Fog 1941



National Transportation Safety Board

Washington, D.C. 20594 Safety Recommendation

Date: May 19, 1987 In reply refer to: A-87-60

Honorable Donald D. Engen Administrator Federal Aviation Administration Washington, D.C. 20591

On October 19, 1985, a Vickers Viscount VC-810, N923RC, operated by Ray Charles Enterprises, overran the end of runway 35 of the Monroe County airport at Bloomington, Indiana. All three landing gear collapsed, and the fuselage separated aft of the two forward lavatories. There was no fire. The captain was seriously injured and the first officer and 16 of the 26 passengers suffered minor injuries. Most of the occupants escaped either through the overwing emergency window exits located on each side of the fuselage or through the right rear emergency exit door. The captain had to be removed by rescue personnel. 1/

The National Transportation Safety Board's investigation of this accident revealed that the flight did not comply with the provisions of 14 CFR 91.199, Passenger Briefing, and 14 CFR 91.215, Flight Attendant Requirements. The stage manager and assistant stage manager of Ray Charles Enterprises served as flight attendants on the airplane. These attendants instructed passengers to fasten their seatbelts and place their seatbacks in an upright position before takeoffs and landings. Passengers stated that only the attendants knew how to open the cabin doors. (Three overwing emergency window exits were located on each side of the main cabin seating area.) Several passengers did not recall being briefed on the use of the overwing exits and the cabin doors. Seven passengers said they did not know how to operate the doors or overwing exits. The eighth passenger said he had never tried to open the doors. One passenger stated he might be able to figure out how to operate the overwing exits given enough time. Another passenger, who was a relatively new employee, said no one ever told him about emergency plans or escape procedures.

Before the accident, the 2 persons acting as flight attendants were seated together in the main cabin with 21 other passengers. Two passengers and the blind owner of the aircraft were seated in a lounge to the rear of the main cabin. After the plane ran off the runway and came to a stop in a cornfield, the attendants instructed everyone to remain calm, to exit the airplane, and to run from it. The attendants opened three of the overwing exits as they proceeded to the rear lounge, opened the right rear emergency exit door, and quickly assisted the owner off the airplane. The forward left aft window exit at seat 4A and the aft right window exit at seat 6D were opened by passengers seated adjacent to these exits. The left aft exit at seat 6A was not opened. Except for the two passengers seated in the rear lounge, who exited through the right rear emergency exit door, the remaining 21 passengers escaped from the airplane via the five overwing exits.

1/ For additional information see Brief of Accident, File No. 2929, October 19, 1985.

In this accident, the stage manager and assistant stage manager performed some of the functions normally undertaken by flight attendants during an evacuation. Safety Board investigators were unable to interview either the stage manager or the assistant stage manager, but information obtained from passengers revealed that the two managers opened some of the overwing exits and the right rear emergency exit door after the accident. They also told everyone to run from the airplane. However, an examination of the two rear slides showed that the floor compartments they were stored in were concealed and had not been opened for some time. This circumstance suggests that the flight attendants were not familiar with or had never deployed the hand-held slides as required by 14 CFR 91.215. Consequently, the Safety Board concludes that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should review the adequacy of the training and knowledge of persons acting as flight attendants pursuant to 14 CFR 91.215.

Passengers must be briefed thoroughly regarding the operation and use of all emergency exits and escape slides. This briefing is especially important should the persons knowledgeable in exit operation and evacuation procedures become incapacitated. An important part of the passenger briefing is the availability and use of a briefing card that gives accurate information about the airplane's emergency exits and cabin door operations (see attachment). In this accident, seven of the eight passengers interviewed stated that they had never seen a briefing card on the aircraft and they were convinced there was none aboard. The eighth passenger said he had seen a few briefing cards, perhaps twice in 7 years but had never read any of them. Investigators found six briefing cards in the overhead luggage rack in the main cabin.

The information on the briefing card, although incorrect, was never consulted during the passenger briefing, nor were the cards accessible to the passengers. A review of the card revealed several shortcomings. First, while the six overwing emergency window exits were clearly indicated, a seventh emergency window exit, located forward of the right wing and adjacent to four passenger seats and a table, was not shown on the card. The exit was not used during the evacuation.

Second, a sketch on the briefing card showing the operation of the cabin doors indicated that the handle must be rotated upward to open the door. However, to open the two rear emergency exit doors of this airplane, a catch must be released and the handle must be pulled horizontally inward from left to right. The briefing card did not show this information. Also, the main forward left passenger entry door incorporates an air stair. While the location of the door was shown on the card, neither the air stair nor its operation was indicated on the card. Thus, the card did not show the proper operation of any of the doors.

Third, even though the card showed a flotation seat cushion equipped with two straps for a passenger to use to grasp the cushion when in the water to remain afloat, it incorrectly presented the manner in which these straps should be grasped.

Fourth, the top of the card was partially cut off and obscured the diagram depicting the operation of the emergency window exits.

Fifth, the location of the evacuation slides and the method of slide deployment was not indicated on the card. Examination of the airplane revealed that a hand-held slide was located in the cabin floor at each rear emergency exit door. However, the slide compartments were covered by a metal door, to which the cabin floor carpet was attached. It took investigators approximately 5 to 10 minutes to pry open the door to the compartment with a large screwdriver because the handle mechanism on the door had been removed to make for a smoother walking surface. It was apparent that both compartments had not been opened for some time.

The Safety Board addressed the issue of passenger education and briefings for corporate aircraft operators in Safety Recommendation A-77-59, issued to the FAA on September 13, 1977. The FAA complied with the recommendation on December 29, 1978, by issuing an Operations Bulletin to alert FAA inspectors to bring to the attention of Part 91 aircraft operators the need for compliance with the Federal Aviation Regulations concerning passenger safety. This accident demonstrates a continuing lack of surveillance by the FAA with respect to passenger education and briefings conducted by Part 91 operators.

