Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:	9/10/2007
2. Agency:	Department of Energy
3. Bureau:	Environmental And Other Defense Activities
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	ETTP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	019-10-01-15-01-1052-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)	
What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2002
8 Provide a brief summary and justification for this investme	ent including a brief description of how this closes in part of

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

This investment represents 100+ applications supporting ETTP's Human Resources; Finance; Project Controls; and other functions (reported to FISMA as ETTP CBA). These applications are essential to the ETTP remediation contractor's success. Four applications support collaboration. The Oak Ridge Environmental Information System shares environmental data between DOE, Tennessee, EPA, Oak Ridge National Laboratory and BWXT Y-12. It is publicly-accessible. The Project Environmental Measurements System and Tracker support sample processing at commercial labs. Vendors register capabilities once in Supplier to be considered for future selection. This investment supports DOE's Strategic Theme 5, Management Excellence, by automating business management activities; attracting, motivating and retaining a highly skilled, diverse workforce; providing infrastructure services to achieve mission goals and ensure a safe, secure workplace; and enabling integrated resource management leading to continuous process improvement. This, in turn, supports DOE's Strategic Goal 4.1, Environmental Cleanup, by automating support for ETTP's Accelerated Clean-up activities. The Management Excellence support enables ETTP business functions to operate more efficiently and effectively. Required FTEs have declined - 23 in FY06 to 15 in FY07. ETTP is steady state; no systems are in planning. Only minimal changes are expected to these applications for the current contract's duration. Remediation work at ETTP will continue after the incumbent contractor's contract ends at the end of FY08. Estimates for FY09 and beyond refer to work to be performed after the current contract ends. The IT Services contract in Section I.C. was awarded to SCI Consulting in Feb 07. DOE/ORO manages this new contract. Applicable records schedules are approved (and available on request) for all 100+ applications. This investment is reported in DOE's target architecture and maps to all layers of the FEA. The FEA Primary Mapping (BRM) is the Management of Government Resources Business Area/Information and Technology Management LOB/Information Management Subfunction. See section F for SRM and TRM mappings. TRM mappings align with the latest FEA EITA. This investment is listed in Section 2.1.3.2.5.1, page 58 of the DOE Enterprise Architecture Transition Plan.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	8/27/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?	
Name	Battison, Charlene
Phone Number	865-576-0633
Email	battisonck@oro.doe.gov
a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?	TBD
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	Yes
a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	No

Human Capital

ETTP & public

Financial Performance Expanded E-Government Eliminating Improper Payments

financial info controls

HR and business functions

Budget Performance Integration

Expanded E-Gov: Web systems reduce business reporting burden; support data exchange between TN, EPA, DOE,

Human Capital: Web systems with internal reuse automate

Improve Financial Performance & Budget/Performance

Integration: Integrated COTS improves reliability of financial info. With automated EVM - projects on time, within budget (accountability improved). Control boards oversee IT investments. OMB A-123 program ensures

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA Yes initiatives?

If "yes," check all that apply:

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Yes the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? Department of Energy Environmental Management

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology?

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

No

Yes

Adequate

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Level 1 Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as gualified for this 17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) investment No

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) 19. Is this a financial management system? No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA No compliance area?

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware	0
Software	9.70
Services	90.30
Other	0
	Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM
	$\mathbf{D}_{2} = 2 \text{ of } 20$

Page 2 of 39

21. If this project produces information dissemination Yes products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name	Rothrock, Amy
Phone Number	865-576-1216
Title	Privacy Act Officer
E-mail	rothrockal@oro.doe.gov
23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	Yes
Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:	

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO No High Risk Areas?

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)												
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total			
Planning:	0	0	0	0								
Acquisition:	0	0	0	0								
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	0	0	0								
Operations & Maintenance:	23.12	1.85	1.657	1.493								
TOTAL:	23.12	1.85	1.657	1.493								
	Governme	nt FTE Costs	should not	be included	in the amo	unts provide	d above.	<u> </u>				
Government FTE Costs	0.06	0.012	0.015	0.015								
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	1	1	1	1								

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional No FTE's?

