Low-Dose Pharmacokinetics and Oral Bioavailability of Dichloroacetate in Naive and GST^ζ-Depleted Rats

Shakil A. Saghir* and Irvin R. Schultz

Battelle Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, USA

We studied the pharmacokinetics of dichloroacetate (DCA) in naive rats and rats depleted of glutathione S-transferase-zeta (GSTZ), at doses approaching human daily exposure levels. We also compared in vitro metabolism of DCA by rat and human liver cytosol. Jugular vein-cannulated male Fischer-344 rats received graded doses of DCA ranging from 0.05 to 20 mg/kg (intravenously or by gavage), and we collected time-course blood samples from the cannulas. GSTS activity was depleted by exposing rats to 0.2 g/L DCA in drinking water for 7 days before initiation of pharmacokinetic studies. Elimination of DCA by naive rats was so rapid that only 1-20 mg/kg intravenous and 5 and 20 mg/kg gavage doses provided plasma concentrations above the method detection limit of 6 ng/mL. GSTζ depletion slowed DCA elimination from plasma, allowing kinetic analysis of doses as low as 0.05 mg/kg. DCA elimination was strongly dose dependent in the naive rats, with total body clearance declining with increasing dose. In the GST ζ -depleted rats, the pharmacokinetics became linear at doses $\leq 1 \text{ mg/kg}$. Virtually all of the dose was eliminated through metabolic clearance; the rate of urinary elimination was < 1 mL/hr/kg. At higher oral doses (≥ 5 mg/kg in GSTζ-depleted and 20 mg/kg in naive rats), secondary peaks in the plasma concentration appeared long after the completion of the initial absorption phase. Oral bioavailability of DCA was 0-13% in naive and 14-75% in GST5depleted rats. Oral bioavailability of DCA in humans through consumption of drinking water was predicted to be very low and < 1%. The use of the GST ζ -depleted rat as a model for assessing the kinetics of DCA in humans is supported by the similarity in pharmacokinetic parameter estimates and rate of in vitro metabolism of DCA by human and GSTZ-depleted rat liver cytosol. Key words: animal study, dichloroacetic acid, drinking water disinfection by-products, halogenated acetic acids, human risk assessment, human in vitro metabolism, low-dose pharmacokinetics, oral bioavailability, rat in vitro metabolism, toxicology. Environ Health Perspect 110:757-763 (2002). [Online 13 June 2002]

http://ehpnet1.niehs.nih.gov/docs/2002/110p757-763saghir/abstract.html

Dichloroacetate (DCA) is a drinking water disinfectant by-product commonly identified in municipal water supplies. Concentrations of DCA in finished drinking water have been reported as high as 133 µg/L (1), although concentrations < 25 μ g/L are more common (2,3). DCA is a metabolite of certain chlorinated industrial solvents and of several pharmaceuticals (4). DCA has also been used for decades as an investigational drug to treat numerous cardiovascular and metabolic disorders in humans, for example, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and amelioration of lactic acid during liver transplantation (4-6). Recently, DCA has been used in clinical trials to treat congenital or acquired lactic acidosis in children (5,7). Human exposure to DCA ranges from ~1 to 4 µg/kg/day through consumption of drinking water and up to 50 mg/kg/day from the use of DCA as a therapeutic drug (4).

DCA is rapidly and completely absorbed from the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and is extensively metabolized both in rodents and in humans, with glyoxylate, oxalate, glycolate, and CO₂ being the major metabolites (8,9). Only a small percentage (< 3%) of the dose is excreted as the parent compound (8–10). DCA metabolism occurs primarily in the liver (11), mediated through a recently characterized class of glutathione S-transferase, GSTζ (GSTZ1-1) (12). DCA is also a mechanism-based inhibitor of GSTZ, and prolonged exposure to DCA in rodents causes both reduction in metabolism and depletion of immunoreactive GST^{\(\zeta\)} protein levels from the liver (9,13-15). Thus, in repeated dosings of DCA, the first dose is always cleared more rapidly than are subsequent doses because of the inactivation of GSTZ. This has prevented measurement of oral bioavailability of DCA using a crossover experimental design because the second dose is always eliminated more slowly regardless of the route of administration (16).

DCA has been associated with a number of toxic effects in animals exposed to high doses, including testicular abnormalities, birth defects, and liver cancer (17-20). Consumption of chlorinated water has been linked to increased risk for certain cancers in humans (21) without any specific correlation with DCA or other haloacetates. DCA presents an interesting dilemma for risk assessors because it has a history of safe use as a therapeutic, but it has created regulatory concern because of its carcinogenicity in animals. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has classified DCA as a likely human carcinogen based on the hepatocarcinogenic effects observed in rodents (22). Because of the prevalence of halogenated acetic acids in finished drinking water and their possible link to human cancer, the U.S. EPA has set standards permitting a combined total of 60 μ g/L of five common halogenated acetic acids (HAA5) in drinking water. The goal of the U.S. EPA is the virtual elimination of DCA from drinking water under stage I regulations (22).

Previous pharmacokinetic studies of DCA have focused on therapeutic (i.e., milligram per kilogram) doses. Also, the effects of GST^{\(\zeta\)} depletion on DCA disposition have not been studied in detail. Therefore, we designed this study to determine the pharmacokinetics and oral bioavailability of DCA in cohorts of naive and GSTζdepleted rats using a range of doses down to 50 µg/kg. We also compared the in vitro metabolism of DCA in human liver cytosol with cytosol obtained from naive and GSTZdepleted rats. We made this comparison to aid in determining the appropriateness of using the GSTζ-depleted rat model for understanding low-dose pharmacokinetics of haloacetates in humans.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals. We purchased DCA (> 99% pure as free acid) from Fluka Chemical Corp. (Milwaukee, WI). Reagent-grade methyl-*tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). We prepared diazomethane from

Address correspondence to I.R. Schultz, Battelle MSL, 1529 West Sequim Bay Road, Sequim, WA 98382 USA. Telephone: (360) 681-4566. Fax: (360) 681-3681. E-mail: ir_schultz@pnl.gov

*Current address: Dow Chemical Company, Toxicology and Environmental Research and Consulting, Midland, MI, USA.

