

CEQ 26



Harvey.Reid@epamail.epa.gov
03/12/2002 10:04:23 AM

Record Type: Record

To: William A. Pizer/CEA/EOP@EOP
cc: Breidenich.Clare@epamail.epa.gov, "anderson, margot" <margot.anderson@hq.doe.gov>, Phil Cooney/CEQ/EOP@EOP
Subject: RE: National Communications summary

Since we've already laid out the national communication in Quark Express (the page layout software), I have sent the chapter 1 edits to our editor and she will insert them into the document quickly. I'll send it back to you as a PDF as soon as I get it from her.

As for edits on the other chapters, since my contracting funds are limited, I'd rather wait to have the edits made on all the other chapters (e.g., Billy's edits on chapter 5) until we have a complete set of edits from the White House review and then have all of them made at once. Phil, do you have any sense of the timing or extent of specific edits on the draft we submitted on January 25?

Reid

William_A.
_Pizer@cea.eop.gov To: Clare Breidenich/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
cc: "anderson, margot" <margot.anderson@hq.doe.gov>, Reid
03/11/2002 09:31 Harvey/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Phil_Cooney@ceq.eop.gov,
PM clare_breidenich/dc/usepa/us@epa.eop.gov
Subject: RE: National Communications summary

I thought Margot did a great job--I had only minimal changes to her material.
But then I went ahead and suggested a few more changes in Chapters 1 and 5.
Note that something weird was going on with my track changes feature, so they appear in two different colors/names (perhaps reflecting my slight schizophrenic tendencies).

Billy

(See attached file: National Communications Summary, revised w billy comments.doc)

(See attached file: National Communications Summary, revised w billy comments.doc)




- National Communications Summary, revised w billy comments.doc

From: William A. Pizer on 03/11/2002 09:31:27 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Breidenich.Claire@epamail.epa.gov

cc: "anderson, margot" <margot.anderson@hq.doe.gov>, harvey.reid@epamail.epa.gov, phil
cooney/ceq/eop@eop, "clare_breidenich/dc/usepa/us"@epa.eop.gov

Subject: RE: National Communications summary 

I thought Margot did a great job--I had only minimal changes to her material. But then I went ahead and suggested a few more changes in Chapters 1 and 5. Note that something weird was going on with my track changes feature, so they appear in two different colors/names (perhaps reflecting my slight schizophrenic tendencies).

Billy



National Communications Summary, revised w billy c

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

 Hello all,
Sorry to be out of touch on this so long, but I've been having a wonderful visit in lovely Sydney. Unfortunately for the national communication (but fortunately for me), I won't be returning to Washington until Wednesday, and returning to the office on Thursday. In the meantime, Reid Harvey can provide you with an electronic version of the executive summary or I can deal with this on Thursday when I return. Reid is cc'd on this message, so please direct any questions/communications to him until I return.

Sorry about the delay - Clare

Anderson, Margot
<Margot.Anderson@hq.doe.gov>
PM
To: 'Bill Pizer (E-mail)'
<wpizer@cea.eop.gov> cc: 'Phil Cooney (E-mail)' <phil_cooney@ceq.eop.gov>, Clare Breidenich/DC/USEPA/US@EPA bcc: Subject: RE: National Communications summary

-----Original Message-----
From:
Anderson, Margot

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2002 5:06 PM

To: 'wpizer@ceq.eo.gov'

Cc: Clare Breidenich (E-mail); Phil Cooney (E-mail)

Subject: National Communications summary

 << File: National Communications Summary, revised.doc >>

Billy, Here ar
a few draft paragraphs for consideration in a revised National Communication summary that highlights the 2/14 announcement. I do not have access the lastest e-version of the CAR so I can't make the changes and send around. It is my understanding (based on your discussion with Pter Karpoff) the summary does not need other edits as all numbers are consistent with chapter 5, which is consistent with the 2/14 announcement. EPA may have other additions but Clare will not be back until tomorrow. This might get the ball re-rolling.
Margot
 << File: National Communications Summary, revised.doc >>



"Anderson, Margot" <Margot.Anderson@hq.doe.gov>
03/11/2002 05:29:56 PM

Record Type: Record

To: William A. Pizer/CEA/EOP@EOP
cc: Phil Cooney/CEQ/EOP@EOP, "Clare Breidenich (E-mail)" <breidenich.clare@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: RE: National Communications summary

> -----Original Message-----


> From: Anderson, Margot
> Sent: Monday, March 11, 2002 5:06 PM
> To: 'wpizer@ceq.eo.gov'
> Cc: Clare Breidenich (E-mail); Phil Cooney (E-mail)
> Subject: National Communications summary
>
> << File: National Communications Summary, revised.doc >>

> Billy,

>
> Here are a few draft paragraphs for consideration in a revised National
> Communication summary that highlights the 2/14 announcement. I do not
> have access the latest e-version of the CAR so I can't make the changes
> and send around. It is my understanding (based on your discussion with
> Pter Karpoff) the summary does not need other edits as all numbers are
> consistent with chapter 5, which is consistent with the 2/14 announcement.
> EPA may have other additions but Clare will not be back until tomorrow.
> This might get the ball re-rolling.

> Margot

> << File: National Communications Summary, revised.doc >>

 - att1.htm

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

[REDACTED]

withheld - att (2) (3)
April 77

-----Original Message-----

From: Anderson, Margot

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2002 5:06 PM

To: 'wpizer@ceq.eo.gov'

Cc: Clare Breidenich (E-mail); Phil Cooney (E-mail)

Subject: National Communications summary

<< File: National Communications Summary, revised.doc >>

Billy,

Here are a few draft paragraphs for consideration in a revised National Communication summary that highlights the 2/14 announcement. I do not have access the latest e-version of the CAR so I can't make the changes and send around. It is my understanding (based on your discussion with Pter Karpoff) the summary does not need other edits as all numbers are consistent with chapter 5, which is consistent with the 2/14 announcement. EPA may have other additions but Clare will not be back until tomorrow. This might get the ball re-rolling.

Margot

<< File: National Communications Summary, revised.doc >>

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

[REDACTED]

withheld
AB/MS 71 att (2)
(5)