| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | Comments |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not Verifiable | |
| #5 Most Recent Periodic Review Date | 37 | 32 | 2 | Twenty records had a date reported to AFCARS that was prior to the current report period. Two records had dates of periodic review in 1999; eight were in 2000; and 11 were in 2001. Most of these children were over the age of sixteen. In one record, the date of the periodic review was the same as the date the reviewer found for the date of latest removal from home. In two records, the AFCARS field was blank but the reviewer found a review date in the file. The records indicated that the children had been in care since 1993 and 1994 respectively. Three records had dates reported to AFCARS that occurred after the end of the report period. In each case, the reviewer found dates prior to the end of the report period. |
| #6 Child Birth Date | 70 | 1 | | |
| #7 Child Sex | 71 | | | |
| #8 Child Race | 70 | 1 | | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | 1 | | |
| b. Asian | | | | |

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau July 2003

Number of cases reviewed: 71 Number of cases analyzed: 71 Number of Juvenile Justice Cases: 0

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ Not Verifiable | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Distant African American | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not verifiable | |
| c. Black or African American | | | | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other | | | | |
| Pacific Islander | | | | |
| e. White | | 1 | | |
| f. Unable to Determine | <u> </u> | 1 | | |
| #9 Child Hispanic Origin | 69 | 2 | | |
| #10 Has Child Been Diagnosed with Disability? | | 71 | | The response in AFCARS for all 71 records was "not yet determined" and the reviewers found diagnosed conditions in most of the case files. In four cases the reviewer indicated the answer should have been "no." In 27 records, at least one item in foster care elements #11-15 was selected. There were 22 records that did not have any medical information in the file. |
| #11 Mental Retardation | | 6 | | The responses marked for elements #11-15 indicates additional diagnoses found by the reviewers. |
| #12 Visually/Hearing Impaired | | | | |
| #13 Physically Disabled | | 1 | | |
| #14 Emotionally Disturbed | | 17 | | |
| #15 Other Diagnosed | | 4 | | |
| Condition | | | | |
| #16 Has Child Ever Been Adopted | 64 | 6 | 1 | The six incorrect records indicated "unable to determine" in AFCARS. The reviewers identified the response as "no." |
| #17 Age at Previous Adoption | 70 | 1 | | |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable/ Not Verifiable | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| #18 Date of First Removal from Home | 51 | 18 | 2 | In six records, the date reported to AFCARS was incorrect by one day to one week. Eight records reported dates to AFCARS that were from one to five years later than the actual date of first removal. |
| #19 Total Number of Removals from Home | 57 | 10 | 4 | There were eight records that had a wrong removal count due to the incorrect date entered in element #18 for the date of the first removal from home. One record indicated two removal episodes when, in fact, the child had a placement change. The worker entered the child as discharged when the child was placed in a juvenile detention center. One record reported two removal episodes in AFCARS, but the child had only one removal. |
| #20 Date of Discharge from Previous Episode | 55 | 12 | 4 | There were eight records that were incorrect due to more than one removal episode as indicated in the AFCARS file. Two records had a date reported in AFCARS but the reviewer indicated the child had only had one removal episode. There was one record that indicated a year of 1900. |
| #21 Date of Latest Removal | 52 | 16 | 3 | Eight records were incorrect because the date reported in AFCARS reflected the court/petition |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | Comments |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not Verifiable | |
| | | | | date and not the actual date the child was placed in out of home care. In six records, the date reported to AFCARS was incorrect by one day to one week. Four records had dates of removal that were later than what was reported to AFCARS. Three records indicated two different dates in AFCARS for elements #18 and #21. In two of these records the number of removals was correct (one). The date of the latest removal was incorrect. |
| #23 Date of Placement in Current Setting | 52 | 13 | 6 | Dates were entered incorrectly and did not reflect the current placement setting. Some of these were private provider placements. Also, many of the records had incorrect placement counts. |
| #24 Number of Previous Placement Settings in This Episode | 42 | 25 | 4 | In twenty-three records the number of placements was higher than what was reported to AFCARS. |
| #25 Manner of Removal From Home for This Episode | 71 | | | |
| #26 Physical Abuse | 64 | 7 | | |
| #27 Sexual Abuse | 70 | 1 | | |
| #28 Neglect | 67 | 4 | | |
| #29 Parent Alcohol Abuse | 64 | 7 | | |
| #30 Parent Drug Abuse | 69 | 2 | | |
| #31 Child Alcohol Abuse | 66 | 5 | | |
| #32 Child Drug Abuse | 70 | 1 | | |

