SOUTHWESTERN WILLOW FLYCATCHER SURVEYS, DEMOGRAPHY, AND ECOLOGY ALONG THE LOWER COLORADO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, 2004

Contract # 03-CS-30-0093

Annual Report

Submitted to

U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Lower Colorado Region 400 Railroad Avenue Boulder City, NV 89005

Submitted by

Mary Anne McLeod Thomas J. Koronkiewicz Bryan T. Brown Steven W. Carothers

SWCA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

114 N. San Francisco St., Suite 100 Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 (928) 774-5500 www.swca.com

February 24, 2005

This page intentionally left blank.

Recommended citation:

McLeod, M.A., T.J. Koronkiewicz, B.T. Brown, and S.W. Carothers. 2005. Southwestern Willow Flycatcher surveys, demography, and ecology along the lower Colorado River and tributaries, 2004. Annual report submitted to U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, NV, by SWCA Environmental Consultants, Flagstaff, AZ. 155 pp.

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii
Executive Summary	xi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
PROJECT HISTORY	1
SPECIES INTRODUCTION	2
PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION OF STUDY	3
CHAPTER 2. PRESENCE/ABSENCE SURVEYS AND SITE DESCRIPTIONS	5
INTRODUCTION	5
Yellow-billed Cuckoo and Yuma Clapper Rail	
METHODS	7
Site Selection	
Broadcast Surveys	
Site Description	
r	
RESULTS	8
Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge, Nevada	15
Pahranagat North	16
Pahranagat South	16
Littlefield, Arizona	16
Littlefield North	17
Littlefield South	17
Mesquite, Nevada	17
Mesquite West	17
Mormon Mesa, Nevada	18
Mormon Mesa North	18
Mormon Mesa South	18
Virgin River #1	19
Virgin River #2	
Delta West	20
Muddy River, Nevada	20
Overton Wildlife Management Area	
Grand Canyon, Arizona	
Separation Canyon (RM 239.5N)	
RM 243S	
Spencer Canyon (RM 246S)	21
Surprise Canyon (RM 248.5N)	

Clay Tank Canyon (RM 249S)	22
No Wifl Point (RM 249.5S)	22
No Wifl Bay (RM 249.5N)	22
Reference Point Creek (RM 252S)	23
RM 257.5N	
Burnt Springs (RM 259.5N)	23
Quartermaster Canyon (RM 260S)	24
RM 260.5N	24
Columbine Falls (RM 274.5S)	24
RM 274.5N	24
Other Survey Areas	25
Topock Marsh, Arizona	26
Pipes	26
PC6-1	26
Pig Hole	27
In Between and 800M	27
Pierced Egg	27
Swine Paradise	28
Barbed Wire	28
IRFB03 and IRFB04	28
Platform	29
250M	29
Hell Bird and Glory Hole	29
Lost Lake	29
Other Survey Areas	30
Topock Gorge, Arizona and California	30
Pulpit Rock	30
Picture Rock	31
Blankenship Bend	31
Havasu NE	31
Other Survey Areas	32
Bill Williams River National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona	32
Bill Williams Site #1	32
Bill Williams Site #2	32
Bill Williams Site #11	33
Bill Williams Site #4 and Site #3	
Bill Williams Site #5	
Mineral Wash Complex	
Beaver Pond	
Bill Williams Site #8	
Big Hole Slough, California	
Big Hole Slough	
Ehrenberg, Arizona	
Fhrenberg	35

Cibola National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona and California	36
Cibola Site 2 and Cibola Site 1	36
Hart Mine Marsh	36
Three Fingers Lake	37
Cibola Lake North, East, and West	37
Walker Lake	
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona and California	38
Paradise	38
Hoge Ranch	38
Adobe Lake	
Rattlesnake	39
Norton South	39
Picacho NW	39
Milemarker 65	40
Clear Lake/The Alley	
Imperial Nursery	
Ferguson Lake	41
Ferguson Wash	41
Great Blue	42
Powerline	
Martinez Lake	
Other Survey Areas	
Mittry Lake, Arizona and California	
Mittry West	43
Mittry South	
Potholes East	
Potholes West	
Yuma, Arizona	
River Mile 33	
Gila Confluence West	45
Gila Confluence North	
Gila River Site 2	45
Fortuna Site 1	46
Fortuna North	46
Gadsden Bend	
Gadsden	47
Hunter's Hole	
Other Survey Areas	48
Indiagion	