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Ta Contract or Task Order Number	Type of	Has the contract been	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Contract/	End date of Contract/	Contract/	Interagenc y	Is it performanc e based? (Y/N)	awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact	Contracting Officer Certificatio	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competenci es and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
07OR23027	Time and Material (ETTP is contract line item 002)	Yes	2/2/2007	4/1/2007	3/31/2012	14	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes		865-576- 0794 / albaughjy@o ro.doe.gov	Level 3	

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 4 of 39

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned value is not required for the contract supporting this system because it is a steady state system that has been in place since FY 2002. Since it is a steady state system, DOE performs quarterly operational analysis as described in section III.B. of this business case.

- 3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?
 - a. Explain why:

Yes

Section 508 compliance for software and hardware is not retroactive, but DOE/ORO EM and its contractor are currently ensuring that all employees have access per Section 508. ETTP & SCI work as an integrated IT team to provide services per Section 508. For any acquisition planned in the future, the vendor will have to prove that their product complies with 508. ETTP has ensured that all requirements for disability assistance have been met.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

a. If "yes," what is the date?

1/4/2005

Yes

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or gualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance In	Performance Information Table											
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results				
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered		('05) Customer satisfaction for resolution of application problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	Target)				
2006		Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETTP	80 Industrial Facility Completions at ETTP	80 Industrial Facility Completions at ETTP	82 Industrial Facility Completions (102% of Target)				
2006		Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETTP	3,820 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETTP	3,820 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETTP	3,652 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed (96% of Target)				

	nformation Table							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy							
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETTP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETTP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions at ETTP	3 Nuclear Facility Completions (100% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETTP	11 Radiological Facility Completions at ETTP	11 Radiological Facility Completions at ETTP	11 Radiological Facility Completions (100% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Release Site Completions at ETTP	98 Release Site Completions at ETTP	98 Release Site Completions at ETTP	93 Release Site Completions (95% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	('05) \$1,376K	1348	Final FY 06 cost is \$1,346K (99.9% of Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy	No unauthorized release of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	('06) 0 Unauthorized releases of PII	Maintain 0 unauthorized releases of PII for the applications contained in the CBA investment	Final FY 06: 0 unauthorized releases of PII (Equal to Target)
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Security	No Unauthorized Access or Malicious Code	('05) Unauthorized Access - 0; Malicious Code - 0	Maintain 0 successful unauthorized accesses and 0 malicious code attacks for the applications contained in the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, there could be potential for disruptions and compromise of business processes and data.	Final FY 06: 0 Unauthorized Access incidents; 0 Malicious Code attacks; Reported to FISMA (Equal to Target)

Performance Information Table										
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results		
2006	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	99.0% Availability	('05) 99.0% Availability	Maintain computing resource availability of 99.0% for the resources supporting the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be likely to meet the planned performance targets for disposal of Low Level and Mixed Low Level Waste.	Final FY 06 availability is 99.6% (101% of Target)		
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Customer Satisfaction	Customer satisfaction for resolution of application problem requests. 4.5 out of 5.0	Maintain customer satisfaction ratings of 4.5 out of 5.0 for the applications represented by the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be able to resolve system problems and remediation would suffer.	Target)		
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Industrial Facility Completions at ETTP	74 Industrial Facility Completions at ETTP	74 Industrial Facility Completions at ETTP	As of 6/30/07, 4 Industrial Facility Completions (5% of Target)		
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETTP	2,112 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETTP	2,112 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed at ETTP	As of 6/30/07, 716 Cubic Meters of Low Level Waste Disposed (34% of Target)		
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Nuclear Facility Completions at ETTP	1 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETTP	1 Nuclear Facility Completion at ETTP	As of 6/30/07, 1 Nuclear Facility Completion (100% of Target)		
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Radiological Facility Completions at ETTP	7 Radiological Facility Completions at ETTP	7 Radiological Facility Completions at ETTP	As of 6/30/07, 7 Radiological Facility Completions (100% of Target)		
2007	GOAL 4.1	Mission and	Environmental	Environmental	Release Site	18 Release Site	18 Release Site	As of 6/30/07, 2		