US DHHS/ACF/ACYF/Children's Bureau July 2003

Number of cases reviewed: 71 Number of cases analyzed: 71 Number of Juvenile Justice Cases: 0

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not Verifiable | |
| #33 Child Disability | 68 | 3 | | |
| #34 Child's Behavior Problem | 64 | 7 | | |
| #35 Death of Parent | 71 | | | |
| #36 Incarceration of Parent | 70 | 1 | | |
| #37 Caretaker Inability to | 68 | 3 | | |
| Cope | | | | |
| #38 Abandonment | 70 | 1 | | |
| #39 Relinquishment | 71 | | | |
| #40 Inadequate Housing | 69 | 2 | | |
| #41 Current Placement Setting | 67 | 4 | | |
| #42 Out of State Placement | 71 | | | |
| #43 Most Recent Case Plan Goal | 52 | 15 | 4 | In six of the 15 incorrect files, the AFCARS information indicated a goal of long-term foster care and the reviewers indicated a goal of independent living. |
| #44 Caretaker Family Structure | 57 | 12 | 2 | One of the questionable files indicated "unable to determine" in AFCARS. |
| #45 1st Primary Caretaker's Birth Year | 53 | 11 | 7 | There were six records that indicated a "single female" in element #44 but this element was blank. |
| | | | | Two records indicated a marital status of "couple" in element #44 but this element was blank. |
| | | | | Two records indicated "single female" in element #44 and this element was blank. The reviewer indicated that element #44 should have been "married couple." |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | Comments |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not Verifiable | |
| | | | | One record had a data entry error. |
| | | | | There was one record that had a correct date of birth for this element, but element #44 indicated "unable to determine." The reviewer indicted the caretaker was a "single female." |
| #46 2nd Primary Caretaker's Birth Year | 47 | 12 | 12 | Four records indicated a "couple" relationship in element #44 and this element was blank. |
| | | | | Three records indicated a "single female" for element #44 and the reviewer indicated a "couple." The AFCARS information was correct for what was reported, but not accurate based on the case file review. |
| | | | | Two records indicated a relationship of "couple" in element #44 but were blank for this element. |
| | | | | Two records were incorrect due to data entry. |
| | | | | One record had a "1111" reported in the AFCARS file. |
| | | | | Two records indicated a "single female" in element #44, but there was a date of birth reported for this element. The reviewers noted there was only one caretaker in the home. In two additional cases, the reviewer did not make any notes regarding the date of birth, but marked element #44 as correct for "single female." |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable/ Not Verifiable | Comments |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| #47 Mother's Date of TPR | 54 | 15 | 2 | Incorrect data was attributed to the use of different dates by the caseworkers. |
| #48 Father's Date of TPR | 48 | 20 | 3 | Incorrect data was attributed to the use of different dates by the caseworkers. |
| #49 Foster Family Structure | 41 | 27 | 3 | There were 26 records that indicated a blank in AFCARS, but the child's living arrangement was a family foster home. In nine of those records the reviewer found information on the foster family structure. In two records, the information in AFCARS was blank but the reviewer indicated the foster family was a relative. |
| #50 1st Foster Caretaker's Birth Year | 37 | 4 | 30 | There were nine cases that the family structure in #49 was "married couple." Of those, four had correct dates of birth reported to AFCARS. The cases that were not verified are those that were blank in element #49. Most of these the reviewers could not find the information on the provider. These cases seemed to be managed by private providers. |
| #51 2nd Foster Caretaker's Birth Year | 32 | 9 | 30 | In the incorrect files, the foster family structure was "married" and this element was blank. In two cases the reviewer found dates of birth. One record indicated a date of birth for this element and the individual was a single person in #49. The date should have been reported in #50. The cases that were not verified are those that |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | Comments |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not Verifiable | |
| | | | | were blank in element #49. Since marital status was not known, it was not possible to determine if information should have been reported for a second caregiver. |
| #52 1st Foster Caretaker's Race | 37 | 4 | 30 | Of the nine cases reported as "married" in foster care #49, four had correct information for the race of the foster caretaker in #52. The cases that were not verified are those that were blank in element #49. |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | There were 22 records reported to AFCARS with a non-foster home setting. For these records all reported "no" for #52a. Element #52b – f were correctly reported as blank. |
| b. Asian | | | | |
| c. Black or African American | | | | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | | | | |
| e. White | | | | |
| f. Unable to Determine | | | | |
| #53 1st Foster Caretaker's Hispanic Origin | 37 | 4 | 30 | Of the nine cases reported as "married" in foster care #49, four had correct "Hispanic/Latino" information in #53. |
| | | | | The cases that were not verified are those that were blank in element #49. |
| #54 2nd Foster Caretaker's Race | 31 | 5 | 35 | There were nine records reported to AFCARS with missing information, and the family structure was "married." |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS | Data In AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | Comments |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Not Verifiable | |
| | | | | The cases that were not verified are those that were blank in element #49. |
| a. American Indian or Alaska Native | | | | There were 22 records reported to AFCARS with a non-foster home setting. For these records all reported "no" for #54a. Element #54b – f were correctly reported as blank. |
| b. Asian | | | | |
| c. Black or African American | | | | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | | | | |
| e. White | | | | |
| f. Unable to Determine | | | | |
| #55 2nd Foster Caretaker's Hispanic Origin | 31 | 5 | 35 | The cases that were not verified are those that were blank in element #49. There were nine records reported to AFCARS with missing information, and the family |
| | | | | structure was "married." |
| #56 Date of Discharge | 59 | 7 | 5 | One record was marked as questionable because the reviewer indicated a correct date of discharge, but noted "court ordered" for the reason in #58. |
| | | | | Another questionable record indicated a date in #56, but no reason in #58. The reviewer noted the child signed a "FC-18" agreement and was in a home for MR/DD. It is unclear if the youth is still in care or if the discharge reason should have been "emancipation." There was a date of periodic review that was after the reported date of discharge. |

