

loans of \$100,000 or more will be required to use a recordkeeping system or accounting service which provides, as a minimum, a monthly cash flow statement, a change in financial position statement, beginning and end of year balance sheets, and an income statement. Such borrowers will be encouraged to use a computer recordkeeping system when available.

Note.—This document has been reviewed in accordance with 7 CFR Part 1901, Subpart C, "Environmental Impact Statements." It is the determination of FmHA that the proposed action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Pub. L. 91-190, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

Note.—This proposal has been reviewed under the USDA criteria established to implement Executive Order 12044, "Improving Government Regulations." A determination has been made that this action should not be classified "significant" under those criteria. A draft Impact Analysis has been prepared and is available from the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 8346, Washington, D.C. 20250.

(7 U.S.C. 1989; 42 U.S.C. 1480; 42 U.S.C. 2942; 5 U.S.C. 301; Sec. 10 Pub. L. 93-357, 88 Stat. 392; delegation of authority by the Sec. of Agri., 7 CFR 2.23; delegation of authority by the Asst. Sec. for Rural Development, 7 CFR 2.70; delegations of authority by Dir., OEO, 29 FR 14764, 33 FR 9850)

Dated: November 6, 1979.

Gordon Cavanaugh,
Administrator, Farmers Home
Administration.

[FR Doc. 79-35488 Filed 11-15-79; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-07-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 331

[Docket No. 79N-0152]

Antacid Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; Proposed Amendment of Monograph

Correction

In FR Doc. 79-31971 appearing at page 60328 in the issue for Friday, October 19, 1979, on page 60329, in the third column, in the third full paragraph in that column, in the last line, "(Ref. 30)" should read "(Ref. 20)".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

21 CFR Parts 338 and 340

[Docket No. 75N-0244]

Nighttime Sleep-Aid and Stimulant Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; Reopening of the Administration Record

Correction

In FR Doc. 79-33168 appearing at page 61610 in the issue for Friday, October 26, 1979, on page 61611, first column, seventh line from the bottom, "(D.C.C., July 16, 1979)." should read "(D.D.C., July 16, 1979)."

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

21 CFR Part 880

[Docket No. 78N-1273]

Medical Devices; Classification of Spinal Fluid Pressure Manometers

Correction

In FR Doc. 79-26040 appearing at page 49858 in the issue for Friday, August 24, 1979, on page 49859, first column, first line paragraph (b) of § 880.2500, "Class I" should read "Class II".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner

24 CFR Part 402

[Docket No. R-79-731]

Tenant Participation in Multifamily Housing Projects

AGENCY: Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This rule is proposed to implement the requirement of the Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1978 for tenant participation in multifamily housing projects. The rule requires that mortgagors of specified insured multifamily housing projects give tenants notice of and an opportunity to comment upon major actions on which mortgagors are required to request HUD's review and approval.

The rule reflects the Department's view that tenant involvement in decisions affecting their housing environment can be beneficial.

The new part 402 implements Section 202(b)(1) of the Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1978.

DATE: Comments due: January 15, 1980.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the General Counsel, Room 5218, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410. A copy of each communication will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James J. Tahash, Director, Occupancy, Division, Office of Management and Occupancy, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-5756. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Recognizing that the cooperation and participation of tenants are essential to the successful operation of a multifamily project, the Department is establishing regulations for obtaining tenant input on project mortgagors' requests for HUD approval of major actions that would affect day-to-day operations of these projects (e.g., change in project managers, change in ownership, major physical improvement programs). It is hoped that these regulations will enable tenants to obtain a greater understanding of the operations of multifamily projects, improve communications between tenants and management, and improve the social and physical environment at these projects.

Inapplicability of NEPA

A finding of inapplicability respecting the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 has been made in accordance with HUD procedures. A copy of this Finding of Inapplicability will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Room 5218, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410.

Accordingly, the Department proposes to add a new part to Chapter IV, 24 CFR PART 402 to read as follows:

Part 402—TENANT PARTICIPATION IN MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROJECTS

Sec.

- 402.1 Purpose.
- 402.2 Applicability.
- 402.3 Scope of participation.
- 402.4 Procedures.
- 402.5 Mortgagor's notice to tenants.
- 402.6 Materials to be made available to tenants for comment.
- 402.7 Mortgagor's certification of compliance.
- 402.8 HUD review.
- 402.9 Waivers.

classification rules, and classification of producer milk?

6. Would the adoption of the proposal require changes in either the order obligations or scope of regulation with respect to partially regulated distributing plants and producer-handlers?

