Table 1
Child Care and Development Fund
Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2002

States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children
Alabama	18,800	32,300
Alaska	3,700	6,200
American Samoa	300	400
Arizona	15,600	26,600
Arkansas	6,000	10,400
California	107,900	163,300
Colorado	14,000	25,100
Connecticut	8,900	15,300
Delaware	3,800	6,300
District of Columbia	6,600	9,200
Florida	55,500	96,000
Georgia	36,000	63,800
Guam	200	300
Hawaii	7,100	11,400
Idaho	4,300	7,600
Illinois	45,200	88,900
Indiana	22,700	41,400
lowa	9,400	15,400
Kansas	8,500	15,500
Kentucky	22,700	39,100
Louisiana	26,200	45,700
Maine	2,500	3,600
Maryland	17,500	28,100
Massachusetts	22,600	32,500
Michigan	19,500	
Minnesota	14,900	38,400 26,600
Mississippi	11,600	
Missouri	20,700	19,900 34,500
Montana	4,100	6,800
		·
Nebraska	7,200	12,300
Nevada	5,000	8,400
New Hampshire	4,700	7,000
New Jersey	28,900	43,300
New Mexico	13,100	22,700
New York	85,900	138,100
North Carolina	48,800	77,300
North Dakota	3,000	4,600
Northern Marianas	100	200
Ohio	50,000	86,800
Oklahoma	20,900	34,500
Oregon	13,200	24,200
Pennsylvania	29,000	51,400
Puerto Rico	-	-
Rhode Island	3,100	4,900
South Carolina	13,100	22,300
South Dakota	2,300	3,700
Tennessee	25,600	49,900
Texas	61,500	116,200
Utah	4,800	9,100
Vermont	2,200	3,300
Virgin Islands	-	-

	Table 1			
Child Care and Development Fund				
Average Monthly Adjusted Number of Families and Children Served (FFY 2002)				
States/Territories	Average Number of Families	Average Number of Children		
Virginia	14,400	24,000		
Washington	31,700	52,500		
West Virginia	5,800	9,600		
Wisconsin	12,900	22,600		
Wyoming	2,200	3,600		
Total	1,026,200	1,743,100		

Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2002.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 5. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 6. The reported results shown above have been rounded to the nearest 100. The national numbers are simply the sum of the State and Territory numbers.
- 7. On an ongoing basis, CA serves a significant number of families and children through State funds. Beginning in FY 2002, CA recognized and corrected their reporting to show that only 86% of families and children are being served through CCDF (as opposed to 100%). The other 14% are actually being served by non-CCDF funds. Prior to FY 2002, NY reported children based on a payments system which allowed for a child to be counted more than once. Beginning with FY 2002, NY revised their reporting system. This has had the effect of eliminating double-counting. These changes lowered the national child counts by nearly 100,000 for FY 2002 and FY 2003 as compared to what was reported for FY 2001.

Table 2
Child Care and Development Fund
Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2002)

T CICCIL OF O		by Payment Met	1100 (111 2002	,
State	Grants / Contracts %	Certificates %	Cash %	Total
Alabama	0%	100%	0%	56,672
Alaska	58%	42%	0%	16,717
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	1,153
Arizona	0%	100%	0%	49,852
Arkansas	0%	100%	0%	20,074
California	42%	58%	0%	282,039
Colorado	2%	96%	1%	49,384
Connecticut	23%	77%	0%	29,725
Delaware	0%	100%	0%	10,603
District of Columbia	52%	48%	0%	9,876
Florida	61%	39%	0%	168,332
Georgia	5%	95%	0%	122,409
Guam	0%	100%	0%	762
Hawaii	32%	0%	68%	33,355
Idaho	0%	100%	0%	14,336
Illinois	11%	89%	0%	158,852
Indiana	2%	98%	0%	78,559
lowa	0%	100%	0%	31,245
Kansas	0%	93%	7%	32,403
Kentucky	0%	100%	0%	77,966
Louisiana	0%	100%	0%	101,409
Maine	26%	74%	0%	7,643
Maryland	0%		0%	51,287
Massachusetts	50%	50%	0%	70,970
Michigan	0%	100%	0%	59,261
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	51,106
Mississippi	2%	98%	0%	37,302
Missouri	0%	100%	0%	64,868
Montana	0%	99%	1%	12,348
Nebraska	0%	100%	0%	26,483
Nevada	17%	83%	0%	
New Hampshire	0%	100%	0%	18,213 12,195
New Jersey New Mexico	17% 0%	83%	0% 0%	80,766
New York	31%		0%	175,128
North Carolina	0%	100%	0%	123,504
North Dakota	0%	100%	0%	10,126
Northern Mariana Islands	0%		0%	343
Ohio	0%		0%	153,159
Oklahoma	0%		0%	70,774
Oregon	7%	93%	0%	49,797
Pennsylvania	0%	78%	22%	111,628
Puerto Rico	48%	52%	0%	21,676
Rhode Island	0%	100%	0%	7,675
South Carolina	11%	89%	0%	42,663
South Dakota	2%	98%	0%	8,024
Tennessee	0%		0%	76,018
Texas	0%		0%	227,326
Utah	0%		100%	18,250
Vermont	6%	95%	0%	7,261

Table 2							
	Child Care and Development Fund						
Percent of Ch	Percent of Children Served by Payment Method (FFY 2002)						
State	Grants /	Certificates %	Cash %	Total			
Giate	Contracts %	Octimicates 70	<b>O</b> a311 70	Total			
Virgin Islands	4%	96%	0%	1,557			
Virginia	0%	100%	0%	52,439			
Washington	0%	70%	30%	94,128			
West Virginia	0%	100%	0%	17,612			
Wisconsin	0%	100%	0%	37,271			
Wyoming	0%	100%	0%	6,932			
National Total	13%	84%	3%	3,188,711			

Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. New York Reports Monthly Averages rather than the disaggregated Annual total reported by all other states.

Table 3
Child Care and Development Fund
Percent of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2002)

Percent of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2002)						
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total	
Alabama	0%	12%	6%	82%	56,672	
Alaska	10%	44%	3%	42%	16,717	
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	100%	1,153	
Arizona	3%	19%	5%	73%	49,852	
Arkansas	0%	24%	0%	76%	20,074	
California	5%	31%	9%	55%	282,039	
Colorado	7%	33%	0%	59%	49,384	
Connecticut	41%	16%	0%	43%	29,725	
Delaware	4%	37%	2%	56%	10,603	
District of Columbia	0%	3%	0%	97%	9,876	
Florida	0%	12%	0%	87%	168,332	
Georgia	2%	14%	2%	82%	122,409	
Guam	15%	26%	1%	58%	762	
Hawaii	5%		0%	49%	33,355	
Idaho	1%		14%	44%	14,336	
Illinois	27%	37%	1%	35%	158,852	
Indiana	3%	53%	0%	45%	78,559	
Iowa	1%	49%	14%	36%	31,245	
Kansas	7%	17%	41%	35%	32,403	
Kentucky	2%	25%	2%	71%	77,966	
Louisiana	14%	13%	0%	72%	101,409	
Maine	4%		0%	48%	7,643	
Maryland	14%		0%	41%	51,287	
Massachusetts	5%		14%	72%	70,970	
Michigan	31%		9%	16%	59,261	
Minnesota	14%		0%	36%	51,106	
Mississippi	6%		2%	80%	37,302	
Missouri	3%		2%	49%	64,868	
Montana	1%		34%	37%	12,348	
Nebraska	1%		12%	44%	26,483	
Nevada	3%		1%	83%	18,213	
New Hampshire	3/0	1376	1 /0	0376	12,195	
New Jersey	3%	28%	0%	69%	80,766	
New Mexico	0%		6%	44%	37,255	
New York	13%		6%	44 %	175,128	
North Carolina	0%		0%	85%	123,504	
	0%		27%	29%	10,126	
North Dakota	0%	44%	21%	29%	10,126	
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	67%	0%	33%	343	
Ohio	0%	41%	1%	59%	153,159	
Oklahoma	0%	18%	0%	81%	70,774	
Oregon	0%		3%	21%	49,797	
Pennsylvania	11%		4%	41%	111,628	
Puerto Rico	0%		0%	61%	21,676	
Rhode Island	3%		0%	66%	7,675	
South Carolina	3%		4%	77%	42,663	
South Dakota	1%		9%	40%	8,024	
Tennessee	2%		5%	77%	76,018	
Texas	8%		3%	76%	227,326	
Utah	11%		6%	37%	18,250	
<u> </u>	1	. 3 / 0	3,0	2.70	,=00	

Table 3 Child Care and Development Fund Percent of Children Served by Types of Care (FFY 2002)					
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Vermont	5%	52%	0%	44%	7,261
Virgin Islands	3%	3%	9%	86%	1,557
Virginia	1%	37%	0%	62%	52,439
Washington	20%	37%	0%	43%	94,128
West Virginia	0%	49%	3%	48%	17,612
Wisconsin	0%	38%	0%	61%	37,271
Wyoming	19%	39%	13%	28%	6,932
National Total	7%	30%	4%	59%	3,188,711

### Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. New Hampshire did not report number of children by setting type.
- 5. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual totals reported by all other states.

