Key Issues in the Modes of Action and Effects of Trichloroethylene Metabolites for Liver and Kidney Tumorigenesis

Jane C. Caldwell and Nagalakshmi Keshava

National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA

Trichloroethylene (TCE) exposure has been associated with increased risk of liver and kidney cancer in both laboratory animal and epidemiologic studies. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2001 draft TCE risk assessment concluded that it is difficult to determine which TCE metabolites may be responsible for these effects, the key events involved in their modes of action (MOAs), and the relevance of these MOAs to humans. In this article, which is part of a minimonograph on key issues in the health risk assessment of TCE, we present a review of recently published scientific literature examining the effects of TCE metabolites in the context of the preceding questions. Studies of the TCE metabolites dichloroacetic acid (DCA), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), and chloral hydrate suggest that both DCA and TCA are involved in TCE-induced liver tumorigenesis and that many DCA effects are consistent with conditions that increase the risk of liver cancer in humans. Studies of S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine have revealed a number of different possible cell signaling effects that may be related to kidney tumorigenesis at lower concentrations than those leading to cytotoxicity. Recent studies of trichloroethanol exploring an alternative hypothesis for kidney tumorigenesis have failed to establish the formation of formate as a key event for TCE-induced kidney tumors. Overall, although MOAs and key events for TCEinduced liver and kidney tumors have yet to be definitively established, these results support the likelihood that toxicity is due to multiple metabolites through several MOAs, none of which appear to be irrelevant to humans. Key words: chloral hydrate, dichloroacetic acid, S-(1,2dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine, trichloroacetic acid, trichloroethanol, trichloroethylene. Environ Health Perspect 114:1457-1463 (2006). doi:10.1289/ehp.8692 available via http://dx.doi.org/ [Online 9 May 2006]

As discussed in the mini-monograph article on trichloroethylene (TCE) pharmacokinetics (Chiu et al. 2006b), exposure to TCE results in a complex internal mixture of parent compound and its metabolites [see Chiu et al. (2006b) for a postulated metabolism scheme]. Many of these metabolites have been shown to have toxicologic effects in TCE target organs, and several hypotheses have been put forth as to the "active" agent in TCE toxicity. Although TCE exposure has been associated with a wide array of adverse health effects, including neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, developmental toxicity, endocrine toxicity, and several forms of cancer [see overview article by Chiu et al. (2006a)], most of the mechanistic information on TCE toxicity focuses on the liver and kidney. For liver toxicity and/or carcinogenesis, the TCE metabolites dichloroacetic acid (DCA) and trichloroacetic acid (TCA), both of which induce liver tumors in rodent laboratory studies, have been the major focus of study as potential active agent(s). For TCEinduced kidney effects, including cancer, glutathione (GSH) conjugation products such as S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine (DCVC) and its bioactivation products, some of which may be genotoxic and/or nephrotoxic (Lash et al. 2000), have been the major focus of study. An alternative hypothesis relating to the formation of formic acid has also been investigated (Dow and Green 2000).

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 2001 draft TCE risk assessment (U.S. EPA 2001) concluded that it is difficult to determine which TCE metabolites may be responsible for liver and kidney tumorigenesis, the key events involved in their modes of action (MOAs), and the relevance of these MOAs to humans. In this article we present a review of the recent scientific literature on the MOAs and effects of TCE metabolites that may be related to TCE-induced liver and kidney tumorigenesis in the context of these key questions. Although some scientific conclusions can be drawn from this updated body of data, speculation as to the effect of these data on the final TCE risk assessment would be premature at this point because of the ongoing National Academy of Sciences consultation discussed in the overview article (Chiu et al. 2006a) and the subsequently planned revision of the EPA TCE risk assessment. Therefore, the purpose here and throughout this mini-monograph is to review recently published scientific literature in the context of how it informs the key scientific issues believed to be most critical in developing a revised risk assessment.

TCE Metabolites and Liver Tumors

As discussed elsewhere in this mini-monograph (Chiu et al. 2006a; Scott and Chiu 2006), associations between TCE and liver tumors

have been reported in both laboratory and epidemiologic studies. Three key issues with respect to liver tumor induction are the relative importance of TCA and DCA in tumor induction, the elucidation of key events in the hypothesized MOAs, and the relevance of TCE-, TCA-, and/or DCA-induced rodent liver tumors to human risk. Substantial new toxicologic data (although none on mutagenicity) derived from studies of TCA, DCA, and chloral hydrate (CH) inform all these issues.

Dichloroacetic acid. As discussed in the pharmacokinetics article in this mini-monograph (Chiu et al. 2006b), direct evidence for the formation of DCA from TCE is limited because of the difficulty in directly detecting DCA after TCE exposure. However, Bull et al. (2002) have noted that DCA-induced liver tumors have been observed at exposure levels for which DCA cannot be detected in vivo. In addition, indirect evidence suggests that DCA is formed from TCE in vivo and contributes to liver tumor development. For instance, Schultz et al. (2002) and Bull et al. (2004) reported that pretreatment with either DCA or TCE inhibits the cytosolic enzyme glutathione S-transferase (GST) ξ , also known as maleylacetoacetate (MAA) isomerase, and TCA pretreatment does not. TCE treatment at 1 g/kg decreased the V_{max} for DCA metabolism to 49% of control levels, resembling effects of DCA treatment at 0.05 g/L (Schultz et al. 2002). The studies discussed in this section note a variety of DCA effects consistent with conditions that increase liver cancer risk across species and

We thank J. Blancato, C. Chen, M. Evans, J. Jinot, J. Lipscomb, M. Okino, F. Power, J. Schaum, and C. Siegel Scott for their insightful, constructive input. We especially thank W. Chiu, TCE team chemical manager, for key assistance in completing this review and coordinating this mini-monograph.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

The authors declare they have no competing financial interests.

Received 27 September 2005; accepted 28 March 2006.

This article is part of the mini-monograph "Trichloroethylene Health Risks: Key Scientific Issues."

Address correspondence to J.C. Caldwell, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Mail Code 8623D, Washington, DC 20460 USA. Telephone: (919) 541-4477. Fax: (919) 541-5541. E-mail: Caldwell.Jane@ epa.gov

suggest pathologic changes induced by DCA on whole liver consistent with changes observed in preneoplastic foci from a variety of agents.