CHAPTER 3. COLOR-BANDING AND RESIGHTING	51
INTRODUCTION	51
METHODS	51
Color-banding	
Resighting	
RESULTS	53
All Monitoring Sites	53
Site-by-Site Color-Banding and Resighting	56
Monitoring Sites	56
Non-Monitoring Sites	67
Gila River and Colorado/Gila River Confluence South to Mexico	74
Adult Between-Year Return and Dispersal	75
Juvenile Between-Year Return and Dispersal	75
DISCUSSION	77
Gila River and Colorado/Gila River Confluence South to Mexico	78
Change in Color-band Methodology	79
CHAPTER 4. NEST MONITORING	81
INTRODUCTION	81
METHODS	81
RESULTS	82
Nest Monitoring	82
Nest Failure	84
Brood Parasitism	85
Mayfield Nest Success and Nest Productivity	
DISCUSSION	87
Nest Success	
Nest Failure	
Brood Parasitism	
Mayfield Nest Success and Nest Productivity	

CHAPTER 5. BROWN-HEADED COWBIRD TRAPPING	91
INTRODUCTION	91
METHODS	91
RESULTS	93
Brown-headed Cowbirds	93
Brood Parasitism Rates	
Non-target Species	98
DISCUSSION	99
CHAPTER 6. VEGETATION AND HABITAT CHARACTERISTICS	103
INTRODUCTION	103
METHODS	103
Data Analyses	
RESULTS	106
Vegetation Measurements of Entire Habitat Blocks	
Vegetation Measurements at the Nest	
Vegetation Measurements at Nest Plots vs. Non-use Plots	
DISCUSSION	113
CHAPTER 7. NEST MICROCLIMATE	117
INTRODUCTION	117
METHODS	118
Overview	
Temperature and Relative Humidity (T/RH) Measurements	
Soil Moisture (SM) Measurements	
Statistical Analyses	
RESULTS	123
Seasonal Variation	
Data Collection After Nests Were Vacated	
Location Types: Descriptive Statistics and Single Effects Analysis	
Individual Effect of Predictor Values	
MANOVA Model	

	138
Seasonal Variation	138
Data Collection After Nests Were Vacated	142
Location Types: Descriptive Statistics and Single Effects Analysis	142
Individual Effect of Predictor Values	
MANOVA Model	142
Comparison with Other Findings	143
	1/15
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSAPPENDICES	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS APPENDICES A. Field Data Forms	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS APPENDICES A. Field Data Forms B. Orthophotos Showing Study Sites	

List of Figures

1.1.	Breeding range distribution of the subspecies of the willow flycatcher (<i>Empidonax traillii</i>)	2
2.1.	Locations of Southwestern Willow Flycatcher study areas along the lover Colorado River and tributaries, 2004	6
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Brown-headed Cowbird trap used at life history study areas, 2004	
5.2.	Cowbird trap locations at Pahranagat NWR, NV, 2004	94
	Cowbird trap locations at Mesquite, NV, 2004	
	Cowbird trap locations at Mormon Mesa, NV, 2004.	
5.5.	Cowbird trap locations at Topock Marsh, AZ, 2004	97
	Vertical foliage density at habitat block points, Pahranagat NWR, NV, 2004	
	Vertical foliage density habitat block points, Mesquite, NV, 2004	
	Vertical foliage density at habitat block points, Mormon Mesa, NV, 2004	
	Vertical foliage density at habitat block points, Topock, AZ, 2004	109
6.5.	Vertical foliage density and standard error at willow flycatcher nest sites versus non-use sites at Pahranagat NWR, 2004	111
6.6.	Foliage density and standard error at willow flycatcher nest sites vs. non-use sites at	
	Mesquite, NV, 2004	111
6.7.	Foliage density and standard error at willow flycatcher nest sites vs. non-use sites at	
	Mormon Mesa, NV, 2004	112
6.8.	Foliage density and standard error at willow flycatcher nest sites versus non-use sites at Topock, AZ, 2004	112
7 1		112
7.1.	Box plots for the mean percent soil moisture plot center to 1.0 m from plot center by study area and location type for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher microclimate data	
	along the Virgin and lower Colorado River regions, June–August, 2004	129
7.2.	Box plots of the mean diurnal relative humidity by study area and location type for	
	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher microclimate data along the Virgin and lower	
	Colorado River regions, June–August, 2004	130
7.3.	Box plots of the mean diurnal temperature by study area and location type for	
	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher microclimate data along the Virgin and lower	
	Colorado River regions, June–August, 2004	131
7.4.	Box plots of the mean maximum diurnal temperature by study area and location type	
	for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher microclimate data along the Virgin and lower	
	Colorado River regions, June–August, 2004	132

