Ginna
4Q/2004 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Significance: G Dec 31, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Failure to Establish Appropriate Measures to Assure the Monitoring Panel for the Compensated Steam Support System is Maintained
A violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion Xll, "Control of Measuring and Test Equipment," was identified by inspectors when they noted that prior to December 2003 several alarms on the panel for the temperature compensated support system, which monitors a critical dimension between the safety valves and support columns, were "locked in" and the degraded condition had not been investigated and resolved.

This finding is greater that minor, because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the initiating events cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions. In accordance with Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Findings for At-Power Situations," the inspectors conducted a Significance Determination Process (SDP) phase 1 screening and determined that the finding is of very low safety significance (Green). The SDP process screened to Green since the degraded condition of the monitoring system does not contribute to both the likelihood of a reactor trip and the likelihood that mitigation equipment or functions will not be available. This finding did not contribute to the likelihood of a primary or secondary system LOCA initiator, since the critical gaps were found to be acceptable. Additionally, the finding did not increase the likelihood of a fire or internal/external flood. This finding has cross-cutting aspects associated with the failure to properly identify the problem and resolve the situation to produce a timely corrective action. Corrective actions taken included restoring the monitoring system so that it was not causing false alarms.
Inspection Report# : 2004005*(pdf)*

Significance: G Sep 30, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding
No Alarm on R-11 to Provide Early Detection of RCS Leakage
The inspectors identified a finding that the Ginna Station does not have an installed control room alarm for the containment airborne radioactive particulate detector (R11) as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). A purpose of the alarm is to notify plant operators of reactor coolant system (RCS) leakage in the containment building. The radiation detector has indication in the control room and there are several other indicators and alarms in the control room that indicate the presence of reactor coolant system leakage.

The finding is greater than minor, because it is associated with the design control attribute of the Initiating Events Cornerstone, and adversely affects the cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of those events that upset plant stability. The finding is also greater than minor because a radiation detector alarm could provide operators with an early indication of a loss of primary coolant event. In accordance with Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Inspection Findings for At-Power Situations," the inspectors conducted SDP Phase 1 screening and determined that the finding was of very low safety significance (Green). The SDP process screens to Green since the absence of the alarm would not result in exceeding the RCS leakage Technical Specification limit for identified RCS leakage.
Inspection Report# : 2004004*(pdf)*

Mitigating Systems

Significance: G Sep 30, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Non-Rated Cable Tunnel Hatch
The inspector identified a Green non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50.48, "Fire Protection," because the Ginna cable tunnel contained an escape hatch that was not adequately designed to minimize the effects of fire and explosion. As a result, safety-related equipment located in the cable tunnel could have been damaged under certain postulated scenarios. The licensee has completed a modification to the escape hatch to correct this condition.

The finding was greater than minor because it was associated with the Mitigating Systems cornerstone attribute of protection against external

factors and affected the objective of ensuring the capability of systems to respond in the event of a fire. Using the Fire Protection significance determination process, IMC 0609, Appendix F, the finding required a Phase 2 analysis because of the effect on the fixed fire suppression system and of the reduced effectiveness of the fire brigade in combating the postulated fire scenario. The finding was determined to need a detailed Phase 3 fire risk evaluation because the Phase 2 SDP, using conservative assumptions, determined that the issue could have been greater than very low safety significance. The Phase 3 evaluation was needed to ensure a thorough review of factors such as ignition frequency, suppression capability, and shutdown methods. Based on a comprehensive Phase 3 evaluation of the initiation event frequency, surviving mitigating systems, and operator actions to mitigate the impact of the fire event, the finding was considered to have a very low safety significance
Inspection Report# : 2004004*(pdf)*

Significance: G Jun 30, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Failure to Evaluate Emergency Operating Procedure Step Differences
Green. The inspectors identified that contrary to the requirements of Technical Specification 5.4.1(b) and certain Ginna internal procedures, Ginna procedure A-503.1 "Emergency and Abnormal Operating Procedures Users Guide" allowed steps in Emergency Operation Procedures (EOP)s to be performed out of sequence under certain conditions without these step sequence deviations being evaluated and justified in the "Ginna Step Differences Evaluation Document."

This finding is associated with the procedure quality and preventing human performance errors attributes of the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone objectives. It is greater than minor, because procedures which have not been properly evaluated could provide incorrect guidance for operators during transient conditions. The finding is of very low safety significance because once the changes were evaluated by Ginna personnel, they were determined to be acceptable. Further the issue was not a design or qualification deficiency, it did not represent a loss of safety function, and was not potentially risk-significant due to seismic, flood, fire, or weather-related initiating event. (Section 1R02)
Inspection Report# : 2004003*(pdf)*

Significance: G Jun 30, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Adequate Guidance Was Not Provided for Maintenance Activities
Green. The inspectors identified a non-cited violation for the licensee's failure to comply with 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings." This violation is related to inadequate procedures for assembling the mechanical seal for the turbine-driven auxiliary feedwater direct current (dc) lubricating oil pump.