A number of studies suggest DCAinduced liver cancer may be linked to its effects on GST-E, which is part of the tyrosine catabolism pathway whose disruption in type 1 hereditary tyrosinemia has been linked to increased liver cancer risk in humans. Specifically, GST-E metabolizes MAA to fumarylacetoacetate (FAA), which displays apoptogenic, mutagenic, aneugenic, and mitogenic activities (Bergeron et al. 2003; Jorquera and Tanguay 2001; Kim et al. 2000), and FAA and MAA accumulation have been suggested to increase cancer risk (Tanguay et al. 1996). Cornett et al. (1999) reported DCA exposure in rats increased accumulation of maleylacetone (a spontaneous decarboxylation product of MAA), suggesting MAA accumulation. Ammini et al. (2003) reported depletion of GST-E to be exclusively a posttranscriptional event and suggested that genetic ablation of GST-E causes FAA and MAA accumulation in mice. Schultz et al. (2002) reported that DCA elimination is controlled by liver metabolism via GST-E in mice and that DCA inhibits its own metabolism, with young mice being the most sensitive. Conversely, older mice (60 weeks) overall had a decreased capacity to eliminate DCA compared with younger mice. The authors suggested that exogenous factors that deplete or reduce GST-ξ will decrease DCA elimination and may increase its carcinogenic potency. In humans, GST-E has also been reported to be inhibited by DCA and to be polymorphic (Blackburn et al. 2000, 2001; Tzeng et al. 2000). Board et al. (2001) reported one variant of GST- ξ to have significantly higher activity with DCA as a substrate than other isoforms, suggesting differential susceptibility.

Another area of investigation regarding the MOA for DCA liver tumor induction has been effects on glycogen and lipid metabolism. Individuals with glycogen storage disease or with poorly controlled diabetes have excessive storage of glycogen in their livers (glycogenosis) and increased risk of liver cancer (Adami et al. 1996; LaVecchia et al. 1994; Rake et al. 2002; Wideroff et al. 1997). In an animal model where hepatocytes are exposed to a local hyperinsulinemia, insulin induces formation of glycogenotic foci of altered hepatocytes (FAHs) in liver acini that develop into hepatocellular tumors (Evert et al. 2005). A number of studies have reported DCA-induced suppression of apoptosis, decreases in insulin, and glycogenosis in mouse liver at levels that also induce liver tumors (Bull 2004; Bull et al. 2004; Lingohr et al. 2001). Lingohr et al. (2002) reported that DCA-induced glycogenosis in isolated murine hepatocytes was dose related, occurred at very

low doses (10 µM), occurred without the presence of insulin, was not affected by insulin addition, was dependent on phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity, and was not a result of decreased glycogen breakdown. The authors noted that phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase is also known to regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis in hepatocytes and that understanding these mechanisms may be important to understanding DCA-induced carcinogenesis. They also reported that insulin receptor (IR) protein levels decreased to 30% of controls in mouse liver after up to 52 weeks of DCA treatment. Activation of the IR is also the principal pathway by which insulin stimulates glycogen synthetase (the rate-limiting enzyme of glycogen biosynthesis). However, although DCAinduced liver tumors were glycogen poor, both IR protein and phosphorylation of mitogenactivated protein kinase (a downstream target protein of the IR) were elevated (Lingohr et al. 2001). The authors suggested that normal hepatocytes down-regulate insulin-signaling proteins in response to the accumulation of liver glycogen caused by DCA and that the initiated cell population, which does not accumulate glycogen and is promoted by DCA treatment, responds differently from normal hepatocytes to the insulin-like effects of DCA.

Glycogenosis and overexpression of proteins affected by the IR in preneoplastic foci are generalized responses that have been reported to be induced by a variety of agents. Nehrbass et al. (1998, 1999) reported that focal overexpression of IR substrate 1, a multisite docking protein occupying a central position in signaling cascades stimulated by a number of growth factors, including insulin, is an early event in hepatocarcinogenesis closely correlated with preneoplastic hepatic glycogenosis. IR substrate 1 is gradually down-regulated during progression of these lesions to a glycogen-poor neoplastic phenotype. Bannasch (2001) and Bannasch et al. (2001) describe the early phenotypes of preneoplastic foci induced by many oncogenic agents (DNA-reactive chemicals, radiation, viruses, transgenic oncogenes, and local hyperinsulinism) as insulinomimetic. Thus, the response of liver to DCA (glycogenosis with emergence of glycogen-poor tumors) is similar to the progression of preneoplastic foci to tumors induced from a variety of agents and conditions associated with increased cancer risk. Finally, Bull et al. (2002) reported that expression of IR was elevated in tumors of control mice or mice treated with TCE, TCA, and DCA but not in nontumor areas, which is consistent with this effect being nonspecific to DCA.

Emerging studies of DCA-induced gene expression changes show alteration of a number of genes identified with cell growth, tissue remodeling, apoptosis, cancer progression, and

xenobiotic metabolism in mouse liver at high drinking water doses (2 g/L DCA) (Thai et al. 2001, 2003). After 4 weeks, RNA expression was altered in four known genes [α_1 protease inhibitor 3, cytochrome b_5 , stearoyl-CoA desaturase exon 6, and carboxylesterase] in two mice (Thai et al. 2001). Except for CoA desaturase, a similar pattern of gene change was reported in DCA-induced tumors (10 tumors from 10 different mice) after 93 weeks. Using cDNA microarray in the same mice, Thai et al. (2003) identified 24 genes with altered expression, 15 of which were confirmed by Northern blot analysis after 4 weeks of exposure. Of the 15 genes, 14 revealed expression suppressed 2- to 5-fold: MHR 23A, cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C29, CYP3A11, serum paraoxonase/ arylesterase 1, liver carboxylesterase, α_1 antitrypsin, ER p72, GST-π1, angiogenin, vitronectin precursor, cathepsin D, plasminogen precursor (contains angiostatin), prothrombin precursor, and integrin α 3 precursor. Additional genes, CYP2A4/5, had a 2-fold elevation in expression. After 93 weeks of treatment with 3.5 g/L DCA, Northern blot analyses of total RNA isolated from DCAinduced hepatocellular carcinomas showed similar alteration of expression (11 of 15). Interestingly, while genes involved in glycogen or lipid metabolism were not tested, the authors report no change in peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor α (PPAR α) and IR gene expression [see Keshava and Caldwell (2006) for additional discussion of PPAR α]. Although these studies do document genetic expression changes, a key event specific for DCA induction of tumors was not identified.

Tumor phenotypes and the roles of TCA and DCA. Bull et al. (2002) reported that TCE-induced liver tumors in mice have phenotypic characteristics of both DCA- and TCA-induced tumors (i.e., a mixture of phenotypes with some tumors *c-jun* positive, some tumors *c-jun* negative, and some tumors displaying both). TCA-induced tumors did not stain with this antibody, whereas a number of DCA-induced tumors did. Moreover, mutation frequencies of the H-ras codon in TCE-induced tumors (1 g/kg/day) differed significantly from those induced by TCA (0.5 or 2 g/L), with DCA-induced tumors (0.5 or 2 g/L) intermediate between values obtained with TCA and TCE. The authors suggested that these data are not consistent with the hypothesis that all liver tumors induced by TCE are produced by TCA alone. However, use of the tumor phenotype to assign the MOA is less clear.

