POLICY ISSUE (Information)

November 5, 2008 SECY-08-0171

FOR: The Commissioners

FROM: R. W. Borchardt

Executive Director for Operations

<u>SUBJECT</u>: PLAN FOR STABILIZING FIRE PROTECTION REGULATORY

INFRASTRUCTURE

PURPOSE:

To provide the Commission with an update on the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's development of the Fire Protection Closure Plan for stabilizing the fire protection regulatory infrastructure, in accordance with the direction to the staff provided in Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) M080717, entitled, "Briefing on Fire Protection Issues," dated July 29, 2008.

BACKGROUND:

In SRM-M080717, the Commission directed the staff to provide a Fire Protection Closure Plan including milestones and deliverables. The Commission also directed the staff to include options for accelerating the completion of the various fire protection issues and the applicable budget implications.

In SRM-M080717, the Commission directed the staff to include budget implications for accelerating the completion of the various fire protection issues on FY 2009 and FY 2010 budgets in the Fire Protection Closure Plan. Several tasks included in the Closure Plan as a result of the SRM-M080717 and the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) audit of fire protection are not budgeted for FY 2009 and FY 2010. The staff will provide the budget implications of these tasks and the options for accelerating completion of all critical fire protection tasks in December 2008.

CONTACT: Daniel Frumkin, NRR/DRA

301-415-2280

The staff has completed the following significant tasks since the July 17, 2008, fire protection briefing to the Commission:

- Staff completed the acceptance review of the first-of-a-kind license amendment request for Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant for a proposed amendment to transition to the new fire protection licensing basis under the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Section 50.48(c), National Fire Protection Association Standard 805 (NFPA 805). That application was accepted for staff review. This was documented in a letter dated September 26, 2008, to the licensee.
- The staff confirmed through inspection that licensees not transitioning to NFPA 805, where their fire protection program includes Hemyc and MT fire barrier materials, have resolved issues with the Hemyc and MT materials by completing plant modifications and/or requesting and receiving NRC staff approval of changes to their licensing bases. For those licensees transitioning to NFPA 805, the staff has confirmed that appropriate compensatory measures are in place for deficient Hemyc materials during the quarterly inspections conducted by the resident inspectors. These compensatory measures will remain in place pending the completion of the transition.

DISCUSSION:

The enclosure includes a Closure Plan that provides milestones and deliverables to stabilize the regulatory infrastructure pertaining to:

- NFPA 805;
- Hemyc and MT electrical raceway fire barrier systems, fire-induced circuit failures, and postfire operator manual actions;
- the effectiveness of ongoing improvements to the fire protection regulatory framework using recent plant data;
- training for the staff on important fire protection historical lessons;
- recommendations made by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO); and
- a survey of internal stakeholders to identify any additional fire protection regulatory framework issues that require action.

This Closure Plan is an update of the plan issued by the Fire Protection Steering Committee in July 2008. It includes those staff actions necessary to establish the regulatory stability of fire protection infrastructure (provided as background where applicable), establish structure for enforcement, develop implementing guidance (e.g., issuance of regulatory guides and standard review plans), and validate the adequacy of that implementing guidance. The Closure Plan does not include routine staff actions such as evaluating operating experience, performing inspections, completing licensing action reviews, and conducting research. The staff plans to provide periodic (semiannual) updates to the Commission regarding implementation of this

Closure Plan.

The following three specific examples of routine staff activities are currently underway:

