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On September 6, 1992, about 1802 central daylight time, a Piper PA‥30, N7065Y, operating under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 91, entered an uncontrolled descent and crashed at Broussard, Louisiana. Parts of both wings and both horizontal stabilators separated before the airplane struck the ground. The flight, which was en route from Slidell, Louisiana, to Killeen, Texas, carried two adults and two children. The adult male who occupied the right front seat sustained fatal injuries. Although the impact involved high vertical and side loads, the adult male who occupied the left front seat, and a 4-year-old boy and a 10-month-old girl who occupied the rear bench seat in child restraint systems (CRSs) survived the accident with serious injuries. The occupant in the left front seat sustained a fracture of the thoracic spine, a ruptured spleen, liver laceration, and a pneumothorax. The 4-yearold boy sustained a closed head injury, a basilar skull fracture on the right side, and fractures of the right ulna, radius, and fibula. The $10 \cdots m o n t h-o l a$ girl sustained a closed head injury.

The National Transportation Safety Board determined that the children survived because they occupied CRSs. Rather than being restrained by an adult-size safety belt, the 10 -month-old girl's CRS provided upper body restraint and the the 4 -year-old boy's mini-shield child safety booster seat (with a crotch strap) provided him extra protection. Although the forces imposed on the individuals at impact could not be determined, the Safety Board believes that the type of injuries sustained suggest that the children and other occupants experienced between 20 and 40 Gs during the impact sequence. The aft bench seat was attached to the wing spar, which had separated and buckled inward beneath the bench seat and directly under the 10 -month-old girl's CRS. Damage to the base of the CRS corresponded with damage to the spar. The Safety Board believes that the use of CRSs protected both children from the energy transmitted through the wing spar during the impact sequence.

A previous general aviation accident also demonstrates the benefit of using CRSs. On August 21, 1988, a Beechcraft A36TC crashed during a forced landing east of the Paradise, California, airport. The airplane crashed at the bottom of a canyon on a 60 degree slope just short of a sandy beach. Both front seat occupants sustained serious back and head injuries. The rear seats were configured in club seating. Two adults were seated in aftfacing seats. One sustained serious back injuries and the other sustained fractured ribs. Two children (a 2-year-old child and a 6 -month-old child) were restrained in CRSs on the forward-facing seats in the aft row and were not injured.

The Safety Board has also investigated accidents involving general aviation airplanes in which children were held on an adult's lap and restrained by the adults' safety belt. This action contributed to or caused fatal injuries to the children.

On December 25, 1991, a Beechcraft A36 crashed in a rural area of Wilmington, Ohio, and the airplane was destroyed. The two pilots and one passenger were fatally injured, and two passengers sustained serious injuries. One of the surviving passengers was seated in the left rear seat and was holding her 17 -month-old daughter on her lap. Both were restrained by a single safety belt. The mother survived, and her daughter died of safety belt-induced internal injuries and crushing to the base of the skull.

On April 11, 1987, a Cessna 172 N stalled after takeoff at Ferguson Township, Pennsylvania, and struck the ground in a noselow attitude. The two front seat occupants were fatally injured. The occupant space at the rear seat remained relatively intact; however, an adult passenger and her 3 -year-old son sustained fatal injuries. The adult died from blunt force head injuries. The 3-year-old, who was seated on his mother's lap and was sharing her safety belt, sustained a crushed skull and serious internal injuries caused by the safety belt. Both children's injuries were likely exacerbated by the weight of the adult on whose lap the child was riding. The adult's weight has been shown to be the cause of injury in many automobile accidents in which a child is seated on an adult's lap.

The Safety Board believes that these adults may have erroneously thought that they were providing protection to the children by restraining them within their own safety belts. Neither the Cessna 172 nor the Beechcraft A36 Pilot Operating Handbooks cautioned against the use of one safety belt by two people.

The Safety Board continues to be concerned that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has not required children who have not reached their second birthday to be adequately restrained during takeoff, landing, and turbulent conditions, as required for all other aircraft occupants. Thus, small children are allowed to
be held on the lap of an adult or be restrained by a safety belt during flights conducted under 14 CFR Parts 91, 121, and 135.