# Table 4 Child Care and Development Fund Percent of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2002)

State	Licensed/Regulated	Legally Operating	Total
	<b>J</b>	Without Regulation	
Alabama	79%	21%	56,672
Alaska	56%	44%	16,717
American Samoa	100%	0%	1,153
Arizona	87%	13%	49,852
Arkansas	100%	0%	20,074
California	69%	31%	282,039
Colorado	78%	22%	49,384
Connecticut	50%	51%	29,725
Delaware	79%	21%	10,603
District of Columbia	54%	46%	9,876
Florida	92%	8%	168,332
Georgia	93%	7%	122,409
Guam	55%	45%	762
Hawaii	22%	78%	33,355
Idaho	57%	43%	14,336
Illinois	48%	52%	158,852
Indiana	53%	47%	78,559
Iowa	78%	22%	31,245
Kansas	83%	17%	32,403
Kentucky	79%	21%	77,966
Louisiana	72%	28%	101,409
Maine	79%	21%	7,643
Maryland	76%	24%	51,287
Massachusetts	92%	8%	70,970
Michigan	34%	66%	59,261
Minnesota	60%	40%	
	82%	18%	51,106
Mississippi Missouri	62%	38%	37,302
			64,868
Montana	88%	12%	12,348
Nebraska	79%	21%	26,483
Nevada	72%	28%	18,213
New Hampshire	-	400/	12,195
New Jersey	82%	18%	80,766
New Mexico	51%	49%	37,255
New York	61%	39%	175,128
North Carolina	98%		123,504
North Dakota	92%	8%	10,126
Northern Mariana Islands	100%		343
Ohio	100%		153,159
Oklahoma	100%		70,774
Oregon	42%		49,797
Pennsylvania	54%		111,628
Puerto Rico	61%		21,676
Rhode Island	89%	11%	7,675
South Carolina	84%	16%	42,663
South Dakota	87%	13%	8,024
Tennessee	88%	12%	76,018
Texas	82%	18%	227,326

# Table 4 Child Care and Development Fund Percent of Children Served in Regulated Settings vs. Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation (FFY 2002)

State	Licensed/Regulated	Legally Operating Without Regulation	Total
Utah	57%	43%	18,250
Vermont	83%	17%	7,261
Virgin Islands	96%	4%	1,557
Virginia	86%	14%	52,439
Washington	70%	30%	94,128
West Virginia	92%	8%	17,612
Wisconsin	100%	0%	37,271
Wyoming	54%	46%	6,932
National Total	75%	25%	3,188,711

Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
- 5. New York Reports Monthly Averages rather than the disaggregated Annual total reported by all other states.

# Table 5 Child Care and Development Fund Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation, Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2002)

Alaska       33%       67%       7,         American Samoa       -       -       -         Arizona       100%       0%       6,         Arkansas       -       -       -         California       58%       42%       86,         Colorado       47%       53%       11,         Connecticut       88%       12%       15,         Delaware       47%       55%       2,         District of Columbia       1%       99%       4,         Florida       8%       92%       14,         Georgia       57%       43%       8,         Guam       89%       11%       -         Hawaii       50%       50%       25,         Idaho       49%       51%       6,         Illinois       56%       44%       82,         Indiana       30%       70%       37,         Iowa       28%       72%       6,         Kansas       79%       21%       5,         Kentucky       64%       36%       16,         Louisiana       40%       60%       28,         Maine       55%	State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total
American Samoa         -	Alabama	29%	71%	11,892
Arizona         100%         0%         6,           Arkansas         -         -           California         58%         42%         86,           Colorado         47%         53%         11,           Connecticut         88%         12%         15,           Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,           Florida         8%         92%         14,           Georgia         57%         43%         8,           Guam         89%         11%         -           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maire         55%         45%         1,           Maryland <td>Alaska</td> <td>33%</td> <td>67%</td> <td>7,416</td>	Alaska	33%	67%	7,416
Arkansas         -         -           California         58%         42%         86,           Colorado         47%         53%         11,           Connecticut         88%         12%         15,           Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         11%         99%         4,           Florida         8%         92%         14,           Georgia         57%         43%         8,           Guam         89%         11%         -           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachus	American Samoa	-	-	0
Arkansas         -         -           California         58%         42%         86,           Colorado         47%         53%         11,           Connecticut         88%         12%         15,           Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,           Florida         8%         92%         14,           Georgia         57%         43%         8,           Guam         89%         11%         -           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachuse	Arizona	100%	0%	6,327
Colorado         47%         53%         11,           Connecticut         88%         12%         15,1           Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,1           Florida         8%         92%         14,1           Georgia         57%         43%         8,1           Guam         89%         11%         3           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,2           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,	Arkansas	-	-	0
Colorado         47%         53%         11,           Connecticut         88%         12%         15,           Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,           Florida         8%         92%         14,           Georgia         57%         43%         8,           Guam         89%         11%         -           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12, <tr< td=""><td>California</td><td>58%</td><td>42%</td><td>86,916</td></tr<>	California	58%	42%	86,916
Connecticut         88%         12%         15,1           Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,1           Florida         8%         92%         14,1           Georgia         57%         43%         8,1           Gum         89%         11%         3           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,1           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,1           Indiana         30%         70%         37,3           Iowa         28%         72%         6,4           Kansas         79%         21%         5,5           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,1           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,	Colorado	47%	53%	·
Delaware         47%         53%         2,           District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,           Florida         8%         92%         14,           Georgia         57%         43%         8,           Guam         89%         11%         3,           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,	Connecticut	88%	12%	
District of Columbia         1%         99%         4,1           Florida         8%         92%         14,1           Georgia         57%         43%         8,1           Guam         89%         11%         3           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,1           Idaho         49%         51%         6,6           Illinois         56%         44%         82,7           Indiana         30%         70%         37,7           Iowa         28%         72%         6,4           Kansas         79%         21%         5,4           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,1           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,	Delaware	47%	53%	
Florida         8%         92%         14,1           Georgia         57%         43%         8,1           Guam         89%         11%         3           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,1           Idaho         49%         51%         6,6           Illinois         56%         44%         82,7           Indiana         30%         70%         37,7           Iowa         28%         72%         6,6           Kansas         79%         21%         5,4           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,1           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1, <t< td=""><td>District of Columbia</td><td>1%</td><td>99%</td><td></td></t<>	District of Columbia	1%	99%	
Georgia         57%         43%         8,1           Guam         89%         11%            Hawaii         50%         50%         25,1           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,7           Indiana         30%         70%         37,7           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,	Florida			
Guam         89%         11%           Hawaii         50%         50%         25,           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -         -           New Jersey<	Georgia			
Hawaii         50%         50%         25,1           Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,7           Indiana         30%         70%         37,3           Iowa         28%         72%         6,4           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				
Idaho         49%         51%         6,           Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,	Hawaii	50%		
Illinois         56%         44%         82,           Indiana         30%         70%         37,           Iowa         28%         72%         6,           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,	Idaho	49%		
Indiana         30%         70%         37,7           Iowa         28%         72%         6,6           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				•
Iowa         28%         72%         6,6           Kansas         79%         21%         5,           Kentucky         64%         36%         16,1           Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,4           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,6           Michigan         76%         24%         39,7           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,7           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,6           Missouri         25%         75%         24,7           Montana         53%         47%         1,7           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,4           Nevada         12%         88%         5,5           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,4				•
Kansas       79%       21%       5,         Kentucky       64%       36%       16,         Louisiana       40%       60%       28,         Maine       55%       45%       1,         Maryland       81%       19%       12,         Massachusetts       63%       37%       5,         Michigan       76%       24%       39,         Minnesota       37%       63%       20,         Mississippi       59%       41%       6,         Missouri       25%       75%       24,         Montana       53%       47%       1,         Nebraska       0%       100%       5,         Nevada       12%       88%       5,         New Hampshire       -       -         New Jersey       25%       75%       14,				•
Kentucky       64%       36%       16,0         Louisiana       40%       60%       28,0         Maine       55%       45%       1,3         Maryland       81%       19%       12,0         Massachusetts       63%       37%       5,5         Michigan       76%       24%       39,3         Minnesota       37%       63%       20,7         Mississippi       59%       41%       6,6         Missouri       25%       75%       24,7         Montana       53%       47%       1,7         Nebraska       0%       100%       5,8         Nevada       12%       88%       5,7         New Hampshire       -       -         New Jersey       25%       75%       14,4				
Louisiana         40%         60%         28,           Maine         55%         45%         1,           Maryland         81%         19%         12,           Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,           Michigan         76%         24%         39,           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,           Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				
Maine       55%       45%       1,4         Maryland       81%       19%       12,         Massachusetts       63%       37%       5,         Michigan       76%       24%       39,         Minnesota       37%       63%       20,         Mississippi       59%       41%       6,         Missouri       25%       75%       24,         Montana       53%       47%       1,         Nebraska       0%       100%       5,         Nevada       12%       88%       5,         New Hampshire       -       -         New Jersey       25%       75%       14,				,
Maryland       81%       19%       12,         Massachusetts       63%       37%       5,         Michigan       76%       24%       39,         Minnesota       37%       63%       20,         Mississippi       59%       41%       6,         Missouri       25%       75%       24,         Montana       53%       47%       1,         Nebraska       0%       100%       5,         Nevada       12%       88%       5,         New Hampshire       -       -         New Jersey       25%       75%       14,				,
Massachusetts         63%         37%         5,6           Michigan         76%         24%         39,7           Minnesota         37%         63%         20,7           Mississippi         59%         41%         6,6           Missouri         25%         75%         24,7           Montana         53%         47%         1,7           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,5           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,4				,
Michigan       76%       24%       39,3         Minnesota       37%       63%       20,3         Mississippi       59%       41%       6,4         Missouri       25%       75%       24,3         Montana       53%       47%       1,3         Nebraska       0%       100%       5,3         Nevada       12%       88%       5,3         New Hampshire       -       -         New Jersey       25%       75%       14,4				•
Minnesota       37%       63%       20,3         Mississippi       59%       41%       6,4         Missouri       25%       75%       24,7         Montana       53%       47%       1,7         Nebraska       0%       100%       5,7         Nevada       12%       88%       5,7         New Hampshire       -       -         New Jersey       25%       75%       14,4				
Mississippi         59%         41%         6,0           Missouri         25%         75%         24,3           Montana         53%         47%         1,4           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,5           Nevada         12%         88%         5,6           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,4				·
Missouri         25%         75%         24,           Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				
Montana         53%         47%         1,           Nebraska         0%         100%         5,           Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				·
Nebraska         0%         100%         5,4           Nevada         12%         88%         5,5           New Hampshire         -         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,4				
Nevada         12%         88%         5,           New Hampshire         -         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				
New Hampshire         -         -           New Jersey         25%         75%         14,				
New Jersey 25% 75% 14,		-	-	-
		25%	75%	14,460
	-			
·	New York			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		<b>-</b>		
Northern Mariana Islands	Northern Mariana Islands	-	-	0
Ohio	Ohio	-	-	0
Oklahoma	Oklahoma	-	-	0
		75%	25%	28,668
	-			
	-			
		<b>-</b>		

