1	
2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	
4	Yakima Basin Storage Study
5	Draft Planning Report/EIS
6	
7	Thursday, February 28, 2008
8	2:00 to 4:00 p.m.
9	
10	Three Rivers Convention Center
11	7016 West Grandridge Boulevard
12	Rooms G & H
13	Kennewick, Washington 99336
14	
15	
16	John Evans
17	Hearing Officer
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 1

1 ORAL COMMENTS WERE PROVIDED BY THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE:

3	NAME	PAGES
4	VINCE PANESKO	4 - 7
5	BOB SCHWEIGHARDT	7 - 10
6	FRED STRONG	10 - 14
7	ART KING	14 - 16
8	PATRICIA BUTLER	16 - 17
9	DUANE FALETTI	17 - 20
10	PETE GIER	20 - 21
11	HARLAN HALL	21 - 22
12	DAVID RUPE	22 - 24
13	CHARLIE de LA CHAPELLE	24 - 27
14	BRAD TONER	27 - 28
15	MIKE LUZZO	29 - 30
16	CAROLE BYRD	31 - 33
17	JACK DAWSON	33 - 35

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 2

1	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Good afternoon.
2	If we can get started. I'd like to welcome everyone
3	here.
4	This is the public hearing for the Draft Planning
5	Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Yakima
6	River Basin Storage Water Feasibility Study. My name
7	is John Evans. I'm with the Bureau of Reclamation.
8	This document was prepared jointly by the Bureau
9	of Reclamation and the Washington State Department of
10	Ecology. Derek Sandison is here representing the
11	Department of Ecology.
12	Before you speak, I'd like to make a couple
13	notes. We have a court reporter, and she will be
14	recording all your oral comments. If she can't hear
15	you or understand you, she'll stop you and ask you to
16	speak a little clearer. When you come up, state your
17	name, spell your last name, and supply your address
18	for the record. And then if you're reading off
19	written comments, we ask that you leave them with the

- 20 court reporter so we get those as well for the record.
- 21 If you have cell phones or beepers or anything,
- 22 put them on vibrate or turn them off so we don't get
- disturbed.
- 24 Okay. Each speaker will have five minutes. At
- four minutes time, we'll hold up a yellow card

1	signifying that you have one minute left. And then at
2	five minutes, we'll ask you to stop.
3	If you don't wish to give oral comments today, we
4	will still take comments until March 31st. You can
5	submit those written comments to Reclamation. You can
6	send them by fax or e-mail, and the address and stuff
7	are on the comment sheets that are on the table as you
8	came in.
9	So I think with that, we'll start with our first
10	speaker, and that will be Vince Panesko.
11	
12	VINCE PANESKO
13	I'm Vince Panesko, and that's spelled
14	P-A-N-E-S-K-O. My address is 1114 Marshal Avenue in
15	Richland. I'm retired after working at Hanford for 40
16	some years. I have some comments.
17	I support the Bureau's analysis that shows this
18	Black Rock Reservoir is not economically viable. In
19	fact, your numbers are still too optimistic at 16 cent

- 20 value return for every dollar of taxpayer money spent.
- 21 One of the reasons your numbers are still too
- 22 optimistic is you show credit for selling drinking
- 23 water to the local communities, 256 some million on
- 24 there, and this is a not a flow through reservoir
- 25 where you have a creek flowing through it, or a river,

1	like the dams on the Columbia River, or like Rimrock,
2	where you have the Rimrock Dam, where you have a
3	river flowing through it.
4	You're going to be experiencing problems here
5	like some of the dams in Oregon that are storage dams
6	that toxins build up in it, molds and things. And so
7	you're not going to get you won't have quality
8	drinking water that you can sell to local communities.
9	So your analysis is off on that regard. So it's even
10	worse, the payback on that is even worse than you
11	think.
12	My experience at Hanford, I was for five years in
13	charge of groundwater monitoring, environmental
14	protection, and I want to leave you, the Bureau, with
15	this understanding: That we have over 200 sites where
16	we put radioactive material into the ground, and we
17	monitor the groundwater. When the groundwater reached
18	drinking water level, we would turn that crib off and
19	put it out and build a new crib.

20	So you have vadose zone all the way down to the	
21	rainy water holding radioactive materials, and the	
22	chemistry of these are really not well known. The	
23	vadose zone goes down 200 and some feet. This 200	
24	feet of contamination is not going to be removed. The	
25	DOE is considering putting a cap over it, and that's	
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741	
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA	5

1	really raising a lot of concerns, that a lot of
2	contamination to that area is going to be there
3	forever, and all the sudden we have leakage and
4	seepage from this Black Rock pushing some of this
5	contamination into the Columbia River.
6	On one hand, you have the Department of Energy
7	saying that this is going to be safe for 500 years,
8	1,000 years, 10,000 years. On the other hand, you
9	have another branch of the government coming along
10	putting in a dam that's going to have leakage
11	affecting this.
12	So already You know, we were challenging DOE,
13	saying you guys you can't prove that this is going to
14	be safe for 500 years. Well, it turns out they can't
15	even prove it's going to be safe for ten years if you
16	put this dam in.
17	You're moving contamination into the river.
18	You've got one branch of the government trying to keep
19	contamination on site and you've got another branch of

- 20 the government coming in doing something that hurts
- 21 Hanford. So this is really backwards.
- I really strongly am opposed to having anything
- that affects the contamination at Hanford. And I
- really recommend that the Bureau and the Department of
- 25 Energy get together on this thing and just put this to

1	a stop right now. You have to protect this
2	contamination in perpetuity at Hanford.
3	So I guess those are the key points that I'd like
4	to make. Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
6	Your next speaker is going to be Bob
7	Schweighardt.
8	
9	BOB SCHWEIGHARDT
10	Thank you, John. It's interesting to hear
11	Vince and meet him for the first time in my life. And
12	I want to thank the audience out here. It's hard to
13	know who I am and what I represent.
14	My name is Bob Schweighardt. The last name has
15	12 letters, S-C-H-W-E-I-G-H-A-R-D-T. And I live at
16	Yellowstone Avenue in Kennewick, with my wife, who's
17	still teaching in the Kennewick district. I'm a
18	retired physics math teacher, and I wonder how crazy I
19	am or am not.

- 20 For the last 20 years, I've been interested in
- 21 the transportation and water needs of our dear state.
- 22 We moved up here in 1983 after I retired from southern
- 23 California. And just too long a story in five minutes
- to try and give any detail.
- 25 The report that my colleague and I issued the

1	last time, about five years ago, in such a meeting
2	like this before boards and agencies and ports that I
3	personally have spoken to, at least a score of them,
4	and our report was about this thick (indicating) of
5	native swan. And I picked up their report, about a
6	third of it at least. I may get worn out before I get
7	done with this battle that's been going on for a long
8	time.
9	The thing I like about that, it's like political
10	parties. There are two parties, and generally one is
11	right and one is wrong on the issue. It's our job to
12	find out which side is the truest to the truth. And I
13	know this: The people that I have been speaking in
14	opposition with are some of the nicest, sincere
15	people, sincere as I'm trying to be, but there are
16	agendas and we've had a hard time getting together,
17	but I do respect them.
18	And I'd like to just ask a couple questions, to

19 get a little perspective. How big is Black Rock Dam?

- 20 How does it compare in size to the Grand Coulee Dam?
- 21 It's bigger. The Grand Coulee is not a little dam up
- 22 the river. It's one of the biggest in the world.
- 23 This one will be bigger than that.
- 24 The seismologic conditions that were supplied for
- 25 Black Rock is tenuous geologically and

1	seismologically. And that's easy to say. I wonder if
2	I'm correct. You have to find those things out.
3	Where is this dam going to be located? It's
4	going to be located at an elevation that is higher
5	than the lake behind Grand Coulee. And they're having
6	the water flow down the Columbia River. They're going
7	to then pump it up over 1,000, possibly 1,500 feet,
8	and they say the farmers are going to pay for it.
9	According to the author of the Cadillac Desert,
10	he says anything over 500 feet, you can wipe the
11	farmers off for consideration. Does that sound
12	reasonable to you?
13	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: One minute
14	left.
15	MR. BOB SCHWEIGHARDT: And we are at four
16	minutes?
17	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: One minute
18	left.
19	MR. BOB SCHWEIGHARDT: And I sound like the

- 20 critics of the Tieton Dam before it collapsed, and
- 21 this dam is going to be on the order of probably a
- 22 hundred times bigger than that dam that collapsed.
- 23 And the critics were correct. There was loss of life
- and loss of economics down the river. That was about
- 25 the '70's? I wasn't living up here then, at that

1 time.