This finding of inadequate maintenance procedures is greater than minor because if left uncorrected, it would become a more significant safety concern, and could result in degraded reliability of the turbine-driven auxiliary feedwater pump. The finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because the condition was identified and corrected before the pump became inoperable. Further, the issue was not a design or qualification deficiency, it did not represent a loss of safety function, and was not potentially risk-significant due to seismic, flood, fire, or weather-related initiating event. (Section 1R19)
Inspection Report# : 2004003*(pdf)*

Barrier Integrity

Significance: G Sep 30, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Failure to Establish Appropriate Design Controls When Modifying the Control Room
The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion lll, "Design Control" on July 22, 2004, when several breaches in the control room boundary (wall) were identified. The cumulative area of the breaches would allow air in-leakage into the control room at levels that exceeded control room design criteria assumptions. The licensee implemented immediate action to repair this condition.

This finding was greater than minor because if left uncorrected the finding could become a more significant safety concern. If the breaches were not repaired, untreated outside air could leak into the control room and have an adverse effect on the control room environment during certain postulated accidents. In addition, this finding was greater than minor because it affected the design control attribute and the Barrier Cornerstone objective of providing reasonable assurance that physical barriers will provide protection during events and accidents. The inspectors determined this finding was a cross-cutting issue in the Problem Identification and Resolution area since Ginna personnel did not initially conduct a thorough extent of condition review when the degraded control room conditions were identified. In accordance with Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Inspection Findings for At-Power Situations," the inspectors conducted an SDP Phase 1 screening. This screening determined that a Phase 3 evaluation was required because the degradation of the control room barrier function against a toxic atmosphere was affected. The Phase 3 SDP analysis concluded that this issue was of very low safety significance

(Green), because of the low initiating event frequency of an inadvertent offsite release of toxic gas that would affect the Ginna control room operators.
Inspection Report# : 2004004*(pdf)*

Significance: G Mar 31, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Failure to Implement Effective Corrective Action to Resolve Seismic Support Issues in The Intermediate Building Sample Hood Area.
Green. The inspectors identified a Green NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI when they identified that RG&E did not implement effective corrective actions to ensure that supports for valves in intermediate building sample hood area were properly installed. Degraded supports in the sample hood area had previously been identified by the NRC in November 2001. A subsequent inspection of the area by RG&E personnel identified other seismic-related deficiencies, one of which rendered a containment penetration inoperable.

This finding is associated with the "Design Control" attribute of the barrier integrity cornerstone. It is greater than minor because it affected the objective of maintaining containment integrity during seismic events. The issue is of very low safety significance because it did not represent a degradation of the radiological barrier function provided for the control room, auxiliary building, or the spent fuel or standby gas treatment system. The finding did not represent a degradation of the barrier function of the control room against smoke or a toxic atmosphere. Additionally, the finding did not represent an actual open pathway in the physical integrity of reactor containment or an actual reduction of the atmospheric pressure control function of the reactor containment. (Section 1R19)
Inspection Report# : 2004002*(pdf)*

Emergency Preparedness

Significance: G Sep 30, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding
Failure to Maintain the TSC Ventilation System
The inspectors identified a finding that Ginna did not adequately evaluate Technical Support Center (TSC) ventilation surveillance test failures or maintain the TSC ventilation system in a manner to ensure it would be capable of performing its intended emergency preparedness function in a reliable manner.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the facilities and equipment attribute of the EP Cornerstone, and impacts the objective to ensure that Ginna staff is capable of implementing adequate measures to protect the health and safety of the public in the event of a radiological emergency. The inspectors determined this finding was a cross-cutting issue in the Problem Identification and Resolution area since Ginna personnel did not adequately assess the significance of the degraded conditions of the TSC ventilation system as required by the Ginna corrective action program. The EP Significance Determination Process (SDP) was used to assess the safety significance of this finding. Based on IMC 0609, Appendix B, "Emergency Preparedness SDP," Sheet 1 for the failure to comply with an NRC requirement and the examples provided in Section 4.8, this finding was determined to be of very low safety significance (Green). This significance determination was supported by the subsequent Ginna analysis that concluded the TSC ventilation system remained operable with the failed damper and ductwork perforations.
Inspection Report# : 2004004*(pdf)*

Significance: G Mar 31, 2004
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Health Physics Technicians Did Not Respond to The Site as Required by The E-Plan During an Event
Green. The inspectors identified a Green non-cited violation (NCV) of 10 CFR 50.47(b)(2) when after the declaration of an Unusual Event (UE) on February 16, 2004, RG&E did not augment the shift crew with a 30-minute Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) responder in a timely manner. The shift crew delayed notification of this responder for 30 minutes. Once the notification was initiated, only one RPT responded to the site, and arrived 62 minutes after the UE declaration was made, instead of the required 30 minutes.

This finding is associated with the "Emergency Response Organization Readiness" attribute of the emergency preparedness (EP) cornerstone. It is greater than minor because it impacts the objective to ensure that RG&E is capable of implementing adequate measures to protect the health and safety of the public in the event of a radiological emergency. The EP Significance Determination Process (SDP) was used to assess this performance. (Section 1R14)
Inspection Report# : 2004002*(pdf)*

Occupational Radiation Safety

Public Radiation Safety

Physical Protection

Physical Protection information not publicly available.

Miscellaneous

Last modified : March 09, 2005