## Table 5 Child Care and Development Fund Of Children in Settings Legally Operating Without Regulation, Percent Served by Relatives vs. Non-Relatives (FFY 2002)

State	Relative	Non-Relative	Total
Vermont	5%	95%	1,224
Virgin Islands	74%	26%	68
Virginia	62%	38%	7,089
Washington	68%	32%	27,879
West Virginia	73%	27%	1,495
Wisconsin	-	-	0
Wyoming	67%	33%	3,182
National Average	52%	48%	800,679

Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. In some States there were no children served in Unregulated settings and thus the percent is "-" since division by zero is undefined.
- 5. New Hampshire did not report the number of children by setting type.
- 6. New York reports monthly averages rather than the disaggregated annual totals reported by all other states.

## Table 6 Child Care and Development Fund Percent of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2002)

	Total %	License	ed or Reg	ulated Pr	oviders	viders Providers Legally Operating without Regulation						
Ctoto	of	Child's	Family	Group		Child's	Home	Family	/ Home	Group	Home	
State	Ob Halasa		_	-	Center	Daladina	Non-	Dalatha	Non-	Dalatha	Non-	Center
	Children	Home	Home	Home		Relative	Relative	Relative	Relative	Relative	Relative	
Alabama	100%	0%	6%	6%	67%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	15%
Alaska	100%	0%	10%	3%	42%	4%	6%	11%	23%	0%	0%	0%
American Samoa	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arizona	100%	0%	9%	5%	73%	3%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Arkansas	100%	0%	24%	0%	76%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
California	100%	0%	11%	9%	50%	4%	1%	14%	6%	0%	0%	5%
Colorado	100%	0%	18%	0%	59%	1%	6%	9%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Connecticut	100%	0%	6%	0%	43%	35%	6%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Delaware	100%	0%	32%	2%	46%	4%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	11%
District of Columbia	100%	0%	2%	0%	51%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	46%
Florida	100%	0%	11%	0%	81%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	6%
Georgia	100%	0%	9%	2%	82%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Guam	100%	0%	0%	1%	54%	15%	0%	25%	1%	0%	0%	4%
Hawaii	100%	0%	4%	0%	19%	5%	1%	34%	7%	0%	0%	31%
Idaho	100%	0%	0%	14%	44%	0%	1%	21%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Illinois	100%	0%	15%	1%	32%	11%	16%	18%	4%	0%	0%	3%
Indiana	100%	0%	28%	0%	25%	2%	1%	13%	12%	0%	0%	20%
Iowa	100%	0%	28%	14%	36%	0%	1%	6%	15%	0%	0%	0%
Kansas	100%	0%	7%	41%	35%	4%	4%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Kentucky	100%	0%	6%	2%	71%	1%	1%	12%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Louisiana	100%	0%	0%	0%	72%	8%	7%	3%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Maine	100%	0%	32%	0%	48%	2%	2%	9%	7%	0%	0%	0%
Maryland	100%	0%	36%	0%	41%	10%	4%	9%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Massachusetts	100%	0%	6%	14%	72%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Michigan	100%	0%	9%	9%	16%	15%	16%	35%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Minnesota	100%	0%	28%	0%	33%	7%	7%	8%	15%	0%	0%	3%
Mississippi	100%	0%	0%	2%	80%	5%	1%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Missouri	100%	0%	17%	2%	43%	2%	1%	7%	22%	0%	0%	6%
Montana	100%	0%	17%	34%	37%	1%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Nebraska	100%	0%	23%	12%	44%	0%	1%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Nevada	100%	0%	4%	1%	67%	2%	1%	1%	8%	0%	0%	16%
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	100%	0%	13%	0%	69%	1%	2%	4%	11%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	100%	0%	1%	6%	44%	0%	0%	36%	13%	0%	0%	0%
New York	100%	0%	13%	6%	41%	6%	7%	11%	15%	0%	0%	0%
North Carolina	100%	0%	13%	0%	84%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
North Dakota	100%	0%	36%	27%	29%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Northern Mariana Islands	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## Table 6 Child Care and Development Fund Percent of Children Served in All Types of Care (FFY 2002)

	Total %			ulated Pr		All Typoo			perating v	without Re	gulation	
State	of	Child's	Family	Group		Child's	Home	Family	/ Home	Group	Home	
State	Children	Home	Home	Home	Center	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Relative	Non- Relative	Center
Ohio	100%	0%	41%	1%	59%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oklahoma	100%	0%	18%	0%	81%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oregon	100%	0%	21%	1%	20%	0%	0%	42%	13%	1%	0%	1%
Pennsylvania	100%	0%	9%	4%	41%	3%	8%	3%	31%	0%	0%	0%
Puerto Rico	100%	0%	1%	0%	61%	0%	0%	10%	29%	0%	0%	0%
Rhode Island	100%	0%	23%	0%	66%	1%	2%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%
South Carolina	100%	0%	4%	4%	77%	0%	3%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	100%	0%	39%	9%	40%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Tennessee	100%	0%	6%	5%	77%	2%	0%	2%	8%	0%	0%	0%
Texas	100%	0%	3%	3%	76%	8%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	100%	5%	8%	6%	37%	5%	1%	35%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Vermont	100%	0%	40%	0%	44%	0%	4%	1%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Virgin Islands	100%	0%	1%	9%	86%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Virginia	100%	1%	24%	0%	62%	1%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	0%
Washington	100%	0%	28%	0%	43%	11%	10%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%
West Virginia	100%	0%	42%	3%	46%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Wisconsin	100%	0%	38%	0%	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wyoming	100%	0%	13%	13%	28%	15%	4%	15%	11%	0%	0%	0%
National Percentage	100%	0%	14%	4%	57%	4%	3%	9%	7%	0%	0%	3%

Notes applicable to this table:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002. The ACF-800 is based on an annual unduplicated count of families and children; i.e. a family or child that receives one hour of service on one day is counted the same as a family or child that receives full-time care throughout the fiscal year.
- 2. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 4. New Hampshire did not report number of children by setting type.
- 5. New York Reports Monthly Averages rather than the disaggregated Annual total reported by all other states.