| AFCARS Element | Data In AFCARS Matches Case File | Data In AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable/ Not Verifiable | Comments |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Six of the questionable records had dates of discharge, but no reason for discharge and the reviewer provided no additional information. Four of the incorrect files indicated a date of discharge in AFCARS, but the reviewer noted the child was still in foster care. Three of these appear to be trial home visits. One record with a date of discharge was actually a placement change date. |
| #58 Reason for Discharge | 52 | 14 | 5 | One record indicated in AFCARS a discharge date that was the child's 18 th birthday and the reason for discharge was "not applicable." The reviewer indicated the goal of emancipation and the child was no longer in care. |
| #59 Title IVE Foster Care | 37 | 5 | 29 | Most of the records could not be verified for foster care elements #59 - 65 as the information is in FACTS. Those that are marked incorrect are based on information reviewers did find in the paper case files. |
| #60 Title IVE Adoption | 42 | 0 | 29 | |
| #61 Title IVA AFDC | 41 | 1 | 29 | |
| #62 Title IVD Child Support | 40 | 2 | 29 | |
| #63 Title XIX Medicaid | 41 | 1 | 29 | |
| #64 SSI | 37 | 5 | 29 | |
| #65 None of the Above | 35 | 7 | 29 | |
| #66 Monthly Amount | | | | This information was not reviewed. |

| AFCARS Element | Data in AFCARS | Data in AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | COMMENTS |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Non-verifiable | |
| #4 State Agency Involvement | 30 | | | |
| 1 = Yes | | | | |
| 2 = No | | | | |
| #5 Child Date of Birth | 30 | | | |
| #6 Child Sex | 30 | | | |
| #7 Child Race | 30 | | | |
| #8 Child Hispanic Origin | 28 | 2 | | |
| #9 Has Agency Determined | 30 | | | |
| Special Needs | 20 | | | |
| #10 Primary Basis for | 29 | | | |
| Determining Special Needs | | | | |
| 0 = Not Applicable | | | | |
| 1 = Racial/Original | | | | |
| Background | | | | |
| 2 = Age | | | | |
| 3 = Membership in a Sibling | | | | |
| Group | | | | |
| 4 = Medical Conditions or | | | | |
| Mental, Physical or Emotional | | | | |
| Disabilities | | | | |
| 5 = Other | | | | |
| #11 Mental Retardation | 30 | | | |
| #12 Visually/Hearing Impaired | 30 | | | |
| #13 Physically Disabled | 29 | 1 | | |
| #14 Emotionally Disturbed | 29 | 1 | | |
| #15 Other Diagnosed | 28 | 2 | | |
| Condition | | | | |
| #16 Mother's Birth Year | 26 | 4 | | In two records the element was blank in AFCARS but the reviewer found a date of birth. |