Although Bull et al. (2004) have suggested that the negative expression of *c-jun* in TCAinduced tumors may be consistent with a characteristic phenotype shown in general by peroxisome proliferators as a class, no evidence exists to support this. Tumors and foci induced by peroxisome proliferators have been suggested to have a phenotype of increased mitochondrial proliferation and mitochondrial enzymes (thyromimetic rather than insulinomimetic) (Keshava and Caldwell 2006). The studies cited in Bull et al. (2004) do not examine TCA-induced tumors for these properties. In addition, Bull et al. (2002) reported that TCA-induced tumors had a mutation frequency and spectrum of H-ras similar to those of historical controls, and the peroxisome proliferators ciprofibrate and methyl clofenapate caused lower ras mutation frequencies in mice. Miller et al. (1995) reported that "basophilic" preneoplastic lesions induced by the peroxisome proliferator Wyeth-14,643 (WY) alone or those initiated by N-nitrosodiethylamine and WY together do not express transforming growth factor(TGF)- α , whereas Bannasch (1996) reports increased TGF- α expression in preneoplastic lesions from agents other than peroxisome proliferators.

In addition, use of the term "basophilic" in describing preneoplastic foci or tumors can be misleading. The different types of FAHs have been related to three main preneoplastic hepatocellular lineages: the glycogenotic-basophilic cell lineage, its xenomorphic-tigroid cell variant, and the amphophilic-basophilic cell lineage. Specific changes of the cellular phenotype of the first two FAH lineages are similar in experimental and human hepatocarcinogenesis regardless of whether they are elicited by DNAreactive chemicals, hormones, radiation, viruses, transgenic oncogenes, or local hyperinsulinism as described by the first two FAHs, and this similarity favors extrapolation of data obtained in animals to humans (Bannasch et al. 2001, 2003; Su and Bannasch 2003). In contrast, the amphophilic cell lineage of hepatocarcinogenesis has been observed mainly after rodents have been exposed to peroxisome proliferators or to Hepadnaviridae (Bannasch et al. 2001). However, a recent study of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) reported the majority of liver FAHs to be of the first two types (Voss et al. 2005) after a lifetime of exposure to DEHP with a dose-related tendency for increased numbers of amphophilic FAHs.

Bannasch (1996) described amphophilic FAHs and tumors induced by peroxisome proliferators to maintain the phenotype as the foci progress to tumors. They are glycogen poor from the start with increased numbers of mitochondria, peroxisomes, and ribosomes. The author further stated that other researchers' "homogenous basophilic" descriptions of foci induced by WY are really amphophilic. Agents other than peroxisome proliferators can induce acidophilic or eosinophilic (due to increased smooth endoplasmic reticulum) or glycogenotic foci, which tend to progress to basophilic stages (due to increased ribosomes). Descriptions of tumors induced by TCA and DCA are consistent with this progression. Indeed, Pereira (1996) described the FAHs and tumors induced by DCA to be eosinophilic at higher exposure levels but, at lower or intermittent exposures, to be half eosinophilic and half basophilic. Regardless of exposure level, half the TCA-induced foci were eosinophilic, and half were basophilic, with tumors 75% basophilic. In control animals, the limited numbers of lesions were mostly basophilic; the rest were eosinophilic with the exception of a few mixed tumors.

Carter et al. (2003) examined the phenotype of liver tumors induced by DCA in mice and the shape of the dose-response curve for insight into its MOA. They reported a dose response of histopathologic changes (all classes of premalignant lesions and carcinomas) occurring in the livers of mice receiving from 0.05 to 3.5 g/L DCA for 26-100 weeks and suggested that foci and adenomas demonstrated neoplastic progression with time at lower doses than observed for DCA genotoxicity. Preneoplastic lesions were identified as eosinophilic, basophilic, and/or clear cell (grouped with clear cell and mixed cell) and dysplastic. Altered foci were 50% eosinophilic with about 30% basophilic. As foci became larger and evolved into carcinomas, they became increasingly basophilic. The pattern held true throughout the exposure range. An increase in atypical nuclei related to dose and length of exposure was also observed in "noninvolved" liver. Glycogen deposition was also dose dependent with periportal accumulation in mice administered 0.5 g/L DCA. The findings are consistent with those of DeAngelo et al. (1999), who reported hepatocarcinogenicity at the lowest dose tested (0.05 g/L DCA or 8 mg/kg/day) and concluded that induction of liver cancer did not appear to be conditional on peroxisome proliferation (which was significantly increased only at much higher concentrations) or chemically sustained cell proliferation.

DNA hypomethylation by TCA and DCA. Aberrant DNA methylation has emerged in recent years as a common hallmark of all types of cancers, with hypermethylation of the promoter region of specific tumor suppressor genes and DNA repair genes leading to their silencing (an effect similar to their mutation) and genomic hypomethylation (Ballestar and Esteller 2002; Berger and Daxenbichler 2002; Herman et al. 1998; Pereira et al. 2004; Rhee et al. 2002). Whether DNA methylation is a consequence or cause of cancer is a long-standing issue (Ballestar and Esteller 2002). Fraga et al. (2004, 2005) reported global loss of monoacetylation and trimethylation of histone H4 as a common hallmark of human tumor cells; they suggested, however, that genomewide loss of 5-methylcytosine (associated with the acquisition of a transformed phenotype) exists

not as a static predefined value throughout the process of carcinogenesis but rather as a dynamic parameter (i.e., decreases are seen early and become more marked in later stages).

A body of work has also emerged regarding the hypothesis that toxicity of TCE and its metabolites may arise from its effects on DNA methylation status, although little is known as to the mechanism(s) by which this occurs. Tao et al. (2000) have reported that DCA (500 mg/kg), TCA (500 mg/kg), and TCE (1,000 mg/kg) decreased methylation of DNA and the promoter region of the protooncogenes c-myc and c-jun after 5 days of exposure in mouse liver. Increased levels of mRNA and protein for c-myc and c-jun were also reported after DCA and TCA treatment. Ge et al. (2001) reported DCA- and TCAinduced DNA hypomethylation and cell proliferation in the liver of female mice dosed at 500 mg/kg and decreased methylation of the c-myc promoter region in the liver, kidney, and urinary bladder. However, increased cell proliferation preceded hypomethylation. Hypomethylation of the c-myc gene in the liver has also been reported after exposure to the peroxisome proliferators 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (1,680 ppm), dibutyl phthalate (20,000 ppm), gemfibrozil (8,000 ppm), and WY (50-500 ppm, with no effect at 5 or 10 ppm) after $\hat{6}$ days in the diet (Ge et al. 2002). Although WY was much more potent as an inducer of cell proliferation and peroxisome proliferation than the other compounds tested, WY-induced hypomethylation was only slightly greater. Thus, the hypomethylation does not appear to be a chemical-specific effect at these concentrations.

