

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: "Dobriansky, Larisa" <Larisa.Dobriansky@hq.doe.gov> ( "Dobriansky, Larisa" <

CREATION DATE/TIME: 17-JAN-2003 15:06:24.00

SUBJECT: RE: INHOFE ON VOLUNTARY CLIMATE INITIATIVES

TO: Samuel A. Thernstrom ( CN=Samuel A. Thernstrom/OU=CEQ/O=EOP@EOP [ CEQ ] )

READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

Thanks for this

-----Original Message-----

From: Samuel\_A.\_Thernstrom@ceq.eop.gov

[mailto:Samuel\_A.\_Thernstrom@ceq.eop.gov]

Sent: Friday, January 17, 2003 3:05 PM

To: Dobriansky, Larisa

Subject: INHOFE ON VOLUNTARY CLIMATE INITIATIVES

----- Forwarded by Samuel A. Thernstrom/CEQ/EOP on

01/17/2003

03:05 PM -----

(Embedded

image moved Michael\_Catanzaro@epw.senate.gov (Michael

to file: Catanzaro)

pic13564.pcx) 01/16/2003 02:43:58 PM

Record Type: Record

To: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

CC:

Subject: INHOFE ON VOLUNTARY CLIMATE INITIATIVES

For Immediate Release  
(202) 224-5762

Contact: Mike Catanzaro

January 16, 2003

INHOFE SAYS VOLUNTARY CLIMATE INITIATIVES  
PROVE GOVERNMENT MANDATES ARE UNNECESSARY

Washington, D.C.-Sen. James Inhofe, chairman of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee, responded today to media reports on voluntary initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas emissions:

?The effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through voluntary programs is one of the innovative ways private business is addressing climate change. Among other things, it demonstrates that government controls on carbon dioxide emissions-whether through explicit mandatory caps or other programs imposed by bureaucrats-are unnecessary.

The Bush Administration rightly rejected mandatory schemes to reduce carbon dioxide emissions, such as the Kyoto Protocol, that would have devastated the U.S. economy. It has taken the right approach by supporting voluntary initiatives over government mandates.

I am concerned, however, that these private sector initiatives may pave the way for government regulation of carbon dioxide in the future. Congress should not interpret these initiatives as an invitation to impose mandatory caps or to artificially create carbon-trading markets.

In addition, industry should keep these arrangements private, and avoid seeking government regulation at some future date that would benefit a few large companies at the expense of economic growth and energy security.?

Message Sent

To: \_\_\_\_\_

george\_o'connor@craig.senate.gov (george o'connor)  
 Samuel A. Thernstrom/CEQ/EOP@EOP  
 ssegal@bracepatt.com  
 scott.rayder@noaa.gov  
 sbrown@dutkogroup.com  
 ray.fitzgerald@mail.house.gov  
 nschulz@techcentralstation.com  
 jmarks@nam.org  
 mmckenna@dutkogroup.com  
 mlewis@cei.org  
 mebell@cei.org  
 larry.boggs@corporate.ge.com  
 joe@rpum.com  
 jack.victory@mail.house.gov  
 dan.skopec@mail.house.gov  
 chorner@cei.org

- att1.htm===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====  
 ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00







<BR>

<BR>

</BODY>

</HTML>

===== END ATTACHMENT 1 =====