We thank G. Muñiz, Y. Rivera, E. Robershotte, and N. Flintoff for their help in various parts of the study.

Research described in this article has been funded wholly or in part by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through STAR grant R82594. The article has not been subjected to the U.S. EPA's required peer and policy review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. EPA, and no official endorsement should be inferred.

This work was presented in part at the 40th annual meeting of the Society of Toxicology, San Francisco, CA, 25–29 March, 2001.

Received 17 October 2001; accepted 22 January 2002.

N-methyl-*N*-nitrosoguanidine following Aldrich Technical Information Bulletin AL 121 (23). All other chemicals were of the purest grade available and were obtained from standard sources. All dosing solutions were prepared in 0.9% (w/v) NaCl and pH adjusted to 7.0 with NaOH.

Animals and treatment. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Battelle, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory approved the animal care and experimental protocols, and animal care and treatment was conducted in accordance with their established guidelines.

For pharmacokinetic experiments, we purchased 8- to 10-week-old male Fischer 344 rats (185 ± 29 g body weight, mean ± SD; n = 41 naive rats) fitted with a jugular vein cannula from Taconic Laboratories (Germantown, NY). We also purchased 6 noncannulated rats from Charles River Laboratories (Raleigh, NC) for the preparation of liver cytosol. We housed jugular vein-cannulated rats individually, whereas we housed three noncannulated rats per cage. Each cage contained wood-chip bedding and stainless steel wire tops, and rats were housed under standard conditions (22°C, 40-60% relative humidity, 12-hr light/dark cycle). We allowed rats a minimum of 48 hr for recovery from transport before use in experiments.

Initially, rats were provided with deionized water and Purina rat chow (St Louis, MO) ad libitum. We used deionized water throughout the experiments to avoid unwanted exposure to haloacetates, which can be present in drinking water sources and may cause some inactivation of GSTζ. Animals were fasted overnight before the administration of DCA. We dosed naive animals intravenously (iv) or by gavage (4-6/dose group) with 1, 5, or 20 mg/kg DCA and housed them in polycarbonate metabolism cages. After the initial dosing experiments, we then provided the same individual rats with 0.2 g/L DCA in their drinking water for 7 days to deplete/inactivate GSTζ activity (henceforth GSTζ depleted). We also pretreated one group of three noncannulated rats for 7 days with 0.2 g/L DCA in their drinking water to deplete GSTζ. We then switched the GSTζ-depleted animals to non-DCA-fortified water overnight (16 hr) to allow residual DCA to be cleared from the body. This treatment protocol was previously shown to reduce GST ζ activity by > 90% in rat liver cytosol (24), and the experimental results presented in this study further confirm this finding. We then dosed GSTζ-depleted rats (4–6 per dose group) iv with 0.05, 0.25, 1, 5, or 20 mg/kg or gavaged them with 0.25, 1, 5, or 20 mg/kg DCA. We gavaged two additional GSTζ-depleted rats with 100 mg/kg DCA to

estimate oral bioavailability at this highest dose using earlier reported iv data of Gonzalez-Leon et al. (9). We administered dosing solutions at a volume of 1 mL/kg.

Sample collection and analysis. We collected serial blood samples (0.075-0.125 mL) from individual rats through the jugular vein cannula using a 1-mL syringe coated with sodium heparin. After each blood sample, we flushed the cannula with ~0.2 mL of heparinized saline (40 U/mL heparin). We obtained plasma by centrifugation, mixed it with 0.2 mL of ice-cold 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.2), and stored the plasma at -20°C until analysis. We determined actual plasma volumes gravimetrically using tared vials and assuming plasma density of 1.0. A typical blood sampling schedule after iv dosing was 0, 3, 6, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 min and variously thereafter up to 24 hr, depending on the dose and pretreatment. For orally dosed animals, we added an additional 1-min sample. Sampling continued until plasma concentrations were expected to have declined below the method detection limit (MDL) for DCA. We calculated the MDL as described by Glaser et al. (25) using nine replicate plasma samples. The MDL for DCA in naive and GSTZ-depleted rat plasma was 6 and 10 ng/mL, respectively. The MDL for plasma removed from GST ζ rats was slightly higher because of elevated background levels. We collected urine from each rat for 24 hr, mixed an aliquot with sodium acetate buffer, and stored the samples at -20°C until analysis.

For experiments measuring diurnal changes in plasma DCA levels, we provided four rats with 0.2 g/L DCA water and collected time-course blood samples up to 24 hr, after which we gave animals non-DCA water and collected the last blood samples 11 hr later. We allowed animals used in the kinetic experiments an additional 5 hr on non-DCA water (16 hr total depuration before administering DCA). This additional time was to ensure that residual DCA concentration in plasma approached background values without significant resynthesis of the GST ζ enzyme (26). We verified residual concentration after depuration by measuring DCA levels in plasma collected before dosing (time 0) and comparing them with levels in non-DCA treated rats.