List of Tables

2.1.	Willow Flycatcher Detections along the Virgin and Colorado Rivers and Tributaries,	
	2004	
2.2.	Detections of Willow Flycatchers Recorded at Sites after 15 June 2004	11
2.3.	Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Detections along the Virgin, Lower Colorado, and Gila Rivers,	
	2004	
2.4.	Yuma Clapper Rail Detections along the Virgin and Lower Colorado Rivers, 2004	12
2.5.	Summary of Hydrologic Conditions at Each Survey Site along the Virgin and Lower	
	Colorado Rivers and Tributaries, 2004	12
2 1	Summary of Willow Elyestahars Detected at Manitored Sites during the 2004 Breeding	
3.1.	Summary of Willow Flycatchers Detected at Monitored Sites during the 2004 Breeding	51
2.2	Season	J4
3.2.		56
2.2	Pahranagat, NV in 2004.	30
3.3.	Summary of Unpaired, Resident Willow Flycatchers and Individuals for which	5 0
2.4	Residency and/or Breeding Status could not be Confirmed, Pahranagat, 2004	
3.4.	Breeding and Nestling Willow Flycatchers Banded at Littlefield, AZ in 2004	
3.5.	Unpaired, Resident Willow Flycatchers at Littlefield, AZ in 2004	60
3.6.	Paired, Nestling, and Fledgling Willow Flycatchers Banded and Resighted at	<i>-</i> 1
2.7	Mesquite, NV in 2004	61
3.7.	Summary of Unpaired, Resident Willow Flycatchers and Individuals for which	<i>(</i> 2
2.0	Residency and/or Breeding Status could not be Confirmed, Mesquite, NV in 2004	63
3.8.	Paired and Nestling Willow Flycatchers Banded and Resighted at Mormon Mesa,	
• •	NV in 2004	63
3.9.	Summary of Unpaired, Resident Willow Flycatchers and Individuals for which	
	Residency and/or Breeding Status could not be Confirmed, Mormon Mesa, NV in 2004	
	Summary of Unpaired Willow Flycatchers at the Muddy River Delta, NV in 2004	
	Breeding and Nestling Willow Flycatchers Banded at Grand Canyon, AZ in 2004	66
3.12.	Willow Flycatchers for which Residency and/or Breeding Status could not be	
	Confirmed, Grand Canyon, AZ in 2004	67
3.13.	Paired and Nestling Willow Flycatchers Banded and Resighted at Topock, Havasu	
	NWR, AZ in 2004	68
3.14.	Summary of Unpaired, Resident Willow Flycatchers and Individuals for which	
	Residency and/or Breeding Status could not be Confirmed, Topock, Havasu NWR,	
	AZ in 2004	72
3.15.	Summary of Unpaired, Resident Willow Flycatchers and Individuals for which	
	Residency and/or Breeding Status could not be Confirmed, Bill Williams NWR, AZ	
	in 2004	73
3.16.	Willow Flycatchers Color-Banded at Key Pittman Wildlife Management Area, NV	
	in 2004	
	Willow Flycatchers Color-Banded along the Virgin River near Mesquite, NV in 2004	74
3.18.	Willow Flycatchers Color-Banded along the Lower Colorado River South of the Bill	
	Williams NWR to the Mexico Border, 2004	
3.19.	Adult Willow Flycatcher Annual Return from 2003 to 2004	75

