

## **National Transportation Safety Board**

Washington, D.C. 20594

## **Safety Recommendation**

**Date:** January 23, 2002

**In reply refer to:** R-01-26 and -27

U.S. Rail Transit Systems (See attached list)

The National Transportation Safety Board is an independent Federal agency charged by Congress with investigating transportation accidents, determining their probable cause, and making recommendations to prevent similar accidents from occurring. We are providing the following information to urge your organization to take action on the safety recommendations in this letter. The Safety Board is vitally interested in these recommendations because they are designed to prevent accidents and save lives.

The recommendations address the adequacy of requirements governing the use of prescription and over-the-counter medications by light rail vehicle (LRV) operators and the effect of sleeping disorders on the performance of LRV operators. The recommendations are derived from the Safety Board's investigation of the Maryland Transit Administration LRV accidents at the Baltimore-Washington International Airport transit station near Baltimore, Maryland, on February 13 and August 15, 2000, and are consistent with the evidence we found and the analysis we performed. As a result of this investigation, the Safety Board has issued four safety recommendations, two of which are addressed to rail transit systems, including your organization. Information supporting these recommendations is discussed below. The Safety Board would appreciate a response from you within 90 days addressing the actions you have taken or intend to take to implement our recommendations.

In 2000, the Maryland Transit Administration (MTA)<sup>1</sup> experienced two similar accidents in the same location just 6 months apart. Both accidents involved the failure of an MTA LRV train to stop at the designated stopping point at the Baltimore-Washington International Airport Light Rail Station (BWI Airport Station). In both cases, the train struck a hydraulic bumping post apparatus at the end of the track. The Safety Board's investigation of the two accidents indicated that, although the direct cause of each accident was different, aspects of the MTA rail transit operation common to the two accidents influenced both their outcomes. Consequently, the Safety Board developed a special investigation report to address the safety factors affecting both accidents.<sup>2</sup>

In 2000, when the accidents occurred, the MTA was called the Mass Transit Administration. On October 1, 2001, the MTA changed its name to the Maryland Transit Administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For additional information, see forthcoming Railroad Special Investigation Report NTSB/SIR-01/02: Maryland Transit Administration Light Rail Vehicle Accidents at the Baltimore-Washington International Airport Transit Station near Baltimore, Maryland, February 13 and August 15, 2000.

The first accident occurred about 2:37 p.m. (eastern standard time) on February 13, 2000, when MTA train 24 (composed of a single LRV), en route from Baltimore to the BWI Airport, struck the hydraulic bumping post at the terminus of track No. 1 at the BWI Airport Station and derailed. The force of the collision detached the bumping post from the track, and the front of the train, which was lodged against the bumping post, was elevated about 3 1/2 feet into the air. Train 24 carried 26 people (25 passengers and 1 operator), 18 of whom were injured. Five of those injured had serious injuries. The MTA estimated the cost of the accident at \$924,000.

The Safety Board determined that the probable cause of the February 13, 2000, accident at the Baltimore-Washington International Airport rail transit station was the train 24 operator's impairment by illicit and/or prescription drugs, which caused the operator to fail to stop the train before it struck the bumping post at the terminus.

The second accident occurred about 7:14 a.m. (eastern daylight time) on August 15, 2000, when MTA train 22 (composed of two LRVs), en route from Baltimore to the BWI Airport, struck the hydraulic bumping post at the terminus of track No. 2 at the BWI Airport Station and derailed. The bumping post separated from its attachment to the track and came to rest in an inverted position. The leading LRV of the train came to rest on top of the overturned bumping post and about 4 1/4 feet up in the air. The roof of this LRV was partially embedded into the ceiling structure of the terminal building. Train 22 carried 22 people (21 passengers and 1 operator), 17 of whom were injured. None had life-threatening injuries. The MTA estimated the cost of the accident at \$935,000.