- Follow-up actions with respect to Generic Letter 2006-03. The staff reviewed licensee responses to Generic Letter 2006-03, "Potentially Nonconforming Hemyc and MT Fire Barrier Configurations," and ensured that Hemyc was properly dispositioned by completing inspections of Hemyc related plant modifications and review and approval of Hemyc related licensing actions. We will begin verification of licensees' responses on other electrical raceway fire barrier systems to ensure that they have been qualified for their applications.
- Documenting the results of completing electrical raceway fire barrier system actions. The staff is preparing a NUREG series report similar to the one for penetration seals (NUREG-1552, "Fire Barrier Penetration Seals in Nuclear Power Plants," issued July 1996) that will document the completion and closure of this complex issue. The report will consolidate documentation regarding all known raceway fire barrier systems including their effectiveness, information regarding the fire endurance testing of the systems, and how the NRC achieved closure for any related open issues. These systems include but are not limited to Thermo-Lag, Kaowool, and Hemyc. If any new issues are identified by this study, the staff will add them to the Closure Plan.
- <u>Direct Current Circuit (DC) testing program.</u> The body of knowledge related to fire-induced circuit failures has mainly been generated as a result of fire testing of alternating current (AC) circuits. Some recent testing performed by the industry has indicated that the results for AC circuits may not be fully representative of what might occur as a result of fire-induced damage to DC circuits. The staff has decided to perform fire testing of cables using configurations that are representative of safety significant DC circuits and components in order to better understand the probability of spurious actuations and the duration of those actuations in DC circuits. If any new issues are identified by this study, the staff will disposition them appropriately and may add them to the Closure Plan.

COORDINATION:

The Office of the General Counsel has reviewed this paper and has no legal objections.

/RA Bruce Mallett for/

R. W. Borchardt Executive Director for Operations

Enclosure:

Fire Protection Closure Plan

Fire Protection Closure Plan

Stabilizing Fire Protection Regulatory Infrastructure

October 2008

Accession Number

ML082840659

ACRONYMS

1Q First Quarter
2Q Second Quarter
3Q Third Quarter
4Q Fourth Quarter
AC Alternating Current
CY Calendar Year

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DC Direct Current

EGM Enforcement Guidance Memorandum
EPRI Electric Power Research Institute
ERFBS Electrical Raceway Fire Barrier System

FAQ Frequently Asked Question

FPSC Fire Protection Steering Committee

FRN Federal Register Notice

GAO U.S. Government Accountability Office

GL Generic Letter
IN Information Notice

LAR License Amendment Request
LER Licensee Event Reports
ONS Oconee Nuclear Station
OMA Operator Manual Action
NEI Nuclear Energy Institute

NFPA National Fire Protection Association

NPP Nuclear Power Plant

NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NUREG NRC Technical Report Designation (Nuclear Regulatory Commission)

NUREG/CR
RIS
Regulatory Issue Summary
PRA
Probabilistic Risk Assessment
SER
Safety Evaluation Report

SNP Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant SRM Staff Requirements Memorandum

Task #1 Stabilize the Regulatory Infrastructure Supporting Transition to Risk-Informed and Performance-Based Fire Protection Regulation -10 CFR 50.48(c) and NFPA 805

Objective To develop and validate regulatory processes that facilitate predictable, efficient, and effective transition of operating nuclear power plants to NRC's risk-informed and performance-based fire protection requirements.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when the regulatory infrastructure is in place and the safety evaluation reports of the NFPA 805 pilot plants are issued. Review and approval of subsequent LARs is considered routine staff activity.

Background The NFPA Standards Council approved NFPA Standard 805, "Performance-Based Standard for Fire Protection for Light-Water Reactor Electric Generating Plants, 2001 Edition," (NFPA 805) on January 13, 2001, as a performance-based standard for light-water nuclear power plants. The NRC staff cooperatively participated in the development of NFPA 805. Published in February 2001, NFPA 805 describes a methodology for existing light-water nuclear power plants to apply performance-based requirements and fundamental fire protection design elements to establish fire protection systems and features for all modes of operation as well as a methodology for establishing fire protection procedures, systems, and features for decommissioning and permanently shut down nuclear power plants.

> The Commission approved the final rule incorporating NFPA 805 into 10 CFR Part 50 by reference via an SRM dated May 11, 2004, entitled, "Final Rule: Revision of 10 CFR 50.48 to Allow Performance-Based Approaches Using National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 805, 'Performance-Based Standard for Fire Protection for Light Water Reactor Electric Generating." The rule was published on June 16, 2004, and became effective July 16, 2004. The Commission provided certain enforcement discretion as an incentive for licensees to adopt NFPA 805. Two licensees, Progress Energy and Duke Energy, volunteered Shearon Harris and Oconee respectively to become pilot plants for the transition to NFPA 805. Consequently, the staff kicked off the pilot implementation in August 2005. The staff supported the transition effort with observation visits. These observation visits document pilot plant implementation through publicly available trip reports.