After initiation by N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (25 mg/kg) and exposure to 20 mmol/L DCA or TCA (46 weeks), Tao et al. (2004) reported similar hypomethylation of total mouse liver DNA by DCA and TCA with tumor DNA showing greater hypomethylation. A similar effect was noted for differentially methylated region 2 of the insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) gene The authors suggested that hypomethylation of total liver DNA and the IGF2 gene found in nontumorous liver tissue would appear to be the result of a more prolonged activity and not cell proliferation, whereas hypomethylation of tumors could be an intrinsic property of the tumors. Overexpression of the IGF2 gene in liver tumors and preneoplastic foci has been shown in both animal models of hepatocarcinogenesis and humans and may enhance tumor growth, acting via the overexpressed IGF2 receptor (Scharf et al. 2001; Werner and Le Roith 2000). IGF1 is the major mediator of growth hormone effects; it thus has a strong influence on cell proliferation and differentiation and is a potent inhibitor of apoptosis (Furstenberger and Senn 2002). Normally, expression of *IGF2* in the liver is greater during the fetal period than in the adult but is overexpressed in human hepatocarcinomas because of activation of fetal promoters (Scharf et al. 2001) and loss of imprinting (Khandwala et al. 2000). Takeda et al. (1996) reported that *IGF2* expression in the liver is monoallelic (maternally imprinted) in the fetal period, is relaxed during the postnatal period (resulting in biallelic expression), and is imbalanced in human hepatocarcinomas (leading to restoration of monoallelic *IGF2* expression).

However, Bull (2004) and Bull et al. (2004) have recently suggested that hypomethylation and peroxisome proliferation occur at higher exposure levels than those that induce liver tumors for TCE and its metabolites and that, therefore, these effects may not be key events in liver tumorigenesis. They reported that a direct comparison in the noeffect level or low-effect level for liver tumor induction in the mouse and several other end points shows that for TCA, liver tumors occur at lower concentrations than peroxisome proliferation in vivo but that PPAR α activation occurs at a lower dose than either tumor formation or peroxisome proliferation. A similar comparison for DCA shows that liver tumor formation occurs at a much lower exposure level than peroxisome proliferation, PPAR α activation, or hypomethylation. However, apoptosis is suppressed at levels of DCA exposure that also induce liver tumors as well as decreases in insulin and increases in glycogen. In addition, they reported that these chemicals are effective as carcinogens at doses that do not produce cytotoxicity.

Chloral hydrate. A large fraction of TCE oxidative metabolism appears to go through CH, with subsequent metabolism to TCA and trichloroethanol (TCOH) (Chiu et al. 2006b). The recent studies of CH toxicity are consistent with the general presumption that oxidative metabolites are important for TCE-induced liver tumors, but whether CH and its metabolites are sufficient to explain all of TCE liver tumorigenesis remains unclear, particularly because of uncertainties regarding how DCA may be formed (Chiu et al. 2006b).

Recent studies of CH have been reported that may enable a comparison between toxicity of TCE and CH and may help elucidate its role in TCE effects. However, it is important to examine the strengths and weaknesses of such studies as well as their consistency and inconsistency with some of the proposed MOAs for TCE or its other metabolites. George et al. (2000) exposed male B6C3F₁ mice and male F344/N rats to CH in drinking water for 2 years (up to 162.6 mg/kg/day). The authors considered all neoplastic lesions observed in the kidneys, spleen, and testes to be spontaneous for the male F344 rat and male B6C3F₁ mouse based on historical controls, but data were not

shown. In mice, preneoplastic foci and adenomas were increased in the livers of all CH treatment groups (13.5-146.6 mg/kg/day) at 104 weeks, the number of carcinomas was increased at the highest dose, and time to tumor decreased in all CH treatment groups. CH exposure did not alter serum chemistry, hepatocyte proliferation, or hepatic palmitoyl-CoA oxidase (an enzyme associated with PPAR α agonism) in rats and mice at any of the time periods monitored. Study limitations included the following: only five animals were examined at the high dose, thereby limiting the study's power to determine an effect; control mice had a high spontaneous carcinoma rate (54%), thereby limiting the ability to detect a treatment-related response; and no descriptions of the foci or tumor phenotype were given.

Leakey et al. (2003) studied the effects of CH exposure (0, 25, 50, and 100 mg/kg, 5 days/week, 104-105 weeks via gavage) in male B6C3F1 mice with dietary control used to manipulate body growth. Dietary control decreases background liver tumor rates (incidence of 15-20%) and is associated with decreased variation in liver-to-body weight ratios, thereby potentially increasing assay sensitivity. In dietary-controlled groups and groups fed ad libitum, liver adenomas and carcinomas (combined) were increased with overall tumor incidence reduced, and time to tumor increased after dietary control. In the dietary-restricted group administered 100 mg/kg CH, of the enzymes associated with PPAR α agonism (total CYP, CYP2B isoform, CYP4A, or lauric acid ω-hydroxylase activity), only CYP4A and lauric acid w-hydroxylase activity were increased at 15 months of exposure. No descriptions of liver pathology were given. Seng et al. (2003) described CH toxicokinetics in mice at doses up to 1,000 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks with dietary control and caloric restriction slightly reducing acute toxicity. Lauric acid w-hydroxylase and palmitoyl-CoA fatty acid hydroxylase (another enzyme associated with PPAR α agonism) were induced only at doses > 100 mg/kg in all groups, with dietary-restricted mice showing the greatest induction. Differences in serum levels of TCA, the major metabolite remaining 24 hr after dosing, did not correlate with hepatic lauric acid w-hydroxylase activities across groups.

Ho et al. (2003) measured urinary isoprostan 8-epi-prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$, a marker of whole-body lipid peroxidation, and serum tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α after administering injections of 100 mg/kg CH in corn oil to rats. A 5-fold elevation of 8-epiprostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ was observed on day 1, and serum TNF- α was elevated about 7-fold on day 2. Concurrent treatment with vitamin E (100 mg/kg) reduced the effects of CH treatment. In the same study, CH induced greater cytotoxicity but less apoptosis in human Chang liver cells than in human lymphocytes *in vitro*. The authors suggested a temporal relationship between lipid peroxidation and TNF- α induction in the rat and differential susceptibility between liver and extrahepatic tissue from these results.