We analyzed all plasma samples anticipated to contain > 100 ng DCA using a previously described method (27). Briefly, we added 0.025 mL (0.2 µg) internal standard (dibromoacetic acid) to samples (plasma and urine), acidified them by adding 0.025 mL 50% sulfuric acid (v/v), and extracted in various volumes (0.2–1.0 mL) of MTBE depending on the dose and sampling time. We extracted samples anticipated to contain < 100 ng DCA in 0.2 mL MTBE. We then

concentrated the extracted DCA by reducing the volume of MTBE to 0.01-0.02 mL under a gentle stream of N2. We converted the free acid to the methyl ester by adding 0.01-0.02 mL ethereal diazomethane (previously diluted 1:10 with MTBE). We then analyzed samples by gas chromatography with electron-capture detection (Hewlett-Packard 5890-Series II, Avondale, PA). The additional preconcentration step increased the MDL for DCA by 50- to 75-fold when compared to our previous method. We determined stability of DCA in urine by fortifying freshly collected urine from a naive rat with DCA (10 μ g); the fortified samples were either stored at -20°C or left at room temperature for 24 hr. We then analyzed DCA as described above and compared the results. Degradation of DCA in urine was negligible; > 90% could be recovered from urinary samples left at room temperature for 24 hr.

Kinetic analysis. The methods we used to analyze the concentration-time profiles of DCA were similar to those used by Schultz et al. (27). Briefly, we analyzed the individual plasma profiles after both iv and oral administration by noncompartmental methods to obtain estimates of total body clearance, apparent volume of distribution at steady state (Clb, Vss, for iv doses only), and the mean residence time (MRT) using the standard equations for these parameters that are incorporated into the WinNonlin program (Pharsight Corp., Cary, NC). WinNonlin calculates the area under the curve (AUC_{0 $\rightarrow\infty$}) by the linear trapezoidal method with the terminal portion of the curve extrapolated from time 0 to infinity by $C_{p,t}/\beta$, where $C_{p,t}$ is the concentration of DCA in plasma at the last observation and β is the slope of the terminal phase determined by linear regression. WinNonlin calculated the elimination half-life $(t_{1/2,\beta})$ as $0.693/\beta$. We calculated renal clearance as $Cl_r =$ $X_{u0\rightarrow 24}/AUC_{0\rightarrow 24}$, where $X_{u0\rightarrow 24}$ is the total amount of DCA recovered in the urine after 24 hr. We also report the observed peak plasma concentration of DCA (C_{max}) and the time of its occurrence (T_{max}) after oral dosing. We calculated the oral bioavailability from the ratios of the average values for $AUC_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ for the oral and iv doses, and calculated the mean absorption time (MAT) as the difference between the MRT_{oral} and MRT_{iv}.

Preparation of liver cytosol. We prepared rat liver cytosol from male F-344 rats (8–10 weeks old; n = 3 naive and 3 GST ζ depleted) by differential centrifugation as described by Okita and Okita (28). We purchased two human liver sections and a pooled S-9 fraction obtained from 10 donors from the International Institute for the Advancement of Medicine (Exton, PA). The human liver section designated Human 1 was from a

69-year-old white male (body weight 90 kg; height, 1.78 m) who died of cardiopulmonary arrest; the Human 2 liver section was from a 68-year-old white female (body weight 73 kg; height 1.63 m) who died of a brain stem infarction. The pooled S9 designated Human Pooled was prepared from liver tissue obtained from 10 white male donors of 8, 40, 40, 48, 51, 52, 53, 58, 63, and 64 years of age, who died of cardiovascular disease, brain hemorrhage, anoxia, anoxia, head injury, anoxia, stroke, head injury, anoxia, and head injury, respectively. We prepared cytosol from the liver sections as described for rats by Okita and Okita (28) and from pooled human S-9 by centrifugation at 100,000 \times g for 1 hr. Liver sections had been perfused with University of Wisconsin medium and contained viable hepatocytes. We stored aliquots of cytosol at -70°C until use, and determined protein concentrations as described by Bradford (29).

DCA depletion in cytosol and determination of intrinsic metabolic clearance. We measured the depletion of added DCA using rat and human hepatic cytosol. Incubation mixtures consisted of 1-4 mg/mL protein, 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and 1.4 mM glutathione in a final incubation volume of 3 mL. We preincubated solutions for 2 min at 37°C in a shaking water bath and started the reaction by adding 0.025 mg DCA prepared in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). We removed a 0.15-mL aliquot from each incubate at various times (0.2-60 min) and added it to a mixture of 0.25 mL 0.1 M sodium acetate and 0.05 mL of 50% H₂SO₄ to stop the reactions. We added internal standard to each aliquot and extracted and analyzed DCA as described above. We plotted the loss of DCA against time and calculated AUC as described above. We calculated the intrinsic metabolic clearance (Cl_{int}) by dividing the initial amounts of DCA (at time 0.2 min) in the incubation medium with that of the AUC values (30).

We scaled up the Cl_{int} to a whole animal/ human by calculating the amount of cytosolic protein per gram of liver for rat and humans. For rats, we used measured liver and body weights. For humans, we assumed liver to be 2.5% of the body weight as reported by Davies and Morris (*31*). We calculated the hepatic clearance as

$$Cl_{b} = \frac{Q_{b} \times f_{u} \times Cl_{int}}{Q_{b} + (f_{u} \times Cl_{int})},$$
[1]

where Q_h is the liver blood flow and f_u is the unbound fraction of DCA in plasma. We assumed the total cardiac output for F-344 rats was 17.38 L/hr/kg [from Hachamovitch et al. (32)]. We calculated the Q_h to be 3.13 L/hr/kg by adjusting for the percentage blood flow (18%) to the liver (33). For humans, we assumed total cardiac output to be 312 L/hr and liver blood flow to be 22% of this value [from Astrand (34) and Williams and Leggett (35), respectively]. The calculated Q_b for a 70 kg human was 1.01 L/hr/kg. The unbound fraction for DCA in rat plasma was 0.94 ± 0.07 (27) and we assumed it to be the same for humans.

Statistics. We assessed significant differences between pharmacokinetic parameter estimates from the different treatment groups by Student's *t*-test. We also performed analysis of variance on the individual Cl_b values to determine if they were significantly different. We considered a *p*-value of ≤ 0.05 to be statistically significant.

