Instructions for FY'07 Report pursuant to the Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review

As you prepare your report, please ensure that your agency's Peer Review Agenda (the Agenda) includes agency plans for the foreseeable future¹ and that each Agenda entry is up to date regarding both the timing of the review and whether the review has been completed. Agenda entries should be updated whenever new information becomes available; every six months is the *minimum* for updating the Agenda.

Once a peer review has been completed (that is, the final product has been edited to reflect the reviewers' comments), the Agenda entry should be updated to include a link to the peer review charge, the reviewers' names, and the peer reviewers' comments, as well as the final version of the product. For highly influential scientific assessments, the agency's responses also should be posted. Two examples of good practice are the Department of Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service² agenda and the Department of Health and Human Services' Centers for Disease Control and Prevention³ agenda.

Please use the attached template to record peer reviews conducted pursuant to the Bulletin between October 1, 2006 and September 30, 2007. This form has two parts: 1) A "department-level summary," which should aggregate information across all of the agencies/bureaus/offices in the department and 2) an "agency report" that should be completed by each agency within a department. A separate "agency report" should be completed for each agency that produces information subject to the Bulletin. For those agencies that do not have any peer reviews to report for this fiscal year, it is necessary to complete only the General Information component of the "agency report." Agencies that are not part of departments do not have to complete the summary page; they should type "Not Applicable" on the "Department" line.

To ensure consistency across agencies, please use the guidance below to determine which peer reviews were "conducted" during the last fiscal year, and thus should be reported.

- Include peer reviews for which the peers have provided the agency with their (final) comments, *regardless* of whether the agency has:
 - o completed its response to the reviewers, or
 - o made the peer review comments public.
- Exclude peer reviews:
 - o for which the reviewers are still considering the information,
 - o that are planned for the future, or
 - o that were planned for the current fiscal year, but were not conducted.

Agencies that reported last year that they *do not* produce information subject to the Bulletin do not need to fill out a report this year unless the disclaimer no longer applies.

¹ As stated in the November 28, 2005, memo from the Deputy Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, the Agenda is not a six month forecast (i.e., it should not be limited to information (documents) that the agency plans to disseminate (or peer review) in the next six months).

² **APHIS:** http://www.aphis.usda.gov/about_aphis/peer_review-agenda.shtml

³ **CDC**: http://www2a.cdc.gov/od/peer/peer.asp

Rather, those agencies should send an email to OMB_peer_review@omb.eop.gov with the agency's current point of contact for the Bulletin and the current URL to the disclaimer. The agencies to which this applies are listed in Appendix C-3 of OMB's draft 2007 Report to Congress on the Costs and Benefits of Federal Regulations. The template for the appropriate disclaimer is shown below:

"based on the review it has conducted, the [AGENCY] believes that it does not currently produce or sponsor the distribution of influential scientific information (including highly influential scientific assessments) within the definitions promulgated by OMB. As a result, at this time the [AGENCY] has no agenda of forthcoming influential scientific disseminations to post on its website in accordance with OMB's Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review."

Agencies not listed in Appendix C-3 should submit a report this year. Please send your *draft* Peer Review Bulletin Annual Report (**Due December 17, 2007**) to OMB_peer_review@omb.eop.gov. Please do not post your draft report on your webpage until OMB review is complete. Should you have any questions related to the Peer Review report, please contact Margo Schwab (202 395-5647) mschwab@omb.eop.gov.

_

 $^{^4\} http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/2007_cb/2007_draft_cb_report.pdf$

Template for FY'07 Report pursuant to the Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review

I.	Summary Page for Department (if Applicable)
Departmen	t
Departmen	tal Contact for Implementation of the Bulletin for Peer Review Name and title:
	Email address:
	Phone number:
Provide the	URL for Department's portal for compliance with the Bulletin
Is	this URL: A Department-wide Peer Review Agenda (Y/N) or A set of links to each agency (bureau or office's) agenda (Y/N)?
	ow would a member of the public locate this peer review portal if she/he did not have this RL? Check all that apply: A link from Department's home page A link from Department's Information Quality home page Other means, e.g., a link from a science page (please describe)
	peer reviews conducted subject to the Bulletin in FY'07 (see instructions for what should not be included here).
	mber of influential scientific information peer reviews (ISI) (not including highly uential scientific assessments)
Nur	mber of highly influential scientific assessments (HISA)
Number of	Waivers, Deferrals, Exemptions, or Alternative Procedures used: Total #
to the appli	peer reviews that included at least one peer reviewer appointed pursuant to any exception cable independence or conflict of interest standards of the Bulletin, including ons by the Secretary or Deputy Secretary pursuant to Section III (3) (c).
Number of	peer review panels that held in conjunction with public meetings: Total #
	public comments provided on the Department's peer review plans during FY 07, of whether the peer review was actually completed during FY 07: Total #

Template for FY'07 Report pursuant to the Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review

II. Agency Report

GENERAL INFORMAITON						
Agency						
Agency Contact for Implementation of the Peer Review Bulletin						
Name and title:						
Email address:						
Phone number:						
URL for Agency's Peer Review Agenda						
What pathway(s) can a member of the public use to find the Agency's peer review agenda if she/he did not have this URL?						
 Link from Departmental or Agency home page, 						
 Link from Agency Information Quality home page, 						
 Link from science, research, or regulatory pages (please specify) 						
Other (please describe)						
Does the agenda provide links to peer review reports for all completed peer reviews?						

Continue to Next Page

INFORMATION ABOUT REVIEWS CONDUCTED

Number of peer reviews conducted subject to the Bulletin in FY07. (see instructions for what should and should not be included here).

	er of influential scientific ntial scientific assessment	information peer reviews (ISI) (ne	ot including highly		
illitaei	List the title of each ISI. been completed (Y/N) ad	Indicate whether the Peer Review dd more lines as needed NOTE: of your peer review agenda as an	It is acceptable		
Numb	<u> </u>	ientific assessments (HISA) A. Indicate whether the Peer Revenue of the second of the	iew Report has		
(E) were invo		which Waivers (W), Deferrals (D) ures used (A). <i>If deferral is mark</i>	•		
Title of Document		Type of Document ISI or HISA	**		
any exception	to the applicable independing determinations by the	t least one peer reviewer appointed dence or conflict of interest stand as Secretary or Deputy Secretary p	ards of the		
Numb	er of ISIs (not including h	ighly influential scientific assessr	nents)		
	List titles				

Numbe	er of HISAs	
	<u>List titles</u>	_
		-
Number of peo	er review panels that held public meetings:	-
Numbe	er of ISIs (not including highly influential scientific assessments)er of HISAs	
Numbe	er review panels that allowed public comment: er of ISIs (not including highly influential scientific assessments) er of HISAs	
	blic comments provided on the agency's peer review plans during FY 07, whether the peer review was actually completed during FY 07	
Number of tim	nes agency specifically solicited peer reviewer nominations from ocieties.	
If such	nominations were solicited, were any recommendations provided? Yes	No