List of Tables, continued

3.20.	Summary of Juvenile Flycatcher Between-Year Movements for All Flycatchers	
	Banded as Hatch Year Birds in 2003 and Recaptured in 2004	75
3.21.	Summary of Flycatcher Between-Year Movements for All Flycatchers Banded as	
	Hatch Year Birds Prior to 2003, Not Detected in 2003, and Detected in 2004	76
4.1.	Summary of Willow Flycatcher Nest Monitoring Results at the Four Life History	
	Study Areas, Grand Canyon, Arizona, and Littlefield, AZ in 2004	83
4.2.	Willow Flycatcher Percent Nest Success Recorded at Breeding Sites along the Virgin and Lower Colorado Rivers from 1997 to 2004	
4.3.	Summary of Causes of Willow Flycatcher Nest Failure at the Four Life History Study Areas, Grand Canyon, AZ, and Littlefield, AZ in 2004	
4.4.	Fates of Willow Flycatcher Nests Parasitized by Brown-Headed Cowbirds, 2004	
	Daily Survival Rates and Mayfield Survival Probabilities (MSP) for Willow Flycatcher Nest Stages at the Four Life History Study Areas, Grand Canyon, AZ, and Littlefield,	00
	AZ in 2004	86
4.6.	Willow Flycatcher Nest Productivity (Young Fledged per Nest) and Fecundity (Young	00
	Fledged per Female) at the Four Life History Study Areas and Littlefield, AZ in 2004	87
5.1.	Summary of Brown-headed Cowbirds Trapped and Removed at Pahranagat NWR,	0.0
<i>-</i>	Mesquite, and Mormon Mesa, Nevada and Topock Marsh, Arizona, 2004	93
5.2.	Brown-Headed Cowbird Brood Parasitism Rates at the Four Life History Study Areas, 1997–2004	98
5.3.	Summary of Non-Target Species Captured during Brown-Headed Cowbird Trapping at the Life History Study Areas, 2004	98
6.1.	Summary of Vegetation and Habitat Characteristics of Entire Habitat Blocks at the Four Life History Study Areas, 2004	07
6.2.	Summary of Nest Measurements at the Four Life History Study Areas, Littlefield, and Grand	.07
0.2.	Canyon, 2004	07
6.3.	Comparison of Habitat Characteristics between Willow Flycatcher Nests and Non-Use Sites at the Four Life History Study Areas, Lower Colorado River, 2004 ¹ 1	
7.1.	Seasonal Variation in Riparian Habitat by Study Area for Southwestern Willow	
	Flycatcher Microclimate Data from along the Virgin and Lower Colorado Rivers,	
	May-August, 2004	23
7.2.	Seasonal Variation in Desertscrub Habitat by Study Area for Southwestern Willow	
	Flycatcher Microclimate Data along the Virgin and Lower Colorado Rivers, May–	
	August, 2004	23
7.3.	Descriptive Statistics (Chi-square) and Single Effects (ANOVA) for Southwestern	
	Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data by Location Type at Pahranagat NWR, June–	
	August, 2004	25
7.4.	Descriptive Statistics (Chi-square) and Single Effects (ANOVA) for Southwestern	-
-	Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data by Location Type at Mesquite, June–August,	
	•	26

List of Tables, continued

7.5.	Descriptive Statistics (Chi-square) and Single Effects (ANOVA) for Southwestern	
	Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data by Location Type at Mormon Mesa, June–	
	August, 2004	127
7.6.	Descriptive Statistics (Chi-square) and Single Effects (ANOVA) for Southwestern	
	Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data by Location type Topock, June-August, 2004	128
7.7.	Single Effects ANOVA Response Variables by Location Type for Southwestern	
	Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data along the Virgin and Lower Colorado River	
	Regions, June–August, 2004.	133
7.8.	Single Effects ANOVA Response Variables by Study Area for Southwestern Willow	
	Flycatcher Microclimate Data along the Virgin and Lower Colorado River Regions,	
		134
7.9.	Single Effects ANOVA Response Variables by Habitat Type for Southwestern Willow	
	Flycatcher Microclimate Data along the Virgin and Lower Colorado River Regions,	
	$\boldsymbol{\beta}$	136
7.10.	Single Effects ANOVA Response Variables by Canopy Closure for Southwestern	
	Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data along the Virgin and Lower Colorado River	
	8, •8, •	137
7.11.	MANOVA Response Variables by Location Type, Adjusting for Study Area, Habitat,	
	and Canopy Closure for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Microclimate Data along	
	the Virgin and Lower Colorado River regions, June–August, 2004	139
7.12.	MANOVA Response Variables by Location Type (NS and WT only), Adjusting for	
	Study Area, Habitat, and Canopy Closure for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher	
	Microclimate Data along the Virgin and Lower Colorado River Regions, June–August,	
		140
7.13.	Correlations (R) among response variables for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher	
	microclimate data along the Virgin and lower Colorado River regions, June–August,	
	2004	141