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 7 of 39

	Strategic	Measurement	Measurement	Measurement	Measurement		1	
Fiscal Year	Goal(s) Supported	Area	Category	Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Business Results	Management	Remediation	Completions at ETTP	Completions at ETTP	Completions at ETTP	Release Site Completions (11% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation	Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETTP	289 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETTP	289 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped at ETTP	As of 6/30/07, 0 Cubic Meters of TRU Waste Shipped (0% of Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance	Reduce subcontracted maintenance costs from the previous year by 2%	('06) \$1,346K	1319	As of 6/30/07, maintenance cost is \$987K - on Target to achieve savings
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy	No unauthorized release of PII	('06) 0 Unauthorized releases of PII	Maintain 0 unauthorized releases of PII for the applications contained in the CBA investment	As of 6/30/07, 0 unauthorized releases of PII (equal to Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Security	No Unauthorized Access or Malicious Code	('05) Unauthorized Access - 0; Malicious Code - 0	Maintain 0 successful unauthorized accesses and 0 malicious code attacks for the applications contained in the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, there could be potential for disruptions and compromise of business processes and data.	As of 6/30/07, 0 unauthorized access incidents and 0 malicious code attacks reported to FISMA (equal to Target)
2007	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Reliability and Availability	Reliability	99.0% Availability	('05) 99.0% Availability	Maintain computing resource availability of 99.0% for the resources supporting the CBA investment. If this investment were not funded, we would not be likely to meet the planned performance targets for disposal of Low Level and Mixed Low Level	As of 6/30/07, availability is 99.8% (101% of Target)

Performance Information Table									
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results	
							Waste.		
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered					
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance					

Performance Information Table										
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results		
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy						
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Security						
2008	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Reliability and Availability	Reliability						
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered						
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation						
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation						
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation						

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Security				
2009	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				

Performance In	nformation Table	<u> </u>			inistrative (CBA			
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup - Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Security				

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2010	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				

Performance Ir	formation Table					1 - 1	,	
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security				
2011	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Customer Results	Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Mission and Business Results	Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Information and Technology Management	System Maintenance				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Privacy				
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Security and Privacy	Security				

Performance Information Table									
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results	
2012	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Reliability and Availability	Reliability					
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Service Quality	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered					
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy		Environmental Management	Environmental Remediation					
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy			System Maintenance					

Performance In	Performance Information Table										
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results			
2013			Security and Privacy	Privacy							
2013			Security and Privacy	Security							
2013	GOAL 4.1 Environmental Cleanup – Complete cleanup of the contaminated nuclear weapons manufacturing and testing sites across the U.S. Department of Energy	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability							

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 17 of 39

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):								
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)					

4. Operational Sys	tems - Security 1	able:					
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Accruals Management (ACCRUALS)							
ACL Data Extraction and Analysis (ACL)							
Annual Benefits Statement (PENSBENF)							
Bechtel Procurement System (BPS)							
BJC WebWhos							
Employee Information (WebWhos)							
Capital Work in Progress (CWIP)							
Commitments (COMMITMENTS)							
Confined Space Module (CSM)							
Corporate Citizenship Database (Grants) (CCDB)							
Cost Engineering Estimating System (CEES)							
Cyborg Reporting Solution (TRS)							
Data Warehouse (DW)							
Digital Dialer Fire Alarm System (DDFAS)							
Dosimetry Records System (DRS)							
Electronic Document Management System (EDMS)							
Electronic Invoices (EINV)							
eMWaste (EMWASTE)							
Engineering Design Information System (EDIS)							
Environmental Restoration Waste Generation							
Forecast (ERWGF) eTrack (eTrack)							
ETTP Badge Imaging System (EBIS)			<u></u>				
ETTP Security Access Control System (ESACS)							
Facility Acceptance Testing-Container AnalysisTool							