Table 7 Child Care and Development Fund and Additional State Efforts Number of Child Care Providers Receiving CCDF Funds (FFY 2002)								
				i de la companya de				
State	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total			
Alabama	54	2,645	391	1,586				
Alaska	755	2,942	101	331	4,129			
American Samoa	0	0	0	25	25			
Arizona	922	4,569	262	1,225	6,978			
Arkansas	0	1,148	0	,	2,230			
California	10,316	59,974	9,690	17,313	97,293			
Colorado	2,331	7,363	0	1,542	11,236			
Connecticut	15,009	4,181	46	1,492	20,728			
Delaware	467	1,605	38	443	2,553			
District of Columbia	21	184	0	278	483			
Florida	356	6,886	0	8,937	16,179			
Georgia	1,384	6,000	272	5,355	13,011			
Guam	42	59	2	54	157			
Hawaii	349	9,318	4					
Idaho	88	2,846	405	430	3,769			
Illinois	45,889	44.199	316					
Indiana	816	9,953	010		· '			
lowa	225	7,042	951	678	8,896			
Kansas	1,065	1,898	2,385	732	6,080			
Kentucky	610	6,731	2,383		,			
· · · · · ·					,			
Louisiana	4,936	2,871	0	,	9,718			
Maine	172	1,975	0		2,580			
Maryland	3,849	7,666	0	,	,			
Massachusetts	3,159	3,198	1,308		,			
Michigan	31,514	44,336	2,592	2,483	80,925			
Minnesota	4,781	17,417	0	,				
Mississippi	1,402	2,139	90	,	5,000			
Missouri	870	11,561	165		14,604			
Montana	98	1,827	1,022	769	3,716			
Nebraska	481	4,196	451	538	5,666			
Nevada	222	823	10	488	1,543			
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	3,956			
New Jersey	1,461	10,366	0	2,485	14,312			
New Mexico	11	9,059	190		9,719			
New York	8,968	28,639	1,774	4,874	44,255			
North Carolina	220	5,705	0	4,319	10,244			
North Dakota	0	2,131	875	98	3,104			
Northern Mariana Islands	0	97	0	9	106			
Ohio	30	17,492	100	3,521	21,143			
Oklahoma	40	3,203	0					
Oregon	40		135					
Pennsylvania	5,035	20,484	592					
Puerto Rico	87	6,724	0	,				
Rhode Island	287	1,442	7					
South Carolina	755	3,395	232					
South Dakota	86	1,435	77	199				
Tennessee	524	2,989	432					
Texas	10,368	15,261	988					
Utah	1,388	5,955	354					
Vermont	467	2,206	0		,			
Virgin Islands	407	43	21					
	41	43	21	103	200			
Virginia	40.500	45.400	-	4 004	20.00			
Washington	19,590	15,103	0		36,684			
West Virginia	40	5,139	63		- , -			
Wisconsin	83	6,684	0					
Wyoming	489	1,326	186		,			
National Total  Notes applicable to this table:	182,193	457,950	26,633		<b>771,613</b> Data as of: 27-SEP-2004			

Notes applicable to this table:

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002, an unduplicated annual count.

<sup>2.</sup> This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because ACF-800 Data Element 6a it is reported as a count of providers receiving CCDF funding.

<sup>3.</sup> Note that this table reports the number of providers (not the number of children). A provider that serves one child is counted the same as a provider serving 200 children per day.

 $<sup>4. \</sup> New \ York \ Reports \ Monthly \ Averages \ rather \ than \ the \ disaggregated \ Annual \ total \ reported \ by \ all \ other \ states.$ 

<sup>5.</sup> New Hampshire and Virginia did not report the number of providers by setting type.

### Table 8 Child Care and Development Fund

Canaumar Ea	Lucation Ctrot	egies Summary	(EEV 2002)
Consumer Ed	lucation Strat	eules Sullillarv	(FFT ZUUZ)

	Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2002)						Estimated Number			
State	Grants / Contracts / Certificates Info	Resource and Referral	Provider List	Types/Qualit y of Care Materials	and Safety	Child Care Regulatory Info	Child Care Complaint Policy	Mass Media	Other	of Families Receiving Consumer Education
Alabama	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	96,151
Alaska	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	8,555
American Samoa	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	6,000
Arizona	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	223,673
Arkansas	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	463,028
California	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	1,920,456
Colorado	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	114,941
Connecticut	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	66,947
Delaware	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	17,534
District of Columbia	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		35,000
Florida	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ		233,831
Georgia	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	40,032
Guam	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	762
Hawaii	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N		22,439
Idaho	NA	Y	N	Y	Υ	N	Y	Υ	N	11,472
Illinois	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	273,000
Indiana	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	N	39,640
Iowa	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	17,809
Kansas	NA	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	N	155,999
Kentucky	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	82,811
Louisiana	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	33,166
Maine	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	N	7,458
Maryland	NA	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	259,000
Massachusetts	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	76,314
Michigan	NA	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	N	997,870
Minnesota	NA	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	N	1,923,495
Mississippi	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	N	N	20,965
Missouri	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	47,924
Montana	NA	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	665,009
Nebraska	N	N	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	443,411
Nevada	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	N	10,477
New Hampshire	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	N	8,251
New Jersey	Y	Y	N	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	N	136,141
New Mexico	NA	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	21,014
New York	Y	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	491,241
North Carolina	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	270,110
North Dakota	NA	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	10,834

### Table 8 **Child Care and Development Fund Consumer Education Strategies Summary (FFY 2002)** Estimated Number Grants / Resource Types/Qualit Health Child Care | Child Care of Families Contracts / **Provider** Mass and y of Care Regulatory Complaint Other State and Receiving Media Certificates List Referral Materials Safety **Policy** Consumer Info Info Education Northern Mariana Islands Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 170 Ohio Υ Υ Υ Ν 129,674 Υ Υ Υ Oklahoma NA Υ Ν 926,492 Υ Oregon Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 45,600 NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 166,938 Pennsylvania Υ Ν Ν 19,887 Puerto Rico Ν NA Υ Υ Rhode Island Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 32,500 Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ Ν Ν 25.753 South Carolina 80,429 South Dakota NA Ν Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ Ν Ν NA 40,145 Tennessee Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 117,995 Texas NA Υ Υ 7,937 Utah Ν NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 7,443 Vermont Virgin Islands NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 788 40,000 Virginia Υ Υ Υ Ν Υ Υ NA Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Ν 33,474 Washington West Virginia Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ Υ 7,742

Notes applicable to this table:

Wisconsin

Wyoming

**Total Yes** 

Data as of: 27-SEP-2004

43,894

22.214

11,001,835

1. The source for this table is ACF-800 data for FFY 2002, an unduplicated annual count.

NA

NA

31

2. This data has not been adjusted by the pooling factor (unadjusted data) because it is impossible to tell which families receiving consumer information also received CCDF funding.

Υ

Υ

54

Υ

Ν

48

Υ

Υ

55

Υ

Υ

48

Ν

Ν

11

Υ

Υ

56

- 3. NA=Not applicable, does not offer grants or contracts for subsidized child care slots.
- 4. A blank cell indicates that the State did not provide a response.
- 5. New York Reports Monthly Averages rather than the disaggregated Annual total reported by all other states.