> In June 2006, the staff issued Regulatory Guide 1.205, "Risk-Informed, Performance-Based Fire Protection for Existing Light-Water Nuclear Power Plants." In July 2006, the staff endorsed the industry proposal to establish an FAQ program to provide timely clarification of issues emerging at plants transitioning to NFPA 805. The staff is holding monthly public meetings with the industry to discuss emerging issues.

As of today, operators of 48 reactor units have sent letters of intent indicating their commitment to transition to NFPA 805. In 2008, the two pilot plants submitted to the NRC their license amendment requests for review.

Steps to Closure Due CY Quarter

Establish Regulatory Foundation

NFPA 805 issued Complete: 01/2001

10 CFR 50.48(c) promulgated Complete: 06/2004

Structure for Enforcement

New 10 CFR 50.48 enforcement policy for NFPA Complete: 06/2004

805 provides a 2-year enforcement discretion

period

NFPA 805 enforcement policy revised to address
Complete: 01/2005

licensee budgetary cycles to end of 2005 for

existing non-compliances

NFPA 805 enforcement policy revised to provide Complete: 04/2006

a 3-year enforcement discretion period

Commission approves revised enforcement Complete: 09/2008 discretion policy for enforcement discretion to extend 6 months past issuance of the second

pilot plant's SER

extend 6 months past issuance of the second

Develop Implementation Guidance

Industry implementation guidance NEI 04-02, Complete: 09/2005

Revision 1, issued

NRC and EPRI jointly issue NUREG/CR-6850, Complete: 09/2005 Fire PRA NUREG Methodology

Regulatory Guide 1.205 issued as guidance for Complete: 05/2006

plants adopting NFPA 805

Standard Review Plan for NFPA 805

Complete FAQ clarification of NUREG/CR-6850 2009-2Q

Issue revised Regulatory Guide 1.205 and

Validate Implementation

Letter of intent for first pilot plant (Oconee) Complete: 02/2005

Letter of Intent for second pilot plant (Harris) Complete: 06/2005

Pilot plant LAR received for Harris Complete: 05/2008

Pilot plant LAR received for Oconee Complete: 06/2008

Final Closure

Pilot plant SERs issued 2009-3Q

Complete development of post-transition inspection procedures and inspector training

2009-3Q

Task #2 Hemyc and MT Electrical Raceway Fire Barrier (ERFBS) Closure for Plants **Transitioning to NFPA 805**

Objective To complete actions to address Hemyc and MT ERFBS questions.

Definition of Closure

Closure of the safety issue has been achieved. This task remains open until the staff issues a report documenting closeout of Hemyc and MT barrier issues.

Background In response to the Browns Ferry fire, the NRC created fire protection regulations and guidelines to ensure that NPPs can be safely shut down in the event of a fire. An important new requirement of these rules was the protection of redundant trains of equipment and cables required to place the plant in a safe shutdown state. When these redundant trains of cables and equipment were in the same room or fire area, licensees often installed ERFBS to achieve the required separation.

> Through the 1990's and 2000's, various concerns were raised about different designs and manufacturers of ERFBS. The NRC staff responded to these concerns by taking a variety of actions. One barrier material (Hemyc) raised more complex concerns. As a result, the NRC initiated full scale fire tests in 2004 on Hemyc. The test results indicated that Hemyc did not perform consistent with its rating for the configurations tested. The NRC issued GL 2006-03, "Potentially Nonconforming Hemyc and MT Fire Barrier Configurations," to aid in achieving final resolution of Hemyc issues.

The GL required licensees to address the Hemyc issue and describe how other fire barrier materials are capable of providing the appropriate fire resistance rating. By the end of calendar year 2007, the NRC staff reviewed all responses to the GL and concluded that the licensees have provided the requested information. Also, by the end of calendar year 2007, the NRC approved all of the licensing actions to address Hemyc issues at non-805 plants. Closure was also confirmed by inspections, which were completed at non-805 plans in September 2008.