The Safety Board determined that the probable cause of the August 15, 2000, accident at the Baltimore-Washington International Airport rail transit station was the train 22 operator's severe fatigue, resulting from undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea, which caused the operator to fall asleep so that he could not brake the train before it struck the bumping post at the terminus.

Among the safety issues considered by the Safety Board in the course of these investigations was the adequacy of requirements governing the use of prescription and over-the-counter medications by LRV operators. During these investigations, the Safety Board learned that, although the MTA had substance abuse requirements addressing the use of alcohol and illicit drugs, it did not specifically require that safety-sensitive employees report their use of prescription and over-the-counter medications before operating equipment. Rule 1.6.2 of the MTA *Interim Rules and Instructions for Employees* prohibited employees from reporting for duty or being, while on duty, under the influence of "intoxicants, including alcohol, or Controlled Substances, *or any other substance which may impair job performance*." (Italics added.) The MTA, however, did not define "any other substance which may impair job performance" as including prescription or over-the-counter medications, many of which have side effects that can impair alertness and other job performance factors.

Both operators in the BWI 2000 accidents had been on medical leave for extended periods shortly before their respective accidents. Both had been prescribed medications that had possible side effects that included fatigue and drowsiness. Regarding the MTA's policy about employees who had been on medical leave, the MTA light rail superintendent stated in a June 12, 2000, letter to the Safety Board that the MTA does "not positively know whether a safety-sensitive employee is on medication when they return to work."

Prescription and over-the-counter medications can significantly affect the performance of people taking them. Many such medications can make the patient drowsy or dizzy, affect vision or hearing, or bring about other physical conditions that could reduce the effectiveness of a safety-sensitive employee. It appears that the MTA's policy regarding prescription and over-the-counter medications was to allow the employee to make the final determination whether he or she was fit for duty while taking a medication. But the MTA itself had no mechanism by which it could review the appropriateness of the employee's decision.

The physical condition of an employee who carries out safety-sensitive duties should be of vital interest to any rail transit system management. Management is responsible not only for the well-being of that employee but of the passengers and coworkers the employee's actions affect. Some medications, even when they are taken as prescribed or recommended, may have the effect of degrading employee performance. In some cases, legal substances such as over-the-counter and prescription drugs can impair the condition of an employee nearly as readily as illegal drugs. Consequently, the Safety Board concluded that because the MTA did not require safety-sensitive employees to report their use of prescription and over-the-counter medications, it lacked information that could have had a bearing on the condition and performance of such employees.

Safety-conscious rail transit agencies must consider an employee's use of substances, whether legal or illegal, that could negatively affect the employee's performance and put passengers and coworkers at risk. If use of a prescription or over-the-counter medication brings into question the performance of an employee responsible for safety-sensitive duties, the employee can be temporarily reassigned to non-safety-sensitive duties while taking the medication. But management cannot reassign its employees if it does not know that they are using prescription or over-the-counter medications that might cause impairment. Through postaccident interviews, the Safety Board found that the two operators involved in the BWI accidents each had a different perception about the MTA's policy concerning an operator's responsibility for reporting medication use. The train 24 operator was taking the pain-relievers oxycodone and Tylenol 3 when he returned to work. He did not tell anyone at the MTA that he was taking the medications, but he was under the impression that he was supposed to inform someone. The train 22 operator had been prescribed and was taking pain medications to deal with chronic back and neck pain, and at least one of the medications may have carried a safety warning about operating machinery. The train 22 operator stated that he did not believe he was obligated to show these medications to his MTA supervisors but that he had done so on two occasions.

Thus, the operator in the February 2000 accident believed he was supposed to report his medication use but failed to do so, while the operator in the August 2000 accident did not think he was obligated to report medication use, although he said he tried to report it. At the same time, the chief of the MTA benefits section told the Safety Board that the MTA did not require employees to inform the MTA about their using prescription medications, while the MTA's contract physician told the Safety Board that employees were expected to report medication use in at least some cases. On the basis of the inconsistency evident at all levels within the organization about what was required for an employee to fulfill the policy, the Safety Board concluded that MTA managers and employees were confused about the requirements for reporting medication use to the MTA.