TCE Metabolites and Kidney Tumors

As was the case for liver tumors, associations between TCE and kidney tumors have been reported in both laboratory and epidemiologic studies (Chiu et al. 2006a; Scott and Chiu 2006). Studies of TCE-induced kidney tumorigenesis have concentrated mostly on metabolites formed in the GSH conjugation pathway of TCE metabolism. Under this set of hypotheses, DCVC, which is formed by γ-glutamyltransferase metabolism of the TCE GSH conjugate S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-glutathione, is thought to exert tumorigenic responses either directly or through bioactivation to reactive species in the kidney (Lash et al. 2003, 2005; Chiu et al. 2006b). Dow and Green (2000) have suggested an alternative mechanism of nephrotoxicity by which the oxidative TCE metabolites TCA and TCOH interact with vitamin B₁₂ through a free radical mechanism to induce a B₁₂ deficiency, leading to excessive formic acid. Substantial new toxicologic data derived from studies of DCVC and TCOH have emerged that may inform three key issues concerning the MOA for TCE-induced kidney tumors: an understanding of whether cytotoxicity plays a role in tumorigenesis, determination of the source(s) of cytotoxicity, and elucidation of species differences in susceptibility.

S-(1,2-Dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine. DCVC has been used as a model compound in the study of proximal tubular (PT) cell injury (Nony and Schnellmann 2003; Vaidya et al. 2003a, 2003b, 2004) and also has been shown to induce effects on cell signaling at lower concentrations than cytotoxicity.

As a result of acute chemical insult, PT cells undergo mitochondrial dysfunction, ATP depletion, impaired solute and ion transport, loss of cell polarity, cytoskeletal disruption, and a variety of other effects. Injured PT cells that do not die or detach from the basement membrane are thought to contribute to regeneration of the tubular epithelium and to proliferate (Nony and Schnellmann 2003). Vaidya et al. (2003a, 2003b) reported PT necrosis after a single intraperitoneal (ip) injection of DCVC and mortality at doses of 40 mg/kg and greater; they also reported that prior exposure to DCVC (15 mg/kg, ip, for 72 hr) or mercuric chloride (6 mg/kg) was protective from DCVC-induced lethality after a second exposure (75 mg/kg, ip) in male mice. Vaidya et al. (2004) suggested that sustained stimulation of the renal extracellular-signal-related kinase

pathway may have been a key factor in reported protection from acute DCVC toxicity. These studies show effects of DCVC *in vivo* but are of limited use in determining the potential MOA of kidney cancer induction reported in rats and epidemiology studies in humans (Scott and Chiu 2006) given the choice of a species insensitive to kidney tumor formation (mice) and the high doses used.

Using an in vitro model of primary cultures of PT and distal tubular (DT) rat kidney cells, Cummings et al. (2000) reported that unlike TCE, DCVC (0.1-1 mM) was highly cytotoxic in both PT and DT cells from short-term (3 hr) or long-term (72 hr) incubations, with DT cells having greater DCVC sensitivity after prolonged incubations. The authors suggested that PT cells are more susceptible to DCVC toxicity in vivo because they are first exposed to DCVC and have efficient transport into the cell. Chen et al. (2001) reported DCVC-induced apoptosis and mitochondrial effects in cultured porcine PT cells at similar concentrations (0.5 mM). However, Lash et al. (2001) reported that DCVC caused apoptosis and enhanced cell proliferation in primary cultures of human PT (hPT) cells at environmentally relevant doses and at earlier time points and lower concentrations than those that caused necrosis. The hPT cells from males were modestly more sensitive to DCVC-induced necrosis than were those from females (100 µM DCVC at 1 hr vs. 200 µM at 8 hr) with no sex-dependent change in apoptosis (10 µM at 2 hr). After a 24-hr treatment with as little as $10 \ \mu M$ DCVC, a small increase was also noted in the percentage of cells in S-phase, suggesting that cell proliferation was stimulated. The authors suggested that although rat PT cells may be more susceptible to DCVC-induced necrosis than humans, the opposite pattern is true for DCVC-induced apoptosis.

Lash et al. (2005) reported that DCVC altered hPT cell expression of proteins related to apoptosis (Apaf-1, αBax, αPARP, Bcl-2, caspase-9), cell growth (c-jun, Hsp27, p53), and oxidative stress response (NF-κB p65 subunit), with patterns that are complex and dependent on concentration and exposure time. All types of proteins exhibited at least 200% increases at 10-µM concentration within 24 hr of incubation. Apoptosis was decreased at higher DCVC concentrations as necrosis was induced. Nony and Schnellmann (2001, 2003) reported 50% lethality in rabbit PT cells exposed to higher concentrations (200 µM DCVC), with surviving cells exhibiting irreversible inhibition of physiologic function. Addition of pharmacologic concentrations of L-ascorbic acid-2-phosphate that promote repair and proliferation, collagen IV that promotes repair of function but not proliferation, and other extracellular

matrix proteins (fibronectin, laminin, and collagen I) had no effect. Nowak (2003) reports 25% rabbit PT cell death using the same model and decreased protein kinase C activity, mitochondrial function, and active Na⁺ transport. Van de Water et al. (2001) reported focal adhesion kinase in a porcine PT cell line (LLC-PK1) to be important in DCVCinduced apoptosis and concluded that loss of focal adhesion kinase activity results in perturbations of focal adhesion organization with subsequent inactivation of associated signaling molecules and loss of survival signal. Thus, several signaling pathways appear to be activated in response to DCVC that are dependent on time and exposure concentration as necrosis, apoptosis, or proliferation is induced.

DCVC can also be metabolized by the flavin-containing monooxygenase (FMO) system to produce DCVC sulfoxide (DCVCS), which is highly reactive and more nephrotoxic in rat PT cells than DCVC alone and plays a prominent role in effects on hPT cells (Lash et al. 2001, 2003). Lash et al. (2003) reported DCVCS-induced necrosis (200 µM at 48 hr) and apoptosis (within 1 hr at 10 µM exposure) in hPT cells, suggesting that DCVC bioactivation and cytotoxicity are more β -lyase dependent than FMO dependent at lower doses and early stages of exposure. Krause et al. (2003) reported polymorphism in FMO that may indicate a wide range of susceptibility to DCVCS in the human population.

Trichloroethanol. Green et al. (2003, 2004) have investigated the hypothesis that formate formation from the TCE oxidative metabolite TCOH is a key event for TCE kidney effects; however, they have not provided much additional support because of data limitations and inconsistencies between reported effects of TCOH and those of TCE. Green et al. (2003) reported no dose response in formate levels in male Fischer rats after 4 weeks of exposure to TCOH in drinking water at 0, 0.5, and 1.0 g/L, so the lower dose was reduced to 0.35 g/L and folate was added to the drinking water for the rest of the 53-week exposure period. The authors suggested that these TCOH doses would result in formate levels of 0.5 g/L or greater (the upper range of TCE exposure levels in cancer bioassays). Using this paradigm, urinary formate levels were elevated at the two doses examined, peaking at 12 weeks of exposure, before declining, and were associated with decreased pH. Kidney-to-body weight ratios decreased throughout the time course of the study, with a smaller decrease in rats receiving TCOH at the highest level. Urinary N-acetyl glucosaminadase (NAG) levels were used as a marker of kidney damage along with creatinine, protein, alkaline phosphatase, and y-glutamyl transferase. Only NAG was sporadically elevated throughout the study in both dose groups,