Steps to Closure **Due CY Quarter**

Establish Regulatory Foundation

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R, Section III.G, Complete: 11/1980

promulgated

Structure for Enforcement

Non-conformances with respect to regulatory requirements are enforced using the normal enforcement process.

N/A

Develop Implementation Guidance

Staff issued IN 2005-07, "Results of HEMYC Electrical Raceway Fire Barrier System Full

Scale Fire Testing"

Staff issued GL 2006-03, "Potentially Complete: 04/2006 Nonconforming Hemyc and MT Fire Barrier

Complete: 04/2005

Configurations"

Validate Implementation

Staff responded to all GL 2006-03 information Complete: 12/2007

requests

Confirm closure via inspections related to Complete: 09/2008

GL 2006-03 Hemyc and MT

Final Closure

Issue final close-out documentation 2008-4Q

Task #3 Stabilize Regulatory Infrastructure to Resolve Fire-Induced Circuit Failure Issue

Objective

To develop and validate a predictable, efficient, and effective process to resolve issues associated with possible fire-induced circuit failures at plants not transitioning to NFPA 805.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when the regulatory infrastructure is in place and the staff completes validation of an application of the circuit resolution methodology. Review and approval of subsequent use of the circuit failure resolution methodology by individual licensees is considered routine staff activity.

Background In response to the Browns Ferry fire, the NRC created fire protection regulations and guidelines to ensure that NPPs can be safely shut down in the event of a fire. An important requirement of these rules was the protection of redundant equipment and cables required to place the plant in a safe shutdown state. This included a requirement to protect circuits from failure or mal-operation.

> Beginning in 1997, a series of LERs identified plant-specific problems related to potential fire-induced electrical circuit failures that could affect equipment necessary to achieve and maintain safe shutdown. The NRC staff issued IN 99-17, "Problems Associated with Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown Circuit Analyses," on June 3,1999, to document additional problems.

> In 2001, EPRI and NEI performed a series of cable functionality fire tests to further the nuclear industry's understanding of fire-induced circuit failures, particularly spurious equipment actuations initiated by circuit failures. Based on the test results and continued interactions with industry, the NRC staff concluded that clarification of regulatory expectations was needed to assure safety and provide clear regulatory expectations in the area of fire-induced circuit failures and, where appropriate, to make plant changes to mitigate such failures.

Steps to Closure **Due CY Quarter**

Establish Regulatory Foundation

Letter from S. Collins (NRC) to R. Beedle (NEI) Complete: 03/1997 regarding spurious actuations

Structure for Enforcement

Staff issues EGM 98-002, "Disposition of Complete: 03/1998 Violations of Appendix R. Sections III.G and II.L, Regarding Circuit Failures"

Staff issues updated EGM for fire-induced 2009-1Q circuit failures, including Commission direction.

Develop Implementation Guidance

EPRI/NEI circuit failure testing completed at Complete: 06/2001 Omega Point Laboratories, Elmendorf, Texas Staff and industry publish through EPRI, Complete: 05/2002 "Spurious Actuation of Electrical Circuits Due to Cable Fires: Results of an Expert Elicitation" Staff issued RIS 2004-03, Revision 1, "Risk-Complete: 12/2004 Informed Approach for Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown **Associated Circuit Inspections**" Industry published NEI 00-01, Revision 1 Complete: 01/2005 Staff issued RIS 2005-30, "Clarification of Complete: 12/2005 Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown Circuit Regulatory Requirements" Commission issued SRM-SECY-06-0196, Complete: 09/2006 "Issuance of Generic Letter 2006-XX, 'Post-Fire Safe-Shutdown Circuits Analysis Spurious Actuations" Staff completes additional testing for Complete: 04/2008 RIS 2004-03 and issues Cable Response to Live Fire (CAROLFIRE) NUREG/CR-6931 Volumes 1, 2, and 3 Complete: 06/2008 Staff transmitted SECY 2008-0093, "Resolution of Issues Related to Fire-Induced Circuit Failures," to Commission for action Industry revises NEI 00-01, Revision 2 2008-4Q Publish RIS including draft regulatory guide 2009-1Q clarification of circuits' expectations Issue final regulatory guide for fire induced 2009-3Q circuit failures **Validate Implementation** Licensees begin work to resolve circuits issues 2009-1Q