On May 30, 1990, the Safety Board recommended that the FAA amend 14 CFR Parts 91, 121 , and 135 to require that infants and small children be restrained in FAA-approved child restraint systems that are appropriate for their height and weight. On September 8, 1992, the FAA issued a final rule that amended 14 CFR 91.107, 121.311, 125.211, and 135.128 to require that operators permit the use of child restraint systems that have been approved by the FAA or foreign regulatory agencies. On March 15, 1993, the Safety Board responded to the FAA that this action was not acceptable because it did not require all occupants to be restrained.

A companion recommendation, Safety Recommendation A-90-79, asked the FAA to conduct research to determine the adequacy of aircraft safety belts to restrain children who are too large to use an FAA-approved CRS and to develop some suitable means of providing adequate restraints for such children. On June 7, 1991, the FAA responded that "previous studies concluded that an adult lap belt is adequate for a child close to 1 year of age, provided that child has attained muscular and skeletal development and weight distribution (i.e., no longer 'top heavy') to enable him/her to walk." On May 8, 1992, the Safety Board restated its concern about the ability of adult safety belts to safely restrain small children and urged the FAA to reconsider the recommendation.

The Safety Board believes that the FAA should ensure that pilots know the danger of two persons using a safety belt designed for one occupant and the benefit of using CRSs. Further, the FAA should amend 14 CFR 91.107 to prohibit the use of a safety belt by more than one person.

The Safety Board believes that the current regulations (CFR 121.311) which prohibit the use of a safety belt "by more than one person who has reached his second birthday" should be amended to prohibit using a safety belt designed for one occupant by more than one person of any age.

Although a mini-shield booster seat was successfully used in the accident in Broussard, Louisiana, the Safety Board is concerned about the level of protection provided by these seats. On February 22, 1991, the Safety Board expressed concern to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHSTA) about the use of mini-shield booster seats with lapbelts in automobiles, and recommended that the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration revise Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) 213, Child Seating Systems, to include performance standards for mini-shield booster seats (Safety Recommendation H-91-2). Because child restraint systems certified to FMVSS 213 and manufactured after

February 26, 1985, are certified for use in aircraft, mini-shield booster seats are currently considered acceptable for aircraft use.

Because of the potential for use on aircraft, the Safety Board is pleased that the FAA is researching the compatibility of airplane seat belts and child restraint systems, including minishield booster seats, at its Civil Aeromedical Institute. The research is examining the characteristics of several types of CRSs during tests in which air carrier seats are subjected to dynamic loads of 16 Gs . The Safety Board endorses this research because the results may provide the FAA with data that can lead to guidance on the appropriateness of using booster seats in air carrier and general aviation aircraft.

In view of the information learned in these accident investigations, the Safety Board reiterates its earlier recommendation that the FAA:

Revise 14 CFR Parts 91, 121, and 135 to require that all occupants be restrained during takeoff, landing, and turbulent conditions, and that all infants and small children below the weight of 40 pounds and under the height of 40 inches be restrained in an approved child restraint system appropriate to their height and weight. (class II, Priority Action) (A-90-78)

Also, the National Transportation Safety Board recommends that the General Aviation Manufacturers Association:

> Encourage its members to include information about the use of child restraint systems on general aviation aircraft in passenger briefing cards, pilot operating handbooks, and approved flight manuals. (Class II, Priority Action) (A-93-108)

Also as a result of its investigation, the Safety Board has issued Safety Recommendations A-93-106 and A-93-107 to the Federal Aviation Administration and Safety Recommendation A-93-109 to the Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association.

The National Transportation Safety Board is an independent Federal agency with statutory responsibility "...to promote transportation safety by conducting independent accident investigations and by formulating safety improvement recommendations" (Public Law 93-633). The Safety Board is vitally interested in any actions taken as a result of its safety recommendations and would appreciate a response from you regarding action taken or contemplated with respect to the recommendation in
this letter. Please refer to Safety Recommendation A-93-108 in your reply.

Chairman VOGT, Vice Chairman COUGHLIN, and Members LAUBER, HART, and HAMMERSCHMIDT concurred in this recommendation.


