis D, et al. 2005. Relation between ambient air quality and selected birth defects, seven county study, Texas, 1997–2000. Am J Epidemiol 162(3):238–252.
- Gorlin R, Cohen MM, Levin LS. 1990. Symptoms of the Head and Neck. 3rd ed. New York:Oxford University Press.
- Greenland S. 1989. Modeling and variable selection in epidemiologic analysis. Am J Publ Health 79(3):340–349.
- Kavlock R, Dasston G, Grabowski CT. 1979. Studies on the developmental toxicity of ozone. I. Prenatal effects. Toxcol Appl Pharmacol 48(1 pt 1):19–28.
- Lin CM, Lee PC, Teng SW, et al. 2004. Validation of the Taiwan birth registration using obstetric records. J Formos Med Assoc 103(4):297–301.
- Lohnes D, Mark M, Mendelsohn C, Dollé P, Decimo D, LeMeur M, et al. 1995. Developmental roles of the retinoic acid receptors. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 53(1–6):475–486.
- Longo LD. 1977. The biological effects of carbon monoxide on the pregnant women, fetus and newborn infant. Am J Obstet Gynecol 129(1):69–103.
- Navidi W, Lurmann F. 1995. Measurement error in air pollution exposure assessment. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 5(2):111–124.
- Ritz B, Milhelm M, Hoggatt KJ, Ghosh JKC. 2007. Ambient air pollution and preterm birth in the environmental and pregnancy outcomes study at the University of California, Los Angeles. Am J Epidemiol 166(9):1045–1052.
- Ritz B, Yu F, Fruin S, Chapa G, Shaw GM, Harris JA. 2002. Ambient air pollution and risk of birth defects in Southern California. Am J Epidemiol 155(1):17–25.
- Shaw GM, Iovannisci DM, Yang W, Finnell RH, Carmichael SL, Cheng S, et al. 2005. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (NOS3) genetic variant, maternal smoking, vitamin use and the risk of human orofacial clefts. Am J Epidemiol 162(12):1207–1241.
- Šrám RJ, Binková B, Dejmek J, Bobak M. 2005. Ambient air pollution and pregnancy outcomes: a review of the literature. Environ Health Perspect 113:375–382.
- Takahashi Y, Miura T, Kimura S. 1990. A decrease in serum retinol by *in vivo* exposures of rates to ozone. Int J Vitam Nutr Res 60(3):294–295.
- Wyszynski DF, Wu T. 2002a. Use of U.S. birth certificate data to estimate the risk of maternal cigarette smoking for oral clefting. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 39(2):188–192.
- Wyszynski DF, Wu T. 2002b. Prenatal and perinatal factors associated with isolated oral clefting. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 39(3):370–375.
- Zeiger JS, Beaty TH. 2002. Is there a relationship between risk factors for oral clefts? Teratology 66(5):205–208.
- Zeiger JS, Beaty TH, Liang KY. 2005. Oral clefts, maternal smoking, and TGFA: a meta-analysis of gene-environment interaction. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 42(1):58–63.