Υ

Υ

55

Ν

Υ

52

Table 9
Child Care and Development Fund

New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         00%         100%		Assaura	na Manthi		velopment		/EEV 2	000)		
Alabama									Invalid/Not	
Alabama	State							13+ yıs		Total
Alaska		,				_		0%		
American Samoa         10%         21%         23%         17%         8%         1%         0%         0%         100%           Arizona         6%         11%         13%         13%         11%         33%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Arkansas         10%         15%         16%         16%         13%         9%         22%         0%         0%         0%         100%           California         3%         5%         9%         14%         17%         12%         40%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Colorado         7%         12%         13%         13%         11%         11%         0%         0%         0%         00%         00%         100%           Connecticut         5%         10%         11%         12%         12%         9%         41%         1%         0%         100%           District of Columbia         3%         10%         15%         15%         9%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Boorgia         8%         11%         13%         14%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0% <td></td>										
Arizona         6%         11%         13%         13%         13%         13%         33%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Arkansas         10%         15%         16%         16%         13%         9%         22%         0%         0%         0%         100%           California         3%         5%         9%         14%         17%         12%         40%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Colorado         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         11%         32%         0%         0%         0%         100%         100%           Colorado         7%         12%         13%         12%         10%         32%         1%         0%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         0%         0%         100%         100%         100%         100%         0%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>										
Arkansas         10%         15%         16%         16%         13%         9%         22%         0%         0%         10%           Callfornia         33%         5%         99         14%         17%         12%         40%         0%         0%         0%         10%           Colorado         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         11%         32%         0%         0%         00%         10%           Connecticut         5%         10%         11%         12%         12%         9%         41%         1%         0%         10%           Delaware         8%         122%         13%         12%         10%         32%         1%         0%         10%           Delaware         8%         12%         15%         19%         15%         9%         29%         0%         0%         100%           District of Columbia         3%         10%         15%         19%         15%         9%         29%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Georgia         8%         14%         13%         13%         13%         10%         12%         10%         0%										
California         3%         5%         9%         14%         17%         12%         40%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Colorado         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         11%         32%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Connecticut         5%         10%         11%         12%         19%         41%         11%         0%         100%           Delaware         8%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         32%         11%         0%         100%           District of Columbia         3%         10%         15%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Georgia         8%         14%         15%         14%         12%         9%         29%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Guam         6%         12%         16%         20%         16%         12%         17%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Hawaii         5%         11%         14%         16%         15%         9%         30%										
Colorado										
Connecticut									<b>-</b>	
Delaware										
District of Columbia   3%   10%   15%   19%   15%   9%   29%   0%   0%   00%   100%   100%   100%   100%   33%   00%   0%   00%   100%   100%   33%   00%   0%   00%   100%   33%   00%   0%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   100%   33%   00%   00%   00%   33%   00%   00%   33%   00%   00%   33%   00%   00%   33%   00%   00%   33%										
Florida										
Georgia         8%         14%         15%         14%         12%         9%         29%         0%         0%         10%           Guam         6%         12%         16%         20%         16%         12%         17%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Hawaii         5%         11%         14%         16%         15%         9%         30%         1%         0%         0%         100%           Idaho         7%         12%         13%         13%         11%         31%         0%         0%         100%           Illinois         6%         9%         10%         11%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Indiana         4%         11%         13%         13%         11%         34%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Kansas         7%         12%         14%         14%         13%         10%         30%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Kentucky         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         9%         32%         0%         0%         100%										
Guam         6%         12%         16%         20%         16%         12%         17%         0%         0%         100%           Hawaii         5%         11%         14%         16%         15%         9%         30%         1%         0%         00%         100%           Idlaho         7%         12%         13%         13%         13%         13%         13%         0%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Illinois         6%         9%         10%         11%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         0%         100%           Indiana         44%         11%         13%         14%         13%         11%         34%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Kansas         7%         12%         14%         14%         13%         11%         34%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Kentucky         7%         12%         14%         14%         13%         13%         12%         9%         32%         0%         0%         100%           Louisiana         7%         14%         16%         14%										
Hawaii										
Idaho										
Illinois										
Indiana										
Iowa										
Kansas         7%         12%         14%         14%         13%         10%         30%         0%         0%         100%           Kentucky         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         9%         32%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Louisiana         7%         14%         16%         14%         11%         8%         30%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Maine         4%         9%         12%         15%         17%         12%         32%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Maryland         5%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         5%         9%         11%         13%         13%         10%         38%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Michigan         6%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0										
Kentucky         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         9%         32%         0%         0%         100%           Louisiana         7%         14%         16%         14%         11%         8%         30%         0%         0%         100%           Maine         4%         9%         12%         15%         17%         12%         32%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Maryland         5%         11%         13%         12%         10%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         5%         9%         11%         13%         13%         10%         38%         0%         0%         100%           Micingan         6%         10%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Mississispipi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         0%         100%           <										
Louisiana         7%         14%         16%         14%         11%         8%         30%         0%         0%         100%           Maine         4%         9%         12%         15%         17%         12%         32%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Maryland         5%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         36%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         5%         9%         11%         13%         13%         10%         38%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Michigan         6%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Mississispi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         33%         <										
Maine         4%         9%         12%         15%         17%         12%         32%         0%         0%         100%           Maryland         5%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         5%         9%         11%         13%         10%         38%         0%         0%         100%           Michigan         6%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Minesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Mississippi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%										
Maryland         5%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Massachusetts         5%         9%         11%         13%         13%         10%         38%         0%         0%         100%           Michigan         6%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Mississispi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Newdad										
Massachusetts         5%         9%         11%         13%         13%         10%         38%         0%         0%         100%           Michigan         6%         10%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         100%           Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Mississippi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4% <td></td>										
Michigan         6%         10%         10%         10%         9%         45%         1%         0%         10%           Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         100%           Mississippi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey	<b>,</b>									
Minnesota         7%         10%         12%         12%         12%         11%         36%         0%         0%         10%           Mississippi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         00%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         100%         0% </td <td></td>										
Mississippi         5%         10%         14%         14%         13%         10%         34%         0%         0%         100%           Missouri         7%         11%         13%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9         13%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         00%         100%	-									
Missouri         7%         11%         13%         12%         10%         33%         0%         2%         100%           Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         0%         100%										
Montana         7%         13%         14%         14%         13%         11%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         00%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         0%										
Nebraska         9%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         31%         1%         0%         100%           Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         0% <td></td>										
Nevada         5%         10%         12%         13%         13%         11%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         00%         100%										
New Hampshire         4%         9%         13%         14%         15%         12%         33%         0%         0%         100%           New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         00%         100%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         0%										
New Jersey         4%         10%         13%         13%         12%         35%         1%         0%         100%           New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         00%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         00%										100%
New Mexico         7%         12%         13%         13%         12%         10%         35%         0%         0%         100%           New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         00%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         100%										100%
New York         3%         8%         11%         13%         11%         40%         0%         0%         100%           North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         100%										,
North Carolina         5%         11%         15%         15%         13%         10%         31%         0%         0%         100%           North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         100%										100%
North Dakota         9%         13%         13%         14%         13%         12%         27%         0%         0%         100%           Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         100%										
Northern Mariana Islands         6%         13%         16%         13%         13%         10%         29%         0%         0%         100%           Ohio         7%         11%         13%         13%         10%         33%         0%         0%         100%										
Ohio 7% 11% 13% 13% 10% 33% 0% 0% 100%										
										100%

### Table 9 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Percent of Children In Care By Age Group (FFY 2002) 0 to 1 yr to 2 yrs to 3 yrs to 4 yrs to | 5 yrs to | 6 yrs to | 13+ yrs Invalid/Not State < 1 yr < 2 yrs < 3 yrs < 4 yrs < 5 yrs < 6 yrs < 13 yrs Reported Total 12% 12% 10% 37% 0% 100% Oregon 7% 11% 11% 0% 5% 10% 11% 12% 12% 11% 40% 0% 0% 100% Pennsylvania Puerto Rico Rhode Island 5% 9% 11% 13% 13% 11% 38% 0% 0% 100% South Carolina 4% 10% 14% 14% 13% 11% 33% 0% 0% 100% South Dakota 8% 13% 14% 14% 13% 11% 28% 0% 0% 100% Tennessee 6% 12% 13% 13% 12% 10% 34% 0% 0% 100% 7% 12% 14% 32% 0% 0% Texas 14% 12% 10% 100% 6% 10% 12% 13% 12% 34% 0% 0% 100% Utah 13% 5% 9% 12% 14% 13% 11% 37% 1% 0% 100% Vermont Virgin Islands 6% 9% 0% 0% Virginia 11% 14% 14% 13% 33% 100% 6% 11% 12% 12% 12% 10% 36% 0% 0% 100% Washington 6% 10% 12% 13% 12% 10% 38% 0% 0% 100% West Virginia 8% 12% 13% 13% 12% 10% 32% 0% 0% 100% Wisconsin 0% 8% 12% 14% 14% 11% 30% 0% 100% Wyoming 13% 6% 10% 13% 13% 13% 10% 35% 0% 0% 100% **National**

Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category only includes children with an invalid year/month of birth or report date.
- 8. All Florida's out of range date-of-birth children (0.1%) are special needs children.

