

knowledge has been gained about RPV materials, which demonstrates that the lower bound on fracture toughness provided by the K_{IA} curve greatly exceeds the margin of safety required, and that the K_{IC} curve is sufficiently conservative to protect the public health and safety from potential RPV failure. Application of ASME Code Case N-641 will provide results that are sufficiently conservative to ensure the integrity of the RCPB, while providing P-T curves that are not overly restrictive. Implementation of the proposed P-T curves, as allowed by ASME Code Case N-641, does not significantly reduce the margin of safety.

In the associated exemption, the NRC staff has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR part 50, section 50.12(a)(2)(ii), the underlying purpose of the regulation will continue to be served by the implementation of ASME Code Case N-641.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed ACTION:

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes, as set forth below, that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the use of the alternative analysis method to support the revision of the RCS P-T limits, LTOP setpoints and proposed T_{enable} .

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed

action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the ANO-2 nuclear power plant, dated June 1977 (NUREG-0254).

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

On March 18, 2002, the staff consulted with the Arkansas State official, Mr. B. Bevell of the Division of Radiation Control and Emergency Management of the Arkansas Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 30, 2001, as supplemented by letters dated February 25 and March 13, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of April 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert A. Gramm,

*Chief, Section I, Project Directorate IV,
Division of Licensing Project Management,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 02-8387 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT**

**Proposed Collection; Comment
Request for Review of a Revised
Information Collection: OPM 1386B**

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit a request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review of a revised information collection. OPM 1386B, Applicant Race and National Origin Questionnaire, is used to gather information concerning the race and national origin of applicants for employment under the Outstanding Scholar provision of the Luevano consent Decree, 93 F.R.D. 68 (1981).

New standards for collecting race and ethnicity are defined in the **Federal Register** Notice, Revisions to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity, 62 FR 58782 (1997). The standards change the classification of Federal data on race and ethnicity contained in OMB Directive 15, Race and Ethnic Standards for Federal Statistics and Administrative Reporting. This classification provides a minimum standard for maintaining, collecting, and presenting data on race and ethnicity. The standards have five categories for race and two for ethnicity. They also allow individuals to select more than one race, based on self-identification.

Approximately 100,000 OPM 1386B forms are completed annually. Each form takes approximately eight minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 13,333 hours.

Comments are particularly invited on: whether this information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey at (202) 606-

8358, FAX (202) 418-3251 or email to mbtoomey@opm.gov. Please include your mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to: Suzy M. Barker, Director, Examining and Qualifications Policy Division, Employment Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW, Room 6500, Washington, DC 20415.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,
Director.

[FR Doc. 02-8423 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-M

POSTAL SERVICE

Disclosure of Information on U.S. Mail

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is publishing notice that it has amended its *Administrative Support Manual* to clarify the instances when it may collect an image of the U.S. Mail, in whole or parts, for operational or health and safety purposes.

DATES: The amendment to the *Administrative Support Manual* (ASM) is effective when published in the Postal Bulletin (issue 22073) on April 4, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Parties interested in reviewing these amendments may find them online at <http://www.usps.com/cpim/ftp/bulletin/pb.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth P. Martin, Chief Counsel, Customer Protection and Privacy, 202-268-3022.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion of Amendments

The Postal Service is revising ASM section 274.5, Disclosure of Information Collected From Mail Sent or Received by Customers, to designate two additional circumstances in which the Postal Service may collect images of live mail for specified purposes. Specifically, we:

- Added section 274.5(f)(9) to allow for developing, testing, and improving recognition and processing capabilities without getting written approval from the Chief Postal Inspector. This change facilitates the use of test decks for purposes of testing and calibrating enhanced processing technology.
- Added section 274.5(i) to allow the Postal Service to collect images of mail for the purpose of ensuring the health

and safety of its employees and the public.

- Clarified that any images collected for operational purposes by the Postal Service may not be used for criminal investigative purposes, unless law enforcement officials comply with the procedures in ASM 213 regarding mail covers.

- Made technical amendments to replace the words "photocopy" or "picture" with the word "image", to encompass all the ways to create an image of a piece of mail.

- Created a new part 274.51, Disclosure of Information from Contents of Sealed Mail, to separate the limitations on uses for information obtained from the cover of mail from the limitations on the uses of information obtained from the contents of sealed mail. Sealed mail may be opened in mail recovery centers only for the purpose of obtaining an address to where the mail may be delivered. The new section 274.51 makes no changes to the limitations on other uses of that information.

Stanley F. Mires,
Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 02-8361 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. IC-25508; 812-12612]

Investec Ernst & Company, et al.; Notice of Application

April 3, 2002.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of an application under: (i) Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for exemptions from sections 2(a)(32), 2(a)(35), 22(d), and 26(a)(2)(C) of the Act and from rule 22c-1 under the Act; (ii) sections 11(a) and 11(c) of the Act for approval of certain exchange and rollover privileges and conversion offers; and (iii) sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act for an exemption from section 17(a) of the Act.

Summary of Application: Applicants request an order to permit certain unit investment trusts ("UITs") to: (i) Impose sales charges on a deferred basis and waive the deferred sales charge in certain cases; (ii) offer unitholders certain exchange and rollover privileges and conversion offers; and (iii) sell portfolio securities of a terminating series of a UIT to a new series of that UIT.

Applicants: Investec Ernst & Company ("Sponsor" or "Investec"), EST Symphony Trust, The Pinnacle Family of Trusts, Equity Securities Trust, Mortgage Securities Trust, Municipal Securities Trust (including Insured Municipal Securities Trust), New York Municipal Trust, A Corporate Trust, Schwab Trusts, any future registered UIT sponsored or co-sponsored by Investec or an entity controlled by or under common control with Investec (the future UITs, together with the above-specified UITs are "Trusts") and any presently outstanding or subsequently issued series of each Trust (each, a "Series"). The requested order would supersede three prior orders ("Prior Orders").¹

Filing Dates: The application was filed on August 16, 2001. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is included in this notice.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on April 23, 2002 and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20549-0609; Applicants: Peter J. DeMarco, c/o Investec Ernst & Company, One Battery Park Plaza, 7th Floor, New York, New York 10004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura J. Riegel, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942-0567 or Nadya B. Roytblat, Assistant Director, at (202) 942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the

¹ Reich & Tang Distributors L.P., et al., Investment Company Act Release Nos. 22222 (Sept. 13, 1996) (notice) and 22273 (Oct. 9, 1996) (order); Reich & Tang Distributors L.P., et al., Investment Company Act Release Nos. 22700 (June 11, 1997) (notice) and 22739 (July 8, 1997) (order); and Reich & Tang Distributors L.P., et al. Investment Company Act Release Nos. 22840 (Oct. 3, 1977) (notice) and 22866 (Oct. 29, 1997) (order).