Results

Plasma DCA levels while receiving DCA treatment. To study the pharmacokinetics of DCA in rats with reduced metabolism, we exposed animals to DCA (0.2 g/L) in drinking water for 7 days to effectively deplete the GSTζ enzyme. Periodically, we monitored consumption of water and plasma DCA levels to verify concentrations during the exposure and residual levels after 16 hr of washout. The average consumption of water by rats was 80 mL/kg/day, corresponding to a daily DCA dose of around 16 mg/kg. Figure 1 shows the diurnal plasma levels of DCA in rats provided with 0.2 g/L DCAfortified water. DCA plasma levels were much higher during the dark cycle. We observed peak plasma levels at 500 hr (1 hr before lights on), which declined thereafter. The levels of DCA in plasma started to climb again 2 hr before the lights were turned off, corresponding to the increased activity (drinking/eating). We found the minimum plasma concentration of DCA at 1600 hr. During an 11-hr depuration period after removal of DCA-fortified drinking water, plasma levels of DCA rapidly dropped to 10 ± 2 ng/mL (Figure 1). We provided animals used in kinetic experiments an additional 5 hr of washout (16 hr total depuration) that allowed DCA plasma levels to fall below 10 ng/mL.

Intravenous administration. Figure 2 shows the mean (± SE) plasma concentration-time profiles. The decline of DCA from plasma of naive rats was so rapid that the lowest dose that could be used for kinetic analysis was 1 mg/kg, which had a plasma elimination half-life of approximately 4 min (Figure 2A, Table 1). In contrast, elimination of DCA from the plasma of GSTZ-depleted rats was much slower, allowing kinetic analysis of doses as low as 0.05 mg/kg (Figure 2B inset). Visual inspection of the plasma concentration-time profiles and the pharmacokinetic parameters presented in Table 1 for the naive rats indicate that DCA declined from plasma in a monoexponential manner. Decline of DCA from plasma of the GSTZ-depleted rats became biexponential at the higher doses (Figure 2B).

Table 1 summarizes the kinetic analysis of DCA for the naive and GST ζ -depleted



Figure 1. Diurnal plasma concentration profile of DCA in rats administered 0.2 g/L DCA in drinking water and its elimination upon removal of the DCA-fortified water. Each point indicates mean ± SE (*n* = 4).

rats. In general, the kinetics of DCA were similar to previous descriptions (9,27): rapid elimination by the naive rats with GST ζ depletion causing an increase in $\textit{t}_{1/2,\beta}$ and MRT and a decrease in the total body clearance (Cl_b) . DCA was essentially eliminated through metabolism by both naive and GSTZ-depleted rats. The renal clearance of DCA accounted for < 1% of the total body clearance at most doses (Table 1). The steady-state volume of distribution (V_{ss}) did not appear to be affected by GST^{\scale} depletion or with dose, ranging nonsystematically between 223 and 618 mL/kg (Table 1). The main pharmacokinetic parameter that was affected by treatment and dose was Clb. In naive rats, we observed nonlinear kinetics throughout the dosing regimen (Table 1). In GSTZ-depleted rats, however, the pharmacokinetics became linear at doses < 1 mg/kg; Cl_b was not different ($p \ge 0.4$) at these lower doses (Table 1).

Oral administration. The average plasma concentration-time profiles of DCA after gavage dosing are presented in Figure 3 and a summary of the pharmacokinetic parameters is presented in Table 2. DCA was rapidly absorbed after oral dosing and detected in plasma within 1 min after dosing (Figure 3). In naive rats, the greater capacity for metabolism limited the doses that could be used. Pilot experiments using a dose of 1 mg/kg failed to detect plasma concentrations above the MDL (6 ng/mL) because DCA was apparently completely metabolized before reaching the general circulation (Table 2). The decline in the plasma concentration of DCA after the initial peak appeared to be monoexponential at lower doses (5 mg/kg in the naive and \leq 1 mg/kg in the GSTZ-depleted rats; Figure 3). At higher doses (20 mg/kg in naive rats and ≥ 5 mg/kg in GSTζ-depleted rats), DCA displayed complex plasma concentration-time profiles, with secondary plasma peaks appearing between 4-8 hr after dosing, long after completion of the initial absorption phase (Figure 3). This observation is consistent with a previous report of the absorption of DCA in naive rats gavaged with a 100 mg/kg dose (27). In GST ζ -depleted rats, the secondary plasma peak was less apparent at the highest gavaged dose of 20 mg/kg (Figure 3B). This observation implies that a complex dose-response relationship exists in GST ζ -depleted rats between the oral dose and appearance of the secondary plasma peaks, with both very low and high doses displaying a less pronounced secondary peak. This relationship may also apply to naive rats, although the high dose needed to obscure the secondary peak is apparently > 100 mg/kg.

The complex plasma concentration-time profile at the higher doses contributed to the

disproportionate increase in the AUC_{0→∞} between the doses (Table 2). Maximum plasma concentrations were reached within 5–10 min in naive and 8–45 min in GSTζ-depleted rats (Table 2, Figure 3). In the GSTζ-depleted rats, peak plasma concentrations (C_{max}) were 4- to 6-fold higher than in the naive rats. The higher C_{max} and longer MRT in GSTζ-depleted rats was also reflected by a 22- to 56-fold increase in the AUC_{0→∞} (Table 2). The MAT in both naive and GSTζ-depleted rats was increased in a dose dependent manner.

The oral bioavailability of DCA was significantly reduced in naive rats. At doses of 5 and 20 mg/kg, bioavailability was only 10% and 13%, respectively. At a higher dose of 100 mg/kg, the oral bioavailability reached 81% (Table 2). Bioavailability at 1 mg/kg could not be calculated because of the lack of detectable concentrations of DCA in plasma. In GST ζ -depleted rats, the oral bioavailability was 14%, 29%, 31%, and 75% at the 0.25, 1, 5, and 20 mg/kg doses, respectively, and became 100% at 100 mg/kg (Table 2).

