



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration  
Rockville MD 20857

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 12, 2006

TO: Randall Lutter, Ph.D.  
Associate Commissioner for  
Policy and Planning  
Food and Drug Administration

THROUGH: Jenny Slaughter  
Director, Ethics and Integrity Staff  
Office of Management Programs  
Office of Management

FROM: Igor Cerny, Pharm.D.     / S /      
Director, Advisors and Consultants Staff  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

SUBJECT: Conflict of Interest Waiver for Marvin Meyer, Ph.D.

I am writing to request a waiver for Marvin Meyer, Ph.D., a member of the Pharmaceutical Science Advisory Committee, from the conflict of interest prohibitions of 18 U.S.C. §208(a). The appointing official may grant waivers under section 208(b) (3) where "the need for the individual's services outweighs the potential for a conflict of interest created by the financial interest involved" and where the individual has made a disclosure of the financial interests at issue. We have determined that you are the appointing official for purposes of section 208. Therefore, you have the authority to grant Dr. Meyer, a waiver under 18 U.S.C. §208(b) (3).

Section 208(a) prohibits Federal executive branch employees, including special Government employees, from participating personally and substantially in matters in which the employee, or any other person whose interests are imputed to the employee under 18 U.S.C. §208, has a financial interest. Since Dr. Meyer is a special Government employee, he is under a statutory obligation to refrain from participating in an official capacity in any particular matter having a direct and predictable effect on a financial interest attributable to him, his spouse, minor child, or

general partner; an organization or entity for which he serves as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, or employee; and, a person with whom he is negotiating for, or has an arrangement concerning, prospective employment.

Dr. Meyer has been asked to participate in the Pharmaceutical Advisory Committee meeting where the committee will: (1) receive an update on the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Quality Topics (Q8, Q9, Q10, Q4B, QOS) and discuss the impact on current regulatory direction; (2) receive and discuss a series of presentations from the different offices within the Office of Pharmaceutical Science on progress being made on quality-by-design (QBD) initiatives, followed by presentations from the pharmaceutical industry trade associations (the Generic Pharmaceutical Association [GPhA], and the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America [PhRMA]) on their QBD perspectives and issues; (3) receive an awareness presentation on risk management for complex pharmaceuticals; (4) receive presentations and discuss bioequivalence issues pertaining to highly variable drugs; (5) discuss current thinking on issues and definitions pertaining to nanotechnology; (6) discuss implementation of definitions for topical dosage forms; and, (7) receive an update and discuss current strategies and direction for the Critical Path Initiatives. The issues to be discussed are particular matters of general applicability. Particular matters of general applicability focus on a discrete and identifiable class of persons, but do not involve specific parties.

The function of the Pharmaceutical Science Advisory Committee, as stated in its charter, is to provide advice on scientific and technical issues concerning the safety, and effectiveness of human generic drug products for use in the treatment of a broad spectrum of human diseases, and as required, any other product for which the Food and Drug Administration has regulatory responsibility, and make appropriate recommendations to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs. The Committee may also review Agency sponsored intramural and extramural biomedical research programs in support of FDA's generic drug regulatory responsibilities.

Dr. Meyer has advised the Food and Drug Administration that he has financial interests that could potentially be affected by his participation in the matters under

discussion. Dr. Meyer is an ad hoc consultant to \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ on matters unrelated  
to the issues to be discussed at this meeting. He has no  
consulting currently scheduled. These firms could potentially  
be affected by the matters under discussion.

As a member of the Pharmaceutical Science Advisory  
Committee, Dr. Meyer potentially could become involved in  
matters that could affect his financial interests. Under 18  
U.S.C. §208(a), he is prohibited from participating in such  
matters. However, as noted above, you have the authority under  
18 U.S.C. §208(b) (3) to grant a waiver permitting Dr. Meyer to  
participate in such matters as you deem appropriate.

For the following reasons, I believe that it would be  
appropriate for you to grant a waiver to Dr. Meyer, which  
would permit him to participate in the matters previously  
described.

First, this waiver is justified, in part, because of the  
general nature of particular matters of general applicability.  
It is well recognized that particular matters of general  
applicability pose far less risk of a conflict of interest.  
Particular matters of general applicability include  
regulations, points-to-consider, guidelines, and policies  
governing classes of individuals, products, and organizations.  
Particular matters of general applicability do not include  
particular matters involving specific parties, such as  
recommendations regarding a specific product, or enforcement  
matters involving known parties. Particular matters of general  
applicability will not have a special or distinct impact on any  
of Dr. Meyer's financial interests, other than as part of a  
class.

Second, arguably, Dr. Meyer's interests do not constitute  
financial interests in the particular matter within the meaning  
of 18 U.S.C. §208(a) since he advises on matters unrelated to  
the issues at hand. Nevertheless, in the utmost of caution, I  
recommend that this waiver be granted.

In addition, it is unlikely that Dr. Meyer's participation in the committee's discussions will affect his financial interests.

Further, the Federal Advisory Committee Act requires that committee memberships be fairly balanced in terms of the points of view represented and the functions to be performed by the various advisory committee members and Dr. Meyer's participation will contribute to the balance of views represented and the diversity of opinions and expertise. The Committee's intended purpose would be significantly impaired if the agency could not call upon experts who have become eminent in their fields, notwithstanding the financial interests and affiliations they may have acquired as a result of their demonstrated abilities. Dr. Meyer is Emeritus Professor, former Chairman of the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Associate Dean for Research and Graduate Programs at the College of Pharmacy, University of Tennessee. He retired from the University in June 2001 after 32 years of service. His research interests, and over 110 publications, are in the areas of bioavailability, pharmacokinetics, and assay methodology. He was elected a Fellow of the American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists in 1990. Dr. Meyer's expertise in bioavailability, pharmacokinetics, and assay methodology. I will contribute to the diversity of knowledge and opinions represented. I believe that Dr. Meyer's expertise in clinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics will contribute to the diversity of expertise and opinions represented and will help provide a foundation for developing advice and recommendations that are fair and comprehensive.

Accordingly, I recommend that you grant Marvin Meyer, Ph.D., a waiver that will permit him to participate in the Pharmaceutical Advisory Committee meeting where the committee will: (1) receive an update on the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Quality Topics (Q8, Q9, Q10, Q4B, QOS) and discuss the impact on current regulatory direction; (2) receive and discuss a series of presentations from the different offices within the Office of Pharmaceutical Science on progress being made on quality-by-design (QBD) initiatives, followed by presentations from the pharmaceutical industry trade associations (the Generic Pharmaceutical Association [GPhA], and the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of

