50-20 Log 1715

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C.

ISSUED: February 22, 1985

Forwarded to:

Honorable Donald D. Engen Administrator Federal Aviation Administration Washington, D.C. 20591

SAFETY RECOMMENDATION(S)

A-85-15 through -17

About 0003 Yukon standard time on December 19, 1983, Japan Airlines Flight 1036, a Boeing 747-200, collided with a pickup truck (PU3) traversing the runway after the flight had completed a Category II instrument landing system (ILS) approach to runway 6R at Anchorage International Airport, Alaska. At the time, instrument meteorological conditions (IMC) prevailed, and the runway visual range (RVR) was reported as 1,000 feet. The airplane incurred substantial damage, but the three crewmembers were uninjured. The pickup truck was demolished and the driver was seriously injured.

About 1316 central standard time on December 20, 1983, Ozark Airlines Flight 650, a DC-9-31, collided with a Snowblast snow sweeper (SWEEPER 7) clearing the runway after the flight had completed an ILS approach to runway 3 at Joe Foss Field, Sioux Falls, South Dakota. At the time, IMC prevailed, and the runway 3 RVR was reported as 3,500 feet. Prevailing visibility at the time was reported as 1 mile with light snow. The aircraft received substantial damage, the crew of 5 and 81 passengers evacuated the airplane via the forward escape slides without reported injury. The snow sweeper was demolished, and the driver was killed. 1/

On December 21, 1983, as a result of the Anchorage and Sioux Falls accidents, the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Air Traffic Service issued a general notice (GENOT N7110.876) to all air traffic control facilities providing airport advisory service. The GENOT directed facility Air Traffic Managers to conduct mandatory briefings to assigned personnel regarding proper coordination and application of procedures relative to landing aircraft and when there are vehicle operations in progress on runways.

^{1/} For more information read Aircraft Accident/Incident Summary Report dated February 1, 1985, and see attached brief of accident.

On March 8, 1984, about 0742 eastern standard time, Piedmont Airlines Flight 322, a Boeing 737-200, executed an emergency go-around after it landed on runway 36 at the Covington/Greater Cincinnati International Airport, Covington, Kentucky, after the flightcrew saw amber flashing lights on eight pieces of snow removal equipment located about 1,000 feet ahead of the airplane on the runway. At the time, IMC prevailed, and the runway 36 RVR was reported as 1,200 feet. The scheduled passenger flight had completed a Category II ILS approach to runway 36. The airplane lifted off the runway and missed the snow removal equipment by an estimated 10 feet. The occupants of the airplane and the snow removal equipment were not injured. During the on-scene investigation at Cincinnati, Safety Board investigators verified that the individuals assigned the local and ground control positions during the Piedmont incident had received the mandatory briefing required by the GENOT.

In all three occurrences, vehicles were operating within ILS critical areas at a time when aircraft were executing Category II II S approaches in reduced visibility conditions. ILS critical areas were established to ensure that no vehicles or aircraft would be operating in an area that could cause a deviation in course or elevation (glide slope) signals from the ILS system. A deviation in either course or elevation signal information caused by vehicles operating in a critical area could cause a flightcrew, by following those signals, to deviate from the actual ILS course or glide slope with a potential for disastrous results. The GENOT referred to previously did not contain any requirements for briefing control personnel concerning the requirements in Air Traffic Control Handbook 7110.65D, 3-84, for restricting vehicle and aircraft operations in the ILS critical areas when the reported ceiling, visibility, or runway visual range are below specified levels.

After the Sioux Falls accident, the Air Traffic Manager (ATM) installed a mechanical alerting device, similar to a flag on a rural mail box, between the local and ground control positions. The ATM stated that the device was intended to alert the local and ground controllers when vehicles are on the active runway on a long-term basis, such as when snow removal operations were in progress. The device is put in the up position when vehicles are on the runway and in the down, or lowered, position when the runway is clear.