2	But I just bring to you In five minutes you
3	cannot say anything greatly intelligent and
4	constructive all the time, but I hope that it will
5	tickle your imagination just a little bit. And sure
6	there is a lot more than meets the eye here. And I've
7	talked with these people over and over, and they're
8	wearing me down. They are wearing me down.
9	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Time is up,
10	Mr. Schweighardt.
11	MR. BOB SCHWEIGHARDT: Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
13	MR. BOB SCHWEIGHARDT: I have to leave for
14	another meeting. Just in time.
15	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Your next
16	speaker is Fred Strong.
17	
18	FRED STRONG
19	My name is Fred Strong. The last name is

- 20 S-T-R-O-N-G. If you don't mind, I'm going to face the
- 21 audience as well, if that's okay.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Okay.
- 23 MR. FRED STRONG: Thank you for the
- 24 opportunity to present before you today. There are a
- 25 lot of things that are talked about in relation to

1	development. But from a Native American's
2	perspective, I think that we have been on record since
3	the development began opposing dams, ditches,
4	diversions, railroads. Everything that was meant to
5	change and improve America was harmful to native
6	culture. It destroyed our religions, it destroyed
7	salmon runs.
8	And each step of the way the government, whether
9	it was federal, state or otherwise, said, We're going
10	to replace them for you. Today we haven't had any
11	fish replaced. There were six stocks of salmon that
12	used to thrive in the Yakima River Basin, and today
13	there are only three. And of those three, the most
14	robust run is only three percent of what it used to be
15	at the signing of our treaty. The other three stocks
16	have been extricated. They no longer exist. And each
17	step of the way, when you look at the record, the
18	tribe was promised, The next time we have development,
19	it will be for the fish.

20	This study started out supposed to be for the
21	fish. Fish aren't even included in there. When you
22	look at those bar graphs over there, it talks about 28
23	percent of an increase for the existing stocks in the
24	Yakima River Basin. The numbers there are estimated
25	at 40,000 salmon.
COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741	

1	What used to be in the Yakima River Basin was on
2	average 750,000 salmon. That was because we had a
3	natural run river. A normative river is the only
4	thing that will support salmon. It creates the
5	habitat, it creates the instream flow and the cooler
6	river conditions.
7	We have a recharge of the Yakima River Basin
8	right now that is done with warm water, and warm
9	waters create pathogens that are destructive to
10	salmon. The natural recharge of the Yakima River came
11	in the wintertime, when the water was cool, and those
12	things that were all done were helpful to the salmon.
13	We've had biologists, scientists, engineers
14	galore in the Yakama Nation. They become educated,
15	they learn about our culture, they pledge support, and
16	then they become government employees, and they become
17	almost anethopathical to the Yakama Nation.
18	We don't have any longer, the long-term outlook
19	that people really need in order to be supportive of

- 20 what is called a natural river system. Black Rock
- 21 represents off-river storage. All the other
- 22 developments are within the river. You cannot support
- 23 damming and diversion in river.
- 24 This is off river and it allows the closure of
- 25 two of the biggest irrigation diversions, estimated

1	average of 700,000 acre-feet of water. That is what
2	fish need. They don't need billions of dollars. They
3	don't need anything else. They need water. And so
4	long as the water is withheld from them and it's
5	convenient to say it costs too much, but what did it
6	cost those salmon.
7	The things that are sacred, that are a blessed
8	part of the creation, they paid with their very
9	existence. Tribal people have a right to their
10	religion, the practice of their culture, their
11	traditions. That's rich. It's called the fiber of
12	America. This fabric that we have is supposed to be a
13	part of the diversity.
14	Not diverging a lot, but we go across the ocean
15	to protect the sovereignty of Iraqi people, spending
16	trillions of dollars. And we talk about the Yakama
17	Nation as sovereignty and sacredness, its very
18	extensive, and we say six billion dollars is too much.
19	This study started out \$1.8 billion. Then it

- 20 excalated to 2.5, and then four and a half, and now
- 21 six.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: One minute.
- 23 MR. FRET STRONG: But that's government
- 24 management. If this were contracted out to the
- 25 private sector -- And here in the Tri-Cities we have

1	the most beautiful example of engineering and science.
2	When you drive through the community, you have
3	\$500,000 homes. It came from engineering and science.
4	The entire community came from engineering and
5	science.
6	If we can't build that reservoir, and if we can't
7	do it in a way that's safe for the environment and
8	people, then we have no business being the Tri-Cities
9	that we are.
10	But I just want to say I encourage the continued
11	improvements, and the criticisms are helpful, and
12	hopefully in time we'll have some kind of consensus
13	that allows the environment, the economy, agriculture,
14	fish and farmers to all live and work together
15	successfully. Thank you.
16	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
17	I think we'd like to have the speakers facing
18	front. It's easier for the court reporter and for us,
19	SO.

20	Our next speaker will be Art King.	
21		
22	ART KING	
23	Good afternoon. I'm Art King, K-I-N-G, and	
24	I am a resident of Richland, and I'm also a member of	
25	the Yakima Basin Storage Alliance, and we are	
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741	
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA	14

1 advocating to build Black Rock.

2	One of my concerns My understanding on the
3	study is that it stops at the shoreline, and my
4	concern with that is that Tri-Cities is kind of left
5	out of the equation when that happens. There's a
6	direct impact to the economy here with development of
7	the resort that we believe that could be built there.
8	And also the fact if there was a resort there, you
9	have a deep water reservoir that will attract tourism
10	and development, and that will be money brought into
11	the economy mainly. And there would be people from
12	California and the rest of Washington State and Oregon
13	and Idaho and the rest of the Northwest. So I think
14	your study ignores that.
15	And the other thing that's ignored a little
16	bit When you look at the pictures here, if you
17	understand where the location is, it's around 30
18	minutes from downtown Yakima, it's about 35 minutes
19	from downtown Richland. And I think the people in the

- 20 Tri-Cities need to understand the impact that would
- 21 have on the economy here, and I think that's a little
- 22 bit ignored, even with the pictures that are over
- there. I know you didn't mean to do that, but we have
- some pictures outside that you need to look at to
- evaluate how close it is to the Tri-Cities.

1	And another comment I have is on the seepage. I
2	believe PNNL was doing a study on that. Is that not
3	correct?
4	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Yes.
5	MR. ART KING: Okay. Well, what is the
6	status of that?
7	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: I'm not sure.
8	MR. ART KING: You know, that would be good
9	to be a part of this. We talk about seepage, and I
10	think everybody is concerned, but nobody really knows
11	what the status is, and I think that study will give a
12	final result and will inform us. And we would like a
13	public hearing of that, if we could.
14	And that's it. Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
16	Our next speaker is Tom Larson.
17	MR. TOM LARSON: I'll pass at this time.
18	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Okay. And our
19	next speaker will be Patricia Butler.

20		
21	PATRICIA BUTLER	
22	Patricia Butler, B-U-T-L-E-R, resident of	
23	Kennewick.	
24	I'm just here today to support the Black Rock	
25	development for our agriculture and prevent any	
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741	
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA	16

1	drought. That's my main concern, for agriculture.
2	And for development. I believe in economic growth,
3	and I envision that is what this is, and I feel a
4	little upbeat about it. And that's what I want to
5	comment on today. And I support it. Thank you.
6	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
7	Okay. The next speaker is Duane Faletti.
8	
9	DUANE FALETTI
10	My last name is spelled F-A-L-E-T-T-I. I
11	will not talk about the economic and other issues
12	concerning the Black Rock Project. My remarks will
13	address the possible radiological threats to the
14	Columbia River and thus the economy and the health and
15	welfare of the people who live along the Columbia
16	River from Hanford all the way to its mouth. Since
17	the DOE studies to evaluate this threat have not been
18	completed, one can say that this meeting is being held
19	at least in part prematurely.