			Table 10				
		Chi	ild Care and Develor	ment Fund			
	Possons for	Pocoiving Ca	re, Average Monthly	Porcontago of	Eamilies (EEV	2002	
State	Employment Employment	Training/ Education	Both Emp & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total
Alabama	81%	7%	6%	5%	1%	0%	100%
Alaska	85%	4%	7%	0%	4%	0%	100%
American Samoa	90%	1%	7%	0%	0%	2%	100%
Arizona	78%	1%	7%	13%	2%	0%	100%
Arkansas	68%	4%	0%	5%	23%	0%	100%
California	81%	8%	5%	2%	4%	0%	100%
Colorado	78%	14%	5%	0%	3%	0%	100%
Connecticut	95%	5%	1%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Delaware	87%	5%	1%	3%	5%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	69%	20%	1%	1%	9%	0%	100%
Florida	71%	4%	9%	14%	1%	0%	100%
Georgia	83%	13%	2%	1%	1%	1%	100%
Guam	79%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Hawaii	84%	12%	3%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Idaho	73%	11%	16%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Illinois	87%	7%	2%	0%	4%	0%	100%
Indiana	76%	9%	7%	1%	8%	0%	100%
lowa	76%	14%	1%	9%	0%	0%	100%
Kansas	91%	6%	1%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Kentucky	73%	14%	3%	10%	0%	0%	100%
Louisiana	78%	10%	9%	3%	0%	0%	100%
Maine	84%	6%	5%	2%	3%	0%	100%
Maryland	82%	11%	6%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	73%	10%	0%	11%	3%	2%	100%
Michigan	91%	6%	2%	1%	1%	0%	100%
Minnesota	79%	8%	9%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Mississippi	82%	17%	0%	0%	1%	0%	100%
Missouri	58%	16%	0%	15%	11%	0%	100%
Montana	69%	18%	9%	3%	1%	0%	100%
Nebraska	76%	12%	2%	9%	1%	0%	100%
Nevada	70%	9%	3%	2%	8%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	92%	100%
New Jersey	57%	1%	2%	4%	37%	0%	100%
New Mexico	73%	13%	13%	0%	2%	0%	100%
New York	83%	10%	13%	1%	5%	0%	100%
North Carolina	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	69%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	100%
North Dakota		20%	17%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	55% 71%	28% 16%	3%	0%	11%	0%	100%
Ohio				3%			
Oklahoma	70%	4%	22%	3% 1%	0%	0%	100%
Oregon	78%	3%	18%	.,,,	0%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	91%	3%	1%	0%	5%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	- 4404	-	-	-	-	4000/
Rhode Island	86%	11%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%

	Table 10 Child Care and Development Fund Reasons for Receiving Care, Average Monthly Percentage of Families (FFY 2002)									
State	Employment	Training/ Education	Both Emp & Training/Education	Protective Services	Other	Invalid/ Not Reported	Total			
South Carolina	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%			
South Dakota	59%	11%	16%	14%	1%	0%	100%			
Tennessee	50%	37%	12%	0%	1%	0%	100%			
Texas	66%	28%	3%	0%	2%	1%	100%			
Utah	90%	2%	3%	0%	5%	0%	100%			
Vermont	80%	13%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%			
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Virginia	81%	5%	12%	0%	1%	0%	100%			
Washington	82%	7%	1%	7%	2%	0%	100%			
West Virginia	82%	11%	7%	0%	0%	0%	100%			
Wisconsin	91%	1%	7%	0%	2%	0%	100%			
Wyoming	87%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%			
National	77%	11%	5%	3%	4%	1%	100%			

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported only includes family records with an invalid or missing number for ACF-801 element 6, Reason for Receiving Subsidized Child Care.
- 8. Several States only capture the primary reason for receiving services and therefore do not report any families in the Both Employment and Training/Education category. States reporting no families in this combination category of Both Employment and Training Education" include Arkansas, New Hampshire, North Carolina, South Carolina, Vermont, and Wyoming (confirmed by ACF-801 notes).
- 9. Inconsistencies in income reporting appear in several States between ACF-801 element 6 (reason for receiving a subsidy, element 9 (total income for determining eligibility), and elements 10 through 15 (the sources of income). For example, element 6 may indicate that the reason is employment, element 10 may indicate employment as an income source, and element 9 may show a monthly income of \$0. All combinations of inconsistencies between these three types of data elements have been observed.

	Table 11 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2002)								
State	Native American / Alaskan Native	Asian	Black / African American	Native Hawaiian / Pacific	White	Multi- Racial	Invalid / Not Reported	Total	
Alabama	0%	0%	71%	0%	28%	0%	1%	100%	
Alaska	8%	3%	9%	2%	53%	11%	15%	100%	
American Samoa	0%	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Arizona	5%	0%	13%	1%	78%	3%	0%	100%	
Arkansas	0%	1%	68%	0%	31%	1%	0%	100%	
California	1%	4%	26%	1%	36%	2%	31%	100%	
Colorado	1%	1%	19%	0%	77%	0%	1%	100%	
Connecticut	0%	0%	40%	0%	21%	1%	37%	100%	
Delaware	0%	0%	66%	0%	26%	0%	8%	100%	
District of Columbia	0%	0%	95%	0%	3%	0%	2%	100%	
Florida	0%	0%	52%	0%	46%	0%	2%	100%	
Georgia	0%	0%	80%	0%	18%	1%	1%	100%	
Guam	0%	11%	0%	84%	0%	5%	0%	100%	
Hawaii	0%	36%	3%	44%	12%	2%	3%	100%	
Idaho	2%	0%	1%	0%	83%	1%	13%	100%	
Illinois	0%	0%	68%	2%	16%	0%	13%	100%	
Indiana	1%	0%	48%	0%	46%	5%	0%	100%	
Iowa	1%	1%	21%	0%	78%	0%	0%	100%	
Kansas	1%	0%	30%	0%	66%	0%	2%	100%	
Kentucky	0%	0%	30%	0%	63%	0%	6%	100%	
Louisiana	0%	0%	84%	0%	15%	0%	0%	100%	
Maine	2%	1%	3%	0%	87%	4%	5%	100%	
Maryland	0%	1%	77%	0%	19%	1%	2%	100%	
Massachusetts	0%	1%	12%	0%	22%	0%	65%	100%	
Michigan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Minnesota	4%	3%	29%	1%	61%	2%	0%	100%	
Mississippi	0%	0%	87%	0%	11%	1%	0%	100%	
Missouri	0%	0%	54%	0%	43%	0%	3%	100%	
Montana	10%	1%	1%	0%	84%	2%	3%	100%	
Nebraska	3%	1%	27%	0%	69%	0%	0%	100%	
Nevada	2%	1%	29%	1%	62%	3%	1%	100%	
New Hampshire	0%	0%	1%	0%	18%	1%	80%	100%	
New Jersey	0%	1%	52%	1%	15%	0%	31%	100%	
New Mexico	6%	0%	4%	0%	86%	3%	0%	100%	
New York	0%	1%	24%	0%	19%	1%	54%	100%	
North Carolina	3%	0%	59%	1%	36%	0%	0%	100%	
North Dakota	15%	0%	3%	0%	79%	2%	0%	100%	
Northern Mariana Islands	0%	2%	0%	98%	0%	1%	0%	100%	
Ohio	0%	0%	55%	0%	41%	0%	3%	100%	
Oklahoma	9%	0%	35%			0%	0%	100%	
Oregon	2%	2%	10%	0%	85%	1%	0%	100%	
Pennsylvania	0%	0%	22%	0%	23%	1%	54%	100%	
Puerto Rico	-	-	<u> </u>		-	-	-	<del>-</del>	
Rhode Island	0%	0%	11%	0%	31%	1%	58%	100%	
South Carolina	0%	0%	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%	100%	
South Dakota	24%	0%	4%	0%	70%	1%	0%	100%	
Tennessee	0%	0%	72%	0%	28%	0%	0%	100%	
Texas	0%	0%	38%	0%	17%	0%	44%	100%	
Utah	0%	6%	4%	0%	77%	0%	13%	100%	
Vermont	0%	0%	1%	0%	98%	0%	0%	100%	
Virgin Islands	-		<del>-</del>	-	-		-	<u> </u>	
Virginia	0%	1%	67%	0%	31%	1%	0%	100%	
Washington	2%	1%	8%	0%	37%	0%	52%	100%	
West Virginia	0%	0%	14%	0%	79%	6%	1%	100%	
Wisconsin	2%	0%	35%	0%	36%	1%	26%	100%	
Wyoming	3%	0%	4%	0%	81%	0%	12%	100%	
Notes applicable to this report:	1%	1%	42%	1%	36%	1%	18%	100% of: 27-SEP-2004	

18% 100% Data as of: 27-SEP-2004

<sup>1.</sup> The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.