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), listed as federally endangered in 1995, breeds in dense, mesic riparian habitats at scattered, isolated sites in New Mexico, Arizona, southern California, southern Nevada, southern Utah, southwestern Colorado, and, at least historically, extreme northwestern Mexico. Historical breeding records and museum collections indicate a sizable population of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers may have existed along the extreme southern stretches of the lower Colorado River region. Factors contributing to the decline of flycatchers on the breeding grounds include loss, degradation, and/or fragmentation of riparian habitat; invasion by nonnative plants; and brood parasitism by Brownheaded Cowbirds (*Molothrus ater*).

Willow flycatcher studies have been conducted along the Virgin and lower Colorado Rivers and tributaries annually since 1996, in compliance with requirements set forth by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding U.S. Bureau of Reclamation routine operations and maintenance along the lower Colorado River. From 1997 to 2003, breeding populations of Southwestern Willow Flycatchers were documented along the Virgin and lower Colorado Rivers and tributaries at seven study areas from Mesquite, Nevada, south to the Bill Williams River in Arizona. Willow flycatchers also have been detected during the breeding season at several sites along the Colorado River south of the Bill Williams River to the Mexico border, with over 200 detections recorded in 2003. Behavioral observations and timing of detections strongly suggest this section of the river corridor is a major flyway for migrant willow flycatchers in spring. The degree to which Southwestern Willow Flycatchers use this riparian corridor is unknown and requires further study.

SWCA Environmental Consultants was contracted by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation to continue surveys, monitoring, and demographic and ecological studies of the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher in suitable and/or historical riparian and wetland habitats throughout the Virgin and lower Colorado River regions in 2004. We completed presence/absence surveys and site descriptions at 92 pre-selected sites in 15 study areas from the Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Nevada, south to Yuma, Arizona. We also conducted intensive life history studies at 4 of the 15 areas: Pahranagat NWR, Mesquite, and Mormon Mesa, Nevada, and Topock Marsh, Arizona. At these life history study areas, we monitored willow flycatcher nests to document predation and brood parasitism rates and nesting success; color-banded and resighted as many willow flycatchers as possible to determine the breeding status of territorial flycatchers and document movement and recruitment; measured characteristics of vegetation and microclimate at nest sites and at unused sites to assess factors important in nest-site selection; and implemented trapping and removal of Brown-headed Cowbirds to evaluate the effects of trapping on nest brood parasitism and flycatcher nest success.

We used recorded broadcasts of willow flycatcher song and calls to elicit responses from willow flycatchers at 92 sites, ranging in size from 1 to 92 ha, along the Virgin and lower Colorado Rivers and tributaries between 15 May and 25 July 2004, following a 10-survey protocol. We detected willow flycatchers on at least one occasion at 72 of these sites. Resident, breeding flycatchers were detected at 17 sites within the following six study areas: Pahranagat NWR, Littlefield, Mesquite, Mormon Mesa, Grand Canyon, and Topock Marsh. South of Bill Williams,

over 600 willow flycatchers were recorded at 35 of the 37 sites between 15 May and 24 June, with a single detection recorded on 23 July. Monitoring results at these sites suggest these flycatchers were not resident, breeding individuals and were most likely migrants.