20	The threat will come from groundwater seepage
21	from the reservoir into the Hanford Reservation where
22	massive amounts of radionuclides have leaked into the
23	soil. This groundwater seepage would raise the water
24	table of the Hanford Reservation. The higher water
25	table would increase the rate of flow of contaminated
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 17

1	groundwater to the Columbia River. This increased
2	rate of flow has the potential to cause the allowable
3	radionuclide levels in the Columbia River to be
4	exceeded. Immense economic and social damage would
5	result. Remember that the Tri-Cities and most, if not
6	all, of the cities along the river, including
7	Portland, get their drinking water from the Columbia.
8	Furthermore, radioactive contamination is viewed
9	with such hysteria by the public that the levels would
10	not have to be life threatening by a long shot to
11	cause serious dislocations.
12	This type of a waste is so toxic that holding one
13	cup at arm's length for a few minutes will give you a
14	legal dose.
15	I'm skipping the stuff I have and I'm just
16	driving where it came from because there's an
17	excellent amount of information against the wall.
18	The members of the Bureau of Reclamation and the
19	Department of Ecology are aware of this problem. The

20	groundwater flows predict a flow of about 30 cubic
21	feet per second into the Hanford Reservation. A back
22	of the envelope calculation indicates that this would
23	be about one-half inch per year over the east half of
24	the Hanford Reservation we're talking about. And this
25	is not really enough to draw any conclusion, but the
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA

1	only way to get the impact is to do careful analysis
2	with models.
3	Therefore, DOE is conducting a groundwater flow
4	study to determine just how much of a threat Black
5	Rock presents. My understanding is that this study is
6	due out in a few months.
7	However, DOE is currently preparing an
8	Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford site
9	that is due out in a couple of years. A much improved
10	groundwater flow model is under development in support
11	of that Environmental Impact Statement.
12	Instead of relying on the current model, this new
13	much improved model should be used when it becomes
14	available to evaluate the risk posed by groundwater
15	from Black Rock.
16	Furthermore, it would make sense to include the
17	effects of a Black Rock type reservoir as an option of
18	the upcoming Hanford Environmental Impact Statement.
19	The reason for doing that is maybe it's not economic

- 20 knowledge. Changing conditions might make something
- 21 like that economical in the future.
- 22 The results of the contamination study used in
- the new model should be reviewed by off-site experts
- 24 of the highest calibre, since predicting groundwater
- 25 flows is an inexact and difficult process. Obviously

1	public hearing should be held to review these results.
2	The potential for a significant impact to the
3	river exists. Any prudent person or group would
4	evaluate the risk before proceeding. Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
6	The next speaker is Pete Gier.
7	
8	PETE GIER
9	My name is Pete Gier, G-I-E-R. I'm here to
10	speak today in favor of the Black Rock Dam Project. I
11	do have a few issues regarding the Bureau's
12	Environmental Impact Statement.
13	The Environmental Impact Statement looks to the
14	past and does a poor job looking to the future water
15	needs.
16	It is my understanding that the Bureau's EIS does
17	not take into account what Black Rock provides to the
18	region's economy, specifically recreation and fish
19	restoration.

20	The Bureau does not look at the whole picture
21	when it comes to salmon recovery and restoration of
22	the habitat. The Yakima Basin Storage Alliance had a
23	consultant look at the whole picture and they came up
24	with \$2.6 billion in benefits to the region.
25	I would like the Bureau to include the Yakima
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA

1	Basin Storage Alliance fish numbers in the final EIS
2	or, at very at least, explain why there is such a big
3	difference between the Bureau's and YBSA's findings.
4	Black Rock is the only alternative that provides
5	enough water for instream flows that are necessary for
6	fish passage to the upper reservoirs. Black Rock is
7	the only alternative that supplies enough water for
8	the lower area from Parker to the Tri-Cities during
9	the EIS 25-year period of record.
10	I would hope that the Bureau will select Black
11	Rock as the preferred alternative and not the
12	No-Action alternative. I am concerned that if the
13	Bureau does choose No Action, that the opportunity for
14	the federal government to solve the water crisis will
15	be lost forever. Thank you.
16	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
17	Before I call my next speaker, if you have
18	written comments, give them to the court reporter.
19	Our next speaker will be Harlan Hall.

20	
21	HARLAN HALL
22	Hi. Name is Harlan Hall. It's H-A-L-L. I
23	live at 1322 West Fourth Avenue in Kennewick.
24	I understand that without water, our agricultural
25	economy here in eastern Washington will be lost. The
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA

1	loss in revenue to the economy from the previous
2	droughts over the last 20 years would have paid for a
3	project like Black Rock. That's why I support Black
4	Rock, because it provides a vital element our
5	community needs, which is water. I support Black Rock
6	because it is a multipurpose reservoir that will
7	stimulate the economy for decades to come.
8	According to a study done by a consultant for the
9	Yakima Basin Storage Alliance on recreation, our own
10	recreation, in the 25-year period recreation would
11	generate \$3.5 billion to the region's economy. I
12	believe if you were to take this conservative number
13	and figure it for 100 years, like the Bureau of
14	Reclamation study does for the national benefits,
15	recreation would pay the cost of Black Rock.
16	I would like the Bureau of Reclamation to include
17	the study that the Yakima Basin Storage Alliance has
18	done on recreation in its Planning Report and also in
19	their Environmental Impact Study. Thank you.

20	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
21	The next speaker is David Rupe.
22	
23	DAVID RUPE
24	Good afternoon. My name is David Rupe,
25	spelled R-U-P-E. I live at 3708 South Dump Street in
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741

1 Kennewick.

2	I support the Black Rock proposal because it's
3	the only option in the study that meets the criteria
4	Congress stipulated when they appropriated the funds
5	for the study.
6	We are on the threshold of economic disaster in
7	the Yakima River Basin if we do nothing to resolve the
8	water crisis. With global warming affecting our
9	weather patterns, we must act now. The No-Action
10	alternative in the EIS does not solve the water
11	problem. Our community, our state cannot wait any
12	longer. We need additional water.
13	We have known for a long time now that we do not
14	have enough water. For decades we have studied the
15	problem and have done nothing. We cannot let this
16	study go on someone's shelves and collect dust like
17	all the other studies.
18	It is my understanding that global warming was
19	not considered in the Draft Planning Report and

- 20 Environmental Impact Statement. I think that it needs
- to be addressed in this EIS, and I want it to be
- 22 addressed in the final Planning Report/EIS. I believe
- 23 when you factor in the changes of the weather, Black
- 24 Rock's cost benefit ratio will definitely improve to
- an acceptable rate of return. Thank you for your time

1 and consideration.

2	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
3	The next speaker is Charlie de La Chapelle.
4	
5	CHARLIE de LA CHAPELLE
6	My name is Charlie De La Chapelle, and I'm
7	vice chair of Yakima Basin Storage Alliance. I'm also
8	a fourth generation farmer that is an investor here in
9	the basin.
10	Those who fail to study history are condemned to
11	repeat it. Rome was one of the history's most
12	successful civilizations. What did they do to allow
13	them to succeed where Greece had failed? They
14	invented federalism. They kept their very diverse
15	populations from warring with each other by inventing
16	concrete, paved roads and arches and invested their
17	efforts in infrastructure like roads, bridges,
18	buildings and aqueducts to tie separate peoples into
19	one Nation, the whole of which greatly exceeded the

- sum of the parts. Today those aqueducts are still
- 21 working 2,000 years later.
- 22 One of the popular topics in history has been the
- 23 decline and fall of Rome. As I read it, corruption
- from within allowed for destruction from without.
- 25 They became corrupt as they changed their focus to

1	consumption at the expense of investing in solutions.
2	Rarely do we find solutions that resolve two of
3	the biggest competing factions in our country,
4	environmental interests and economic development. We
5	have a win/win solution. It is Black Rock. Indeed
6	you cannot have a sound environment where your
7	economic base is at risk every year. Only Black Rock
8	meets the three criteria stipulated by Congress.
9	This draft EIS is disappointing as it fails to
10	adequately measure the benefits we see, to solve the
11	problems we face. We have studied this problem for
12	over a generation. The No-Action alternative is
13	unacceptable. The alternatives to Black Rock have
14	been investigated, have been found wanting, and they
15	still are.
16	Using just conservation, water transfers and
17	fallowing ground to fight droughts will continue to
18	cost this basin billions without having anything to
19	show for the cost. To get the most out of the these

- 20 tools, we need to store water for dry years.
- 21 What will we do after this report goes back to
- 22 Congress stating do nothing? Spending limited
- 23 resources on yet more studies, more litigation, more
- 24 decisions by judges, and hope for a different result
- 25 is insanity. To chop down more trees to make more

1	1 1				1. 1.	•	. •
	chalvac 1	to at	ora	mora	ofuldiog	10	corruntion
	SHELVES	LO SL	ULC.		SLUCIES	15	corruption.
-	51101 . 05					-~	••••••••••••••••

