DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

CONTRACT AUDIT

	CONTRA	CT AUDIT	
FDA AUDITOR		STATE INSPECTOR	
FIRM		CFN / FEI NUMBER	
FIRM A	DDRESS		
PRODU	CT(S) COVERED		DATE
TIME IN	TIME OUT	OVERALL RATING	
		Acceptable Needs Im	provement
I . 1. DIE	PREINSPECTIO THE INSPECTOR REVIEW THE STATE'S ESTABLISHMENT FILE FOR TH	N ASSESSMENT	E COMPLAINTS OF ACCESS
OT	HER AVAILABLE RESOURCES IN PREPARATION FOR THE INSPECTION?	IL PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORT AND POSSIBLE	LE COMPLAINTS ON ACCESS
	Acceptable Needs Improvement		
co	MMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)		
2. DIE	THE INSPECTOR HAVE THE APPROPRIATE EQUIPMENT AND FORMS TO	PROPERLY CONDUCT THE INSPECTION?	
	No ada Imaga ant		
	Acceptable Needs Improvement		
co	MMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)		
_			
II.	INSPECTION OBSERVATION	ONS AND PERFORMANCE	
1. WA	AS FDA JURISDICTION ESTABLISHED?		
	Acceptable Needs Improvement		
СО	MMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)		
_			
_			_

FORM FDA 3610 (10/03)

Π.	INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS AND PERFORMANCE (Continued)
2.	DID THE INSPECTOR SELECT AN APPROPRIATE PRODUCT FOR THE INSPECTION AND, IF NECESSARY, MAKE APPROPRIATE ADJUSTMENTS BASED ON WHAT THE FIRM WAS PRODUCING?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
3.	DID THE INSPECTOR ASSESS THE EMPLOYEE PRACTICES CRITICAL TO THE SAFE PRODUCTION AND STORAGE OF FOOD?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
4.	DID THE INSPECTOR PROPERLY EVALUATE THE LIKELIHOOD THAT CONDITIONS, PRACTICES, COMPONENTS AND/OR LABELING COULD CAUSE THE PRODUCT TO BE ADULTERATED OR MISBRANDED?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
5.	DID THE INSPECTOR RECOGNIZE SIGNIFICANT VIOLATIVE CONDITIONS OR PRACTICES IF PRESENT AND RECORD FINDINGS CONSISTENT WITH STATE PROCEDURES?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)

Π.	INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS AND PERFORMANCE (Continued)
6.	DID THE INSPECTOR DEMONSTRATE THE ABILITY TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN SIGNIFICANT VERSUS INSIGNIFICANT OBSERVATIONS AND ISOLATED INCIDENTS VERSUS TRENDS?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
7.	DID THE INSPECTOR REVIEW AND EVALUATE THE APPROPRIATE RECORDS AND PROCEDURES FOR THIS ESTABLISHMENT'S OPERATION AND
	EFFECTIVELY APPLY THE INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THIS REVIEW? Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
8.	DID THE INSPECTOR COLLECT ADEQUATE EVIDENCE AND DOCUMENTATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE PROCEDURES GIVEN THE NATURE OF THE INSPECTIONAL FINDINGS?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
9.	DID THE INSPECTOR VERIFY CORRECTION OF DEFICIENCIES IDENTIFIED DURING THE PREVIOUS STATE INSPECTION?
	Acceptable Needs Improvement COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)