7. Does the adoption of the proposal also raise the issue of the appropriateness of the current Class I differentials and location adjustments under the orders? If so, what should such Class I differentials and location adjustments be?

8. Would the adoption of the proposed pricing for reconstituted milk require a change in the classification and pricing of condensed skim milk or condensed whole milk if the condensed product is used to reconstitute milk for fluid uses?

The following additional questions have been developed in connection with the Department's efforts to analyze the potential impact of the proposed changes in the regulatory treatment of reconstituted milk. Any comments on these questions would be welcome.

1. What effect would the adoption of the proposal have on the achievement of the goals of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act?

2. Would the adoption of the proposal result in major adjustment costs for dairy farming and in processing facilities? Would this impact be different in different regions? How might the adjustment costs be minimized?

3. What implications would adoption of the proposal have on energy use in the processing, distribution, and transportation of all dairy products?

4. How would the adoption of the proposal affect the aggregate consumption of dairy products? Would adoption of the proposal substantially alter the utilization of milk in different products and among different income groups? What are the nutritional implications of adopting the proposal?

5. What regional implications does adoption of the proposal have for dairy farmers? What implications for producers of Grade A milk versus Grade B milk?

6. What economic implications, including those of a regional nature, does the adoption of the proposal have for consumers? What implications for fluid milk consumers versus consumers of manufactured dairy products?

7. Would the adoption of the proposal affect the dairy industry's ability to balance seasonal patterns of milk production and consumption? Would the needed reserve requirements for fluid markets be changed?

Interested parties are also invited to comment on whether a public hearing on

the reconstituted milk issue should be conducted at a single location or at several locations, and where. Such comments will be taken into consideration in deciding upon a hearing location if it is decided that a hearing should be held.

IV. Procedures

Interested parties desiring to submit proposals or comments should mail four copies to: Deputy Administrator, Marketing Program Operations, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. The material should be mailed by January 15, 1980, to assure that it will be considered.

Proposals should be stated in specific terms so that the issues would be clearly set forth in a hearing notice. Also, each proposal should be accompanied by a brief but comprehensive statement on the need for the proposal. The statement will be used in deciding whether the proposal should be considered at a hearing.

If the Department concludes that a hearing should be held, all known interested parties will be mailed a copy of the hearing notice. Anyone who desires to present evidence on proposals set forth in the hearing notice will have an opportunity to do so at the hearing.

Once a hearing notice is issued and until the issuance of a final decision, Department employees involved in the decisional process may not discuss the merits of a proceeding on an ex parte basis with any person having an interest in the proceeding. For this purpose, market administrators and their staffs are considered to be involved in the decisional process. Thus, it is suggested that any discussions that interested parties may wish to have with Department personnel regarding hearing proposals and issues be initiated soon. Procedural matters may be discussed at any time.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on: November 13, 1979.

William T. Manley,
Deputy Administrator, Marketing Program Operations.

[FR Doc. 79-35493 Filed 11-15-79; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) is considering amending its regulations pertaining to recordkeeping requirements for emergency loan borrowers. This revision will require borrowers receiving emergency loans of \$100,000 or more to use a recordkeeping system that provides a monthly cash flow statement, a change in financial position statement, balance sheets and an income statement. This action is taken because of the importance of such financial statements for loan servicing and for the borrower to better manage the farming operation. The intended effect is to improve and expedite loan servicing.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 15, 1980.

ADDRESSES: Submit an original and conformed copy of all written comments to the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 6346, Washington DC 20250. All written comments made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the address given above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger H. Witt, Emergency Loan Division, FmHA, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20250. Phone: 202-447-6257.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FmHA is considering revising § 1924.58(b)(3) of Subpart B, Part 1924, Chapter XVIII, Title 7 in the Code of Federal Regulations to require borrowers receiving emergency loans of \$100,000 or more to use a recordkeeping system or accounting service which provides a monthly cash flow statement, a change in financial position statement, balance sheets and an income statement. This section presently requires such a recordkeeping system or accounting service to be used by borrowers receiving emergency loans of \$250,000 or more and does not require the preparation of a change in financial position statement. The proposed revision will also encourage affected borrowers to use a computer recordkeeping system when available. Accordingly, as proposed § 1924.58(b)(3) reads as follows:

§ 1924.58 Recordkeeping.

* * * * *

(b) Responsibilities. * * *

(3) The system selected must provide, as a minimum, a record of the annual cash flow, beginning and end of year balance sheets, and an income statement. Borrowers receiving EM

Farmers Home Administration

7 CFR Part 1924

Construction and Repair; Management Assistance to Individual Borrowers and Applicants; Recordkeeping Requirements

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.