

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463



2006 OCT 11 A 11: 51

AGENDA ITEM

For Meeting of: 10-18-06

October 11, 2006

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Commission

FROM:

Lawrence H. Norton Hu (by HA)

General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith Accounted Associate General Counsel

J. Duane Pugh Acting Assistant General Counsel

Anthony Buckley

Attorney

Subject:

Draft AO 2006-27

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for October 18, 2006.

Attachment

1 2	ADVISORY OPINION 2006-27
3	Ms. Cynthia Czuchaj DRAFT
4 5	Prime Choice Entertainment 1845 S. El Molino
6	San Marino, CA 91108
7	
8	Dear Ms. Czuchaj:
9	We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Prime Choice
10	Entertainment ("Prime Choice") concerning the application of the Federal Election
11	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Commission regulations to Prime
12	Choice's planned website. Prime Choice plans to offer website viewers an opportunity to
13	view commercials sponsored by certain corporations. In exchange for the viewers
14	answering questions about commercials, Prime Choice would contribute funds from these
15	corporations to political party committees as directed by the viewers.
16	The Commission concludes that Prime Choice may not carry out its plan without
17	violating the Act and Commission regulations.
18	Background
19	The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letters received on
20	July 31 and August 22, 2006.
21	Prime Choice is a for-profit corporation that would operate a website located at
22	http://www.yourtimecounts.org. This website would operate as follows: An individual
23	accessing the website would choose a commercial to view on that website and either a
24	national political party committee or a nonprofit organization to receive a contribution or
25	donation. The individual will not be permitted to receive any payment under any
26	circumstances. After the individual views the commercial and answers a question, the
27	commercial's corporate sponsor will forward a 25-cent payment to Prime Choice. Prime

- 1 Choice will keep ten percent of the payment as its share, and forward the remaining 90
- 2 percent to the political committee or other recipient selected by the individual.

Ouestion Presented

3

6

- 4 May Prime Choice forward funds it receives from corporations to national
- 5 political party committees?

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

- 7 No, Prime Choice may not forward any funds it receives from corporations to
- 8 national political party committees.
- 9 The Act provides that, "[a] national committee of a political party (including a
- national congressional campaign committee of a political party) may not solicit, receive,
- or direct to another person a contribution, donation, or transfer of funds or any other thing
- of value, or spend any funds, that are not subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and
- reporting requirements of this Act." 2 U.S.C. 441i(a)(1).
- The Act specifically prohibits any corporation from making a contribution or
- expenditure in connection with any Federal election. See 2 U.S.C. 441b(a). A
- 16 "contribution" includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or
- anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for
- 18 Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i); see also 2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(2). "Anything of
- value" is considered to include all in-kind contributions, including the provision of goods
- or services without charge. 11 CFR 100.52(d)(1).
- A "commercial vendor" is any person "providing goods or services to a candidate
- or political committee whose usual and normal business involves the sale, rental, lease or
- provision of those goods or services." 11 CFR 116.1(c). Commission regulations permit

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- a commercial vendor to provide goods or services to political committees in the ordinary 1 course of business and at the usual and normal charge. See 11 CFR 114.2(f)(1).
- A business model such as yours is permissible only under certain conditions. 3
- First, to avoid prohibited corporate contributions, any funds given to a political 4
- committee must be the personal funds of individuals, not corporate funds. See Advisory 5
- Opinions 2006-8 (Brooks), 2004-19 (Dollar Vote), 2003-16 (Providian National Bank), 6
- 7 and 2002-7 (Careau).
 - Your proposal would not result in personal funds of individuals being used to make contributions. Your website viewers would not have the option of keeping the payments for viewing ads for themselves. Without that option, the funds would remain the corporate sponsors' funds and would never become the personal funds of the individuals. Providing these funds to national party committees would result in corporate contributions in violation of 2 U.S.C. 441b.

Second, if a corporation such as Prime Choice were to operate as a commercial vendor, it must meet three conditions to avoid an impermissible corporate contribution. First, the commercial vendor must be compensated for the costs it incurs in processing and forwarding contributions to the political committees. Specifically, either individual contributors or the recipient political committees must reimburse the commercial vendor for its costs. Second, the funds from individuals must be placed in a separate merchant account and not commingled with corporate treasury funds. Third, contributors must attest to the legality of their contributions and provide certain identifying information, which the commercial vendor must then forward to the recipient political committees. See Advisory Opinions 2006-8 (Brooks), 2004-19 (DollarVote), and 2002-7 (Careau).

1	Your proposed business model does not contemplate either the contributors or the
2	recipient political committees reimbursing Prime Choice for its transmittal costs. Nor
3	would it prevent the commingling of contributions with Prime Choice's corporate funds.
4	Finally, under your proposal, Prime Choice would not collect or provide information to
5	the recipient national party committees about individual contributors to allow those
6	committees to meet their reporting obligations under the Act.
7	Thus, Prime Choice's business model fails to take any of the steps necessary to
8	act as a commercial vendor in its dealings with the national party committees. As a
9	result, if Prime Choice were to proceed, forwarding any funds to these political
10	committees would result in impermissible corporate contributions by Prime Choice to
11	these committees. ¹
12	This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
13	Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
14	request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any
15	of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a
16	conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that
17	conclusion as support for its proposed activity.
18	Sincerely,
19 20	Michael E. Toner Chairman
21	Enclosures (Advisory Opinions 2006-8, 2004-19, 2003-16 and 2002-7)

¹ Because Prime Choice would not contribute to candidates, the Commission's conduit regulations at 11 CFR 110.6 are not applicable to your proposal.