II.		INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS AND PERFORMANCE (Continued)
10.). DID THE INSPECTOR ACT	IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER AND DEMONSTRATE PROPER SANITARY PRACTICES DURING THE INSPECTION?
	Acceptable	☐ Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for N	leeds Improvement)
		INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS AND PERFORMANCE FOR 'HACCP-REGULATED' FACILITIES se four questions apply to only firms subject to HACCP regulations. These four questions should be left
	blan	nk for firms not subject to HACCP regulations.
1.	DID THE INSPECTOR USE AS APPROPRIATE, TO IDE	THE "FISH AND FISHER PRODUCTS HAZARDS AND CONTROLS GUIDE" OR THE "JUICE HACCP HAZARDS AND CONTROLS GUIDE," NTIFY AND EVALUATE THE HAZARDS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRODUCT AND PROCESS?
	Acceptable	☐ Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for N	vleeds Improvement)
2.	DID THE INSPECTOR ASSE	ESS THE FIRM'S IMPLEMENTATION OF SANITATION MONITORING FOR THE APPLICABLE EIGHT KEY AREAS OF SANITATION?
	Acceptable	Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for N	vleeds Improvement)
	-	
3.	DID THE INSPECTOR REV	VIEW THE FIRM'S HACCP PLAN (OR NECESSARY PROCESS CONTROLS IN THE ABSENCE OF A HACCP PLAN) AND APPLICABLE ION AND CORRECTIVE ACTION RECORDS, INCLUDING THOSE RELATED TO SANITATION?
	Acceptable	Needs Improvement
	COMMENTS (required for N	
	COMMENTS (required for iv	ieeus iripiovenieni)
	-	

4. DID THE INSPECTOR RECOGNIZE DEFICIENCIES IN THE FIRM'S MONITORING AND SANITATION PROCEDURES THROUGH IN-PLAN Acceptable Needs Improvement COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)	
	•
III. ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATION 1. DID THE INSPECTOR IDENTIFY HIMSELF/HERSELF AND MAKE APPROPRIATE INTRODUCTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE EXPLAINING THE	E DURPOSE AND SCOPE OF
THE INSPECTION?	. FOIII OOL AND 333. 2 3.
Acceptable Needs Improvement	
COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)	
DID THE INSPECTOR USE SUITABLE INTERVIEWING TECHNIQUES?	
Acceptable Needs Improvement	
COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)	
3. DID THE INSPECTOR EXPLAIN FINDINGS CLEARLY AND ADEQUATELY THROUGHOUT THE INSPECTION?	
Acceptable Needs Improvement	
COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)	

П	II. ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATION (Continued)		
4.	DID THE INSPECTOR ALERT THE FIRM'S APPROPRIATE MANAGEMENT WHEN AN IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION WAS NECESSARY?		
	Acceptable Needs Improvement		
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)		
	GOMMENTO (required to Needs improvement)		
5.	DID THE INSPECTOR ANSWER QUESTIONS AND PROVIDE INFORMATION IN AN APPROPRIATE MANNER?		
	Acceptable Needs Improvement		
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)		
_			
6.	DID THE INSPECTOR WRITE THEIR FINDINGS ACCURATELY, CLEARLY AND CONCISELY ON THE STATE FORM/DOCUMENT LEFT WITH THE FIRM?		
	Acceptable Needs Improvement		
	COMMENTS (required for Needs Improvement)		
	NOTE: EVERY ITEM MARKED "NEEDS IMPROVEMENT" MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY AN EXPLANATION OF WHY THE ITEM WAS JUDGED AS NEEDING IMPROVEMENT.		
	Overall Rating:		
	If three or less items are marked "needs improvement," the overall rating is "acceptable." If four or more items are marked "needs		
	improvement," the overall rating is "needs improvement." The overall rating must be marked in the space provided in the header on the first page.		
	All questions must be answered "acceptable" or "needs improvement," except for section II.A. Inspection Observations and Performance for 'HACCP-Regulated' firms. If the establishment is not subject to Seafood or Juice HACCP regulations, leave the scoring for these four questions blank.		
	If four or more evaluated items are marked as "needs improvement," the state program manager must be notified by the appropriate FDA liaison that additional training or other performance improvement measures for the inspector being audited should be initiated. All contract inspectors who receive an overall audit score of "needs improvement" shall receive remedial training in deficient areas or as agreed upon by the FDA Project and Co-Project Officers prior to resuming contract inspection duties.		

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
SIGNATURE OF FDA AUDITOR	DATE