Wildland Fire Use - Team Selection Rationale

Requesting Unit:	Date:	
Fire Name:		
	Yes	No
A. Appropriate Management Response		
Wildland fire managed for resource benefits or prescribed fire		
Wildland fire managed for protection objectives with low – moderate complexity indicating long-duration actions		
B. Objectives	<u> </u>	
Resource benefits outweigh protection benefits Mixture of objectives		
C. Planning		_
Operational Plan (Wildland Fire Implementation Plan) is needed		
Long-term Risk Assessment is necessary D. Fire Management		
Maximum Manageable Area is established		
Project fire size is greater than 500 acres		
Values at risk are identified needing protection		
Actions are identified that will need to be taken in the next week to protect values at risk		
Command and General Staff Positions necessary		
Operations personnel will not generally exceed 100 for limited time periods		
Total		

A majority of "Yes" responses indicates that a FUMT is the logical management organization for this fire, provided the local unit cannot effectively manage with its own resources. A majority of "No" responses indicates that an Incident Management Team may be warranted. The fire complexity analysis provides guidance in the selection of the appropriate IMT.

- Provide the following information when ordering new or extending existing FUMTs:
 - What is the anticipated length of assignment?
 - Is the FUMT needed to write or update a WFIP Stage 3?
 - If so, is there a reason (such as lack of experience or incident complexity) why the local unit can not complete the WFIP?
 - Is the FUMT needed to manage complex holding operations (type 2 complexity)?
 - If so, what is the expected size of the operations group, and what types of resources will they be managing?
 - Are there management options other than utilizing a FUMT?
 - Could single resources (FUMA, LTAN, etc.) be utilized?
 - Could another type of IMT be utilized?
 - Can the incident be complexed with another incident?