| AFCARS Element | Data in AFCARS | Data in AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | COMMENTS |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Non-verifiable | One record indicated in AFCARS that the mom's date of birth was 1991 and not 1978. |
| #17 Father's Birth Year | 25 | 5 | | In two records the element was blank in AFCARS, but the reviewer found a date of birth. |
| | | | | One record indicated in AFCARS that the dad's date of birth was 1996 and not 1946. |
| #18 Mother Married at Time of Birth | 23 | 6 | 1 | Five records reported "unable to determine" to AFCARS but reviewers found that the mother was married at the time of the child's birth. |
| 1 = Yes 2 = No 3 = Unable to Determine | | | | |
| #19 Date of Mother's TPR | 14 | 16 | | The inconsistencies were due to the different dates being entered by the caseworkers for the termination of parental rights. |
| #20 Date of Father's TPR | 12 | 18 | | The inconsistencies were due to the different dates being entered by the caseworkers for the termination of parental rights. |
| #21 Date Adoption Legalized | 26 | 4 | | |
| #22 Adoptive Family Structure1 = Married Couple | 29 | 1 | | |
| 2 = Unmarried Couple 3 = Single Female 4 = Single Male | | | | |
| #23 Adoptive Mother's Year of Birth | 30 | | | |
| #24 Adoptive Father's Year of Birth | 28 | 2 | | |

| AFCARS Element | Data in AFCARS | Data in AFCARS Does | Questionable/ | COMMENTS |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|
| | Matches Case File | Not Match Paper File | Non-verifiable | |
| #25 Adoptive Mother's Race | | | | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska | 29 | 1 | | |
| Native | | | | |
| b. Asian | 30 | | | |
| c. Black or African American | 30 | | | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other | 30 | | | |
| Pacific Islander | | | | |
| e. White | 26 | 4 | | |
| f. Unable to Determine | 29 | 1 | | |
| #26 Adoptive Mother's | 30 | | | |
| Hispanic Origin | | | | |
| #27 Adoptive Father's Race | | | | |
| a. American Indian or Alaska | 30 | | | |
| Native | | | | |
| b. Asian | 30 | | | |
| c. Black or African American | 30 | | | |
| d. Native Hawaiian or Other | 30 | | | |
| Pacific Islander | | | | |
| e. White | 30 | | | |
| f. Unable to Determine | 29 | 1 | | |
| #28 Adoptive Father's | 30 | | | |
| Hispanic Origin | | | | |
| #29 Relationship of Adoptive | 30 | | | |
| Parent to Child - Stepparent | | | | |
| #30 Relationship of Adoptive | 30 | | | |
| Parent to Child - Other | | | | |
| Relative | | | | |
| #31 Relationship of Adoptive | 30 | | | |
| Parent to Child - Foster Parent | | | | |
| #32 Relationship of Adoptive | 30 | | | |

| AFCARS Element | Data in AFCARS Matches Case File | Data in AFCARS Does Not Match Paper File | Questionable/ Non-verifiable | COMMENTS |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Parent to Child - Other Non- Relative | | | | |
| #33 Child Was Placed from | 30 | | | |
| 1 = Within State 2 = Another State 3 = Another Country | | | | |
| #34 Child Was Placed by | 30 | | | |
| Public Agency Private Agency Tribal Agency Independent Person Birth Parent | | | | |
| #35 Receiving Monthly Subsidy | 30 | | | |
| #36 Monthly Amount | 16 | 14 | | An amount of zero dollars was reported to AFCARS, but reviewers found payment amounts. |
| #37 Adoption Assistance | 24 | 6 | | |