

through August 31, 1975. In the case of corn, sales covering 6 percent of the quantity, or 588,000 metric tons, would never have been reported since this quantity was exported before a fixed price was established. For soybean cake and meal, affixed price was established prior to export in the case of each contract which had originally provided for basis pricing. Under the proposed amendment there would have been a delay in the reporting of nearly 50 percent of total sales of corn and soybean cake and meal for an undetermined period prior to export.

The study showed that the use of basis pricing was concentrated in contracts having the European Community and Japan as ultimate destinations. Sales of corn and soybean meal and cake pursuant to basis price contracts to all countries of destinations were about one-half as likely to result in export shipment as were fixed price contracts. For example, corn reported as sold under basis type contracts exceeded later exports under such contracts by nearly 5 million metric tons. Slightly more than one-half of all sales made pursuant to basis price contracts were terminated by contract cancellations or offset by purchases from foreign sellers. In the case of sales made pursuant to fixed price contracts, sales for about 1.5 million metric tons (or less than one-fourth of the quantity covered by this type of contract) were terminated by contract cancellations or offset by purchases from foreign sellers.

The study revealed that basis price contracts contributed much more than fixed price contracts to the overstatement of anticipated exports predicated on reported sales. However this disadvantage is far outweighed by the fact that exclusion of basic price contracts from the sales data released each week by the Department of Agriculture would render the sales data less comprehensive and useful.

The proposal to amend § 20.4 (h) and (m) to limit reportable transactions to export sales containing only fixed prices is hereby withdrawn.

Dated: January 20, 1976.

RICHARD A. SMITH,
Acting Administrator,
Foreign Agricultural Service.

[FR Doc.76-2155 Filed 1-23-76;8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION, AND WELFARE**

Food and Drug Administration
[21 CFR Parts 338, 339, 340]

[Docket No. 75-N-0244]

OVER-THE-COUNTER DRUGS

**Proposal to Establish Monographs for OTC
Nighttime Sleep-Aid, Daytime Sedative,
and Stimulant Products**

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-32774, appearing on page 59477, in the issue of Monday, December 8, 1975, and corrected at 41 FR 1498, the following changes should be made:

1. On page 57307, in the second column, the name at the end of the first line of the sixth paragraph should read "Fallin".

2. On page 57327, in the third column, the second word in the sixteenth line should read "on".

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health
Administration

[29 CFR Parts 1910, 1926]

[Docket No. S-102]

GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT PROTECTION

Extension of Post-Hearing Comment Period

On December 9, 1975, pursuant to authority in section 6(b) of the Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1593, 29 U.S.C. 655), section 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (83 Stat. 96, 40 U.S.C. 333), 29 CFR Part 1911, and notice of hearing published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 2, 1975 (40 FR 40170), an informal public hearing was held concerning the proposal to amend title 29 Code of Federal Regulations, §§ 1910.309 and 1926.400 by revoking the requirement for ground-fault circuit protection for personnel on construction sites.

At the conclusion of the hearing the Administrative Law Judge directed that the record of the hearing be kept open until January 26, 1976, to receive supplemental information. On the basis of a request for additional time to submit written comments, and because of a delay in transmitting copies of the record to interested parties, the Administrative Law Judge has issued an order extending the period for public comment from interested parties until February 17, 1976.

Written comments may be submitted to Jay Arnoldus, OHSA Committee Management Office, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N-3635 Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of January, 1976.

MORTON CORN,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.76-2224 Filed 1-23-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[33 CFR Part 117]

[CGD 75 244]

MISSOURI RIVER, IOWA

Proposed Drawbridge Operation

At the request of the Iowa Department of Transportation, the Coast Guard is considering revising the regulations for the Sioux City highway and railroad bridge across the Missouri River, mile 732.3, to allow the draw to remain closed to the passage of vessels.

The States of Iowa and Nebraska are planning to construct a new high-level fixed highway bridge across the Missouri River between Sioux City, Iowa, and

South Sioux City, Nebraska. Constraints on both sides of the river dictate the need to locate the new bridge about 190 feet (center to center) upstream of this draw-bridge. The swing span will be immobilized while the new bridge is under construction. Present scheduling indicates that construction of the substructure will commence in April of 1977, and will be completed by April of 1978. The superstructure construction is expected to commence in July of 1978, and be completed by September of 1979. Viewing this scheduling in light of other bridge construction experience, the swing span should be immobilized from April of 1977 until its removal subsequent to opening the new bridge, probably about January of 1980.

The swing span provides two draw openings with 215 feet horizontal clearance in each span. There is 32.7 feet vertical clearance above zero on the Sioux City Weather Bureau gauge beneath the drawspan. This is adequate clearance for the recreational craft that use this reach of the river. The swing span has not been opened for more than two years and has been opened infrequently for more than a decade. These infrequent openings have been for passage of construction equipment engaged in river improvement work or for Corps of Engineers inspections.

Interested persons may participate in this proposed rule making by submitting written data, views, or arguments to the Commander (obr), Second Coast Guard District, Federal Building, 1520 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63103. Each person submitting comments should include his name and address, identify the bridge, and give reasons for any recommended change in the proposal. Copies of all written communications received will be available for examination by interested persons at the office of the Commander, Second Coast Guard District.

The Commander, Second Coast Guard District, will forward any comments received before March 12, 1976, with his recommendations to the Chief, Office of Marine Environment and Systems, who will evaluate all communications received and take final action on this proposal. The proposed regulations may be changed in the light of comments received.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed that Part 117 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, be amended by revising § 117.560(g)(8) to read as follows:

§ 117.560 Mississippi River and its tributaries and outlets; bridges where constant attendance of draw tenders is not required.

* * * * *

(g) * * * * *
(8) Missouri River, highway bridge between Sioux City, Iowa and South Sioux City, Nebraska, mile 732.3. The draw need not open for the passage of vessels and paragraphs (b) through (e) of this section shall not apply to this bridge.

* * * * *
(Sec. 5, 28 Stat. 362, as amended, sec. 6(g)(2), 80 Stat. 937; (33 U.S.C. 499, 49 U.S.C. 1655(g)(2)); 49 CFR 1.46(c)(5), 33 CFR 1.05-1(c)(4)).