4. Operational Syst		ETTP (BJC) Contra Fable:	actor Dusiness ai		e (CDA) Systems		
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
(FATCAT)							
Facility Information Management System (FIMS)							
Facility Information Tracking System (FITS)							
Fee Database (FEE)							
File Review Checklist (FRC)							
FIREHOUSE Staff Activity and Training (FIREHOUSE)							
FitMaster II (FITPLUS)							
Fleet Management (FLEETMGT)							
Flexible Spending Accounts (FLEX)							
Funds Management (FM)							
General Locator Information & Non-Employee System (GLI-NES Web)							
Generator Entry System (GES)							
Government Transfers (GVT_TRN)							
Hazardous Material Information System (HMIS)							
Historical Data for Legal Organization (LEGALHIST)							
Human Resources Information System (HRIS)							
Human Resources Management System (HRMS)							
Human Resources System/Payroll System (CYBORG)							
I Care - We Care (ICARE)							
Industrial Hygiene Analytical System (IHAS)							
Initial Incident Notification (IIN)							
Instrument Calibration System (ICS)							
Insurance Eligibility (INSELIG)							
Integrated Drug Testing System (IDTS)							
Issues/Corrective Actions Tracking System (ICATS)							
Job Posting / Applicant Tracking (APPTRAC)							
K25/K27 D&D Project Personnel							

4. Operational Sys					e (CBA) Systems		
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Database (DDEP)							
K25/K27 Photo Gallery (K25Photo)							
K25/K27 Surveillance Tracking System (K25STS)							
K-screen Transaction Import to Cyborg (KTRANS)							
Large Scale Classification Review (LSCR)							
Legacy Claims (LCLAIMS)							
Lessons Learned System (LESSONS)							
Local Area Network Materials Accounting System (LANMAS)							
Magic Service Desk Suite (MAGIC-IT)							
Maintenance Job Request Database (MJRD)							
MARS Reporting (MARS)							
Material Safety Data System (MSDS)							
Medgate (Medgate)							
Network Registration (NETREG)							
Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (OREIS)							
Oracle Financials (BJCFIN)							
Oracle Financials Isix Interfaces (OFII)							
Outgoing Transfer Vouchers Database (OUTVOUCH)							
Payroll Absence and Labor System (PALS)							
PCWorks (PCWorks)							
Pension Actuarial (PENSACTU)							
Pension Estimate System (PES)							
Pool Liquidation (POOLS)							
Positive Pay and Check Reconciliation (POSPAY)							
Powertool (POWERTOOL)							
Primavera Project Planner (P3)							
Procedures Tracking Database (PROC)							

4. Operational Sys		able:	ictor Dusiness al		e (CDA) Systems		
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Proforma Document Change Management (PDCM)							
Project Environmental Measurements System (PEMS)							
Project Management Cost Processor (PMCP)							
Property Information System (PRISM)							
PROTRAC Automated Fire Data Management System (PROTRAC)							
Purchasing Accounting Reporting Information System (PARIS)							
Quantity Reporting System (QRS)							
Radioactive Airborne Contamination Survey (RACS)							
Radiological Work Permit (RWP) System (RWP)							
Records Inventory System (RIS)							
Regulatory Agreement Information Management (Rev) (RAIMSLight)							
Reindustrialization Request for Services (RRFS)							
Release of Information (CICO)							
Risk Assessment Information System (RAIS)							
Root Cause Tree Software (TAPROOT)							
Server Asset Management and Official Applications (SAMOA)							
Service Centers (SC)							
Split-Funding Database (SPLITFND)							
Subcontractor Benefits Information System (SBIS)							
Subcontractor Job Posting (SUBPOST)							
Subcontractor Submittal Register (SSR)							
SunTrust On-line Treasury Manager (SunTrust)							