with protein levels increasing at earlier time points. The authors reported some increase in cell replication in areas of the kidney at the highest dose at 29 weeks but not at 40 weeks. They also reported that kidneys showed treatment-related increases in $\alpha_2\mu$ -globulin (an effect not observed with TCE treatment) along with hyaline droplet accumulation and basophilia between 16 and 18 weeks but gave no quantitative analyses. By 40 weeks, tubular degeneration (increased eosinophilia, tubular vacuolation, and intratubular cast formation) was reported at the highest dose along with increased hyaline droplet accumulation and $\alpha_2\mu$ -globulin. By 52 weeks, these changes were no longer apparent, but foci of "atypical" tubule hyperplasia were reported in two rats in each TCOH-treated group. No tumors or preneoplastic foci were reported (unlike for TCE, which induces kidney tumors). The authors suggested that the pathologic changes reported with TCOH exposure were consistent with those exhibited by aged rats (chronic progressive nephropathy) and that increases in $\alpha_2\mu$ -globulin were not sufficient to account for hyaline droplet increases.

Green et al. (2004) examined kidney function in workers occupationally exposed to TCE and tried to relate it to TCOH toxicity. Estimates of TCE exposure levels were extrapolated from TCA levels in the urine that varied widely (range, 1-505 mg/L TCA). TCOH was also measured with a wide range of TCOH/TCA ratios between individuals (range, 0.6-20.1). In unexposed and TCEexposed workers, a difference was observed in NAG, urinary albumin, and formate (2-fold increase over control levels) but not in other markers of urinary toxicity ($\alpha_1\mu$ - and $\beta_{2}\mu$ -globulins, retinol binding protein, total protein, or GST- α). A positive correlation was reported between TCA urine levels and GST- α but not NAG or albumin, in the TCE-exposed population. A correlation between formate and TCA and GST- α levels was reported. The authors did not present correlations between any parameters and urinary TCOH; thus, any inferences between TCOH concentration and toxicity are indirect. Interestingly, the mean concentration (as measured by milligrams per gram creatinine) of urinary TCA (72 ± 84 mg/g) was higher than that of formate $(9.45 \pm 4.78 \text{ mg/g})$ in the TCE-exposed group.

Summary

The studies reviewed here on effects of TCE metabolites provide important insights into TCE-induced liver and kidney tumorigenesis. A number of the studies suggest that both DCA and TCA contribute to TCE-induced liver tumors and that many DCA effects are consistent with conditions that increase liver cancer risk and with changes in neoplasia. Studies of

DCVC and its bioactivation products have revealed a number of different possible cell signaling effects that may be related to kidney tumorigenesis at lower concentrations than those that produce cytotoxicity in the kidney. Although MOAs and key events for TCEinduced liver and kidney tumors have yet to be definitely established, most of the studies described here suggest that multiple metabolites may contribute to liver and kidney tumorigenesis through a number of MOAs, none of which appear to be irrelevant to humans.

REFERENCES

- Adami HO, Chow WH, Nyrene O, Berne C, Linet MS, Ekbom A, et al. 1996. Excess risk of primary liver cancer in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Natl Cancer Inst 88:1472–1477.
- Ammini CV, Fernandez-Canon J, Shroads AL, Cornett R, Cheung J, James MO, et al. 2003. Pharmacologic or genetic ablation of maleylacetoacetate isomerase increases levels of toxic tyrosine catabolites in rodents. Biochem Pharmacol 66: 2029–2038.
- Ballestar E, Esteller M. 2002. The impact of chromatin in human cancer: linking DNA methylation to gene silencing. Carcinogenesis 23:1103–1109.
- Bannasch P. 1996. Pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma: sequential cellular, molecular, and metabolic changes. Prog Liver Dis 16:161–197.
- Bannasch P. 2001. Hormonal and hormone-like effects eliciting hepatocarcinogenesis. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 39(suppl 2): 28–29.
- Bannasch P, Haertel T, Su Q. 2003. Significance of hepatic preneoplasia in risk identification and early detection of neoplasia. Toxicol Pathol 31:134–139.
- Bannasch P, Nehrbass D, Kopp-Schneider A. 2001. Significance of hepatic preneoplasia for cancer chemoprevention. IARC Sci Publ 154:223–240.
- Berger J, Daxenbichler G. 2002. DNA methylation of nuclear receptor genes—possible role in malignancy. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 80:1–11.
- Bergeron A, Jorquera R, Tanguay RM. 2003. La tyrosinémie héréditare: unemaladie du stress du reticulum endoplasmique? [In French]. Med Sci (Paris) 19(10):976–980.
- Blackburn AC, Coggan M, Tzeng HF, Lantum H, Polekhina G, Parker MW, et al. 2001. GSTZ1d: a new allele of glutathione transferase zeta and maleylacetoacetate isomerase. Pharmacogenetics 11:671–678.
- Blackburn AC, Tzeng HF, Anders MW, Board PG. 2000. Discovery of a functional polymorphism in human glutathione transferase zeta by expressed sequence tag database analysis. Pharmacogenetics 10:49–57.
- Board PG, Chelvanayagam G, Jermiin LS, Tetlow N, Tzeng HF, Anders MW, et al. 2001. Identification of novel glutathione transferases and polymorphic variants by expressed sequence tag database analysis. Drug Metab Dispos 29(4 pt 2):544–547.
- Bull RJ. 2004. Trichloroethylene and liver tumors in mice. In: Proceedings of New Scientific Research Related to the Health Effects of Trichlorothylene, 26–27 February 2004, Washington DC. Washington, DC:U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Available: http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/ cfm/recordisply.cfm?deid=75934 [accessed 3 August 2006].
- Bull RJ, Orner GA, Cheng RS, Stillwell L, Stauber AJ, Sasser LB, et al. 2002. Contribution of dichloroacetate and trichloroacetate to liver tumor induction in mice by trichloroethylene. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 182:55–65.
- Bull RJ, Sasser LB, Lei XC. 2004. Interactions in the tumor-promoting activity of carbon tetrachloride, trichloroacetate, and dichloroacetate in the liver of male B6C3F1 mice. Toxicology 199:169–183.
- Carter JH, Carter HW, Deddens JA, Hurst BM, George MH, DeAngelo AB. 2003. A 2-year dose-response study of lesion sequences during hepatocellular carcinogenesis in the male B6C3F1 mouse given the drinking water chemical dichloroacetic acid. Environ Health Perspect 111:53–64.
- Chen Y, Cai J, Anders MW, Stevens JL, Jones DP. 2001. Role of mitochondrial dysfunction in S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteineinduced apoptosis. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 170:172–180.