2	The BOR must solve the P&G limitations for
3	measuring benefits. The BOR must use sound science to
4	look forward, include climate change, and help solve
5	the problems we are now facing for the next 50 to 100
6	years, or face that they, the BOR, are now part of the
7	problem.
8	We urge the Bureau to use Dr. Jack Stanford to
9	review the estimate for additional fish in the Yakima
10	from the 600 to 800 thousand acre-feet of water Black
11	Rock can provide. Because if we can't restore the
12	Yakima, we doubt we can restore salmon in the lower
13	48.
14	We urge the Bureau to evaluate the amelioration
15	of treaty rights that that volume provides. We urge
16	the Bureau to include the Yakamas' cultural values for
17	salmon recovery. We urge the BOR to do a future value
18	analysis on our existing storages and use that to
19	calculate what the future value of Black Rock could be

20	50 to	100 years	from now.	If the	BOR	uses	historical
----	-------	-----------	-----------	--------	-----	------	------------

- 21 values for commodities, it will again underestimate
- the value of the study.
- 23 We urge the Bureau to reevaluate the cost with a
- 24 three-year build time. We urge the BOR to reevaluate
- 25 recreational value of development above the waterline,

1	as per the Mitchell Nelson report submitted by YBSA.
2	We must decide and act. This may be our last
3	opportunity. We cannot afford to repeat history.
4	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
5	Okay. The next speaker is Brad Toner.
6	
7	BRAD TONER
8	Hi. My name is Brad Toner, T-O-N-E-R. I
9	live at 115 East 45th Avenue in Kennewick.
10	I was born and raised in the Yakima Valley.
11	Raised in Buena and attended Toppenish High School. I
12	was raised on an orchard ranch that was served by
13	Union Gap Irrigation District, and I worked for
14	orchardists who got water from the Roza and Sunnyside
15	Irrigation Districts.
16	I have continued to be involved in agriculture as
17	an agricultural lending officer, and for the past 22
18	years as a commercial insurance broker. I currently
19	insure three of the four irrigation districts in the

- 20 Tri-Cities and numerous agri-businesses, ranches and
- 21 farms.
- I have listened to various options over my
- 23 lifetime: Enlarging Bumping Lake, damming up the
- 24 Ellensburg Canyon to build mini dams along the Yakima.
- 25 The one consistent thing throughout these discussions

1	is that everyone recognizes we have a problem, and in
2	the end no action is ever taken.
3	We need to clean up and improve our waterways for
4	fish habitat and recreation. We need to improve our
5	ability to supply water for not only agriculture, but
6	for the rapidly growing urban base that is also
7	demanding irrigation water. And we need to ensure
8	that our municipalities have sufficient water supply
9	for current users and for future growth.
10	Every time we have a drought, billions and
11	billions of dollars of property are lost, but the
12	biggest loss may not be economical. The stress to
13	farm families, the stress to personal and business
14	relationships and the stress to employees has to
15	exceed the billions and billions of dollars of
16	property.
17	Black Rock is a solution to a problem that will
18	not go away, but only get worse. It is time to act.
19	Inaction should not be an alternative. It is time to

- 20 ensure that the availability of water is no longer an
- 21 issue in the Yakima Basin. Thank you.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
- 23 The next speaker is Mike lose so.
- 24
- 25

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA

28

1 MIKE LUZZO 2 I'm Mike Luzzo. I live in Richland, 2105 Poland Street, Richland. L-U-Z-Z-O. 3 4 I don't necessarily support this. Being a near lifelong resident of Richland and having spent a 5 number of years in the air force doing hazard waste 6 sampling, I'm looking at what you're asking for. And 7 I recognize the economic viability of it, but also you 8 need to understand we have a problem with radioactive 9 waste here. We've got a problem with groundwater 10 11 seepage. We don't want to make sure that our radioactive products go ahead and bleed off into the 12 13 Columbia River Basin. We've got a problem with looking at the --14 basically looking at your study. You're asking for an 15 earthen dam with rock. Have you done engineering 16 17 studies to make sure that will hold? Because it's our concern, and it's certainly a concern of mine and some 18 people I've talked to, that we want to make sure your 19

- 20 dam does hold water like it's supposed to be doing.
- 21 You're going to be looking at a substantial
- amount of acre-feet of water. You're going to be
- 23 going down the Yakima Valley. We understand that
- 24 there are people that want this water, but, at the
- same time, you have to understand over here we're

starting to scratch our heads and saying, Why do you
 want it.

3	I ask, if there hasn't been a study done on the
4	engineering aspects of it, that you go ahead and do
5	so. I'm certainly going to go ahead and read what you
6	have as far as your studies go and make sure that when
7	we talk about when we talk 600 feet of water, we're
8	going to want to make sure that you go ahead and have
9	something that will hold this dam.
10	Other than that, we are working on classification
11	of radioactive products in this area, trying to take
12	care of cleaning up the Hanford Reach. I would urge
13	you to understand that we have a problem with that.
14	We don't need to be adding problems and polluting the
15	Columbia River Basin.
16	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you.
17	Okay. At this time, that's all the people who
18	have signed up. Would anybody else like to give oral
19	comment at this time?

- 20 (NO RESPONSE).
- 21 HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Nobody else?
- 22 (NO RESPONSE).
- 23 HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS? Okay. At this
- time, I'd like to recess the hearing.
- 25 Yes?

1	PERSON FROM THE AUDIENCE: I have a
2	question. Can you still submit written comments in
3	the future?
4	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Yes. Until
5	March 31st we'll be accepting written comments. And
6	you can send those to the Bureau. The address and
7	stuff is on the comment sheet that's on the back
8	table. And you can fax them or e-mail them to us, and
9	they're on the same sheet.
10	PERSON FROM THE AUDIENCE: Thank you.
11	(A RECESS WAS HAD AT
12	2:41 P.M. UNTIL 3:05 P.M.)
13	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Okay. At this
14	time I would like to reopen the hearing for another
15	comment.
16	Remember, you've got five minutes per comment.
17	And then after four minutes, we'll give you the little
18	yellow card.
19	Okay. If you want to give us your name and

- address.
- 21
- 22 CAROLE BYRD
- 23 Hello. My name is Carole Byrd, B-Y-R-D.
- 24 C-A-R-O-L-E, B-Y-R-D. My address is 427 Shoreline
- 25 Court, Richland, Washington, 99354.

1	It seems to me that the 16 cent return on the
2	dollar benefit for Black Rock ought to table the
3	project. But not having confidence that that will be
4	the case, I'd like to speak of my environmental
5	concerns.
6	The study suggests that the environmental study
7	of the risk of ground contamination that would
8	the effect of water seeping through Sorry. I'm
9	trying to find the words because I don't have a
10	scientific background.
11	But I do know that on the site there is hazardous
12	materials in the soil that could be affected,
13	depending on the water pattern, and that your study
14	defers to a Department of Energy study that will be
15	incorporated in the report at a later date. And that
16	is simply not acceptable, that we would not have the
17	opportunity to study it with the report now and make
18	comments on it. Serious concerns there that
19	contamination would be washed into the Columbia River.

20 There are other environmental concerns related t	There are of	other environmenta	l concerns	related	to
---	--------------	--------------------	------------	---------	----

- 21 impact to the fish by mixing the waters of the
- 22 Columbia and Yakima and how the fish would respond to
- that.
- 24 And also I understand now there is concern about
- the stability of the dam area with regard to potential

1	for lack	of stability	of the	ground.
---	----------	--------------	--------	---------

2	I think none of those issues are adequately
3	addressed in the study, so I would just like to go on
4	record as expressing my concerns. And I thank you for
5	calling the meeting back to order in order for me to
6	express those concerns.
7	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you very
8	much.
9	MS. CAROL BYRD: Thank you.
10	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Any other
11	comments at this time?
12	(NO RESPONSE).
13	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Okay. We'll
14	adjourn the hearing at this time.
15	(A RECESS WAS HAD AT
16	3:09 P.M. UNTIL 3:16 P.M.)
17	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: At this time,
18	I'd like to reopen the hearing for comments.
19	State your name and spell your last name and

20	address.
21	
22	JACK DAWSON
23	Okay. My name is Jack Dawson, D-A-W-S-O-N.
24	I'm a resident of Pasco, Washington. 65, 70 years a
25	resident of the Yakima Valley.
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741

1	My concern with the Black Rock study, if you can
2	call it a study, is that I just briefly reviewed
3	what was out the other day, and it seems to me that
4	the EIS is really not in place and the study so far
5	cannot qualify as an EIS. It just doesn't seem to be
6	thorough enough.
7	And I think that the only time that the concerns
8	over the hydrology and the water and the water plumes
9	and the Hanford site, it should be studied by
10	professional engineers that have no interest other
11	than his profession in studying the water and
12	groundwaters.
13	Also, I think that the fact that the dam,
14	portions of the dam, is going to be built right over
15	the top of the fault line should be addressed. And I
16	think that again has to be addressed by the impact
17	study.
18	And other than that, I think the fish, if you've
19	ever seen the salmon and such trying to swim up the

- 20 spouts of the irrigation system because they smell the
- 21 Yakima water from someplace else, it can happen and
- 22 will happen. And I'm sure you folks are very familiar
- 23 with the ongoing battle of the fish in the rivers and
- 24 the dams. So I think that that would really screw
- things up real badly.