4. Operational Sys	tems - Security T	able:			<u> </u>		
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
Supplier Questionnaire (Supplier)							
SurfControl (SURF)							
Survey Entry and Reporting System (SERS)							
Suspense (SUSPEN)							
TeamMate (TEAMMATE)							
Tracker (TRACKER)							
Universal Computer Access Mgmt System (UCAMS)							
UT-B External Dosimetry Data Management System (EDDMSLMER)							
UT-Battelle Bioassay Data Management System (BDMSLMER)							
UT-Battelle Exposure History (EHLMER)							
Vision 2010 Reindustrialization Information System (VRIS)							
Waste Acc. Criteria Forecasting Analysis Cap. Syst (WACFACS)							
Westcorp Check Writing System (Westcorp)							
Work For Others (WFO)							
XtremePM (XTREMEPM)							

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operation	8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:								
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation				
Accruals Management (ACCRUALS)	No		The PIA was conducted on an internal system;		No, because the system is not a Privacy Act				

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	Explanation
			however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		system of records.
ACL Data Extraction and Analysis (ACL)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Annual Benefits Statement (PENSBENF)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Bechtel Procurement System (BPS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
BJC WebWhos Employee Information (WebWhos)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Capital Work in Progress (CWIP)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Commitments (COMMITMENTS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Confined Space Module (CSM)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Corporate Citizenship Database (Grants) (CCDB)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Cost Engineering Estimating System (CEES)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Cyborg Reporting Solution (TRS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 23 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		
Data Warehouse (DW)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Digital Dialer Fire Alarm System (DDFAS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Dosimetry Records System (DRS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Electronic Document Management System (EDMS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Electronic Invoices (EINV)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
eMWaste (EMWASTE)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Engineering Design Information System (EDIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Environmental Restoration Waste Generation Forecast (ERWGF)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
eTrack (eTrack)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
ETTP Badge Imaging System (EBIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 24 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			required to be publicly posted.		
ETTP Security Access Control System (ESACS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Facility Acceptance Testing-Container AnalysisTool (FATCAT)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Facility Information Management System (FIMS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Facility Information Tracking System (FITS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Fee Database (FEE)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
File Review Checklist (FRC)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FIREHOUSE Staff Activity and Training (FIREHOUSE)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
FitMaster II (FITPLUS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Fleet Management (FLEETMGT)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Flexible Spending Accounts (FLEX)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 25 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	Explanation
Funds Management (FM)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
General Locator Information & Non- Employee System (GLI- NES Web)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Generator Entry System (GES)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Government Transfers (GVT_TRN)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Hazardous Material Information System (HMIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Historical Data for Legal Organization (LEGALHIST)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Human Resources Information System (HRIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Human Resources Management System (HRMS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Human Resources System/Payroll System (CYBORG)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
I Care - We Care (ICARE)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Industrial Hygiene Analytical System (IHAS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system;	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 26 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	Explanation
			however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		system of records.
Initial Incident Notification (IIN)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Instrument Calibration System (ICS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Insurance Eligibility (INSELIG)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Integrated Drug Testing System (IDTS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Issues/Corrective Actions Tracking System (ICATS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Job Posting / Applicant Tracking (APPTRAC)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
K25/K27 D&D Project Personnel Database (DDEP)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
K25/K27 Photo Gallery (K25Photo)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
K25/K27 Surveillance Tracking System (K25STS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
K-screen Transaction Import to Cyborg (KTRANS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 27 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		
Large Scale Classification Review (LSCR)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Legacy Claims (LCLAIMS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Lessons Learned System (LESSONS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Local Area Network Materials Accounting System (LANMAS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Magic Service Desk Suite (MAGIC-IT)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Maintenance Job Request Database (MJRD)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
MARS Reporting (MARS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Material Safety Data System (MSDS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Medgate (Medgate)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Network Registration (NETREG)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 28 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			required to be publicly posted.		
Oak Ridge Environmental Information System (OREIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Oracle Financials (BJCFIN)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Oracle Financials Isix Interfaces (OFII)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Outgoing Transfer Vouchers Database (OUTVOUCH)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Payroll Absence and Labor System (PALS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PCWorks (PCWorks)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Pension Actuarial (PENSACTU)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Pension Estimate System (PES)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Pool Liquidation (POOLS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Positive Pay and Check Reconciliation (POSPAY)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted. 2 2008 - 10:29 A M	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 29 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	Explanation
Powertool (POWERTOOL)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Primavera Project Planner (P3)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Procedures Tracking Database (PROC)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Proforma Document Change Management (PDCM)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Project Environmental Measurements System (PEMS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Project Management Cost Processor (PMCP)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Property Information System (PRISM)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
PROTRAC Automated Fire Data Management System (PROTRAC)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Purchasing Accounting Reporting Information System (PARIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Quantity Reporting System (QRS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Radioactive Airborne Contamination Survey	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system;	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 30 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
(RACS)			however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		system of records.
Radiological Work Permit (RWP) System (RWP)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Records Inventory System (RIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Regulatory Agreement Information Management (Rev) (RAIMSLight)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Reindustrialization Request for Services (RRFS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Release of Information (CICO)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Risk Assessment Information System (RAIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Root Cause Tree Software (TAPROOT)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Server Asset Management and Official Applications (SAMOA)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Service Centers (SC)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Split-Funding Database (SPLITFND)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 31 of 39

