


**HENRY HAGG LAKE  
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN  
Finding of No Significant Impact  
and  
Final Environmental Assessment**

**U.S. Bureau of Reclamation  
Pacific Northwest Region  
Lower Columbia Area Office**



***May 2004***





# United States Department of the Interior

## BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Pacific Northwest Region

Lower Columbia Area Office

825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1110

Portland, Oregon 97232-2135

IN REPLY REFER TO:

LCA-1000

LND-8.00

MAY 14 2004

**Subject: Release of the Final Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Henry Hagg Lake Resource Management Plan (RMP), Tualatin Project, Oregon**

Dear Interested Party:

Enclosed you will find the Final Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Henry Hagg Lake Resource Management Plan (RMP). As you may be aware, a planning process has been ongoing for the last 2 years involving Federal, state, local government, Tribes, and interested members of the public, to develop alternatives for managing the land and recreation resources at Henry Hagg Lake. The Final EA incorporates public comment on the Draft EA and contains revisions that respond to those comments. The FONSI documents the Bureau of Reclamation's decision that implementation of the Preferred Alternative would not significantly affect the human and natural environment, and that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

The EA was prepared in cooperation with Washington County, who is Reclamation's managing partner at Scoggins Valley Park, located at Henry Hagg Lake. The RMP for Henry Hagg Lake will be available at the end of May 2004. The RMP will serve as a guide for managing the natural and cultural resources, recreation facilities, and public access for the next 10 years at Henry Hagg Lake/Scoggins Valley Park.

A newsbrief will be mailed in mid-May explaining how to get a copy of the RMP. The Final EA can also be viewed on Reclamation's website at [www.usbr.gov/pn](http://www.usbr.gov/pn). The document is also available at public libraries in Forest Grove, Hillsboro, and Portland, as well as Reclamation's Portland Office and Washington County Facility Management Offices in Hillsboro and Scoggins Valley Park. For questions about the EA, or to request additional copies of the document, please contact: Ms. Patti Llewellyn, PN-3900, Bureau of Reclamation, 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100, Boise, Idaho 83706-1234 or e-mail at [pllewellyn@pn.usbr.gov](mailto:pllewellyn@pn.usbr.gov).

We appreciate your participation in the RMP process.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Eggers  
Area Manager

Enclosure



## CONTENTS

### Finding of No Significant Impact

<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Abbreviations and Acronyms .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1.0 Purpose and Need for Action .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Authority.....	1-1
1.3 Proposed Federal Action.....	1-1
1.4 Purpose and Need for Action.....	1-1
1.5 Location and Background.....	1-2
1.5.1 Historical Overview.....	1-2
1.5.2 Reservoir Operations .....	1-7
1.6 Related Activities.....	1-8
1.6.1 Tualatin Valley Water Supply Feasibility Study .....	1-8
1.7 Scoping .....	1-9
1.8 Summary of Issues.....	1-10
<b>2.0 Alternatives .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Alternatives Development .....	2-1
2.1.1 Similarities Among Alternatives .....	2-2
2.2 Alternatives Considered in Detail.....	2-3
2.2.1 Alternative A – No Action Alternative.....	2-15
2.2.2 Alternative B – Minimal Recreation Development with Resource Enhancement .....	2-22
2.2.3 Alternative C – Moderate Recreation Development with Resource Enhancement – Preferred Alternative .....	2-28
2.3 Alternative Elements Eliminated from Consideration.....	2-34
2.4 Summary of Impacts .....	2-34
<b>3.0 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.1.1 Cumulative Impacts .....	3-2
3.2 Noise .....	3-3
3.2.1 Affected Environment.....	3-3
3.2.2 Environmental Consequences.....	3-3
3.3 Soils .....	3-8
3.3.1 Affected Environment.....	3-8
3.3.2 Environmental Consequences.....	3-13
3.4 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	3-17
3.4.1 Affected Environment.....	3-17
3.4.2 Environmental Consequences.....	3-21

**CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

3.5	Vegetation.....	3-26
3.5.1	Affected Environment.....	3-26
3.5.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-34
3.6	Fish and Wildlife.....	3-38
3.6.1	Affected Environment.....	3-38
3.6.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-45
3.7	Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive (TES) Species.....	3-51
3.7.1	Affected Environment.....	3-51
3.7.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-59
3.8	Recreation.....	3-66
3.8.1	Affected Environment.....	3-66
3.8.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-74
3.9	Visual Resources.....	3-78
3.9.1	Affected Environment.....	3-78
3.9.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-78
3.10	Land Use & Management.....	3-84
3.10.1	Affected Environment.....	3-84
3.10.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-88
3.11	Socioeconomics.....	3-92
3.11.1	Affected Environment.....	3-92
3.11.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-94
3.12	Public Utilities and Services.....	3-96
3.12.1	Affected Environment.....	3-96
3.12.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-100
3.13	Environmental Justice.....	3-104
3.13.1	Affected Environment.....	3-104
3.13.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-105
3.14	Cultural Resources.....	3-106
3.14.1	Affected Environment.....	3-106
3.14.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-109
3.15	Indian Sacred Sites.....	3-113
3.15.1	Affected Environment.....	3-113
3.15.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-113
3.16	Indian Trust Assets.....	3-115
3.16.1	Affected Environment.....	3-115
3.16.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-115
3.17	Transportation and Access.....	3-116
3.17.1	Affected Environment.....	3-116
3.17.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-118
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Consultation and Coordination.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Public Involvement.....	4-1
4.1.1	Summary of Comments on the Draft EA.....	4-2
4.2	Agency Consultation and Coordination.....	4-5
4.2.1	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.....	4-5

**CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

4.2.2	Endangered Species Act .....	4-5
4.2.3	National Historic Preservation Act .....	4-5
4.3	Tribal Consultation and Coordination .....	4-6
4.3.1	Government-to-Government Consultation with Tribes .....	4-6
4.3.2	Indian Sacred Sites (Executive Order 13007).....	4-6
4.3.3	Indian Trust Assets .....	4-6
4.3.4	Other Laws and Regulations .....	4-6
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Environmental Commitments .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Best Management Practices .....	5-1
5.1.1	Landscape Preservation and Impact Avoidance .....	5-1
5.1.2	Erosion and Sediment Control .....	5-2
5.1.3	Biological Resources .....	5-2
5.1.4	Site Restoration and Revegetation .....	5-2
5.1.5	Pollution Prevention .....	5-3
5.1.6	Noise and Air Pollution Prevention .....	5-4
5.1.7	Cultural Resource Site Protection .....	5-4
5.1.8	Miscellaneous Comments .....	5-4
5.2	Mitigation Measures .....	5-5
5.2.1	Public Services and Utilities .....	5-5
5.2.2	Cultural Resources .....	5-5
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Preparers .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Distribution List .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	Overview .....	7-1
7.2	Tribes .....	7-1
7.3	Government Officials.....	7-2
7.4	Agencies.....	7-2
7.5	Organizations and Businesses.....	7-6
7.6	News Media .....	7-9
7.7	Libraries .....	7-9
7.8	Individuals .....	7-10
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Glossary .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>9.0</b>	<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
9.1	Literature Cited .....	9-1
9.2	Personal Communications .....	9-4
9.3	Internet Sources .....	9-5

## **CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

### **Appendices**

- A. Henry Hagg Lake RMP Goals and Objectives
- B. Elk Mitigation Meadows Maintenance and Monitoring Plan
- C. USFWS and NOAA Fisheries Consultation
  - C1. USFWS Consultation
  - C2. NOAA Fisheries Consultation
- D. Tribal Correspondence
- E. Agency and Public Comments on the Draft EA and Reclamation's Responses