At Cincinnati, the ATM has installed an electronic alerting device at the local and ground control positions. The device consists of a red light with a switch located near the wind indicators at both the local and ground control positions. The ATM stated that the device will be used in the following manner: When the ground controller requests permission to allow vehicles on the runway for other than routine runway crossings, he must verbally ask the local controller for permission. When verbal approval is received, the ground controller will activate the switch associated with the red light at his operating position which in turn will illuminate the red lights at both positions to indicate that vehicles are on the active runway. When the vehicles are clear of the runway, the ground controller is responsible for verbally advising the local controller that the runway is clear of vehicles and the local controller is responsible for deactivating the lights via the switch located at his operating position.

The Safety Board is aware that mechanical alerting devices have been in use at several U.S. Air Force Control Towers for some time as an aid to alerting control personnel that vehicles, such as snow removal equipment, are utilizing the active runway(s) on a long-term basis.

Accordingly the National Transportation Safety Board recommends that the Federal Aviation Administration:

Develop a mechanical/aural/visual (or combination thereof) alert device and require its use by local and ground controllers to coordinate their activities when a vehicle has been cleared to operate on the active duty runway for an extended period such as in snow removal operations. (Class II, Priority Action) (A-85-15)

Periodically emphasize in the training of air traffic control personnel providing airport advisory services the proper application of runway usage procedures stressing positive coordination between control positions. (Class II, Priority Action) (A-85-16)

Periodically emphasize in the training of air traffic controller personnel the requirements contained in the Air Traffic Control Handbook 7110.65D, March 1984, for restricting vehicle and aircraft operations in the ILS critical areas when the ILS is being used for approach/landing guidance and the reported ceiling, visibility or runway visual range are below the specified levels. (Class II, Priority Action) (A-85-17)

BURNETT, Chairman, GOLDMAN, Vice Chairman, and BURSLEY, Member, concurred in these recommendations.

y: Jim Burnett Chairman

Filt - 6000 12/19/83	ANCHORAGE, AK		C Kest. No. 18	; 60	 -			
Twre Operating Certificate-AIR CARRIER - FLAG/MOMESTIC Name of Carrier - JAFAN AIRLINES TYPE of Operation - SCHEDULED, INTL, CARGO Flight Conducted Under - 14 CFR 121 ACC/Inc Occurred During -LANDING	-AIR CARRIER - FLAG/DO -JAPAN AIRLINES -SCHEDULED, INTL, CARGO -14 CFR 121	[eit Domeste TANTIAL		Fatal 0	Indurates Serious Minor 0 0 0	Minor	None 3
	TABLE	Make/Nodel =	F & W J195-70 4 TURRDFAN 53000 LBS THE	7a THRUST	ELT III	ELT Installed/Activeted	tlveted - System :	YES/NO
nform ERSON 4R 060 8	I1 E AT ASCURED	ltinerary Last Departury Point TOKTO, JAPAN Destination SAME AS ACC/INC ATC/Airspace Type of Flight Plan Type of Clearence Type of Clearence Type of Clearence	nt - IFR - IFR - ILS - COMPLETE	€	Airport Proximity ON AIRPORT Airport Data ANCHORAGE INTL Runway Ident Runway Lth/Wid Runway Status	Ximity INTL Ent	06R 10897/ 150 MACADAM DRY	
Personnel Information Pilot-In-Command Certificate(%)/Rating(%) ATP MF LAND	Age - 5 Biennal Fl	52 Flisht Review	Hedical Certificate - VALID MEDICAL-WAIVERS/LIMIT	Lificate Flight Ti	ficate - VALID MEDI Flight Time (Hours)	IDICAL-WAIVERS	ERS/LIHIT	

- AIRPLANE Instrument Rating(s)