The chief of the MTA benefits section told the Safety Board that the MTA followed applicable Federal Transit Administration (FTA) regulations pertaining to substance abuse and that, consistent with those regulations, the MTA had no specific requirement that employees in safety-sensitive positions inform the MTA about their use of prescription and/or over-the-counter medications. The Safety Board reviewed the FTA drug regulations at 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 653 and found no explicit reference to the use of prescription and/or over-the-counter medications by safety-sensitive employees.<sup>3</sup> Other rail transit organizations may also infer from the lack of FTA regulations concerning the use of prescription and/or overthe-counter medications that they do not need to require their employees to report their use of prescription and over-the-counter drugs. Therefore, because the MTA may be only one of a number of rail transit organizations that do not require their safety-sensitive employees to report their use of prescription and over-the-counter medications, the Safety Board believes that rail transit systems should require employees in safety-sensitive positions to inform their supervisors when they are using prescription or over-the-counter medications so that qualified medical personnel may determine the medication's potential effects on employee performance, and train the employees about their responsibilities under the policy.

The BWI investigations also raised questions about the effect of sleeping disorders on the performance of LRV operators. After the August 15, 2000, accident, the train 22 operator's physician became concerned that the operator's unexplained loss of consciousness on the day of the accident might have been caused by a sleeping disorder. On September 5, 2000, on the advice of his physician, the operator underwent an evaluation by a sleep medicine specialist. The evaluation results indicated that the operator suffered from severe obstructive sleep apnea.

During the assessment, the operator told the examining sleep specialist physician that he had "excessive daytime sleepiness sometimes." The evaluation indicated that the operator had a self-reported Epworth Sleepiness Scale value of 14, while an Epworth Sleepiness Scale value for a person without excessive sleepiness would be 10 or lower. In addition, the medical testing determined that the operator demonstrated a respiratory disturbance index of 106 episodes per hour. A normal index would be less than 5 episodes of disturbance per hour, while an index indicating "severe" disturbance would be anything above 30 episodes per hour. Therefore, the operator had more than 21 times the number of breathing pauses per hour than is considered normal and more than 3 times the number of breathing pauses per hour than is considered severely disturbed. In addition, the evaluation showed that the operator's sleep latency period (the time it took for him to fall asleep under optimum conditions) was shorter than that of an individual who is not sleep-deprived.

The Safety Board sent the full results of the operator's medical sleep evaluation to the director of the Center for Sleep and Respiratory Neurobiology at the University of Pennsylvania Medical Center for assessment. This expert strongly supported the diagnosis of severe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> At the time of the accidents in 2000, 49 CFR Part 653, "Prevention of Prohibited Drug Use in Transit Operations," was in effect. Effective August 2001, Part 653 was superseded by 49 CFR Part 655, "Prevention of Alcohol Misuse and Prohibited Drug Use in Transit Operations." The Safety Board's review of the new FTA regulations at 49 CFR Part 655 found that they are also silent on the use of prescription and over-the-counter medications by safety-sensitive employees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Epworth Sleepiness Scale has a range of 0 to 24. Epworth Scale values for a person without excessive sleepiness would be 10 or less, while values 11 through 24 indicate significant sleepiness.

5

obstructive sleep apnea and considered the operator at risk for falling asleep inappropriately. Based on its review of the sleep evaluation evidence and the verification of the evaluation findings by an independent expert, the Safety Board concluded that the train 22 operator was suffering from severe obstructive sleep apnea at the time of the August 15, 2000, accident.