Establish mechanism to validate circuits issue

disposition method

Final Closure

Complete validation of the circuits issue disposition method

Task #4 Stabilize Regulatory Infrastructure to Resolve Post-Fire Operator Manual Action Issues

Objective To ensure that licensees complete appropriate actions related to the inappropriate crediting of post-fire operator manual actions.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when the regulatory infrastructure is in place and the licensees submit requests for license amendments, exemptions, or complete modifications validating the effectiveness of the infrastructure. Review and approval of those applications is considered routine staff activity.

Background In response to the Browns Ferry fire, the NRC created fire protection regulations and guidelines to ensure that NPPs can be safely shut down in the event of a fire. An important requirement of these rules was the protection of redundant equipment and cables required to place the plant in a safe shutdown state. Where separation of redundant equipment could not be achieved, licensees were permitted, under certain conditions, to use post-fire OMAs to mitigate the effects of the fire.

> In 2000, NRC inspections identified that some licensees compensated for the lack of approved separation by relying on operator manual actions under conditions not permitted by NRC. NRC issued RIS 2006-10 to clarify expectations.

With the intention of providing licensees an opportunity to find and correct unapproved post-fire OMAs, the NRC issued enforcement discretion for licensee-identified unapproved post-fire OMAs. This discretion provided a period of time for licensees to self-identify unapproved post-fire OMAs and also provided time for the licensees to bring those unapproved post-fire OMAs into compliance without NRC taking enforcement action. The NRC expects the unapproved post-fire OMAs to be resolved through reanalysis, procedure changes, modifications, or by requesting approval from the NRC. Facilities transitioning to NFPA 805 will address OMAs as part of the transition.

The NRC also issued NUREG-1852, "Demonstrating the Feasibility and Reliability of Operator Manual Actions in Response to Fire," to assist NRC staff in reviewing post-fire OMA applications under conditions permitted by the NRC. NUREG-1852 is publicly available so that licensees are able to examine the factors that the NRC staff will review.

Licensees are expected to complete their modifications or submit information for NRC acceptance by March 6, 2009, the date that the enforcement discretion expires.

Steps to Closure Due CY Quarter

Establish Regulatory Foundation

Commission issues SRM-SECY-04-0233, "Proposed Rulemaking - Post-Fire Operator Manual Actions," dated January 18, 2005 Complete: 01/2005

Staff issues Fire Protection Program - Post-Fire Operator Manual Actions Federal Register Notice 71 FR 11169, March 1, 2005 – Withdrawal of the proposed rule

Complete: 03/2005

Structure for Enforcement

Staff issues enforcement discretion for OMAs as part of EGM 2007-004 for OMAs – Enforcement discretion ends March 2009

Complete: 06/2007

Develop Implementation Guidance

Staff publishes NRC RIS 2006-10, "Regulatory Expectations with Appendix R, Paragraph III.G.2, Operator Manual Actions"

Complete: 06/2006

Staff published NUREG-1852, "Demonstrating the Feasibility and Reliability of Operator Manual Actions in Response to Fire"

Complete: 10/2007

Validate Implementation

Licensees complete corrective actions and/or request amendments/exemptions

2009-1Q

Staff validates the effectiveness of the infrastructure completing review of one licensee's resolution of the issue

2010-2Q

Final Closure

Infrastructure stabilized and validated

Task #5 **Assess Regulatory Effectiveness**

Objective Assess the effectiveness of the ongoing stabilization of the fire protection regulatory framework.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when a monitoring process is in place and the baseline is established. Ongoing implementation of the process is considered routine staff

Background On July 29, 2008, the Commission directed the staff in SRM M080717, "Briefing on Fire Protection Issues," to provide the Commission a plan to assess the effectiveness of the ongoing improvements to the fire protection regulatory framework using recent plant data to establish a baseline. Such a baseline could be, for example, the number and general type of all open fire protection deficiencies that were compensated and the manner of compensation used in CY2007.