- Chiu WA, Caldwell JC, Keshava N, Scott CS. 2006a. Key scientific issues in the health risk assessment of trichloroethylene. Environ Health Perspect 114:1445–1449.
- Chiu WA, Okino M, Lipscomb JC, Evans MV. 2006b. Issues in the pharmacokinetics of trichloroethylene and its metabolites. Environ Health Perspect 114:1450–1456.
- Cornett R, James MO, Henderson GN, Cheung J, Shroads AL, Stacpoole PW. 1999. Inhibition of glutathione S-transferase zeta and tyrosine metabolism by dichloroacetate: a potential unifying mechanism for its altered biotransformation and toxicity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 262(3):752–756.
- Cummings BS, Zangar RC, Novak RF, Lash LH. 2000. Cytotoxicity of trichloroethylene and S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine in primary cultures of rat renal proximal tubular and distal tubular cells. Toxicology 150:83–98.
- DeAngelo AB, George MH, House DE. 1999. Hepatocarcinogenicity in the male B6C3F1 mouse following a lifetime exposure to dichloroacetic acid in the drinking water: doseresponse determination and modes of action. J Toxicol Environ Health 58:485–507.
- Dow JL, Green T. 2000. Trichloroethylene induced vitamin B_{12} and folate deficiency leads to increased formic acid excretion in the rat. Toxicology 146(2–3):123–136.
- Evert M, Schneider-Stock R, Dombrowski F. 2005. Overexpression of fatty acid synthase in chemically and hormonally induced hepatocarcinogenesis of the rat. Lab Invest 85: 99-108.
- Fraga MF, Ballestar E, Villar-Garea A, Boix-Chornet M, Espada J, Schotta G, et al. 2005. Loss of acetylation at Lys16 and trimethylation at Lys20 of histone H4 is a common hallmark of human cancer. Nat Genet 37:391–400.
- Fraga MF, Herranz M, Espada J, Ballestar E, Paz MF, Ropero S, et al. 2004. A mouse skin multistage carcinogenesis model reflects the aberrant DNA methylation patterns of human tumors. Cancer Res 64:5527–5534.
- Furstenberger G, Senn HJ. 2002. Insulin-like growth factors and cancer. Lancet Oncol 3:298–302.
- Ge R, Tao L, Kramer PM, Cunningham ML, Pereira MA. 2002. Effect of peroxisome proliferators on the methylation and protein level of the c-myc protooncogene in B6C3F1 mice liver. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 16:41–47.
- Ge R, Yang S, Kramer PM, Tao L, Pereira MA. 2001. The effect of dichloroacetic acid and trichloroacetic acid on DNA methylation and cell proliferation in B6C3F1 mice. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 15:100–106.
- George MH, Moore T, Kilburn S, Olson GR, DeAngelo AB. 2000. Carcinogenicity of chloral hydrate administered in drinking water to the male F344/N rat and male B6C3F1 mouse. Toxicol Pathol 28:610–618.
- Green T, Dow J, Foster J. 2003. Increased formic acid excretion and the development of kidney toxicity in rats following chronic dosing with trichloroethanol, a major metabolite of trichloroethylene. Toxicology 191:109–119.
- Green T, Dow J, Ong CN, Ng V, Ong HY, Zhuang ZX, et al. 2004. Biological monitoring of kidney function among workers occupationally exposed to trichloroethylene. Occup Environ Med 61:312–317.
- Herman JG, Umar A, Polyak K, Graff JR, Ahuja N, Issa JP, et al. 1998. Incidence and functional consequences of *hMLH1* promoter hypermethylation in colorectal carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95(12):8870–6785.
- Ho YS, Ma HY, Chang HY, Wei BL, Lee CC, Ho SY, et al. 2003. Lipid peroxidation and cell death mechanisms in rats and human cells induced by chloral hydrate. Food Chem Toxicol 41:621–629.
- Jorquera R, Tanguay RM. 2001. Fumarylacetoacetate, the metabolite accumulating in hereditary tyrosinemia, activates the ERK pathway and induces mitotic abnormalities and genomic instability. Hum Mol Genet 10:1741–1752.
- Keshava N, Caldwell JC. 2006. Key issues in the role of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor agonism and cell signaling in trichloroethylene toxicity. Environ Health Perspect 114:1464–1470.
- Khandwala HM, McCutcheon IE, Flyvbjerg A, Friend KE. 2000. The effects of insulin-like growth factors on tumorogenesis and neoplastic growth. Endocr Rev 21(3)215–244.
- Kim SZ, Kupke KG, Ierardi-Curto L, Holme E, Greter J, Tanguay RM, et al. 2000. Hepatocellular carcinoma despite long-term survival in chronic tyrosinaemia I. J Inherit Metab Dis 23:791–804.
- Krause RJ, Lash LH, Elfarra AA. 2003. Human kidney flavin-containing monooxygenases and their potential roles in cysteine S-conjugate metabolism and nephrotoxicity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 304:185–191.
- Lash LH, Hueni SE, Putt DA. 2001. Apoptosis, necrosis, and cell proliferation induced by *S*-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine in

primary cultures of human proximal tubular cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 177(1):1–16.