Obstructive sleep apnea is a medical condition that chronically prevents those affected by it from obtaining restful sleep, creating circumstances that result in persistent fatigue no matter how much sleep is obtained during any period. Medical authorities agree that excessive daytime sleepiness is almost uniformly present in people who suffer from obstructive sleep apnea, and constant fatigue is one of the symptoms of the disorder. Because he had severe obstructive sleep apnea, the operator almost certainly had severe and persistent fatigue. He likely was so accustomed to his habitual condition of tiredness that he did not even clearly recognize that he was fatigued. On the morning of the accident, this unrelieved fatigue appears to have caused the operator to fall asleep while he was operating the train during the approach to the BWI Airport Station. Consequently, the Safety Board concluded that the chronic fatigue he was experiencing due to undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea likely caused the train 22 operator to fall asleep as the LRV approached the BWI Airport Station on August 15, 2000.

An estimated 10 million people in the United States have undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea.<sup>5</sup> This is due in large part to a lack of awareness about and appreciation of the symptoms of the disease. Its hallmarks, such as snoring and persistent fatigue, are often considered mere annoyances rather than possible symptoms of a medical condition. Consequently, people with sleep apnea frequently dismiss the indicators as insignificant. A person educated about the disease, however, might recognize them as symptoms of the condition and seek appropriate medical treatment. Similarly, if transit agencies were better educated about and focused more attention on such disorders, they might be more aware of those employees likely to have sleeping disorders and be better equipped to help employees with sleeping disorders treat the conditions safely and effectively. Consequently, the Safety Board concluded that better education about the risks posed by sleeping disorders, the indicators and symptoms of such disorders, and the available means of detecting and treating the conditions could help transit agencies and their employees reduce the risk of safety-sensitive employees being impaired by chronic fatigue.

Before the BWI accidents took place in 2000, the MTA did not attempt to educate its employees or managers about how sleeping disorders could negatively affect the safety of the transit environment and about how such problems could be identified and addressed. Following the accidents, in 2001, some MTA personnel participated in fatigue awareness training, including the Transportation Safety Institute's fatigue awareness training, which includes modules addressing the major types of sleeping disorders, including sleep apnea. In addition, the MTA began developing its own fatigue awareness training program and policy.

The Safety Board is pleased with these MTA efforts in the area of fatigue awareness and encourages the MTA to develop a systematic and comprehensive program that will ensure that MTA employees are kept aware of the various safety issues involving fatigue, particularly fatigue caused by sleeping disorders. As indicated by the August 2000 accident at the BWI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Information obtained in early 2001 from the Home page of the American Sleep Apnea Association <a href="http://sleepapnea.org">http://sleepapnea.org</a>.

Airport Station, such disorders can have significant system safety consequences. Given that a sleeping disorder may affect the performance of an operator employed by any rail transit system, all such systems would benefit from including a sleeping disorder component in their fatigue programs. Therefore, the Safety Board believes that rail transit systems should ensure that their fatigue educational awareness programs include the risks posed by sleeping disorders, the indicators and symptoms of such disorders, and the available means of detecting and treating them.

Therefore, the National Transportation Safety Board makes the following safety recommendations to U.S. rail transit systems:

Require employees in safety-sensitive positions to inform their supervisors when they are using prescription or over-the-counter medications so that qualified medical personnel may determine the medication's potential effects on employee performance, and train the employees about their responsibilities under the policy. (R-01-26)

Ensure that your fatigue educational awareness program includes the risks posed by sleeping disorders, the indicators and symptoms of such disorders, and the available means of detecting and treating them. (R-01-27)

The Safety Board also issued safety recommendations to the Federal Transit Administration and the Maryland Transit Administration. In your response to the recommendations in this letter, please refer to Safety Recommendations R-01-26 and -27. If you need additional information, you may call (202) 314-6607.

Chairman BLAKEY, Vice Chairman CARMODY, and Members HAMMERSCHMIDT, GOGLIA, and BLACK concurred in these recommendations.