<sup>2.</sup> All counts are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.

Table 11								
Child Care and Development Fund								
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Racial Group (FFY 2002)								
	Native		Black /	Native			Invalid /	
State	American /	Asian	African	Hawaiian /	White	Multi-	Not	Total
	Alaskan Native		American	Pacific		Racial	Reported	

- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The multi-racial category includes any child where more than one race was answered Yes (1). Several States do not capture and report more than one race per child and thus do not provide multi-racial data.
- 8. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where one or more race fields had anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1), blank, null, or space.
- 9. Several States including Washington are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated. In many states including Texas, Illinois, Louisiana, and Wisconsin self-reporting of race is optional and no race will be reported other than self reporting. All Michigan race data have a known system generated error that makes 95% of the children appear to be multi-racial. Therefore, no MI race data were reported for FFY 2002. The MI data were not included in the calculation of the national averages shown.

Table 12
Child Care and Development Fund
Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2002)

Average	Monthly Percentage	S of Children by Lati	no Ethnicity (FFT 2	00Z,
State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	1%	100%	0%	100%
Alaska	9%	91%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	43%	57%	0%	100%
Arkansas	1%	99%	0%	100%
California	47%	51%	2%	100%
Colorado	34%	66%	0%	100%
Connecticut	33%	66%	1%	100%
Delaware	7%	93%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	7%	93%	0%	100%
Florida	20%	80%	0%	100%
Georgia	1%	99%	0%	100%
Guam	0%	100%	0%	100%
Hawaii	2%	98%	0%	100%
Idaho	15%	86%	0%	100%
Illinois	8%	87%	5%	100%
Indiana	5%	96%	0%	100%
lowa	4%	96%	0%	100%
Kansas	8%	92%	0%	100%
Kentucky	1%	94%	5%	100%
Louisiana	1%	99%	0%	100%
Maine	2%	98%	0%	100%
Maryland	2%	98%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	23%	54%	22%	100%
Michigan	4%	96%	0%	100%
Minnesota	4%	96%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	100%	0%	100%
Missouri	2%	96%	2%	100%
Montana	4%	97%	0%	100%
Nebraska	8%	92%	0%	100%
Nevada	20%	80%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	1%	0%	99%	100%
New Jersey	21%	68%	11%	100%
New Mexico	72%	28%	0%	100%
New York	14%	80%	6%	100%
North Carolina	5%	95%	0%	100%
North Dakota	3%		0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	0%		0%	100%
Ohio	3%		0%	100%
Oklahoma	4%		0%	100%
Oregon	16%		0%	100%
Pennsylvania	4%	96%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	21%	79%	0%	100%
South Carolina	0%		0%	100%
South Dakota	3%	97%	0%	100%
Tennessee	0%		0%	100%
Texas	42%		0%	100%
Utah	13%		0%	100%
Vermont	0%	100%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-
Virginia	7%	93%	0%	100%
<u> </u>				

## Table 12 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Percentages of Children by Latino Ethnicity (FFY 2002)

State	Latino	Not Latino	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Washington	17%	83%	0%	100%
West Virginia	1%	99%	0%	100%
Wisconsin	4%	96%	0%	100%
Wyoming	12%	88%	0%	100%
National	16%	82%	2%	100%

### Notes applicable to this report:

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.
- 2. All percentages are based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" number represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding.
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The Invalid/Not Reported category includes children where anything other than a No (0) or Yes (1) was in the Ethnicity field.
- 8. Several States including Washington are still reporting ethnicity (Latino/Hispanic) as a race rather than as an ethnicity in accordance with the Pre-FFY 2000 Technical Bulletin 3 standard. In many of these instances if a child is designated as Latino, no race is designated.

Table 13 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Percentage of Children In Child Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2002)					
Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Total
Infants (0 to <1 yr)	8%	37%	5%	50%	100%
Toddlers (1 yr to <3 yrs)	6%	32%	5%	58%	100%
Preschool (3 yrs to <6 yrs)	5%	24%	4%	66%	100%
School Age (6 yrs to <13 yrs)	11%	33%	4%	52%	100%
13 years and older	18%	49%	4%	29%	100%
All Ages	8%	30%	4%	58%	100%

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.
- 2. All percentages are based on the "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero. In a few instances, the sum of the categories may not appear to add up to exactly 100% because of rounding. The percentages shown are among valid data only. In other words invalid data were excluded before the percentages were calculated. Nationally 3.8% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one more setting elements of the child's setting record(s) were invalid or not reported.
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. Some children are reported to have multiple settings for the same month. If a child was in more than one of the above setting categories within the same month, the child was counted in each setting and the denominator was the number of child-setting combination, which results in the total being exactly 100%.

Table 14 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Hours for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2002)					
Age Group	Age Group Child's Home Family Home Group Home Center Weighted Average				
0 to < 1 yr	162	159	156	160	160
1 to < 2 yrs	165	162	163	167	165
2 to < 3 yrs	164	163	166	168	166
3 to < 4 yrs	164	163	165	166	166
4 to < 5 yrs	161	162	163	162	162
5 to < 6 yrs	154	147	146	142	144
6 to < 13 yrs	138	131	115	109	120
13+ yrs	126	128	121	99	119
National	149	149	147	145	147

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.
- 2. Average hours per month were based on sums of hours per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 3. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 4. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. Nationally 3.8% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
- 8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- 9. Some States have been reporting the maximum number of hours authorized rather than the actual number of hours service provided.

Table 15 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Expenditures for Children In Care By Age Group and Care Type (FFY 2002)						
Age Group	Child's Home	Family Home	Group Home	Center	Weighted Averages	
0 to < 1 yr	\$282	\$345	\$444	\$415	\$380	
1 to < 2 yrs	\$291	\$363	\$433	\$423	\$394	
2 to < 3 yrs	\$283	\$359	\$438	\$407	\$387	
3 to < 4 yrs	\$273	\$341	\$425	\$399	\$379	
4 to < 5 yrs	\$266	\$333	\$419	\$402	\$381	
5 to < 6 yrs	\$263	\$309	\$393	\$367	\$346	
6 to < 13 yrs	\$233	\$275	\$334	\$284	\$277	
13+ yrs	\$218	\$291	\$297	\$291	\$278	
National	\$253	\$316	\$397	\$365	\$342	

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002.
- 2. Average cost per month were based on sums of costs per month in categories divided by counts of children in categories as further defined below.
- 3. All counts are "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 4. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. Nationally 3.8% of the children were excluded from the above table because either their age was invalid/not reported or one or more setting elements of a child's setting record was invalid or not reported.
- 8. For children served by multiple providers, the child's count is proportioned based on the ratio of the monthly hours with each provider divided by the monthly total hours of service. The average hours and payments for each State-month combination are based on the sum of hours in each category divided by the sum of proportional counts in each category. The State's annual results are determined by calculating a weighted average of the monthly results where the weight was the "adjusted" number of children served in each month. The national results shown above represent a weighted average of the State's fiscal annual results where the weight for each State is the average monthly "adjusted" number of children served in each State for the fiscal year.
- 9. The current Technical Bulletin 3 indicates that a payment over \$1000 per month is considered above the Out of Range Standard and therefore is considered invalid. However, in some of the highest cost states there maybe some providers that actually charge more than \$1000 for a month of full-time care. The Child Care Bureau is currently planning on increasing this Out of Range Standard to \$2000 effective October 1, 2006.