We used targeted mist net and passive netting techniques to capture and uniquely color-band adult and fledgling willow flycatchers at the four life history study areas and at all survey sites where resident willow flycatchers were detected. Nestlings were banded between 8 and 10 days of age. We banded each adult and fledged willow flycatcher with a single anodized (colored), numbered U.S. federal aluminum band on one leg and one colored aluminum band on the other. Nestlings were banded with a single anodized numbered federal band, uniquely identifying it as a returning nestling in the event it returns in a subsequent year. We used binoculars to determine the identity of previously color-banded flycatchers by observing, from a distance, the unique color combination on its legs.

At the four life history study areas and at Littlefield, Muddy River, Grand Canyon, and Bill Williams (all monitoring sites), we color-banded a total of 57 new adult flycatchers; recaptured 23 individuals banded in previous years, including 7 flycatchers banded as juveniles in 2003; and resighted an additional 30 previously banded flycatchers. Of the resighted flycatchers, 24 could be identified to individual, including 2 that had been banded as juveniles in 2003. Of the resighted flycatchers that could not be identified to individual, two had been banded as juveniles in 2003. We banded 81 nestlings from 35 nests. In addition, we recaptured three fledglings that had been banded as nestlings, and captured five previously unbanded fledglings.

Color-banding effort in 2004 was expanded in Nevada to include Key Pittman Wildlife Management Area and lands along the Virgin River near Mesquite. Field personnel from unrelated willow flycatcher projects were surveying and/or monitoring flycatchers in these areas and provided us with the locations of nests and territorial flycatchers. Banding was conducted opportunistically at both areas. At Key Pittman, we captured and color-banded two new adults, recaptured one individual banded as a nestling in 2003, and banded six nestlings from three nests. Along the Virgin River at Mesquite, we captured and color-banded four new adults and recaptured two adult flycatchers. One of the recaptured adults had been banded as a nestling in 2003, and the other had been banded as a nestling in 2002 and not detected in 2003. We also banded two nestlings along the Virgin River.

As in 2003, we conducted color-banding studies at sites along the Gila River and the Colorado River from Martinez Lake south to the Mexico border from 10 to 30 June to better determine flycatcher residency, breeding status, and movement patterns in this area. Of 40 willow flycatcher detections, we captured and color-banded four adults at one site. All four individuals were determined to be second-year birds (hatched in 2003). Flycatcher behavioral observations strongly suggest these individuals were northbound migrants.

At the four life history study areas and at Littlefield, Muddy River, Grand Canyon, and Bill Williams we recorded a total of 81 territories. Of these, 64 (79%) consisted of paired flycatchers and 17 (21%) consisted of unpaired individuals. Eight breeding males were polygynous, each being paired with two females.

Of the 54 adult willow flycatchers identified to individual in 2003, 28 (52%) returned in 2004; all returned to the same study area. At the same area where it was originally banded, we detected one individual banded as an adult in 2000 and not detected in 2003. No adult within-season movements were recorded in 2004.

Of 61 juveniles banded in 2003 that were known to have fledged, 13 (21%) were detected in 2004. Of these, 11 were identified to individual: 9 at monitoring sites and 2 at the two banding areas added in 2004. Of the 11 returning juveniles of known identity, 6 (55%) were detected at a different study area than where originally banded, and 5 (45%) were detected at the same study area. Of eight individuals banded as juveniles in 2002 or earlier and not detected in 2003, two (25%) were detected at study areas other than where they were originally banded, and six (75%) were detected at the same study area. The median dispersal distance for all returning juvenile flycatchers exhibiting between-year movements in 2004 was 58 km. Juvenile dispersal is an important population variable in terms of both gene flow and the establishment of new flycatcher populations.

We documented a total of 91 willow flycatcher nesting attempts at the four life history study areas, Littlefield, and Grand Canyon, 81 (89%) of which contained eggs and were used in calculating nest success and productivity. Thirty-eight (47%) nests were successful and fledged young; 41 (51%) failed; and two were of undetermined fate. Mayfield survival probability at the four life history study areas and Littlefield ranged from 0.24 to 0.73 and was 0.44 for all sites combined; survival probabilities were not calculated for the Grand Canyon nesting attempts because nest fate was undetermined. Depredation was the major cause of nest failure at all sites, accounting for 47% of all failed nests and 59% of nests that failed after flycatcher eggs were laid.

