

ARMS729

RECORD TYPE: FEDERAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR: Phil Cooney (CN=Phil Cooney/OU=CEQ/O=EOP [CEQ])

CREATION DATE/TIME: 29-MAY-2003 16:20:40.00

SUBJECT:: Re: FOR COMMENT - another EPA Q&A on climate change

TO: Stanley S. Sokul (CN=Stanley S. Sokul/OU=OSTP/O=EOP@EOP [OSTP])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: bryan j. hannegan (CN=bryan j. hannegan/OU=ceq/O=eop@eop [CEQ])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: theodore w. ullyot (CN=theodore w. ullyot/OU=who/O=eop@eop [WHO])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: margo schwab (CN=margo schwab/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: edward a. boling (CN=edward a. boling/OU=ceq/O=eop@eop [CEQ])
READ: UNKNOWN

CC: christine a. mcdonald (CN=christine a. mcdonald/OU=omb/O=eop@eop [OMB])
READ: UNKNOWN

TEXT:

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

ú
ú
ú
ú

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

Stanley S. Sokul
05/29/2003 04:11:06 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Phil Cooney/CEQ/EOP@EOP
cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
bcc:
Subject: Re: FOR COMMENT - another EPA Q&A on climate change

Phil/Christine --

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

Stan

Phil Cooney
05/29/2003 03:50:04 PM
Record Type: Record

To: Christine A. McDonald/OMB/EOP@EOP
cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message
bcc:

Subject: Re: FOR COMMENT - another EPA Q&A on climate change

Christine, I have provided comments on this draft EPA answer too and copied the relevant EOP contacts.

[Redacted]

(b) (5)

Phil

Christine A. McDonald

05/28/2003 11:15:36 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Phil Cooney/CEQ/EOP@EOP

CC:

Subject: FOR COMMENT - another EPA Q&A on climate change

Hi Phil,

Here's another Q&A from the Hill that I need to review and clear.

[Redacted]

Thanks.

(b) (5)

CMcD

Message Copied

To:

- Stanley S. Sokul/OSTP/EOP@EOP
- Theodore W. Ulliyot/WHO/EOP@EOP
- Edward A. Boling/CEQ/EOP@EOP
- Bryan J. Hannegan/CEQ/EOP@EOP
- Margo Schwab/OMB/EOP@EOP

Message Copied

To:

- christine a. mcdonald/omb/eop@eop
- theodore w. ullyot/who/eop@eop
- edward a. boling/ceq/eop@eop
- bryan j. hannegan/ceq/eop@eop
- margo schwab/omb/eop@eop

===== ATTACHMENT 1 =====

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

TEXT:

Andrea Gardner
202-564-9829
Gardner.Andrea@epa.gov
INH-005

Senator Inhofe

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Question: In October 2000, I, Representatives JoAnn Emerson and Joe Knollenberg and others, filed a lawsuit against the *National Assessment on Climate Change*.

Ultimately, we agreed to withdraw our complaint in return for the White House guaranteeing in writing that the National Assessment does "not [reflect] policy positions or official statements of the U.S. government."

Yet somehow EPA "revived" the *National Assessment* by submitting it to the United Nations, pursuant to Articles 4.2 and 12 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, as Chapter 6 of the "Climate Action Report 2002," as a "policy position or official statements of the U.S. government."

As you are quite aware, some state attorneys general have seized on this disavowed, yet somehow "revived", document to claim that EPA must regulate carbon dioxide under the Clean Air Act.

Will EPA cease dissemination of and withdraw the *Climate Action Report* because it so plainly fails statutory requirements? Please address:

- A. The incomplete status of the *Climate Action Report*, including the unfinished, though mandatory, peer review of sectoral analyses, under the eight requirements of the United States Global Change Research Act of 1990 as well as Public Law 106-74 which states, "None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to publish or issue an assessment required under section 106 of the Global Change Research Act of 1990 unless (1) the supporting research has been subjected to peer review and, and if not otherwise publicly available, posted electronically for public comment prior to use in the assessment; and (2) the draft assessment has been published in the Federal Register for a 60 day public comment period;
- B. The Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Answer:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

Andrea Gardner
202-564-9829
Gardner.Andrea@epa.gov
INH-005

Senator Inhofe

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Question: In October 2000, I, Representatives JoAnn Emerson and Joe Knollenberg and others, filed a lawsuit against the *National Assessment on Climate Change*.

Ultimately, we agreed to withdraw our complaint in return for the White House guaranteeing in writing that the National Assessment does "not [reflect] policy positions or official statements of the U.S. government."

Yet somehow EPA "revived" the *National Assessment* by submitting it to the United Nations, pursuant to Articles 4.2 and 12 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, as Chapter 6 of the "Climate Action Report 2002," as a "policy position or official statements of the U.S. government."

As you are quite aware, some state attorneys general have seized on this disavowed, yet somehow "revived", document to claim that EPA must regulate carbon dioxide under the Clean Air Act.

Will EPA cease dissemination of and withdraw the *Climate Action Report* because it so plainly fails statutory requirements? Please address:

- A. The incomplete status of the *Climate Action Report*, including the unfinished, though mandatory, peer review of sectoral analyses, under the eight requirements of the United States Global Change Research Act of 1990 as well as Public Law 106-74 which states, "None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to publish or issue an assessment required under section 106 of the Global Change Research Act of 1990 unless (1) the supporting research has been subjected to peer review and, and if not otherwise publicly available, posted electronically for public comment prior to use in the assessment; and (2) the draft assessment has been published in the Federal Register for a 60 day public comment period;
- B. The Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Answer:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)