

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 67, No. 15

Wednesday, January 23, 2002

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

5 CFR Part 532

RIN 3206-AJ35

Prevailing Rate Systems; Definition of San Joaquin County, CA, as a Nonappropriated Fund Wage Area

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Interim rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is issuing an interim rule that will define San Joaquin County, California, as a new nonappropriated fund (NAF) Federal Wage System (FWS) wage area. San Joaquin County is currently in the Sacramento, California, NAF FWS wage area. This change is necessary because the Army and Air Force Exchange Service built a new distribution facility in San Joaquin County that now has a large number of NAF FWS employees.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This interim rule is effective on January 23, 2002.

Applicability Date: Agencies will place NAF FWS employees in San Joaquin County on the new San Joaquin wage schedule on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after April 13, 2002. Comments must be received by February 22, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to Donald J. Winstead, Assistant Director for Compensation Administration, Workforce Compensation and Performance Service, Office of Personnel Management, Room 7H31, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415-8200, or FAX: (202) 606-4264.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William T. Beacham, (202) 606-2848, FAX: (202) 606-4264, or email wtbeacha@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is defining San Joaquin County, California, as a new nonappropriated fund (NAF) Federal Wage System (FWS) wage area. San Joaquin County is currently defined as an area of application to the Sacramento, CA, NAF FWS wage area. The Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES) built a new distribution facility, which has about 450 NAF FWS employees. Under section 5343(a) of title 5, United States Code, NAF FWS wage area boundaries may not extend beyond the immediate locality where NAF employees work. OPM may establish an NAF wage area under § 532.219 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, when there is a minimum of 26 NAF wage employees in a survey area and there is sufficient private employment within the survey area to provide adequate data for establishing an NAF wage schedule.

San Joaquin County meets the regulatory criteria to be a separate NAF wage area. Under § 532.219, there must be a minimum of 1,800 private enterprise employees in establishments within the scope of an NAF survey for a separate wage area to be established. San Joaquin County has more than 139,000 private enterprise employees in surveyable establishments.

The Sacramento NAF wage area continues to meet the criteria under 5 CFR 532.219 to remain a separate NAF FWS wage area. No other counties in the Sacramento NAF FWS wage area are affected by the removal of San Joaquin County from the Sacramento wage area. The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, the national labor-management committee responsible for advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of FWS employees, recommended this change by consensus. The first local wage survey for the new San Joaquin wage area will begin in February 2002. NAF FWS employees in San Joaquin County will be placed on the new San Joaquin wage schedule on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after April 13, 2002, the effective date of the new wage schedule.

Waiver of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Pursuant to section 553(b)(3)(B) of title 5, United States Code, I find that good cause exists for waiving the

general notice of proposed rulemaking. The notice is being waived because it is necessary to define San Joaquin County, CA, to an NAF wage area as soon as possible to set pay for NAF FWS employees in San Joaquin County on the basis of local prevailing rates.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it will affect only Federal agencies and employees.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,
Director.

Accordingly, the Office of Personnel Management is amending 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

Appendix B to Subpart B of Part 532—Nationwide Schedule of Nonappropriated Fund Regular Wage Surveys [Amended]

2. Appendix B to subpart B is amended by adding under the State of California, after San Francisco, “San Joaquin” to the wage area listing, with the beginning month as “February” and the fiscal year of full-scale survey as “even.”

3. Appendix D to subpart B is amended by revising the wage area listing for Sacramento, California, by removing “San Joaquin” from the area of application and adding “San Joaquin” as a new nonappropriated fund wage area, after “San Francisco,” to read as follows:

Appendix D to Subpart B of Part 532—Nonappropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas

* * * * *

DEFINITIONS OF WAGE AND WAGE SURVEY AREAS

* * * * *

CALIFORNIA

* * * * *

SAN JOAQUIN

Survey area

California:

San Joaquin

Area of Application. Survey area.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 02-1605 Filed 1-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-39-U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation

7 CFR Part 457

RIN 0563-AB79

Common Crop Insurance Regulations;
Millet Crop Insurance Provisions

AGENCY: Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) is adding crop provisions for the insurance of millet. The provisions will be used in conjunction with the Common Crop Insurance Policy Basic Provisions, which contain standard terms and conditions common to most crops. The intended effect of this action is to convert the millet pilot crop insurance program to a permanent insurance program administered by FCIC for the 2003 and succeeding crop years.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 22, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Johnson, Insurance Management Specialist, Product Development Division, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, United States Department of Agriculture, 9435 Holmes Road, Kansas City, MO, 64131, telephone (816) 926-7730.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Order 12866

This rule has been determined to be not-significant for the purpose of Executive Order 12866 and, therefore, has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the collections of information in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under control number 0563-0053 through January 31, 2002.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. This rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of title II of the UMRA) for State, local, and tribal governments or the private sector. Therefore, this rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

Executive Order 13132

The policies contained in this rule do not have any substantial direct effect on states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Nor does this rule impose substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments. Therefore, consultation with the states is not required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Additionally, the regulation does not require any greater action on the part of small entities than is required on the part of large entities. The amount of work required of the insurance companies will not increase because the information used to determine eligibility must already be collected under the present policy. No additional work is required as a result of this action on the part of either the insured or the insurance companies. Therefore, this action is determined to be exempt from the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605), and no Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was prepared.

Federal Assistance Program

This program is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.450.

Executive Order 12372

This program is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. See the Notice related to 7 CFR part 3015, subpart V, published at 48 FR 29115, June 24, 1983.

Executive Order 12988

This rule has been reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12988 on civil justice reform. The provisions

of this rule will not have a retroactive effect. The provisions of this rule will preempt State and local laws to the extent such State and local laws are inconsistent herewith. The administrative appeal provisions published at 7 CFR part 11 must be exhausted before any action for judicial review of any determination made by FCIC may be brought.

Environmental Evaluation

This action is not expected to have a significant economic impact on the quality of the human environment, health, and safety. Therefore, neither an Environmental Assessment nor an Environmental Impact Statement is needed.

Background

On Monday, June 19, 2000, FCIC published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** at 65 FR 37919-37922 to add 7 CFR 457.165 Millet crop insurance provisions effective for the 2002 and succeeding crop years.

Following publication of the proposed rule on June 19, 2000, the public was afforded 30 days to submit written comments and opinions. A total of 21 comments were received from two reinsured companies and a trade association. The comments received and FCIC's responses are as follows:

Comment. Two reinsured companies and a trade association questioned why the contract change date contained in section 3 of these provisions was changed from December 31 to November 30.

Response. The contract change date was changed from December 31 to November 30 in section 3 to maintain the same time period between the contract change date and the cancellation date to be consistent with other annual crop insurance policies.

Comment. Two reinsured companies and a trade association questioned why section 11 (Written Agreement) of the previous pilot provisions was removed from the proposed rule.

Response. Section 11 was removed from the millet crop provisions because it is contained in the Basic Provisions and, therefore, is already part of the policy.

Comment. Two reinsured companies and a trade association questioned why the unit of measure, "hundredweight" was replaced by the unit of measure, "bushel" as defined in section 1 of these provisions.

Response. The appropriate unit of measure was changed from "hundredweight" to "bushel" for the following reasons: (1) There is no single