Twenty-one of the 81 nests (26%) that contained flycatcher eggs were brood parasitized by Brown-headed Cowbirds. The effect of parasitism on nest fate was variable, but parasitism reduced the likelihood that a nest that contained flycatcher eggs would fledge flycatcher young. Three nests parasitized prior to flycatcher eggs being laid were subsequently abandoned, and we observed six nests in which the disappearance of flycatcher eggs coincided with a parasitism event, with cowbirds suspected of ejecting the eggs. Therefore, an undetermined number of depredation events on eggs and nestlings were probably attributable to cowbirds. Cowbird impacts to flycatcher populations may be more severe than parasitism rates alone suggest, and baseline nesting studies in conjunction with cowbird control experiments need to be continued to determine whether brood parasitism presents a serious problem for populations at the life history study areas.

For the second consecutive year, we used a variation of the Australian crow trap to capture and remove Brown-headed Cowbirds at each of the four life history study areas. Cowbird traps were deployed at least two weeks prior to the initiation of flycatcher nesting (mid-May) and continually operated until all nests were past the egg stage (mid-August). We captured and removed 77, 21, 25, and 45 Brown-headed Cowbirds at Pahranagat, Mesquite, Mormon Mesa, and Topock, respectively. Similar to 2003 results, variability in trapping success among sites did not appear to be directly related to the total number of traps per site or relative abundance of cowbirds at each site. Landscape characteristics of the sites and/or trap locations may have affected capture success.

Compared to data collected in 1997–2002, preliminary 2004 data indicate a significant decline in parasitism rate at Pahranagat since the implementation of trapping, with no brood parasitism documented in 2003 or 2004. There was no change in parasitism rates at Mesquite, Mormon Mesa, or Topock. At Mesquite, cowbird brood parasitism rates have been high (16 to 60%) since flycatcher monitoring began in 1997, with a relatively large number of nest failures directly attributed to cowbirds. Extensive human development immediately adjacent to the study area has greatly enhanced cowbird habitat. Further study is needed to investigate whether a more aggressive cowbird removal program is warranted at Mesquite.

We gathered data on vegetation and habitat characteristics at 79 nest plots and 75 non-use plots within the four life history study areas. To obtain an overall description of entire habitat blocks at each life history study area, we gathered data at an additional 37 randomly selected plots. The life history study areas vary in vegetation age, structure, and species composition. The habitat block at Pahranagat consists of mature, native, large-diameter trees with little shrub and sapling understory. The habitat blocks at Mesquite, Mormon Mesa and Topock are composed primarily of very dense stands of both mixed-native (Mesquite and Mormon Mesa) and exotic (Topock) woody vegetation.

We found willow flycatchers nesting in a diverse array of riparian habitats. Willow flycatcher nest heights ranged from 1.1 to 10.0 m (mean = 3.2 m, SE = 0.2). Flycatchers placed 63% of all nests in tamarisk (*Tamarix* sp.), 12% in coyote willow (*Salix exigua*), 20% in Goodding willow (*Salix gooddingii*), and 5% in snags. Differences in nest-site characteristics between study areas were reflective of the differences in overall habitat characteristics of the sites. Nest sites consistently differed from non-use sites in several variables. We found greater canopy closure at nest sites than at non-use sites, and three of the four life history study areas (Mesquite, Mormon Mesa, and Topock) had taller canopy height at nest sites than at non-use sites. At all study areas, vertical foliage density was greatest at and immediately above mean nest height. Breeding riparian birds in the desert Southwest are exposed to extreme environmental conditions, and dense vegetation at the nest may be needed to provide a more suitable microclimate for raising offspring.

We collected microclimate data simultaneously at nest, within-territory, and non-use sites at the four life history study areas between May and July 2004. The microclimate assessment indicated that Southwestern Willow Flycatchers placed their nests in habitats that were cooler, exhibited smaller temperature fluctuations, were more humid, and had higher soil moisture than non-use sites. To a lesser extent, flycatchers also placed nests within their territories at sites exhibiting cooler temperatures and smaller temperature fluctuations.