

**LOW-VOC, LOW TOXICITY CLEANUP SOLVENTS FOR SCREEN
PRINTING: SAFER ALTERNATIVES**

Prepared for:
South Coast Air Quality Management District
Under Contract # 03133

Prepared by:
Mike Morris and Katy Wolf
Institute for Research and Technical Assistance

May 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Disclaimer	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Executive Summary	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
I. Introduction and Background	1
Screen Printing.....	2
Participating Facilities	3
Project Approach	3
Current Cleanup Solvents	4
Alternative Cleanup Materials	5
Water-Based Cleaners	5
Exempt Solvents	5
Methyl Esters	6
Cleaner Performance	6
Cost Analysis	7
Report Organization	7
II. Analysis of the Alternative Cleaning Agents	8
Owens-Illinois	8
Southern California Screen Printing	10
Com-Graf, Inc.	12

Serendipity	13
Oberthur Card Systems	14
Texollini	15
Hino Designs	17
Quickdraw	19
LCA Promotions Inc.	21
Totally Ink	25
Applied Pressure, Inc.	26
Powerhouse Screen Printing, Inc.	28
 III. Project Results and Conclusions	32
 Appendix A Material Safety Data Sheets for Safer Alternative Products	34
Soy Gold 2000	35
Autowash #3	38
Mirachem NP 2520	42
Acetone	47
VM&P	54
EEP	60
Brulin GD 1990	67
Ardrox 405-V	70
White Oil	76
Soy Gold 2500	84
Kyzen 6521M	87

Appendix B Stand Alone Case Studies for Owens-Illinois, Texollini and Powerhouse	91
---	----

LIST OF TABLES

E-1.	Participating Company Description and Successful Safer and Low-VOC Alternatives	iv
1-1.	Facilities Participating in Project	3
2-1.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Owens-Illinois	10
2-2.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Southern California Screen Printing	12
2-3.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Com-Graf	13
2-4.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Serendipity	13
2-5.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Oberthur	15
2-6.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Texollini	17
2-7.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Hino	19
2-8.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Quickdraw	21
2-9.	Annualized Cost Comparison for LCA Promotions	24
2-10.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Totally Ink	26
2-11.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Applied Pressure (Six Week Changeout)	28
2-12.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Applied Pressure (Eight Week Changeout) ...	28
2-13.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Powerhouse (Twelve Week Changeout)	30
2-14.	Annualized Cost Comparison for Powerhouse (Eighteen Week Changeout)	31
3-1.	Successful Safer and Low-VOC Alternatives	32

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1.	Printing Process at Owens-Illinois	9
Figure 2-2.	Cleaning Bay at Southern California Screen Printing	11
Figure 2-3.	Automated Printing Press at Oberthur	14
Figure 2-4.	Printing Operation at Texollini	16
Figure 2-5.	Automated Press at Quickdraw	20
Figure 2-6.	Parts Cleaner at LCA Promotions	22
Figure 2-7.	Automated Press at Totally Ink	25
Figure 2-8.	Automated Press at Powerhouse	29