

PROPOSED RULES

§ 1464.16 1975 Crop—Flue-Cured Tobacco, types 11-14, loan schedule.¹

[Dollars per hundred pounds, farm sales weight]

Grade	Loan rate	Grade	Loan rate
A1F	113	B5GL	91
A2F	115	B6GL	86
B1L	110	B4GF	94
B2L	105	B5GF	91
B3L	102	B6GF	86
B4L	100	B4GR	88
B5L	98	B5GR	82
B6L	94	B6GR	77
B1F	110	B4GK	90
B2F	105	B5GK	85
B3F	102	B6GK	81
B4F	100	B5GG	79
B5F	98	B4GG	81
B6F	94	B5GG	77
B1FR	109	H1L	109
B2FR	104	H2L	105
B3FR	101	H3L	103
B4FR	98	H4L	101
B5FR	96	H5L	99
B6FR	92	H6L	95
B3R	95	H1F	109
B4R	90	H2F	105
B5R	85	H3F	103
B6R	81	H4F	101
B3K	99	H5F	99
B4K	96	H6F	95
B5K	93	H3FR	102
B6K	89	H4FR	99
B3LV	100	H5FR	96
B4LV	96	H6FR	93
B5LV	93	H4K	98
B3FV	100	H5K	95
B4FV	96	H6K	92
B5FV	93	C1L	108
B3LS	97	C2L	105
B4LS	94	C3L	103
B5LS	91	C4L	101
B6LS	85	C5L	99
B3FS	97	C1F	108
B4FS	94	C2F	105
B5FS	91	C3F	103
B6FS	85	C4F	101
B3KL	93	C5F	99
B4KL	91	C4LV	99
B5KL	88	C4FV	99
B6KL	83	C4LS	98
B3KF	93	C5LS	95
B4KF	91	C4KL	98
B5KF	88	C4KF	98
B6KF	83	C4KM	98
B3KM	96	C4KR	99
B4KM	94	X1L	103
B5KM	91	X2L	101
B6KM	86	X3L	99
B3KR	98	X4L	98
B4KR	96	X5L	96
B5KR	93	X1F	103
B4KV	93	X2F	101
B5KV	88	X3F	99
B6KV	84	X4F	96
B5R	81	X5F	92
B4GL	94	X3LV	96
		X4LV	93

¹The loan rates listed are applicable to tied and untied flue-cured tobacco which is (1) eligible tobacco as defined in the regulations and (2) identified by a marketing card which does not bear the notation "Discount Variety-Limited Support". Rates for eligible tobacco identified by a marketing card, which bears the notation "Discount Variety-Limited Support," are 50 percent of the loan rates listed plus fifty cents (\$0.50) per hundred pounds. Tobacco is eligible for advance only if consigned by the original producer. Tobacco graded "W" (doubtful keeping order), "U" (unsound), "N2", "No-G" or "scrap" will not be accepted. The cooperative association through which advances are made available is authorized to deduct \$1 per hundred pounds to apply against overhead costs.

Grade	Loan rate	Grade	Loan rate
X3FV	96	P4L	90
X4FV	93	P5L	86
X3LS	96	P2F	95
X4LS	92	P3F	93
X3FS	96	P4F	90
X4FS	92	P5F	86
X4KL	92	P4G	82
X4KF	92	P5G	76
X4KV	91	N4L	78
X3KM	96	N5XL	83
X4KM	92	N1K	85
X4KR	95	N1R	76
X4G	89	N1GL	72
X5G	84	N1GF	78
X4GK	88	N1GR	73
P2L	95	N1GG	68
P3L	93		

Signed at Washington, D.C., on June 10, 1975.

E. J. PERSON,
Acting Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 75-15442 Filed 6-12-75; 8:45 am]

Rural Electrification Administration

[7 CFR Part 1701]

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION

REA Loan Policy

On March 11, 1975, the Rural Electrification Administration published in the FEDERAL REGISTER notice of a proposal to issue a supplement to REA Bulletin 20-6, "Loans for Generation and Transmission." Interested persons were informed that they could submit written data, views, or comments on this proposed policy not later than August 12, 1975.

Comments received, and developments since publication of the notice, indicate that issuance of the proposed supplement would not be timely in view of other potential viable alternatives. We are therefore withdrawing the proposal, outlined in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 11, 1975, to issue a supplement to REA Bulletin 20-6.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 10th day of June, 1975.

DAVID A. HAMIL,
Administrator, Rural
Electrification Administration.

[FR Doc. 75-15492 Filed 6-12-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 700]

[Docket No. 75N-0003]

AEROSOL DRUG AND COSMETIC
PRODUCTS CONTAINING ZIRCONIUM

Notice of Proposed Rule Making; Correction

In FR Doc. 75-14549, appearing at page 24328, in the issue of Thursday, June 5, 1975, the following correction is made. On page 24344 in the 3d column, paragraph (c) under § 700.16 is corrected by adding the phrase "not in compliance with this section and." As corrected, paragraph (c) reads as follows:

§ 700.16 Use of aerosol cosmetic products containing zirconium.

(c) Any such cosmetic product not in compliance with this section and shipped in interstate commerce after the effective date of the final regulation is subject to regulatory action.

Dated: June 9, 1975.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 75-15430 Filed 6-12-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-NW-14]

ALTERATION OF TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the description of the Spokane, Washington, Transition Area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Operations, Procedures, and Airspace Branch, Northwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Building, Boeing Field, Seattle, Washington, 98108. All communications received on or before July 14, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Northwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Building, Boeing Field, Seattle, Washington, 98108.

A review of the controlled airspace around Spokane, Washington, disclosed that additional Transition Area is required to provide controlled airspace for the Runway 21 ILS approach procedure at Spokane International Airport. Further, the review disclosed that the present descriptions of the Spokane, Washington, and Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, Transition Areas are overlapping. Accordingly, it is proposed that the Spokane and Coeur d'Alene Transition Areas be combined and the description clarified. In addition, it is proposed that the present Spokane Transition Area description be altered to provide sufficient controlled