1	Plus I think that the water storage, if it's
2	designed as emergency farming storage, will just be
3	added, if it truly is turned out to be, added volume.
4	How would that be used in the years that it's not used
5	or required? Are you going to open more land, so that
6	when we're done with another five or six years of
7	that, you'll have more land that doesn't have water in
8	the drought times? And will the dam even have enough
9	volume to do any good? So thank you.
10	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Thank you. We
11	appreciate it.
12	Any more comments at this time?
13	(NO RESPONSE).
14	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: With that, we
15	will recess until further comments.
16	(A RECESS WAS HAD AT
17	3:19 P.M. UNTIL 3:59 P.M.).
18	HEARING OFFICER JOHN EVANS: Okay. It's now
19	4:00. This public hearing is adjourned.

20

- 21 (HEARING ADJOURNED AT
 22 4:00 P.M.)
 23
 24
- 25

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 35

1	WRITTEN COMMENTS WERE PROVIDED BY THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE
2	AND ARE ATTACHED AT THE END OF THE TRANSCRIPT:
3	
4	DUANE FALETTI
5	PETE GIER
6	HARLAN HALL
7	DAVID RUPE
8	CHARLIE de LA CHAPELLE
9	BRAD TONER
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 36

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4) ss. COUNTY OF YAKIMA)
5	
6	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that I, Dorene Boyle, Notary
7	Public in and for the State of Washington residing at
8	Yakima, reported the within and foregoing public hearing;
9	said public hearing being taken before me as a Notary
10	Public on the date herein set forth; that said statements
11	were taken by me in shorthand and thereafter under my
12	supervision transcribed, and that same is a full, true and
13	correct record of the statements of said participants.
14	
15	I further certify that I am not a relative or
16	employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
17	am I financially interested in the outcome of the cause.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand

20	and affixed my official seal this	day of	,
21	2008.		
22			
23	CERT/LIC NO. 2521 Notary Public in and for	the State	
24	of Washington, residing		
25			

1	
2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	
4	Yakima Basin Storage Study
5	Draft Planning Report/EIS
6	
7	Thursday, February 28, 2008
8	7:00 to 9:00 p.m.
9	
10	Three Rivers Convention Center
11	7016 West Grandridge Boulevard
12	Rooms G & H
13	Kennewick, Washington 99336
14	
15	
16	Dave Kaumheimer
17	Hearing Officer
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 1

1 ORAL COMMENTS WERE PROVIDED BY THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE:

3	NAME	PAGES
4	PHIL WILLIAMS	5 - 6
5	MIKE LILGA	7 - 8
6	JIM STOFFELS	8 - 10
7	RICK LEAUMONT	10 - 12
8	JOHN LUCAS	12 - 13
9	ART KING	13 - 14
10	GLENN CLARK	14 - 15
11	ST. CLAIR WOODWORTH	16 - 17
12	CAROL MOSER	18 - 19
13	RICK LAMOUREUX	19 - 21
14	BILL SHIBLEY	21 - 22
15	MICKEY CHAMNASS	23 - 24
16	DANA WARD	25 - 28
17	JACK DAWSON	28 - 29

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 2

1	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: I want to
2	welcome you to tonight's public hearing on the Draft
3	Planning Report and Draft Environmental Impact
4	Statement for the Yakima River Basin Water Storage
5	Feasibility Study. The Draft Final Report and EIS
6	were prepared under the requirements of the National
7	Environmental Policy Act and the Washington State's
8	State Environmental Act.
9	In tonight's hearing we're going to take comments
10	under both NEPA and SEPA. We have Derek Sandison here
11	tonight from the Department of Ecology, who's the lead
12	agency from the State on this EIS. And I'm Dave
13	Kraumheimer. I'm the Environmental Program Manager
14	for the Bureau of Reclamation up in Yakima.
15	Our purpose here tonight of course is to get your
16	comments on the Draft Planning Report and the EIS.
17	And we'll take your comments orally or we can take
18	them in writing as well. There's a form on the back
19	table if you want to provide a written comment. You

20 can fill out the form and drop it in the box in the
--

- 21 back.
- 22 The comment period for the EIS is actually open
- 23 until the end of March, March 31st. And you can
- 24 provide us written comments through the mail, you can
- e-mail us comments, or you can fax us comments. And

1	all of the information you need to get us comment on
2	one of the those routes is on the back of that comment
3	form on the back of the table. So if you're trying to
4	figure out how you're going to get us the comment if
5	you don't provide it tonight, pick up one of those
6	forms and it will have all the information you need.
7	We're keeping a transcript of the oral comments
8	tonight. We have a court reporter up front. She'll
9	keep a verbatim transcript of your comments. And she
10	would appreciate it if you would speak clearly and
11	slowly. If you have written comments that you're
12	reading from, we'd appreciate it if you'd provide her
13	a copy of those written comments at the completion of
14	your oral comment.
15	I'd ask anyone who has a cell phone to either
16	turn it off or mute it so that the meeting isn't
17	interrupted with your phone call.
18	We're going to take folks pretty much in the
19	order they signed in. We were running a couple

- 20 sign-in sheets at once, so, depending on which sheet
- 21 you signed, it may not go exactly in the order you got
- it, but we'll try to get everyone taken care of.
- 23 I'll call your name when it's your opportunity to
- speak, and I'd like you to come up and use the
- 25 microphone in the front of the room, so everyone can

1	hear you, particularly the court reporter, so she gets
2	a clear record of the meeting.
3	When you come forward, we'd like you to state
4	your name, spell your last name, so we get it accurate
5	for the record, and give us your address as well. And
6	if you're representing a group, we'd appreciate it if
7	you'd indicate which group you're representing
8	tonight.
9	And you're going to have five minutes to give
10	your comments. Four minutes in, when there's one
11	minute left, John will give you a sign to let you know
12	that you have one minute to wrap things up.
13	With that, I guess we'll take our first speaker.
14	It's Phil Williams.
15	
16	PHIL WILLIAMS
17	Good evening. Hi, my name is Phil Williams.
18	And I have experienced the ups and downs of our
19	economy, and what I know is that without enough water,

- 20 our economy will be devastated. We know this because
- 21 the last drought cost our community a billion dollars.
- 22 It doesn't take too many more droughts to pay for a
- 23 project like Black Rock. That's why I support the
- 24 Black Rock, because Black Rock provides a vital
- 25 element our community needs, which is water.

1	Black Rock provides enough water to take us
2	beyond the next generation. I support Black Rock
3	because it's a multipurpose reservoir that will
4	stimulate the Basin's economy for decades to come.
5	According to the study done by a consultant for
6	the Yakima Basin Storage Alliance on recreation, in a
7	25-year period recreation will generate \$3.5 billion
8	in the region. I believe if we were to take this
9	conservative number and figure for 100 years, like the
10	Bureau of Reclamation does, study does, the national
11	benefits, recreation would pay for the cost of Black
12	Rock.
13	I would like the Bureau of Reclamation to include
14	the study that the Yakima Basin Storage Alliance has
15	done on recreation in its Planning Report/
16	Environmental Impact Study. I want the politicians,
17	the people back in D.C. who read Environmental Impacts
18	Statements, to know that Black Rock's value is more
19	than 16 cents on the dollar. Thank you.

- HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Phil,
 could we get you to spell your name and give your
 address.
- 23 MR. PHI WILLIAMS: Last name is Williams,
- 24 W-I-L-L-I-A-M-S. And I live at 7701 West Fourth
- 25 Street, Kennewick.

