

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	
INTEL CORPORATION,	)	DOCKET NO. 9288
a corporation.	)	

ORDER RE *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT OF MOTIONS AND OPPOSITIONS

The following motions and oppositions thereto which were filed under seal will continue temporarily to be maintained under seal. The parties are to determine whether they continue to seek *in camera* treatment of these pleadings and inform the court by letter by March 1, 1999. If *in camera* treatment is sought, the parties have until March 15, 1999, to file motions for *in camera* treatment.

The motions and oppositions which will continue temporarily to be maintained under seal are:

Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Exclude Evidence (January 12, 1999);

Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Strike Complaint Counsel's Designation of Dean A. Klein and Donald Lewine as Potential Witnesses (January 13, 1999);

Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Determine the Sufficiency of Complaint Counsel's Answers and Objections to Respondent's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (January 13, 1999);

Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Strike Complaint Counsel's Designation of Saiyed Atiq Raza as a Witness and to Compel the Production of Documents Suppressed by Complaint Counsel (January 14, 1999);

Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Disqualify Complaint Counsel Richard G. Parker (January 20, 1999);

Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Determine the Sufficiency of Complaint Counsel's Supplemental Answers and Objections to Respondent's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (January 22, 1999);

Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Motion of Intel Corporation to Exclude Evidence (January 29, 1999);

Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Strike Complaint Counsel's Designation of Dean A. Klein and Donald Lewine as Potential Witnesses (January 29, 1999);

Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Strike Complaint Counsel's Designation of Saiyed Atiq Raza as a Witness and to Compel the Production of Documents by Complaint Counsel (January 29, 1999);

Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Determine the Sufficiency of Complaint Counsel's Answers and Objections to Respondent's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (January 29, 1999);

Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Disqualify Richard G. Parker (February 1, 1999);

Respondent Intel Corporation's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Submission in Aid of Oral Argument on the Motion to Exclude Evidence (February 2, 1999); and

Complaint Counsel's Opposition to Motion of Respondent Intel Corporation to Determine the Sufficiency of Complaint Counsel's Supplemental Answers and Objections to Respondent's Second Set of Requests for Admissions (February 3, 1999).



James P. Timony
Administrative Law Judge

Dated: February 12, 1999