Last 30 Days- UNK/NR Last 90 Days- UNK/NR

Hake/Kudel- 17000 Make/Kudel- 3300 Instrument- UNK/NR Multa-Eng - UNK/NR

Aircraft Tyre Honths Since Current

- UN </NR

Rotorcraft

AT 2359 THE LOCAL CONTROLLER CLEARED THE FLT TO LAND ON RWY 6R. AT 0001 THE GROUND CONTROLLER CLEARANCE FROM THE LOCAL
PICK-UP TRUCK ONTO RWY 6R FOR A TAPLEY RUN. THE GROUND CONTROLLER STATED THAT HE REQUESTED CLEARANCE FROM THE LOCAL CONTROLLER TO ALLOW THE TRUCK ON THE RWY. HE WAS NOT AWARE THAT THE B-747 HAD BEEN CLEARED TO LAND. THE LOCAL CONTROLLER TO ALLOW THE ROUND CONTROLLER COMMUNICATIONS & WAS NOT SURE IF HE ACKNOWLEDGED THE REQUEST FROM GROUND CONTROLLER BELIEVED THE LOCAL CONTROLLER SAID "OKAY." THE ACFT STRUCK THE TRUCK ABOUT 2,000 FT BEYOND THE APPROACH END OF THE RWY WHILE THE ACFT'S HAIN LANDING GEAR WAS ON THE GROUND BUT THE NOSE HAD NOT YET BEEN LOWERED FROM THE LANDING ATTITUDE. THE FLT CREW STATED THAT THEY DID NOT SEE THE TRUCK FRIOR TO THE COLLISION. AT 0013 THE RWY VISUAL ーー・スシットをしゃくのーーー

Brief of Accident/Incident (Cuntinued)

File No. - 6000

ANCHORAGE, AK 12/19/83

A/C Res. No. J8151

Time (Lc1) - 0005 AST

Occurrence Phase of Operation

IN FLIGHT COLLISION WITH UBJECT LANDING - FLARE/TOUCHDOWN

Finding(s)

1. LIGHT CONDITION - DARK NIGHT
2. WEATHER CONDITION - LOW CEILING
3. WEATHER CONDITION - FOG
4. WEATHER CONDITION - OBSCURATION
5. CONTROL TOHER SERVICE - INADEQUATE - ATC FSNL(LCL/GND/CLNC)
6. OBJECT - VEHICLE

----Probable Cause----

The National Transportation Safety Buard determines that the Probable Couse(s) of this accident/incident

Factor(s) relating to this incident is/are finding(s) 1,2,3,4,6

. N934Z Jine (Lul) - 1317 CST	Sc.	8D-7 ELT Installed/Activeted - NO -N/A Stall Warning System - YFS	Airpurt Pruximity ON AIRPORT Airpurt Data JOE FOSS FIELD Runway Ident - 03 Runway Léh/Wid - 8999/ 150 Runway Surface - CONCRETE IFR Runway Status - SNOW - DFY FULL STOP
, Kes. No. N934Z	STIC Alecteft Denese SUBSTANTIAL ARGO Fire ON GROUND	Ens Hoke/Mudej - P. & W. J18D-7 Numbor Ensine 19re - TURROJET Raled Puwer - UNK/NR	Lure Point TYFIA ACC/INC 14ht Plen - earance -
3 SIOUX FALLS, SP	-AIR CARRIER - FLAG/DOMESTIC -OZARK AIR LINES, INC -SCHEDULED, DOMESTIC, FOX/CARGO -14 CTR 121 -LANDING	TABLE	I BSCURED
3315 12/20/83	ificate der urins	Aircraft Information Hake/Model - DOUGLAS DC-9-31 Landing Sear - TRICYCLE-RETRACTABLE Hax Gross Wt - UNK/NR No. of Seats - 110	deerations informations and a NWS - TELETYPE ness - UNK/NR her - IHC /Speed- 070/009 K ty - 1.000 ty/Clouds - P tiling - P tiling - S tion to Vision- B ation - S
1 tr.	Type Operating Cert Name of Carrier Type of Carrier Type of Operation Flight Conducted Un Accident Occurred	Hake/Model Hake/Model Landing Bear Hax Gross Wt No. of Seats	Weather Data Wx Briefins Wx Briefins Acthod Completeness Basic Weather Wind Dir/Spe Visibility Lowest Sky/C Lowest Sky/C Lowest Sky/C Conditions Precipitation