1	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
2	Our next speaker is Mike Lilga.
3	
4	MIKE LILGA
5	You pronounced that correctly, very good.
6	Mike Lilga, L-I-L-G-A, 317 Fuller, F-U-L-L-E-R, Street
7	in Richland.
8	I'm opposed to Black Rock for three significant
9	reasons. First is geology. Black Rock is planned to
10	be built on a very significant thrust fault. This is
11	earthquake zone with the potential for fairly large
12	earthquakes. Ground movement is estimated to be
13	possible up to several meters directly under a dam.
14	That's not a good thing. Thrust faults and dams are a
15	poor combination and should be grounds on its own for
16	rejection of this alternative.
17	The second issue is groundwater. Groundwater
18	will seep towards the Hanford site. There's great
19	potential for contaminants to be flushed into the

- 20 Columbia River. Increased ground flow and Hanford
- 21 contaminants are a poor combination and on its own
- should be grounds for rejection of this alternative.
- 23 In addition, the EIS should not be issued until
- 24 the public has had time to comment on Ecology's study
- 25 of that problem.

1	The third issue is economics. Return on
2	investment is only 16 cents on the dollar. This is
3	very poor, and under federal law this project could
4	not even be built.
5	So for each of these three reasons, each of which
6	alone could kill Black Rock project, I suggest that
7	you reject Black Rock. Thank you.
8	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
9	Did you have written comments?
10	MR. MIKE LILGA: Just my handwritten notes.
11	I'll supply them.
12	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: All right.
13	Jim Stoffels.
14	
15	JIM STOFFELS
16	Jim Stoffels, S-T-O-F-F-E-L-S, 1002 Rio,
17	R-I-O, Senda, S-E-N-D-A, Court in Richland.
18	Modest opposition to the proposed Black Rock Dam
19	and Reservoir should not be taken as approval of the

- 20 project by the silent majority. I myself am relying
- 21 on the 2006 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation study showing a
- 22 hugely negative benefit-to-cost of the project
- 23 overruling the self-serving study of proponents.
- 24 The proposal brings to my mind the 1976 failure
- 25 of Teton Dam in Ohio, which failed on its initial

1	filling, and the photographs of bulldozers trying to
2	seal the widening breach and then being swallowed in
3	the growing torrent of water.
4	The concept of an unbreachable dam falls into the
5	same category as the Titanic mentality of an
6	unsinkable ship. So what is the possibility of
7	failure of a Black Rock Dam if built, whether from
8	faulty design or construction, earthquake or terrorist
9	bombing.
10	No matter how minuscule the possibility, the
11	consults would be cataclysmic. The proposed Black
12	Rock Dam is to be more than a mile long, 200 feet
13	taller than Grand Coulee Dam, and is to hold a volume
14	of water more than four times that of the Teton Dam.
15	Failure of such a dam would bury the Tri-Cities with a
16	wall of water not seen since the Ice Age floods.
17	It would also be the greatest nuclear disaster
18	since Chernobyl, as the water scours the Hanford
19	desert and carries high-level radioactive wastes,

- 20 cocooned reactor cores, and nuclear submarine reactor
- 21 compartments downstream.
- 22 If Black Rock Dam is ever built, photographic
- stations should be required on the tops of Rattlesnake
- 24 Mountain and the Horse Heaven Hills to record for
- 25 posterity the possible results of man's folly. Thank

1	you.
2	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
3	Rick Leaumont.
4	
5	RICK LEAUMONT
6	Rick Leaumont, spelled L-E-A-U-M-O-N-T. I'm
7	the Conservation Committee Chair for the Lower
8	Columbia Basin Audubon Society, 916 Sunset Trail,
9	Pasco, Washington.
10	The Lower Columbia Basin Audubon Society opposes
11	the Black Rock project. As it was stated before,
12	we're greatly concerned about the groundwater seepage
13	from the project. It is estimated that the
14	groundwater seepage would be 30 cubic feet per second,
15	which equates to 30,000 acre-feet of water per year.
16	This would quadruple the groundwater underneath the
17	Hanford Reservation, the present flow of groundwater.
18	The Department of Energy in their last
19	groundwater report for 2006 stated their objective is

- 20 to stabilize the groundwater under Hanford or to
- 21 shrink it. This project would do exactly opposite and
- 22 could flush these contaminants into the Columbia
- 23 river.
- 24 I'm also very concerned about a catastrophic
- 25 failure of the dam due to constructing it on an active

1	seismic zone, and also the possibility of landslides
2	along the south rim and the south abutment to the dam.
3	We're also very concerned about mixing water from
4	the Columbia River with Yakima water. This could
5	confuse migrating salmon and disrupt the migration.
6	And we're also very concerned about the
7	interruption of the migratory wildlife corridor
8	between the Hanford Reach National Monument and the
9	Yakima Firing Center.
10	And we are very concerned that this report, the
11	Feasibility and Environmental Impact Study, does not
12	arrive at the level doesn't have the level of
13	information and facts to be considered in
14	Environmental Impact Study. We think it's fine for a
15	feasibility study.
16	If the project goes forward, we would ask that
17	the study be considered only a feasibility study and
18	that an Environmental Impact Statement be done.
19	We would also ask that the water that is to be

20	retained in the Yakima River that the Black Rock
21	Project would replace, that legislation be passed that
22	a water right be granted to fish for 440,000 acre-feet
23	of water.
24	We would also like that land would be acquired to
25	establish a wildlife corridor between the National
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741

1	Monument and the Firing Center along the Columbia
2	River. And we would also like to see lands acquired
3	along the Yakima River so that wetlands could be
4	established to use the water that would be mixed with
5	Columbia River water, so that would go into a wetland
6	that would provide benefits to wildlife.
7	And also we would like to see that a series of
8	dikes and water gates be established in the reservoir
9	so that, as the level is drawn down, water could be
10	retained for wetlands, so it wouldn't just be a mud
11	flat.
12	And, also, the impacts to migratory fish in the
13	Hanford Reach by the withdrawal of this water from the
14	Columbia to Black Rock should be fully mitigated.
15	Thank you very much.
16	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
17	John Lucas.
18	
19	JOHN LUCAS

- 20 Yeah, Jonathan Lucas, L-U-C-A-S, and it's
- 21 2410 Sacramento Boulevard, Richland.
- 22 I've got two concerns with the project. One is
- that the EIS as it stands doesn't fully implement the
- 24 process and all the required components of it.
- 25 And the other concern is with the groundwater

1	issue with Hanford, that not the full information is
2	being utilized. There's a Hanford groundwater data
3	report that hasn't fully come out yet, and all that
4	information needs to be utilized in this process
5	before proceeding with this.
6	So, anyway, that was my comments. And thank you.
7	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: All right,
8	thank you.
9	Art King.
10	
11	ART KING
12	I'm Art King, K-I-N-G. I live at 1009
13	Country Court, and that's in Richland.
14	Just recently, I believe this week, the Tri-City
15	Herald had a wonderful story on Red Mountain. And
16	I've had the privilege of living in this community
17	over seven years now, and it's probably one of the
18	nicest communities I never heard of until we moved
19	here. I'm proud of it.

20	We bought some property on the west side of

- 21 Mt. Hood. And when I go over there, I get the sense,
- 22 when I tell people where I live, that deep down inside
- they're saying I'm sorry. I'm not. I'm very proud of
- this community.
- 25 I think Hanford is a proud past that we've had in

1	this community, but our future to me is Red Mountain.
2	And some day I'd like to say, You know that wine that
3	you drink, Red Mountain? That's the Tri-Cities. And
4	I don't think Red Mountain will ever come to its full
5	potential without a healthy Yakima River.
6	I was quite surprised to see how dependent they
7	are on the wells. I've lived on wells for ten years,
8	and that's something you really in the long-term can't
9	depend on. But I think if we had a full flowing
10	healthy Yakima River 50 years from now, Red Mountain
11	will be all it can be. Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
13	Glenn Clark.
14	
15	GLENN CLARK
16	Thank you very much. Glenn Clark,
17	C-L-A-R-K, 1219 North Edison, Kennewick, Washington.
18	And thank you for the opportunity for this
19	hearing. I'm glad I'm here and not next door at the

- 20 Kennewick Irrigation District LID 1000. It might get
- a little ugly over there.
- 22 I'm a lifelong resident of the Tri-Cities. My
- 23 parents were actually displaced from the Hanford site
- 24 when the Nuclear Energy AAC took it over. I am a real
- 25 estate broker/developer and I'm president elect of the