Correlation between dose and kinetic parameters. Figure 4 presents the correlation between dose and percent oral bioavailability. The relationship between dose and oral bioavailability of DCA for the GST ζ depleted rats was best defined by saturable mechanism using a hyperbolic distribution with a correlation coefficient (r^2) of 0.90 (Figure 4). The relationship between dose and oral bioavailability for the naive rats was less clear, and Figure 4 shows only the observed data.

Cytosolic metabolism of DCA. The results of *in vitro* experiments using liver cytosol



Figure 2. The plasma concentration-time profiles of DCA after iv administration of a series of doses of 1–20 mg/kg to naive (A) and 0.05–20 mg/kg to GST ζ -depleted rats (B). Data shown are mean \pm SE (n = 4-6). Error bars that fit within the data point are not shown. To avoid crowding of data points on the *y*-axis, the line was slightly shifted to the left.

 $\label{eq:table_$

Dose mg/kg)	No.	AUC _{0→∞} (µg/mL/hr)	V _{ss} (mL/kg)	Cl _b (mL/hr/kg) ^a	MRT (hr)	t _{1/2} (hr)
Vaive rats						
1	5	0.15 ± 0.01	508 ± 68.6	6,554 ± 356	0.07 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.001
5	6	1.24 ± 0.05	415 ± 47.2	5,265 ± 636	0.08 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.003
20	5	13.8 ± 0.85	223 ± 111.0	1,571 ± 97	0.14 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01
100 ^{b,c}	5	433 ± 233	618 ± 319.0	267 ± 105	2.10 ± 0.86	2.4 ± 0.15
GSTζ-depleted rats						
0.05	4	0.04 ± 0.01	277 ± 33.4	1,326 ± 342 ^d	0.23 ± 0.05	0.19 ± 0.05
0.25	6	0.15 ± 0.03	454 ± 57.3	1,816 ± 288 ^d	0.18 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.02
1	5	0.61 ± 0.02	261 ± 13.6	1,640 ± 57 ^d	0.19 ± 0.04	0.20 ± 0.05
5	4	8.21 ± 0.50	392 ± 31.4	614 ± 39	0.64 ± 0.04	0.50 ± 0.03
20	4	136.6 ± 3.4	513 ± 18.5	168 ± 22	3.45 ± 0.09	1.81 ± 0.09
100 ^c	6	2,410 ± 406	582 ± 146	43 ± 8	NR	10.8 ± 2.0

NR, not reported.

 ${}^{a}Cl_{renal}$ was < 0.7 mL/hr with the exception of 100 mg/kg (2.9 ± 0.5 mL/hr for naive and 8.9 ± 3.3 mL/hr for GST ζ -depleted) doses. ^bData from Schultz et al. (27). ^cData from Gonzalez-Leon et al. (9). ^dNot significantly different from each other: $p \ge 0.4$.

were consistent with in vivo kinetic analysis; that is, GST ζ depletion significantly decreases DCA metabolism/elimination. The rate of DCA metabolism by naive rat cytosol was significantly faster (p < 0.01) than that in the GSTζ-depleted rats (Figure 5). The intrinsic metabolic clearance (Cl_{int}) of DCA by the human liver cytosol closely resembled that in GSTZ-depleted rats (Figure 5) and was not statistically different (p > 0.3). Table 3 presents the predicted hepatic clearance (Cl_{h}) and extraction efficiency (E_{ss}) of DCA by rats and humans. We derived these predicted values using in vitro Clint of DCA by the rat and human liver cytosol. The Cl_h and E_{ss} of DCA by naive rats was 3-fold higher than that by the GST ζ -depleted rats. We predict humans to have somewhat lower Cl_b and similar E_{ss} of DCA compared with GSTζ-depleted rats (Table 3).

Discussion

The results of this study demonstrate that elimination of DCA in naive rats exhibits nonlinear behavior at all doses that allowed pharmacokinetic analysis. The Cl_b continued to increase at lower iv doses and exceeded 6.5 L/hr/kg at the 1 mg/kg dose. The cardiac output in F-344 rats of body size comparable to those used in this study has been reported to be 17.38 L/hr/kg (32). Therefore, the clearance of DCA in naive rats at low doses is at least 38% of cardiac output, which would exceed liver blood flow and implies extensive extrahepatic elimination of DCA occurs. In contrast, the pharmacokinetics of DCA in GSTζ-depleted rats becomes linear at doses \leq 1 mg/kg (Table 1); the Cl_b ranged between 1.33 and 1.82 L/hr/kg after iv doses of 0.05-1 mg/kg. Assuming liver blood flow is 3.13L/hr/kg (i.e., fraction of cardiac output to the liver is 0.18) (*33*) and liver metabolism accounts for the bulk of DCA elimination (in GSTζ-depleted rats), DCA clearance appears to correspond to 42–58% of liver blood flow. This finding indicates that DCA is moderately extracted by liver under linear kinetics by GSTζ-depleted rats. At higher doses, metabolism becomes saturated, and liver extraction decreases.

The complex plasma concentration-time profiles of DCA observed after some oral doses (Figure 3) agreed with those in an earlier report (27). The extent of the secondary peaks appears to be reduced at lower doses and was absent after doses that only produced detectable levels of DCA until 4 hr after dosing (5 and 20 mg/kg in this study).



Figure 3. The plasma concentration-time profiles of DCA after oral administration of 5 and 20 mg/kg to naive rats (A) and a series of doses ranging from 0.25 to 20 mg/kg to GST ζ -depleted rats (B). Data shown are mean ± SE (n = 4-6). Error bars that fit within the data point are not shown. To avoid crowding of data points on the y-axis, the line was slightly shifted to the left.