---Personnul Information----

Certificate(s)/Rating(s) SE LAND, ME LAND Pilot-In-Command

Aircraft Type - DC-9-30 Blennial Flisht Review Hunths Since Current Ase

Hedical Certificate - VALID HEDICAL-WAIVERS/LIMIT Flisht Time (Hours)

9226 Instrument- UNK/NR 25217 Multi-Ens - UNK/NR Hake/Hodel-Tutal

'Last 30 Days- UNK/NR Last 90 Days- UNK/NR Last 24 Hrs - UNK/NR

- UNK/NR

Rotorcraft

- AIRPLANE Instrument Rating(s)

----Narrative--

DURING LANDING THE CREW ACQUIRED VISUAL REFERENCE WITH THE GROUND & AFCH LIGHTS ABOUT 200 FT AGL, FOLLOWED BY VISUAL SIGHTING OF THE RWY, SINCE THE ATIS REPORTED BLOWING SNOW, THE CREW EXPECTED TO SEE, & WAS NOT SURPRISED TO SEE, SNOW BLOWING ACROSS THE RWY ABOUT 2,000 FT REYOND THE THRESHOLD, AT ABOUT 2,200 FT DOWN THE RWY ABOUT 2,000 FT REYOND THE THE SNOW, & THE RIGHT WING STRUCK A LARGE SNOW SWEEPING VEHICLE WHICH WAS TRAVELING IN THE SAME DIRECTION & TO THE RIGHT OF THE RWY CENTERLINE, THE ACFT'S RIGHT WING SEPARATIONS, NOR DID THE LUCAL CONTROLLER ADVISED THE FLT OF SNOW REMOVAL OPERATIONS, NOR DID THE LUCAL CONTROLLER COMMUNICATE WITH THE SWEEPER AFTER HE TOOK THE HAND-OFF OF THE FLT FROM APPROACH CONTROLL. HE ALSO STATED HE DID NOT KHOW WHERE THE SWEEPER WHEN

Brief of Accident (Continued)

12/20/83 File No. - 3315

SIOUX FALLS, SD

A/C Rus. No. N934Z

Time (Lc1) - 1317 CST

Phase of Operation Occurrence

ON GROUND COLLISION WITH OBJECT LANDING - ROLL

Finding(s)

1. WEATHER CONDITION - SNOW
2. WEATHER CONDITION - OBSCURATION
3. CONTROL TOWER SERVICE - INADEQUATE - ATC PSNL(LCL/GHD/CLNC)

----Probable Causa----

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the Probable Cause(s) of this accident