1	Tri-Cities Association of Realtors, although I am here
2	tonight speaking on my own behalf.
3	You know, I think when I was in third grade, I
4	think, if I can remember that far back, I thought it
5	was odd that we had the same amount of water in this
6	earth as we've ever had, and it's just a question of
7	where it is. Now, I would rather try to utilize the
8	water from the Black Rock Reservoir than try and get
9	it out of the middle of the ocean.
10	The economic benefits are I believe far
11	understated. Like I say, my field is real estate.
12	There's a lot of people in here that are a lot smarter
13	than I am about the fault zones, etcetera, etcetera.
14	But the economic benefits, I think I can understand.
15	If we kill a pasture of alfalfa or a field of
16	alfalfa, that is not a good thing. But when we kill
17	orchards and vineyards that take a long time to
18	produce, that's tragic.
19	And, in closing, I will just say one thing, is

20	that guaranteed it will never get any cheaper.
21	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
22	St. Clair Woodworth.
23	
24	ST. CLAIR WOODWORTH
25	I'm St. Clair Woodworth, I'm here and I'm
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741

1	representing the Port of Sunnyside, and just to tell
2	you that we've been supporting them, Black Rock, and I
3	support Black Rock.
4	I was born in Sunnyside and lived there my whole
5	life, 77 years, and I've seen a lot of drought times
6	and people are hard up and it really hurts bad to see
7	your crops wilting and dying.
8	When I was younger, I look back and the older
9	fellow said, Well, it was much colder earlier than
10	that. And when I was growing up, we were all
11	ice-skating. You don't see people ice-skating
12	anymore. It's just getting a little bit warmer, and
13	that tells me something.
14	We're in favor of maintaining the fish, and we
15	would like to see everything continue. We believe
16	that the Yakima Valley is one of the best areas
17	around.
18	The Columbia River is a big river, but you don't
19	see the contributing of small little streams and a

20	rocky bed that makes up the Yakima River, and not too
21	fast moving. So we believe that if you enhance the
22	Yakima River, that you'll be enhancing the farmers
23	there and then also the fish and make for a whole
24	happy situation. Okay, thank you.
25	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Could we
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA

16

1	get you to spell your last name and give your address.
2	MR. ST. CLAIR WOODWORTH: My last name?
3	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Yes.
4	Could you spell it.
5	MR. ST. CLAIR WOODWORTH: Woodworth,
6	W-O-D-W-O-R-T-H.
7	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: And your
8	address?
9	MR. ST. CLAIR WOODWORTH: 517 Grandview
10	Avenue, Sunnyside, Washington, 98944.
11	I'd also kind of like to have my grandson be able
12	to farm too, so. Thanks.
13	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: All right,
14	thanks.
15	Well, that's all the folks we had signed up to
16	speak. Is there anyone else who would like to make an
17	oral comment?
18	PERSON IN THE AUDIENCE: (Indicating).
19	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Come on

- 20 up. Gave your name, spell your last name for the
- 21 court reporter.
- 22
- 23 CAROL MOSER
- 24 Okay. My name is Carol Moser. My address
- 25 is 2662 East Wood Avenue, Richland, Washington.

1	And I'm all for some kind of water storage. In
2	this area we live by agricultural and food processing,
3	and so it's a very instrumental part of our economy.
4	However, I happen to live with a hydrologist, and
5	he hangs with a whole bunch of hydrologists, and they
6	have some major concerns because they do work out at
7	the site. And because of the way that Black Rock is
8	constructed, it's constructed over basalt, and basalt
9	is typically fractured, and so the potential of that
10	water from the reservoir leaking into and influencing
11	contaminants that are already at the Hanford site is a
12	very big concern of mine and a lot of hydrologists in
13	the area.
14	Added to that is that we live in a wonderful
15	climate, I love the dry area, but the
16	evapotranspiration rate on this reservoir is enormous.
17	And I haven't seen any real studies addressing that
18	issue. But we're talking about a lot of dry days, a
19	lot of evaporation from the reservoir itself.

20	I think having recreational amenities is	
21	wonderful, but nobody has really talked about the fact	
22	that, you know, sometimes you look at these reservoirs	
23	and they're dry in the summertime. So I'm not sure	
24	how much recreational utility there's going to be at	
25	some times of the year. And that's kind of a concern	
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741	
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA	18

1	because it's a wasted resource then. We have all of
2	this water that we pumped, it's run dry, we've got
3	docks that aren't being used and water that's not
4	being used in a fruitful fashion.
5	So there are just a lot of concerns that I have,
6	not with stating the cost. Four billion dollars is a
7	heck of a lot of money. And there has to be other
8	alternatives out there. They're already posted out
9	there, some that are more efficient with our dollars,
10	to try to find ways to stir water underground, too,
11	would be probably more efficient.
12	So I just think that it's, you know, a marvelous
13	idea to try to tackle water storage in our region.
14	I'm not sure that Black Rock is the answer, for the
15	reasons I've stated, but, you know, thank you for the
16	opportunity to speak tonight. Thank you.
17	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
18	Come on up.

19

20 RICK LAMOUREUX

- 21 Hi, my name is Rick Lamoureux,
- 22 L-A-M-O-U-R-E-U-X.
- 23 And I'm for water storage, but I'm concerned
- about how this is being -- With the Black Rock
- 25 Reservoir there are some concerns I have with water

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741

1	seepage, like she was just mentioning, evaporation.
2	And I would go with the pipeline from the
3	Columbia to Sunnyside for interim, kind of like
4	something that would until we could get something
5	else solved. Maybe find another location, something
6	like that, but I would if they want to pump water
7	out of the Columbia for irrigation into a pipeline, I
8	think that would be a better way than to have this
9	nine-mile long reservoir, which I just can't
10	understand how It seems like you'd have to
11	continually pump water into this reservoir, which to
12	me would affect electrical rates, the farmers
13	downstream.
14	So that's why I'm saying I think just a pipeline,
15	maybe two pipelines, would be adequate enough to fill
16	the Roza Canal. And then in the case of emergency,
17	that would be more thorough way of doing things than
18	spending our money. Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Could we

- 20 get your address?
- 21 MR. RICK LAMOUREUX: Yes. 609 Meadows Drive
- East, Richland, 99352.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
- 24 MR. RICK LAMOUREUX: Okay.
- 25

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA

20

1	DANA WARD
2	Hi. I'm not quite ready to speak yet.
3	Could you wait a few minutes?
4	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: We'll be
5	here until nine.
6	MR. DANA WARD: Okay. I'll be about five
7	'til nine.
8	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Is there
9	anyone else who wishes to speak at this time?
10	
11	BILL SHIBLEY
12	A very polite crowd here in the Tri-Cities.
13	Bill Shibley, S-H-I-B-L-E-Y, P.O. Box 2585,
14	Pasco, 99302.
15	I derive all of my income from agriculture in
16	that I work as a farm banker. And I just feel so
17	blessed to be in this community. We've got such an
18	incredible resource here that we can produce from what
19	we have, but it just kills me that we cannot go ahead

- 20 and start any new project.
- 21 We're very quick to say no, we can't do that
- 22 because that will cause this. We can't do that
- because a dam 30 years ago went down somewhere back
- East. We've obviously got the technology today to get
- around that.

1	So because of that, now we're willing to import
2	our timber from Canada, because we can't cut U.S.
3	timber. We'll let somebody else cut it and it won't
4	be regulated. We'll just import it in. We get our
5	wine from Australia. God forbid we get food from
6	China. And it's all because we are not willing to
7	expand American agricultural.
8	It's about time that we look at some projects
9	like Black Rock, which I strongly support, finishing
10	the rest of the Columbia Basin Project, some of these
11	things. We're going to stop and we're going to get
12	hung up on legal issues. And all those projects, in
13	50 years we'll look back on them and say, wow, that
14	was amazing. That was awesome we did that.
15	Grand Coulee didn't make economic sense 75 years
16	ago. By golly, it makes economic sense today. So I
17	am strongly in favor of Black Rock. It's about time
18	we start doing something so we keep agriculture in the
19	United States. Thanks.