	al Systems - Privacy Ta	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact	(d) Internet Link or	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN)	(f) Internet Link or
(a) Name of System	system? (Y/N)	Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	required for this system? (Y/N)	Explanation
			for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.		
Subcontractor Benefits Information System (SBIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Subcontractor Job Posting (SUBPOST)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Subcontractor Submittal Register (SSR)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SunTrust On-line Treasury Manager (SunTrust)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Supplier Questionnaire (Supplier)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
SurfControl (SURF)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Survey Entry and Reporting System (SERS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Suspense (SUSPEN)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
TeamMate (TEAMMATE)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Tracker (TRACKER)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 32 of 39

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			required to be publicly posted.		
Universal Computer Access Mgmt System (UCAMS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
UT-B External Dosimetry Data Management System (EDDMSLMER)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
UT-Battelle Bioassay Data Management System (BDMSLMER)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
UT-Battelle Exposure History (EHLMER)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Vision 2010 Reindustrialization Information System (VRIS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Waste Acc. Criteria Forecasting Analysis Cap. Syst (WACFACS)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	Νο	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Westcorp Check Writing System (Westcorp)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
Work For Others (WFO)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.
XtremePM (XTREMEPM)	No	Yes	The PIA was conducted on an internal system; however, since personally identifiable information for the public is not captured, it is not required to be publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Details for Text Options: Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:							
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation		
Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.							

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target Yes enterprise architecture?

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

Yes

Architecture Transition Plan)

Yes

ETTP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA)

System (see section 2.1.3.2.5.1, page 58 of the DOE Enterprise

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as Site and Facility Remediation provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov. Service Service FEA SRM Agency Agency Internal or FEA SRM FEA SRM **BY Funding** Component Component Component Name Component Description Service External . Reused Name . Reused UPI ercentage (d) Service Type Component (a Reuse? (c) Domain (b) (b) Back Office Asset / Materials Computers / Supports Computers / Internal Management maintenance of Automation Services Automation Management applications and Management server information and password distribution and maintenance Property / Asset Support the Back Office Asset / Materials Property / Asset Interna Management dentification, Services Management Management planning and allocation of an organization's physical capital and resources Data Warehouse Support the Back Office Data Data Warehouse Internal Services archiving and Management storage of large volumes of data Extraction and Supports the Back Office Internal Data Extraction and Fransformation extraction of Services Management Fransformation data from applications data and transformation to a useful data structure for reporting and query Involve the Back Office Financial Pavroll Pavroll No Reuse administration Services Management and determination of employees' compensation Support the Back Office Benefit Human Benefit Internal Resources Management enrollment and Services Management participation in

> Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 34 of 39

Exhibit 300: ETTP (BJC) Contractor Business and Administrative (CBA) Systems (Revision 11)
--