Factor(s) relating to this accident is/ere finding(s) 1,2,4

File	- 5031	31 3/08/84	ERLANGER,KY	KY	-1 C Reg. No. N794N	;	-	Time (Lcl) - 0742 EST	0742 EST		
Type Operating Cert Name of Carrier Type of Operation Flight Conducted Un Incident Occurred	sic Information Type Operating Certificate-AIR CARRIER - FLAG/DOMESTIC Name of Carrier -PIEDMONT AVIATION Type of Operation -SCHEDULED-DOMESTIC,PAX/CARG- Flight Conducted Under -14 CFR 121 Incident Occurred During -LANDING	-AIR CARRIE -PIEDMONT A -SCHEDULED -14 CFR 121	-AIR CARRIER - FLA -PIEDMONT AVIATION -SCHEDULED-DOMESTI -14 CFR 121 -LANDING	-AIR CARRIER - FLAG/DOMESTIC -PIEDMONT AVIATION -SCHEDULED/DOMESTIC/PAX/CARGO -14 CFR 121 -LANDING	Aircraft Damage NONE Fire	Crew Pass Other	F. 0000	Serfous #	en forth	Mone 5 17	1
Aircraft Informake/Model Landing Gear Max Gross Ut No. of Seats		tion BOEING 737-200 - TRICYCLE-RETRACTABLE - 90003	ABLE		Eng Hake/Hodel - P & W JT8-15 Number Engines - 2 Engine Type - TURBOJET Rated Power - 15500 LBS THRUST	T.	ELT 1	ELT Installed/Activated - YES/NO Stall Warning System - YES	tivated - System -	YES/WO	1
Mether Data We Briefing We Briefing Rethod Completeness Basic Weather Wind Dir/Spee Visibility Lowest Sky/Cl Lowest Ceilin Obstructions Precipitation Condition of	Operations 1 9 - FSS ness - FUL her - IMC /Speed- 100/ ty ty/Clouds - tiling ons to Visi	PHONE 010 KTS -300 SH - 300 FT - 300 FT - 500 FT - 500 FT - 500 FT	3S CURED	Itinerary Last Departure Point LOUISVILLE,KY Destination SAME AS ACC/INC ATC/Airspace Type of Flight Plan Type of Clearance Type Apch/Lndg	re Point KY C/INC ht Plan - IFR rance - IFR 19 - ILS - COMPLETE	*	Airport Proximit ON AIRPORT Airport Date GREATER CINCIN Runway Ident Runway Lth/Wid Runway Surface Runway Status	ximity T TNCINNATX ENT	INTL 36 9500/ 150 CONCRETE SNOW - WET	0	ŧ

Personnel Information---Pilot-In-Command
Certificate(s)/Rating(s)
COMMERCIAL/ATP/FLT ENG

Age - 38 Biennfal Flight Review Current - YES Months Since - 4 Aircraft Type - 8-737

Medical Certificate - VALID MEDICAL-NO MAIVERS/LIMIT Flight Time (Hours)

Total - UNK/NR Make/Model - 2400 Instrument - UNK/NR Multi-Eng - UNK/NR

Last 24 Mrs - UNK/NR Last 30 Days- UNK/NR Last 90 Days- UNK/NR Rotofcraft - UNK/NR

Instrument Rating(s) - AIRPLANE

WHILE THE ACFT WAS ABOUT 15 MI SW OF THE ARPT BEING VECTORED FOR A RWY 36 CAT II APPROACH, THE LOCAL CONTROLLER GAVE THE GROUND CONTROLLER PERMISSION TO CLEAR SNOW REMOVAL EQUIPMENT TO PROCEED NORTH ON RWY 36 & TO EXIT RWY 36 AT THE
INTERSECTION OF RWY 27L. THE ACFT REPORTED AT THE OUTER MARKER & WAS CLEARED TO LAND. THERE WAS NO CONVERSATION BETWEEN
THE CONTROLLERS AS TO THE STATUS OF THE SNOW REMOVAL EQUIPMENT. JUST AFTER TOUCHDOWN THE CAPTAIN DBSERVED THE AMBER
ROTATING BEACON OF ONE OF THE VEHICLES ABOUT 1,000 FT AHEAD. THE CAPTAIN MADE AN IMMEDIATE GO-AROUND & THE ACFT MISSED
THE 8 VEHICLES BY AN ESTIMATED 10 FT. THE RWY VISUAL RANGE (RVR) WAS REPORTED AS 3,000 FT. ----NarrativeBrief of Incident (Continued)

3/08/84

ERLANGER,KY

Time (Lct)

Phase of Operation Occurrence

MISCELLANEOUS/OTHER Landing

Finding(s)

1. WEATHER CONDITION - LOW CEILING

2. WEATHER CONDITION - SHOW 3. WEATHER CONDITION - OBSCURATION 4. CONTROL TOWER SERVICE - INADEQUATE - ATC PSNL(LCL/GND/CLNC) 5. OBJECT - VEHICLE

0. ABORTED LANDING - PERFORMED - PILOT IN COMMAND 7. 60-AROUND - INITIATED - PILOT IN COMMAND

----Probable Cause----

The National Transportation Safety Board determines that the Probable Cause(s) of this incident is/are finding(s) &

factor(s) relating to this incident is/are finding(s) 1,2,3,5