

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR:Marlo Lewis <mlewis@cei.org> (Marlo Lewis <mlewis@cei.org> [UNKNOWN])

CREATION DATE/TIME:20-MAY-2003 11:17:24.00

SUBJECT:: CEI study rebuts John Holdren's criticisms of Bj>rn Lomborg's The Skeptica

TO:Marlo Lewis <mlewis@cei.org> (Marlo Lewis <mlewis@cei.org> [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

BCC:Debbie S. Fiddelke (CN=Debbie S. Fiddelke/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

READ:UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Dear All:

ÿ

John Holdren, a Clinton-era leader of energy technology task forces,ÿand now the leading academic member of the National Commission on Energy Policy,ÿwas one of four authors toÿhurl accusations of incompetence and worse atÿBj>rn Lomborg, author ofÿThe Skeptical Environmentalist, in the pages of Scientific American.

ÿ

In "The Heated Energy Debate," energy expert Rob Bradley, Jr.ÿdemonstrates that Holdren's critique of Lomborg fails dismally. In addition, Bradley'sÿreview of Holdren's publications over a 30-year periodÿdocuments a penchant for exaggeration, error, and now wholesale intolerance of reasoned dissent.ÿ

ÿ

To read the study in PDF format, clickÿhere:

<http://www.cei.org/pdf/3477.pdf>

ÿ

If you have trouble opening it, the report is available on CEI's Web site: www.cei.org.