Identify the servi	ce components fu	e Model (SRM) 1 nded by this majo	Table: or IT investment (e	e.g., knowledge ma detailed guidance	anagement, conte	nt management,	customer relation	
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	an organization's compensation and benefits programs							
Health and Safety	Support the security and physical well- being of an organization's employees	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Health and Safety			Internal	
Personnel Administration	Support the matching between an organization's employees and potential opportunities as well as the modification, action and general upkeep of an organization's employee- specific information	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Personnel Administration			Internal	
Recruiting	Support the identification and hiring of employees for an organization	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Recruiting			Internal	
Retirement Management	Support the payment of benefits to retirees	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Retirement Management			Internal	
Time Reporting	Support the submission, approval and adjustment of an employee's hours	Back Office Services	Human Resources	Time Reporting			Internal	
Quality Management	Help determine the level that a product or service satisfies certain requirements	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Quality Management			Internal	
Library / Storage	Support document and data warehousing and archiving	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Library / Storage			No Reuse	

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.							
FEA SRM Component (a) FEA TRM Service Area FEA TRM Service Category FEA TRM Service Standard Service Specification (I (i.e., vendor and produ name)							
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis				
Time Reporting	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display				

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 35 of 39

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product
Personnel Administration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	name)
Benefit Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Retirement Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Quality Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
Computers / Automation Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	
ime Reporting	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Personnel Administration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Benefit Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Data Warehouse	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Retirement Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Quality Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Computers / Automation Nanagement	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Recruiting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Recruiting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
lealth and Safety	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Benefit Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Retirement Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Computers / Automation Nanagement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Recruiting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
ime Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Health and Safety	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Benefit Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 36 of 39

5. Technical Reference Mode To demonstrate how this major		FEA Technical Reference Model (T	RM), please list the Service Area	s. Categories. Standards, and
Service Specifications supportin		FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Retirement Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Extraction and Transformation	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Computers / Automation Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Payroll	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Quality Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Personnel Administration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Benefit Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Retirement Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Time Reporting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Health and Safety	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or No applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?	Yes
a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?	8/17/2007
b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?	No
c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:	

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was operational analysis conducted?	Yes
a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed.	5/14/2007

b. If "yes," what were the results?

Since this investment represents over 100 individual applications, the operational analysis is performed at 2 levels. Cost and schedule at the application level are reviewed in weekly meetings with the applications support staff, the Applications Manager, and the Chief Information Officer. Cost and performance at the investment level are reviewed during monthly estimate at completion (EAC) evaluations. Earned Value Management is integrated into the evaluation process. In addition, meetings are held with ETTP functions (e.g., Human Resources, Finance, Payroll, Environment Safety & Health, Project Controls, Waste Management) on an as-needed basis to resolve application issues.

FY07 through 6/30/07 FY07 Work Scheduled = \$1.85M Work Performed through 6/30/07 = \$1.35M Percent Complete = 75% Actual Cost of Work Performed = \$1.35M

From FY 1997 through FY 2004 numerous upgrades and enhancements were made to these applications to ensure that the functions were adequately supported and that the applications achieved maximum return on investment. Through these efforts, manual processing by functional staff was significantly reduced.

During FY 2005, ETTP began the implementation of a new information technology (IT) service model in which the number of applications support staff decreased significantly, and these individuals moved on site at ETTP. This enabled ETTP to meet FY 2005 cost reduction targets. Cost reductions continued in FY 2006 as the majority of applications were in stay-alive mode. Minimal changes are expected to these applications for the duration of the current contract.

c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Contractor and Government Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)?

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table:

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 38 of 39

Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table							
Milestone Number	Description of	Planned		Actual		Variance	
	Milestone	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Schedule (# days)	Cost(\$M)
1	FY04 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2004	\$8.2	9/30/2004	\$7.958	0	\$0.242
2	FY05 Maintenance and Production Operations	9/30/2005	\$3.43	9/30/2005	\$3.219	0	\$0.211
3	FY06 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2006	\$3.5	9/30/2006	\$3.51	0	\$-0.01
4	FY07 Maintenance and Development	9/30/2007	\$1.85	6/30/2007	\$1.377	92	\$0.473

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:29 AM Page 39 of 39