20	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.	
21	Would you like to come up.	
22		
23	MICKEY CHAMNASS	
24	My name is Mickey Chamnass. My last name is	
25	spelled C-H-A-M-N-A-S-S. My address is 4255 Tammy	
	COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741	
	6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA	22

1 Street in Richland.

2	I hadn't really thought my comments out very
3	clearly, but one of the things that I did when I first
4	moved to the Tri-Cities about 30 years ago was
5	geologic mapping on Ahtanum Ridge, and so I know that
6	the ridge has a lot of fractures and faults and things
7	through it. And I'm little bit interested in how they
8	are going to design a tunnel to carry the water
9	through the ridge and back over into Black Rock.
10	There's a lot of faulting and folding and stuff
11	in between, so I'm concerned that there will be some
12	water lost through that ridge as they're piping water
13	through.
14	I had to agree with the concerns about the
15	leakage through the bottom of the reservoir. I've
16	also been working out at Hanford for a long time.
17	I've had a lot of experience in groundwater
18	contamination out there, and I think that there's a
19	very good potential for that to have some kind of

- 20 impact. I don't know how big the impact would be, but
- 21 I'm pretty sure that there would be some impact to
- 22 water levels as they're trying to clean up the
- 23 groundwater out there.
- And I also realize that, as you're talking to
- some of these nice folks that have been very helpful

1	and very formative back here, that recreation is not
2	part of the scope of the Bureau of Reclamation's plan;
3	that the housing development things that are out there
4	is a separate sideline type, taking benefit off of the
5	reservoir if it were to be built.
6	But I am concerned that in the long run that that
7	would become that and the municipal water sources
8	that the reservoir might be used for in the long run
9	would might become drivers. They may not be part of
10	the plan right now, but I wonder if they wouldn't
11	become drivers a few years down the road.
12	And so the expensive resorts get built, and all
13	the sudden it's really not quite so good to have that
14	water level dropping up and down every year with
15	seasonal withdrawals for irrigation. And that as the
16	area is continued to be developed, that there would be
17	an increasing requirement for municipal water sources.
18	So those are some of my concerns. Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.

- 20 Is there anyone else who would like to provide an
- 21 oral comment at this time?
- 22 (NO RESPONSE).
- 23 HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Well,
- thank you for coming. And we're going to put the
- 25 hearing in recess at this point, but we'll be here

1	until 9:00, so we can open it again if we have a
2	comment. Thank you.
3	(A RECESS WAS HAD AT
4	7:34 P.M. UNTIL 7:48 P.M.)
5	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: If we
6	could have a little quiet in the room, we're going to
7	reopen the hearing and we're going to take another
8	comment from Dana Ward.
9	
10	DANA WARD
11	And I don't know your protocol. Did you
12	want my name, address, organization, things of that
13	nature?
14	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Your name,
15	spell the last, and your address.
16	MR. DANA WARD: Okay. Dana Ward, W-A-R-D,
17	Environmental Scientists Audubon Society. My home
18	address is 10112 Maple Drive, Pasco, 99301.
19	And I just wanted Is there a time limit?

- 20 MR. JOHN EVANS: Five minutes.
- 21 MR. DANA WARD: Okay. I just wanted to say
- 22 a few things and hope that these are taken into
- 23 consideration.
- 24 On June 9th, 2000, the President signed a
- 25 proclamation designating the Hanford Reach a national

1	monument. In that proclamation it is stated that
2	there will be sufficient water maintained in the
3	Columbia River for the Hanford Reach National
4	Monument. I'm not quite sure how the Black Rock
5	Reservoir would impact the water supply for the
6	Hanford Reach, but that needs to be taken into
7	consideration.
8	Another thing that considers the ecology of large
9	dams, the ecology of large dams is an evolving
10	process. When we built the string of dams on the
11	Columbia River and the Snake River, we weren't totally
12	aware of what impact those dams could do. So we need
13	to take into the ecology of the proposed Black Rock
14	Reservoir Dam and what impact the ecology has.
15	For instance, in the Columbia River Dam, we have
16	now learned that the benthic organisms are affected by
17	the dam in the backup of water more than a quarter
18	mile inland subterranean-wise. These were not known
19	when those dams were built, and it is an impact to the

- 20 ecology of those dams.
- 21 And certainly we did not know what the impact was
- to the salmon. There used to be 50 million salmon
- 23 coming up the Columbia River at one time. Now, I
- 24 understand that this will not impact salmon in any one
- 25 direct form on the Columbia River, but indirectly it

1	could. We need to know what the ecology is of these
2	large dams.
3	We also have to be careful, if we store that much
4	water, what kinds of levels we would raise the
5	groundwater to. On the arid lands ecology reserve we
6	have the Cold Creek drainage. Now, we have a shrub
7	step environment there now. If we raise the level of
8	the water in that drainage, which is a main drainage,
9	subterranean drainage, out of the Black Rock
10	Reservoir, we could create inadvertently a wetland
11	situation on the aired lands ecology reserve.
12	Our shrub step environment is disappearing. We
13	would not want to do that. We would not want to
14	jeopardize our arid lands ecology reserve and the
15	Hanford Reach National Monument.
16	One of the other things we have to be careful
17	about is, when we store that much water, and there is
18	a great potential for evaporation, we can actually
19	change the atmospheric composition of the air to a

- 20 more humid environment, which could change again the
- 21 arid lands ecology of the region.
- 22 We have already raised the temperatures and the
- 23 humidity in the Tri-Cities due to all our irrigation
- and we vow that this will impact our arid lands
- ecology, and so could Black Rock Reservoir.

1	Also we need to take into account that the large
2	shrub step in the Yakima Firing Range is connected to
3	the 587 square miles of Hanford ecology. And putting
4	the reservoir between the two may not be a wise thing
5	if we want to maintain a shrub step corridor between
6	the two large reserves.
7	And I think I've about covered what I wanted to
8	cover, and I appreciate this opportunity to speak with
9	you.
10	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: All right,
11	thank you.
12	MR. DANA WARD: Thank you.
13	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Is there
14	anyone else that would like to give an oral comment at
15	this time?
16	
17	JACK DAWSON
18	Just one thought. I talked to you this
19	morning, too. But my name is Jack Dawson,

- 20 D-A-W-S-O-N. I live at 9614 Vincenzo,
- 21 V-I-N-C-E-N-z-O, Drive in Pasco.
- 22 And I guess the only comment that would be
- 23 different than this morning would be thinking in terms
- 24 of the faults underneath the dam. And by this very
- 25 name, a thrust fault means essentially continuous

1	movement. And when the seepage data was put together,
2	the modeling, I'm almost sure I'm not positive, I'm
3	almost sure it did not take into account the
4	movement that will occur.
5	All the ridges that run across eastern Washington
6	are there and they are not going to go away. Just
7	because the gap is there at the Black Rock does not
8	mean that the fault has disappeared.
9	And if you look at the ridges and those that
10	the trending and the fault, they will move all the
11	time. And I would think that 30,000 acre-feet of
12	seepage by year '41, after the completion of Black
13	Rock, would not stand critical review. Thank you.
14	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Thank you.
15	Is there anyone else that would like to provide
16	an oral comment at this point?
17	(NO RESPONSE)
18	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: All right.
19	We'll go ahead and recess the hearing again. Thank

- 20 you.
- 21 (A RECESS WAS HAD AT
- 22 7:54 P.M. UNTIL 7:59 P.M)
- 23 HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: It is now
- 24 9:00. We're now going to reopen the hearing.
- 25 Is there anyone who wishes to provide an oral

1	comment?
2	(NO RESPONSE).
3	HEARING OFFICER DAVE KAUMHEIMER: Hearing
4	none, the hearing is now closed.
5	
6	(HEARING ADJOURNED AT
7	9:00 P.M.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 30

1	WRITTEN COMMENTS WERE PROVIDED BY THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE
2	AND ARE ATTACHED AT THE END OF THE TRANSCRIPT:
3	
4	PAUL WILLIAMS
5	JIM STOFFELS
6	RICK LEAUMONT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

COURT REPORTING SERVICE (509)457-6741 (800)317-6741 6 SOUTH SECOND STREET, 413 LARSON BLDG., YAKIMA, WA 31

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4) ss. COUNTY OF YAKIMA)
5	
6	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that I, Dorene Boyle, Notary
7	Public in and for the State of Washington residing at
8	Yakima, reported the within and foregoing public hearing;
9	said public hearing being taken before me as a Notary
10	Public on the date herein set forth; that said statements
11	were taken by me in shorthand and thereafter under my
12	supervision transcribed, and that same is a full, true and
13	correct record of the statements of said participants.
14	
15	I further certify that I am not a relative or
16	employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
17	am I financially interested in the outcome of the cause.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand

20	and affixed my official seal this	day of	,
21	2008.		
22			
23	CERT/LIC NO. 2521 Notary Public in and for t	he State	
24	of Washington, residing		
25			