Therefore, the National Transportation Safety Board recommends that the Federal Aviation Administration:

Issue an operations bulletin once again directing general aviation inspectors and accident prevention specialists to review the flight attendant requirements and passenger education practices of Part 91 operators of large and turbine-powered multiengine airplanes. The operations bulletin should stress the number of flight attendants that the operator is required to provide in accordance with 14 CFR 91.215, the completeness and adequacy of the oral passenger briefing given by the flight attendant(s), the adequacy of the training given the flight attendant(s), the correctness of the briefing cards, and the proper number and availability to passengers of briefing cards on the airplane. (Class II, Priority Action) (A-87-60)

BURNETT, Chairman, GOLDMAN, Vice Chairman, and LAUBER and NALL, Members, concurred in this recommendation.

By: Jim Burnett Chairman

National Transportation Safety Roard Washingtor 1, 20594

Brief of Accident

File No 2929 10/19/85 BLOOHINGTON,IN A/C Red. No. Nº23RC Time (Lcl) - 1535 EDT
ل 1 جب ا 1 10 00
ft Information ft Information /Model - VICKERS VISCOUNT V ing Gear - TRICYCLE-RETRACTAB Gross Wt - 72500 of Seats - 34
The set of the se
Aligner SectionAligner SectionAligner SectionFilot-In-CommandAligner SectionAligner SectionFilot-In-CommandBrennial Flight ReviewFlight Time (Hours)Certificate(s)/Rating(s)Brennial Flight ReviewTotalCertificate(s)/Rating(s)Current- YESTotalAIFAligner Since- 4Make/Model- 6000Last 30 Dauss- UNK/NRSE LAND, ME LANDAircraft Type - VC-810Instrument- UNK/NRLast 90 Dauss- UNK/NR
Instrument Rating(s) - AIRPLANE
The second secon

FAGE

-1

\sim
υ
panu
3
ē.
-
Contil
40
С
\mathbf{n}
ň
-
\sim
dent
~
5
÷
• •••
Ē
ĕ
ž
d.
۹.
e b
-
*
еţ
Br.1
-
1

File No 2929	10/19/8	5 BLOOMINGTON, IN	A/C Res.	Nu. N973RC	Time (L¢I) - 1535 EDT
Occurrence #1 0 Phase of Operation L	OVERRUN Landing - Roll				
<pre>Finding(s) 1. WEATHER CONDITION - TAILWIND 2. WEATHER CONDITION - FOG 3. WEATHER CONDITION - FOG 3. WEATHER CONDITION - FAIN 4. WINDUW,FLIGHT COMFARTMENT WINDUW/W 5. FROPER TOUCHDOWN POINT - EXCEEDE 6. IMPROFER USE OF EGUIFMENT/AIRC 6. IMPROFER USE OF EGUIFMENT/AIRC 7. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - IMPR 7. IMPR 7. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - IMPR 7. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - IMPR 7. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - IMPR 7. IMPR 7. IMPR 7. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - IMPR 7. IMPR 7. IN-FLIGHT PLANNING/DECISION - IMPR 7. IMPR 7.</pre>	- TAILWIND - FOG - FOG - RAIN PARTMENT WINDOW/ POINT - EXCEED N POINT - EXCEED N POINT - IMP 6/PECISION - IMP NOT FERFORMED S,RUNWAY/LANDING S,RUNWAY/LANDING 	<pre>nd(s) wEATHER CONDITION - TAILWIND wEATHER CONDITION - FOG wEATHER CONDITION - FOG wEATHER CONDITION - FOG wINDOW,FLIGHT COMPARTMENT WINDOW/WINDSHIELD - DIRTY(FOGGY) FROPER TOUCHDOWN POINT - EXCEEDED - FILOT IN COMMAND IMPROFER TOUCHDOWN POINT - EXCEEDED - FILOT IN COMMAND INFLIGHT PLANING/PECISION - IMPROFER - FILOT IN COMMAND MISSED APPROACH - NOT FERFORMED - FILOT IN COMMAND MISSED APPROACH - NOT FERFORMED - FILOT IN COMMAND AIRFORT FACILITIES,RUNWAY/LANDING AREA CONDITION - WET AIRFORT FERFORMANCE,HYDROFLANING CONDITION - WET AIRFORT FERFORMANCE,HYDROFLANING CONDITION - WATER AIRFORT FERFORMANCE,HYDROFLANING CONDITION - WATER AIRFORMANCENCENTER - FILON - WATER - FILON - WATER - FILON - WATEN - FILON - F</pre>	- F.LOT	IN COMMAND	
Occurrence #2 O Phase of Operation L	СА СВОИИВ СОГГ Саиріис — когг	COLLISION WITH TERRAIN Roll			
ng(s) TFRRAIN TERRAIN	ROU DOW			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Ccurrence #3 MIS Phase of Operation OTH	MISCELLANEOUS/0THER DTHER	./OTHER			
EFI USE USE	1 1. 1.	FLIGHT ANTENDANT Dequate training(em Ormation unclear	ERGENCY PROCEDURE(S)) Company/offrat(ir HGMT	s)) - FLIGHT ATTENDANT MT	
Probable Cause	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
The National Transportation is/are finding(s) 5,6,7,8	stion Safety 7,8	Board determines that the Frobable	vable Cause(s)	s) of this accident	
Factor(s) relating to this accident is/are findin⊈(s)	chis accident		1,2,3,4,9,10,11,12,13,14,15	14,15	

r.