- Lash LH, Parker JC, Scott CS. 2000. Mode of action of trichloroethylene for kidney tumorigenesis. Environ Health Perspect 108(suppl 2):225–240.
- Lash LH, Putt DA, Hueni SE, Horwitz BP. 2005. Molecular markers of trichloroethylene-induced toxicity in human kidney cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 206(2):157–168.
- Lash LH, Putt DA, Hueni SE, Krause RJ, Elfarra AA. 2003. Roles of necrosis, apoptosis, and mitochondrial dysfunction in S-(1,2dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine sulfoxide-induced cytotoxicity in primary cultures of human renal proximal tubular cells. J Pharmacol Exo Ther 305(3):1163–1172.
- LaVecchia C, Negri E, Franceschi S, D'Avanzo B, Boyle P. 1994. A case-control study of diabetes mellitus and cancer risk. Br J Cancer 70:950–953.
- Leakey JE, Seng JE, Latendresse JR, Hussain N, Allen LJ, Allaben WT. 2003. Dietary controlled carcinogenicity study of chloral hydrate in male B6C3F1 mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 193(2): 266–280.
- Lingohr MK, Bull RJ, Kato-Weinstein J, Thrall BD. 2002. Dichloroacetate stimulates glycogen accumulation in primary hepatocytes through an insulin-independent mechanism. Toxicol Sci 68(2):508–515.
- Lingohr MK, Thrall BD, Bull RJ. 2001. Effects of dichloroacetate (DCA) on serum insulin levels and insulin-controlled signaling proteins in livers of male B6C3F1 mice. Toxicol Sci 59(1):178–184.
- Miller RT, Cattley RC, Marsman DS, Lyght O, Popp JA. 1995. TGF alpha differentially expressed in liver foci induced by diethylnitrosamine initiation and peroxisome proliferator promotion. Carcinogenesis 16:77–82.
- Nehrbass D, Klimek F, Bannasch P. 1998. Overexpression of insulin receptor substrate-1 emerges early in hepatocarcinogenesis and elicits preneoplastic hepatic glycogenosis. Am J Pathol 152:341–345.
- Nehrbass D, Klimek F, Bannasch P, Mayer D. 1999. Insulin receptor substrate-1 is over-expressed in glycogenotic but not in amphophilic preneoplastic hepatic foci induced in rats by *N*-nitrosomorpholine and dehydroepiandrosterone. Cancer Lett 140:75–79.
- Nony PA, Schnellmann RG. 2001. Interactions between collagen IV and collagen-binding integrins in renal cell repair after sublethal injury. Mol Pharmacol 60:1226–1234.
- Nony PA, Schnellmann RG. 2003. Mechanisms of renal cell repair and regeneration after acute renal failure. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 304:905–912.
- Nowak G. 2003. Protein kinase C mediates repair of mitochondrial and transport functions after toxicant-induced injury in renal cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 306:157–165.
- Pereira MA. 1996. Carcinogenic activity of dichloroacetic acid and trichloroacetic acid in the liver of female B6C3F1 mice. Fundam Appl Toxicol 31(2):192–199.
- Pereira MA, Wang W, Kramer PM, Tao L. 2004. DNA hypomethylation induced by non-genotoxic carcinogens in mouse and rat colon. Cancer Lett 212(2):145–151.
- Rake JP, Visser G, Labrune P, Leonard JV, Ullrich K, Smit GP. 2002. Glycogen storage disease type I: diagnosis, management, clinical course and outcome. Results of the European Study on Glycogen Storage Disease Type I (ESGSD I). Eur J Pediatr 161(suppl 1):S20–S34.
- Rhee I, Bachman KE, Park BH, Jair KW, Yen RW, Schuebel KE, et al. 2002. DNMT1 and DNMT3b cooperate to silence genes in human cancer cells. Nature 416(6880):552–556.
- Scharf JG, Dombrowski F, Ramadori G. 2001. The IGF axis and hepatocarcinogenesis. Mol Pathol 54:138–144.
- Schultz IR, Merdink JL, Gonzalez-Leon A, Bull RJ. 2002. Dichloroacetate toxicokinetics and disruption of tyrosine catabolism in B6C3F1 mice: dose-response relationships and age as a modifying factor. Toxicology 173:229–247.
- Scott CS, Chiu WA. 2006. Trichloroethylene cancer epidemiology: a consideration of selected issues. Environ Health Perspect 114:1471–1478.
- Seng JE, Agrawal N, Horsley ET, Leakey TI, Scherer EM, Xia S, et al. 2003. Toxicokinetics of chloral hydrate in ad libitumfed, dietary-controlled, and calorically restricted male B6C3F1 mice following short-term exposure. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 193:281–292.
- Su Q, Bannasch P. 2003. Relevance of hepatic preneoplasia for human hepatocarcinogenesis. Toxicol Pathol 31:126–133.
- Takeda S, Kondo M, Kumada T, Koshikawa T, Ueda R, Nishio M, et al. 1996. Allelic-expression imbalance of the insulin-like growth factor 2 gene in hepatocellular carcinoma and underlying disease. Oncogene 2(7):1589–1592.

- Tanguay RM, Jorquera R, Poudrier J, St-Louis M. 1996. Tyrosine and its catabolites: from disease to cancer. Acta Biochem Pol 43:209–216.
- Tao L, Li Y, Kramer PM, Wang W, Pereira MA. 2004. Hypomethylation of DNA and the insulin-like growth factor-II gene in dichloroacetic and trichloroacetic acid-promoted mouse liver tumors. Toxicology 196(1–2):127–136.
- Tao L, Yang S, Xie M, Kramer PM, Pereira MA. 2000. Effect of trichloroethylene and its metabolites, dichloroacetic acid and trichloroacetic acid, on the methylation and expression of c-Jun and c-Myc protoncogenes in mouse liver: prevention by methionine. Toxicol Sci 54:399–407.
- Thai SF, Allen JW, DeAngelo AB, George MH, Fuscoe JC. 2001. Detection of early gene expression changes by differential display in the livers of mice exposed to dichloroacetic acid. Carcinogenesis 22:1317–1322.
- Thai SF, Allen JW, DeAngelo AB, George MH, Fuscoe JC. 2003. Altered gene expression in mouse livers after dichloroacetic acid exposure. Mutat Res 543:167–180.

Tzeng HF, Blackburn AC, Board PG, Anders MW. 2000.

Polymorphism- and species-dependent inactivation of glutathione transferase zeta by dichloroacetate. Chem Res Toxicol 13(4):231–236.

- U.S. EPA. 2001. Trichloroethylene Health Risk Assessment: Synthesis and Characterization. External Review Draft. Washington, DC:U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. Available: http:// oaspub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_ id=4580 [accessed 3 March 2006].
- Vaidya VS, Shankar K, Lock EA, Bucci TJ, Mehendale HM. 2003a. Renal injury and repair following S-1,2-dichlorovinyl-L-cysteine administration to mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 188(2):110–121.
- Vaidya VS, Shankar K, Lock EA, Bucci TJ, Mehendale HM. 2003b. Role of tissue repair in survival from S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-Lcysteine-induced acute renal tubular necrosis in the mouse. Toxicol Sci 74(1):215–227.
- Vaidya VS, Shankar K, Lock EA, Dixon D, Mehendale HM. 2004. Molecular mechanisms of renal tissue repair in survival from acute renal tubule necrosis: role of ERK1/2 pathway

[published erratum in Toxicol Pathol 31:168–169]. Toxicol Pathol 32(1):604–618.

- Van de Water B, Houtepen F, Huigsloot M, Tijdens IB. 2001. Suppression of chemically induced apoptosis but not necrosis of renal proximal tubular epithelial (LLC-PK1) cells by focal adhesion kinase (FAK). Role of FAK in maintaining focal adhesion organization after acute renal cell injury. J Biol Chem 276:36183–36193.
- Voss C, Zerban H, Bannasch P, Berger MR. 2005. Lifelong exposure to di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate induces tumors in liver and testes of Sprague-Dawley rats. Toxicology 206:359–371.
- Werner H, Le Roith D. 2000. New concepts in regulation and function of the insulin-like growth factors: implications for understanding normal growth and neoplasia. Cell Mol Life Sci 57:332–942.
- Wideroff L, Gridley G, Mellemkjaer L, Chow WH, Linet M, Keehn S, et al. 1997. Cancer incidence in a population-based cohort of patients hospitalized with diabetes mellitus in Denmark. J Natl Cancer Inst 89:1360–1365.