## TABLES

Table 1.5-1	Project specifications .....	1-8
Table 2.2-1	Henry Hagg Lake Resource Management Plan – EA Alternatives .....	2-4
Table 2.4-1	Impacts of alternatives comparison summary.....	2-35
Table 3.2-1	Estimated noise levels (dBA) from park sources (1994).....	3-4
Table 3.2-2	Decibel levels of particular noises for comparison purposes .....	3-4
Table 3.3-1	Soil types adjacent to Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-11
Table 3.3-2	Pre-reservoir estimated sediment yield and capacity reduction .....	3-12
Table 3.4-1	Scoggins, Tanner, and Sain Creek monthly flow data (2000).....	3-17
Table 3.4-2	Beneficial Uses identified by ODEQ as occurring in the Tualatin River subbasin.....	3-19
Table 3.4-3	Approximate range of Henry Hagg Lake water quality criteria based upon 2000 collection data.....	3-20
Table 3.5-1	Area of vegetation associations on Reclamation lands at Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-26
Table 3.6-1	Fish species common to Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-39
Table 3.6-2	Common reptile and amphibian species occurring in the vicinity of Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-40
Table 3.6-3	Common bird species occurring in the vicinity of Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-41
Table 3.6-4	Common mammal species occurring in the vicinity of Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-41
Table 3.6-5	Rare and sensitive wildlife species potentially occurring in the vicinity of Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-42
Table 3.7-1	TES plant and wildlife species potentially occurring in the vicinity of Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-52
Table 3.7-2	Effects Determination of ESA Listed or Candidate Species that NOAA Fisheries or USFWS indicate may occur in the RMP study area.....	3-61
Table 3.8-1	Overview of existing recreation facilities at Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-67
Table 3.8-2	Annual attendance at Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-70
Table 3.8-3	Location of primary residence of visitors to Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-71
Table 3.8-4	Activities participated in at Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-71
Table 3.8-5	Visitors’ favorite locations at Henry Hagg Lake .....	3-71
Table 3.8-6	Desired changes at Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-72
Table 3.8-7	Desired new facilities at Henry Hagg Lake.....	3-72
Table 3.10-1	Scoggins Dam general and operational data.....	3-84
Table 3.11-1	Washington County and Oregon State population and age distribution.....	3-92
Table 4.1-1	Ad Hoc Work Group.....	4-2
Table 4.1-2	Henry Hagg Draft EA comment summary .....	4-3

## FIGURES AND MAPS

Figure 1.1-1	General Location.....	1-3
Figure 1.5-1	Henry Hagg Lake Area .....	1-5
Figure 2.2-1	Alternative A: No Action – Continuation of Existing Management Practices.....	2-17
Figure 2.2-2	Alternative B: Minimal Recreation Development with Resource Enhancement ....	2-23
Figure 2.2-3	Alternative C: Moderate Recreation Development with Resource Enhancement (Preferred Alternative).....	2-29
Figure 3.3-1	Landslides .....	3-9
Figure 3.5-1	Vegetation Associations .....	3-27
Figure 3.5-2	Elk Meadows .....	3-31
Figure 3.9-1	Nelson Cove from adjacent elk meadow (low pool level).....	3-79
Figure 3.9-2	Henry Hagg Lake from Recreation Area A West (low pool level) .....	3-79
Figure 3.9-3	Sain Creek Area at Henry Hagg Lake (low pool level).....	3-79
Figure 3.9-4	Nelson Cove elk meadow and Henry Hagg Lake (low pool level) .....	3-79

## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

af	acre-foot
AF-10	Agricultural Forest – 10 (Land Use Designation)
AF-20	Agricultural Forest – 20 (Land Use Designation)
AF-5	Agricultural Forest – 5 (Land Use Designation)
AINW	Archaeological Investigations Northwest, Inc.
ARPA	Archaeological Resources Protection Act
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best Management Practice
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
BP	Before present
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	Cubic feet per second
COE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWS	Clean Water Services
dB	Decibel
dba	a-weighted decibel
DLUT	Department of Land Use and Transportation
DM	Department Manual
DO	Dissolved oxygen
EA	Environmental Assessment
EFC	Exclusive Forest and Conservation (Land Use Designation)
EFU	Exclusive Farm Use (Land Use Designation)
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EO	Executive Order
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	Evolutionarily Significant Unit
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FWCA	Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act
GRFD	Gaston Rural Fire District
HR	House Rule
HUWC	Hillsboro Utility Water Commission
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
ITA	Indian Trust Asset
IWG	Interagency Work Group
LOS	Level of Service
mph	Miles per hour
MPN	Most Probable Number
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
National Register	National Register of Historic Places

## **ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (CONTINUED)**

NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NGO	Non-Government Organization
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
O&M	Operations & Maintenance
OAR	Oregon Administrative Rules
ODA	Oregon Department of Agriculture
ODEQ	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
ODF	Oregon Department of Forestry
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
ONHP	Oregon Natural Heritage Program
ORV	off-road vehicle
PAM	Planning Aid Memorandum
PL	Public Law
PSU	Portland State University
PWC	Personal watercraft
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
R-IND	Rural Industrial
RM	River Mile
RMP	Resource Management Plan
SC	Sensitive Critical
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SoC	Species of Concern
SOD	Sediment Oxygen Demand
SU	Sensitive Undetermined
SV	Sensitive Vulnerable
TCP	Traditional Cultural Property
TES	Threatened, Endangered, or Sensitive
TVID	Tualatin Valley Irrigation District
USA	Unified Sewerage Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WACCCA	Washington County Consolidated Communication Agency
WACO	Washington County Facilities Management Division, Parks