By: Marion C. Blakey Chairman

## U.S Rail Transit System Safety Recommendation Distribution List

Ms. Virginia L. White Administrator Maryland Transit Administration William Donald Schaefer Tower 6 St. Paul Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1614

Mr. Robert H. Prince
General Manager
Massachusetts Bay Transportation
Authority
10 Park Plaza
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

Mr. Jeffrey Warsh Executive Director New Jersey Transit New Jersey Transit Headquarters One Penn Plaza, East Newark, New Jersey 07105-2246

Mr. Paul Skoutelas General Manager Port Authority of Allegheny County 2235 Beaver Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15233-1080

Mr. William Hudson, Jr. President Memphis Area Transit Authority 1370 Levee Road Memphis, Tennessee 38108

Mr. Paul Jablonski General Manager Southwest Ohio Regional Authority 1014 Vine Street Suite 2000 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1116 Mr. Fred Hanson General Manager Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon 4012 Southeast 17th Avenue Portland, Oregon 97202

Mr. Lawrence M. Meckler Executive Director Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority 181 Ellicott Street Buffalo, New York 14203

Mr. John K. Leary, Jr.
General Manager
Southeast Pennsylvania Transportation
Authority
1234 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107-3780

Mr. Rick C. Walsh
General Manager
King County Department of
Transportation
201 South Jackson Street
Seattle, Washington 98104-3856

Mr. Danny Alvarez Director Miami – Dade Transit 111 Northwest First Street Suite 910 Miami, Florida 33128

Mr. Joseph A. Calabrese Chief Executive Officer Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority 1240 West 6th Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1331 Mr. Leroy Bailey General Manager Regional Transit Authority of Orleans and Jefferson 6700 Plaza Drive New Orleans, Louisiana 70127-2677 Mr. Gerald C. Francis Assistant Vice President Dallas Area Rapid Transit 3021 Oak Lane Dallas, Texas 75266-7284

Mr. John Landrum Chief Executive Officer McKinney Avenue Transit Authority 3153 Oak Grove Dallas, Texas 75204 Mr. Cal Masella General Manager Denver Regional Transportation District 1600 Blake Street Denver, Colorado 80202

Mr. John Inglish General Manager Utah Transit Authority 3600 South 700 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84130 Mr. Peter M. Cipolla General Manager Santa Clara Valley Transit Authority 3331 North First Street 'C' San Jose, California 95134-1906

Mr. Michael T. Burns General Manager San Francisco Municipal Railway 401 Van Ness Avenue Room 308 San Francisco, California 94102 Pilka Robinson General Manager Sacramento Regional Transit District 1400 29th Street Sacramento, California 95816-6406

Mr. Langley C. Powell President San Diego Trolley, Inc. 1255 Imperial Avenue Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101-7492 Mr. Kenneth Driggs
Executive Director
Regional Public Transportation
Authority
302 North First Avenue, #700
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Mr. Julian Burke Chief Executive Officer Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, California 90012 Mr. Thomas J. Irwin Executive Director Bi-State Development Agency 707 North First Street St. Louis, Missouri 63102 Mr. Lawrence G. Reuter President and Chief Executive Officer New York City Transit 370 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Mr. Michael DePallo
General Manager and Chief Executive
Officer
Port Authority Trans-Hudson
Corporation
One Path Plaza
Jersey City, New Jersey 07306

Mr. Nathaniel Ford
General Manager
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit
Authority
2424 Piedmont Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30324-3330

Mr. Frank Kruesi President and Chief Executive Officer Chicago Transit Authority Merchandise Mart Plaza Post Office Box 3555 Chicago, Illinois 60654-0555 Mr. Thomas Hickey General Manager Port Authority Transit Corporation Administrative Office and Maintenance Facility Lindenwold, New Jersey 08021

Mr. Richard A. White
General Manager and Chief Executive
Officer
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit
Authority
600 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Mr. Thomas Margro
General Manager and Chief Executive
Officer
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit
District
800 Madison Street
Post Office Box 12688
Oakland, California 94604-2688