Table 2. Pharmacokinetic parameters of DCA after oral administration of a range of doses in naive and GST ζ -depleted adult male F-344 rats.^{*a*}

Dose (mg/kg)	No.	AUC _{0→∞} (µg/mL/hr)	T _{max} (hr)	C _{max} (µg)	MRT (hr)	MAT (hr)	Bioavailability (%)
Naive rats							
1	3	BD	BD	BD	0	0	0
5	6	0.12 ± 0.01	0.09 ± 0.02	0.36 ± 0.07	0.28 ± 0.04	0.20	9.68
20	6	1.82 ± 0.10	0.17 ± 0.01	2.91 ± 0.24	1.70 ± 0.49	1.56	13.20
50 ^b	4	11.7 ± 1.68	0.27 ± 0.04	9.29 ± 1.87	NR	NR	ND
100 ^c	5	218 ± 74.5	8.0	27.4	6.70 ± 1.44	4.5	80.93
GSTζ-depleted rats							
0.25	6	0.02 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.03	0.19	14.0
1	4	0.18 ± 0.03	0.12 ± 0.02	0.26 ± 0.01	1.05 ± 0.07	0.86	29.4
5	4	2.58 ± 1.05	0.25 ± 0.03	1.66 ± 0.31	1.80 ± 0.19	1.16	31.4
20	4	103 ± 14.0	0.75 ± 0.25	17.0 ± 1.90	4.63 ± 0.69	1.18	75.0
100	2	2,730	3.32	151	12.5	ND	100

Abbreviations: BD, below detection (< MDL); MAT, mean, absorption, time; ND, not determined; NR, not reported. ^aCl_{renal} was < 0.8 mL/hr with the exception of 100 mg/kg dose, where it was 2.32 mL/hr. Cl_{renal} for 50 mg/kg has not been reported by the James et al. (10). ^bData from James et al. (10). ^cData from Schultz et al. (27).



Figure 4. Correlation of dose and oral bioavailability of DCA for the naive and GST ζ -depleted rats. $Y = 106.8x \div (8.32 + x)$. $r^2 = 0.90$. To avoid crowding of data points on the *y*-axis, the line was slightly shifted to the left.



Figure 5. Intrinsic metabolic clearance of DCA calculated from the progress metabolism experiment using liver cytosol from naive and GST ζ -depleted rats (n = 3). Human hepatic cytosol was from two donors or pooled from 10 individuals. For rats, each bar represents the mean \pm SE (n = 3); for humans, each bar represents the mean \pm SE of three experiments. Error bars that fit within the data point are not shown. *p < 0.01.

Also, the timing of the secondary peak does not appear to be affected by GST^{\(\zeta\)} depletion. Appearance of the secondary peak is not associated with enterohepatic circulation because DCA does not undergo extensive biliary secretion (27). Another interesting observation from our previous haloacetate study was that only di-substituted chlorohaloacetates and bromohaloacetates exhibit secondary peaks in the plasma profiles, whereas the tri-substituted haloacetates do not (27). Thus, dihaloacetates such as DCA possess specific structural characteristics that promote discontinuous absorption. Proposed mechanisms to explain discontinuous absorption include (among others) variable gastric emptying and GI region-dependent absorption (36,37). In the present and previous haloacetate study (27), oral dosing experiments were performed on overnight-fasted rats, which should preclude variable gastric emptying as a contributing factor. We hypothesize that DCA absorption is region dependent, as characterized by rapid absorption from the stomach and perhaps the upper regions of the small intestine (i.e., duodenum and/or jejunum) and reduced absorption in the ileum region followed by increased absorption from the colorectal region, which then increases absorption in the lower GI tract, causing the secondary or multiple plasma maxima. The specific mechanism that would reduce absorption in the upper GI tract is unknown at present but warrants further investigation because of the potential importance in controlling DCA absorption and bioavailability.

Comparison of clinical pharmacokinetic data for DCA with the results of the present study indicates that DCA elimination in GSTζ-depleted rats is similar to humans. Table 4 shows the profile of kinetic parameters (V_d or V_{ss} , Cl_b , and $t_{1/2,\beta}$) obtained from the literature for a range of iv doses in human subjects for comparison with the results obtained in rats (Table 1). In general, the pharmacokinetic behavior of DCA in humans shows a trend similar to that observed in rats, with the Cl_{k} decreasing with increasing dose over a dose range of 10-100 mg/kg (Table 4). Also consistent with findings in F-344 rats was the lack of a dose effect on the apparent volume of distribution (V_d) . Importantly, the Cl_b determined from GSTζ-depleted rats after iv dosing closely resembles values reported for humans (Table 4). This observation suggests that the capacity to eliminate DCA is similar in humans and GSTζ-depleted rats. Based on the threshold dose for linear kinetics observed in GSTZ-depleted rats (Tables 1 and 4), the pharmacokinetics of DCA in humans are predicted to become linear at lower doses (10- to 15-fold lower) than previously tested in clinical studies. Prediction of DCA pharmacokinetics in humans based on the results obtained from the GSTζdepleted rat model is further validated by the similar in vitro intrinsic metabolic clearance of DCA by human and GSTζ-depleted rat liver cytosol (Figure 5).

To accurately assess the risk from drinking water exposure to DCA, we must have some knowledge of the bioavailability of DCA. However, it is currently impossible to

 Table 3. Comparison of *in vitro* metabolism and the predicted whole-body clearance of DCA for rats and humans.

	AUC (nmol/mL/hr)	Cl _{int} (mL/hr/mg protein)	Cl _h (mL/hr/kg)	E _{ss}
Naive rat	181 ± 40	3.86 ± 0.47	2,204	0.71
GSTζ-depleted rat	1,047 ± 265	0.25 ± 0.04	735	0.24
Human 1	783 ± 317	0.40 ± 0.21	428	0.42
Human 2	972 ± 353	0.23 ± 0.09	303	0.30
Human pooled ^a	2,234 ± 30	0.52 ± 0.01	226	0.22

^aCytosol was prepared from 10 white males; see "Materials and Methods" for details.