Table 16	
Child Care and Development Fund	
Average Monthly Percent of Families Receiving TANF (Fi	FY 2002

			ceiving TANF (FFT 2002)	
State	TANF (Yes)	TANF (NO)	Invalid/Not Reported	Total
Alabama	7%	93%	0%	100%
Alaska	13%	87%	0%	100%
American Samoa	0%	100%	0%	100%
Arizona	19%	81%	0%	100%
Arkansas	41%	59%	0%	100%
California	16%	84%	0%	100%
Colorado	18%	82%	0%	100%
Connecticut	18%	82%	0%	100%
Delaware	12%	88%	0%	100%
District of Columbia	15%	85%	0%	100%
Florida	14%	84%	2%	100%
Georgia	15%	86%	0%	100%
Guam	25%	75%	0%	100%
Hawaii	26%	74%	0%	100%
Idaho	2%	98%	0%	100%
Illinois	12%	88%	0%	100%
Indiana	22%	78%	0%	100%
Iowa	46%	54%	0%	100%
Kansas	8%	91%	0%	100%
Kentucky	3%	97%	0%	100%
Louisiana	15%	83%	3%	100%
Maine	6%	95%	0%	100%
Maryland	11%	89%	0%	100%
Massachusetts	15%	85%	0%	100%
Michigan	19%	81%	0%	100%
Minnesota	0%	100%	0%	100%
Mississippi	1%	100%	0%	100%
Missouri	25%	75%	0%	100%
Montana	14%	86%	0%	100%
Nebraska	25%	75%	0%	100%
Nevada	29%	71%	0%	100%
New Hampshire	0%	0%	100%	100%
New Jersey	13%	87%	0%	100%
New Mexico	19%	81%	0%	100%
New York	22%	78%	0%	100%
North Carolina	8%	92%	0%	100%
North Dakota	14%	86%	0%	100%
Northern Mariana Islands	4%	96%	0%	100%
Ohio	18%	82%	0%	100%
Oklahoma	17%	83%	0%	100%
Oregon	31%	69%	0%	100%
Pennsylvania	8%	92%	0%	100%
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	16%	84%	0%	100%
South Carolina	23%	77%	0%	100%
South Dakota	7%	93%	0%	100%
Tennessee	55%	45%	0%	100%
Texas	12%	87%	0%	100%
Utah	7%	93%	0%	100%
Vermont	14%	86%	0%	100%
Virgin Islands	-	3370	070	10070

Table 16 Child Care and Development Fund Average Monthly Percent of Families Receiving TANF (FFY 2002)					
State	TANF (Yes)	TANF (NO)	Invalid/Not Reported	Total	
Virginia	28%	72%	0%	100%	
Washington	22%	78%	0%	100%	
West Virginia	11%	89%	0%	100%	
Wisconsin	9%	91%	0%	100%	
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	
National	17%	82%	1%	100%	

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FFY 2002
- 2. These percentages were based on the "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-to-families was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). National percentages are based on the "adjusted" national numbers unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the national percentages are equivalent to a weighted average of the State percentages, where the weights are the "adjusted" number of families or children served as appropriate.
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The percentage shown as "Yes" is the number reported as "Yes" divided by the families that answered "Yes" or "No", or an invalid/not reported response excluding families that were in protective services. States with few or no valid TANF data include Minnesota, New Hampshire and Wyoming. Wyoming's software had reversed the responses for TANF data. Based on a reversed result, it is estimated that 11% of the families in Wyoming that receive subsidized child care also received TANF. Minnesota estimates that 35% of families receiving subsidized child care also receive TANF. Minnesota and Wyoming data were included in the National Percentage calculation.

### Table 17 Child Care and Development Fund

Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2002)

Average Monthly	Mean Family Co-payment	as a Percent of Family I	
	Percent of Families	Mean CoPay/Income	Mean CoPay/Income
State/Territories	with \$0 CoPay	in Percent	in Percent
Grard, Forritorios	(among those with	(Excluding Those With	(Including Those With
	Income>\$0)	\$0 CoPay)	\$0 CoPay)
Alabama	6%		11%
Alaska	6%	9%	9%
American Samoa	98%	-	-
Arizona	10%	5%	4%
Arkansas	77%	9%	1%
California	72%	3%	1%
Colorado	7%	9%	8%
Connecticut	15%	5%	4%
Delaware	15%	9%	7%
District of Columbia	16%	5%	4%
Florida	2%	6%	5%
Georgia	56%	4%	1%
Guam	2%	13%	12%
Hawaii	55%	4%	1%
Idaho	0%	6%	6%
Illinois	2%	6%	6%
Indiana	66%	5%	2%
Iowa	59%	6%	2%
Kansas	17%	7%	5%
Kentucky	28%	7%	5%
Louisiana	40%	6%	3%
Maine	2%	7%	7%
Maryland	17%	5%	4%
Massachusetts	30%	8%	5%
Michigan	22%	5%	4%
Minnesota	20%	4%	3%
Mississippi	0%	2%	2%
Missouri	21%	5%	4%
Montana	2%	4%	4%
Nebraska	41%	10%	4%
Nevada	47%	11%	5%
New Hampshire	55%	0%	0%
New Jersey	18%		6%
New Mexico	21%	5%	4%
New York	28%	5%	4%
North Carolina	7%	8%	8%
North Dakota	5%	11%	11%
Northern Mariana Islands	1%	9%	9%
Ohio	3%	5%	5%
Oklahoma	36%	9%	4%
Oregon	9%	8%	7%
Pennsylvania	4%		
Puerto Rico	-	-	-
Rhode Island	27%	5%	3%
South Carolina	16%		
South Dakota	52%		
Tennessee	54%		
Texas	7%		

Table 17							
Child Care and Development Fund							
Average Monthly Mean Family Co-payment as a Percent of Family Income (FFY 2002)							
	Percent of Families   Mean CoPay/Income   Mean CoPay/Income						
State/Territories	with \$0 CoPay	in Percent	in Percent				
	(among those with	(Excluding Those With	(Including Those With				
	Income>\$0)	\$0 CoPay)	\$0 CoPay)				
Utah	12%	5%	4%				
Vermont	32%	6%	4%				
Virgin Islands	-	-	-				

26%

39%

12%

3%

1%

26%

**National Mean (Weighted** Notes applicable to this report:

Virginia Washington

West Virginia

Wisconsin Wyoming

Data as of: 27-SEP-2004

2%

4%

6%

5%

10%

5%

4%

6%

5%

6%

- 1. The source for this table is ACF-801 data for FY 2002.
- 2. Percentages were based on "adjusted" numbers of families and children unless otherwise indicated. These "adjusted" numbers represent the number funded through CCDF only. The "adjusted" number is the raw or "unadjusted" number reported by the State multiplied by the pooling factor as reported on the ACF-800. A few States have indicated that the pooling factor reported on the ACF-800 is not applicable to the ACF-801. This report takes all these factors into consideration in calculating the "adjusted" numbers or percentages.
- 3. All states provide an actual unadjusted count of families served each month. For States reporting full population data, the number of child records reported each month were directly counted. However, for States that only submit samples, the ratio of children-tofamilies was determined each month from the samples and then multiplied by the reported number of families to obtain an estimate of the unadjusted number of children served each month. The unadjusted average number of families and children were obtained from the monthly numbers in the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY).
- 4. A "0%" indication often means the value is less than 0.5% rather than actually zero.
- 5. At the time of publication, the following States/Territories have not yet reported ACF-801 for FFY 2002: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands. The data from American Samoa were not considered sufficiently reliable in these measures to report.
- 6. Connecticut does not report ACF-801 data on all or nearly all children served by contracted centers. Wisconsin has been reporting some children that are authorized for care but do not receive care. Nebraska has been reporting child records for some children that do not receive a subsidy if other children in the same family are receiving a subsidy. Alaska's reported population does not accurately reflect the population served by CCDF due to sampling difficulties the State is trying to resolve. Furthermore Alaska does not report any children in foster care or families headed by a child.
- 7. The "Mean CoPay/Income" columns exclude families with zero income because dividing by zero is undefined. Families headed by a child or in protective services were also excluded from this table.
- 8. The National weighted values were determined by multiplying each State's average co-payment/income percentage by the adjusted number of families in each State, summing across the States and then dividing by the adjusted number of families served for the Nation.