> The GAO Report 08-747, "Nuclear Safety: NRC's Oversight of Fire Protection at U.S. Commercial Nuclear Reactor Units Could Be Strengthened," June 2008, included a recommendation to, "Develop a central database for tracking the status of exemptions, compensatory measures, and manual actions in place nationwide and at individual commercial nuclear units."

> The Chairman responded regarding the GAO report in a letter to Congress dated, September 11, 2008, committing to, "Implement a Fire Protection Closure Plan to resolve the issues contributing to the long term use of compensatory measures. The Commission has directed the staff to include meaningful metrics to gauge progress in implementation of the Closure Plan." The issues of longterm compensatory measures and unapproved manual actions that have associated compensatory measures will be resolved by this action.

Steps to Closure		Due CY Quarter	
Commission Commit	ments		
Determine metroof ongoing improved the control of t	ric for measuring effectiveness rovements	2008-4Q	
Develop metric	monitoring methodology	2009-1Q	
Final Closure			

Collect information and establish monitoring

2009-3Q

Task #6 **Historical Lessons Learned From Fire Protection**

Objective Enhance confidence that the regulatory infrastructure challenges that existed in

the fire protection area do not occur in other regulatory areas.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when a lessons learned review is completed, lessons are incorporated into a knowledge management/training program and the adequacy of that knowledge management/training program is validated using a pilot application. Ongoing staff awareness/training is considered routine staff activity.

Background On July 29, 2008, the Commission directed the staff in SRM M080717, "Briefing on Fire Protection Issues," to provide a Closure Plan to the Commission that includes training to appropriate staff on the important historical lessons learned from the fire protection issue resolution activities since 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R, was established.

Steps to Closure	Due CY Quarter
------------------	----------------

Perform Lessons Learned Evaluation

Develop Lessons Learned

Compile History	2009-1Q

Develop Knowledge Management/Training Tool

Develop Training on Lessons Learned 2010-1Q

Pilot Training on Fire Protection Lessons Learned 2010-1Q

Final Closure

Incorporate lessons learned from pilot training 2010-2Q

2009-3Q

Task #7 **Exemption Database**

Objective To develop a centralized database of fire protection exemptions for operating

nuclear reactors.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when the exemption database is established and procedures/plans are in place for periodic updating of that database. Periodic updates to the database are considered routine staff activity.

Background The GAO Report 08-747, "Nuclear Safety: NRC's Oversight of Fire Protection at U.S. Commercial Nuclear Reactor Units Could Be Strengthened," June 2008, included a recommendation to, "develop a central database for tracking the status of exemptions."

> The Chairman responded regarding the GAO report in a letter to Congress dated, September 11, 2008, committing to, "develop a centralized database of fire protection exemptions for operating nuclear reactors."

Due CY Quarter Steps to Closure

Commission Commitments

Collect data on Fire Protection Exemptions 2009-2Q

Complete development of database 2009-4Q

Final Closure

Establish procedure for updates 2010-1Q

Task #8 Establish Reasonable Assurance That All Past Regulatory Infrastructure

Instabilities Are Identified

Objective Identify any additional fire protection regulatory infrastructure issues that require

further action.

Definition of Closure

Closure is achieved when the review is complete and appropriate actions are taken to any fire protection regulatory issues identified. Addressing any additional issues identified is considered routine staff activities.

Background Since publication of the fire protection rule in 1981, the NRC has identified and dispositioned a large number of issues using regulatory practices that were deemed appropriate at the time these issues were identified.

> The NRC staff has initiated a review to identify any outstanding fire protection regulatory issues by surveying cognizant individuals concerning the regulatory history of fire protection. The effort to identify additional issues will give the staff a more complete understanding of the issues and documentation of how the NRC addressed all identified issues.

Due CY Quarter Steps to Closure

Commission Commitments

Complete Review 2009-1Q **Evaluate Responses** 2009-2Q

Final Closure

Identify Issues and Develop Recommendations 2009-2Q