Table 4. Selected pharmacokinetic parameters of DCA in humans and GSTζ-depleted rats after iv injection of a range of doses.

Dose (mg/kg)	V _d or V _{ss} (mL/kg)	Cl _b (mL/hr/kg)	<i>t</i> _{1/2} (hr)	
Humans				
100 <i>ª</i>	288		_	
80 ^b	618	101	4.65	
50 ^c	284	88	2.65	
20 ^{<i>d</i>}	190	273	0.51	
15 ^e	_	330	0.27	
10 ^{<i>d</i>}	337	679	0.34	
GSTζ-depleted rats (this study)				
5–20	392-513	168-614	0.50-1.81	

^aData from Fox et al. (41). ^bData from Shangraw and Fisher (42). Parameters determined after two 40 mg/kg infusions over 4 hr. ^cData from Curry et al. (16), assuming a mean subject weight of 70 kg. ^dData from Lukas et al. (43). ^cData from Wells et al. (44). measure oral bioavailability directly at concentrations encountered in drinking water because the resultant plasma concentrations are below analytical detection limits. As an alternative, oral bioavailability can be estimated from the relationship shown in Figure 4 for GST ζ -depleted rats. By extrapolating down to a dose of 4 µg/kg, we estimate the oral bioavailability of DCA to be approximately 0.05%. It appears from the results of this study that the systemic bioavailability of DCA at low exposure rates is quite low and perhaps is minimal to humans consuming drinking water at reported levels of DCA.

In summary, the bioavailability of DCA to humans at dose rates received from drinking water is predicted to approach zero, and further regulation of DCA in drinking water may not be necessary. Additional factors that support this conclusion include the following: *a*) oral ingestion is the primary exposure route because nonvolatile DCA is not absorbed through skin (38); and b) tumorigenicity data in rodents indicate that only relatively high drinking water doses (≥ 40 mg/kg/day) cause liver cancer (18). A no observed effects level (NOEL) for DCA has been reported to be 3-8 mg/kg/day in rats (18). In contrast, human daily exposure rates to DCA from drinking water are more than 1,000 times lower than this value (39).

The use of high doses in rodent bioassays is frequently justified by the more rapid pharmacokinetic behavior that is observed in rodents compared to humans. For many potential human toxicants, blood levels in rodents and humans may be similar despite 100-fold differences in exposure rate (40). However, in the case of DCA, exposure of rodents to high levels depletes GSTZ activity, causing the pharmacokinetics in rats to become comparable to humans (Tables 3 and 4). Future regulatory action toward DCA needs to consider the low or negligible bioavailability of DCA from drinking water combined with the high blood levels of DCA that are produced during rodent bioassays (e.g., Figure 1).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Uden PC, Miller JW. Chlorinated acids and chloral in drinking water. J Am Water Works Assoc 75:524–527 (1983).
- 2. Arora H, LeChevallier MW, Dixon KL. DBP occurrence survey. J Am Water Works Assoc 89:60–68 (1997).
- LeBel GL, Benoit FM, Williams DT. A one-year survey of halogenated disinfection by-products in the distribution system of treatment plants using three different disinfection processes. Chemosphere 34:2301–2317 (1997).
- Stacpoole PW, Henderson GN, Yan Z, James MO. Clinical pharmacology and toxicology of dichloroacetate. Environ Health Perspect 106(suppl 4):989–994 (1998).
- Stacpoole PW, Barnes CL, Hurbanis MD, Cannon SL, Kerr DS. Treatment of congenital lactic acidosis with dichloroacetate. Arch Dis Child 77:535–541 (1997).
- 6. Shangraw RE, Winter R, Hromco J, Robinson ST, Gallaher EJ. Amelioration of lactic acidosis with

dichloroacetate during liver transplantation in humans. Anesthesiology 81:1127–1138 (1994).

- Krishna S, Agbenyega T, Angus BJ, Bedu-Addo G, Ofori-Amanfo G, Henderson GN, Szwandt IS, O'Brien R, Stacpoole PW. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of dichloroacetate in children with lactic acidosis due to severe malaria. Q J Med 88:341–349 (1995).
- Lin EL, Mattox JK, Daniel FB. Tissue distribution, excretion and urinary metabolites of dichloroacetic acid in the male Fisher 344 rat. J Toxicol Environ Health 38:19–32 (1993).
- Gonzalez-Leon A, Schultz IR, Xu G, Bull RJ. Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of dichloroacetate in the F344 rat after prior administration in drinking water. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 146:189–195 (1997).
- James MÖ, Cornet R, Yan Z, Henderson GN, Stacpoole PW. Glutathione-dependent conversion to glyoxylate, a major pathway of dichloroacetate biotransformation in hepatic cytosol from humans and rats, is reduced in dichloroacetate-treated rats. Drug Metab Dispos 25:1223–1227 (1998).
- Lipscomb JC, Mahle DA, Brashear WT, Barton HA. Dichloroacetic acid: metabolism in cytosol. Drug Metab Dispos 23:1202–1205 (1995).
- Tong Z, Board PG, Anders MW. Glutathione transferase zeta catalyzes the oxygenation of the carcinogen dichloroacetic acid to glyoxylic acid. Biochem J 331:371–374 (1998).
- Anderson WB, Board PG, Gargano B, Anders MW. Inactivation of glutathione transferase zeta by dichloroacetic acid and other fluorine-lacking alphahaloalkanoic acids. Chem Res Toxicol 12:1144–1149 (1999).
- Tzeng HF, Blackburn AC, Board PG, Anders MW. Polymorphism- and species-dependent inactivation of glutathione transferase zeta by dichloroacetate. Chem Res Toxicol 13:231–236 (2000).
- Cornett R, James MO, Henderson GN, Cheung J, Shroads AL, Stacpoole PW. Inhibition of glutathione Stransferase zeta and tyrosine metabolism by dichloroacetate: a potential unifying mechanism for its altered biotransformation and toxicity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 262:752–756 (1999).
- Curry SH, Lorenz A, Chu PI, Limacher M, Stacpoole PW. Disposition and pharmacodynamics of dichloroacetate (DCA) and oxalate following oral DCA doses. Biopharm Drug Dispos 12:375–390 (1991).
- NTP. Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Chlorinated Water (CAS Nos. 7782-50-5 and 7681-52-9) and Chloraminated Water (CAS No. 10599-90-3) (Deionized and Charcoal-Filtered) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F₁ Mice (Drinking Water Studies). Technical Report 392. Research Triangle Park, NC:National Toxicology Program, 1992.

- DeAngelo AB, Daniel FB, Most BM, Olson GR. The carcinogenicity of dichloroacetic acid in the male F344 rat. Toxicology 114:207–221 (1996).
- Smith MK, Randall JL, Read EJ, Stober JA. Developmental toxicity of dichloroacetate in the rat. Teratology 46:217–223 (1992).
- Toth GP, Kelty KC, George EL, Read EJ, Smith MK. Adverse male reproductive effects following subchronic exposure of rats to sodium dichloroacetate. Fundam Appl Toxicol 19:57–63 (1992).
- Bull RJ, Birnbaum LS, Cantor KP, Rose JB, Butterworth BE, Pegram R, Tuomisto J. Water chlorination: essential process or cancer hazard? Fundam Appl Toxicol 28:155–166 (1995).
- U.S. EPA. National primary drinking water regulations: disinfectants and disinfection byproducts; final rule. 40 CFR. Fed Reg 63(241):69390–69476 (1998).
- Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. Technical Information Bulletin No. AL-121. Milwaukee, WI:Aldrich Technical Services, 1982.
- Schultz IR, Sylvester S. Stereospecific toxicokinetics of bromochloro- and chlorofluoroacetate: effect of GST-zeta depletion. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 175:104–113 (2001).
- Glaser JA, Foerst DL, McKee GD, Quave SA, Budde WL. Trace analyses for wastewaters. Environ Sci Technol 15:1426–1435 (1981).
- Schultz IR, Merdink JL, Gonzalez-Leon A, Bull RJ. Dichloroacetate toxicokinetics and disruption of tyrosine catabolism in B6C3F1 mice: dose-response relationships and age as a modifying factor. Toxicology 173:229–247 (2002).
- Schultz IR, Merdink JL, Gonzalez-Leon A, Bull RJ. Comparative toxicokinetics of chlorinated and brominated haloacetates in F344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 158:103–114 (1999).
- Okita RT, Okita JR. Characterization of a cytochrome P450 from di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate-treated rats with hydroxylates fatty acids. Arch Biochem Biophys 294:475–481 (1992).
- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248–254 (1976).
- Carlile DJ, Stevens AJ, Ashforth EI, Waghela D, Houston JB. In vivo clearance of ethoxycoumarin and its prediction from in vitro systems. Use of drug depletion and metabolite formation methods in hepatic microsomes and isolated hepatocytes. Drug Metab Dispos 26:216–221 (1998).
- Davies B, Morris T. Physiological parameters in laboratory animals and humans. Pharm Res 10:1093–1095 (1993).

- Hachamovitch R, Wicker P, Capasso JM, Anversa P. Alterations of coronary blood flow and reserve with aging in Fischer 344 rats. Am J Physiol 256:H66–H73 (1989).
- Brown RP, Delp MD, Lindstedt SL, Rhomberg LR, Beliles RP. Physiological parameter values for physiologically based pharmacokinetic models. Toxicol Ind Health 13:407–484 (1997).
- Astrand I. Effect of physical exercise on uptake, distribution, and elimination of vapors in man. In: Modeling of Inhalation Exposure to Vapors: Uptake, Distribution, and Elimination, Vol 2 (Fiserova-Bergerova V, ed). Boca Raton, FL:CRC Press, 1983;107–130.
- Williams LR, Leggett RW. Reference values for resting blood flow to organs of man. Clin Phys Physiol Meas 10:187–217 (1989).
- Oberle RL, Amidon GL. The influence of variable gastric emptying and intestinal transit rates on the plasma level curve of cimetidine; an explanation for the double peak phenomenon. J Pharmacokinet Biopharm 15:529–544 (1987).
- Hui YF, Kolars J, Hu Z, Fleisher D. Intestinal clearance of H2-antagonists. Biochem Pharmacol 48:229–231 (1994).
- Kim H, Weisel CP. Dermal absorption of dichloro- and trichloroacetic acids from chlorinated water. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 8:555–575 (1998).
- Weisel CP, Kim H, Haltmeier P, Klotz JB. Exposure estimates to disinfection by-products of chlorinated drinking water. Environ Health Perspect 107:103–110 (1999).
- Bucher JR. Doses in rodent cancer studies: sorting fact from fiction. Drug Metab Rev 32:153–163 (2000).
- Fox, AW, Sullivan BW, Buffini JD, Neichin ML, Nicora R, Hoehler FK, O'Rourke R, Stoltz RR. Reduction of serum lactate by sodium dichloroacetate, and human pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships. J Pharmacol Exp Therap 279:686–693 (1996).
- Shangraw RE, Fisher DM. Pharmacokinetics of dichloroacetate in patients undergoing liver transplantation. Anesthesiology 84:851–858 (1996).
- Lukas G, Vyas KH, Brindle SD, Le Sher AR, Wagner WE Jr. Biological disposition of sodium dichloroacetate in animals and humans after intravenous administration. J Pharm Sci 69:419–421 (1980).
- Wells PG, Moore GW, Rabin D, Wilkinson GR, Oates JA, Stacpoole PW. Metabolic effects and pharmacokinetics of intravenously administered dichloroacetate in humans. Diabetologia 19:109–113 (1980).