

DS02: A New Dosimetry System for A-Bomb Survivor Studies

A major reassessment of the system used at the Radiation Effects Research Foundation (RERF), Japan to determine radiation doses for atomic-bomb survivors has resolved the long-standing problems with the system that has been in place since 1986, and has defined the parameters for a replacement dosimetry system for survivor dose calculation. Over the past two years, a Joint U.S.-Japan Working Group, chaired by Dr. Robert Young and Dr. Hiromi Hasai, has undertaken a comprehensive evaluation of the calculations that comprise the RERF dosimetry system and the measurements that are used to verify these calculations. At a meeting in Tokyo on March 14-15, 2003, a Joint U.S.-Japanese Senior Review Group, chaired by Dr. Warren Sinclair and Dr. Wataru Mori, approved DS02 (Dosimetry System 2002), which was developed by the Joint Working Group. *The scientific findings from the report of the Joint Senior Review Group are attached.*

The dosimetry reassessment was mandated and supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare (MHLW), which are jointly responsible for the RERF, in an effort to resolve the apparent discrepancy between neutron activation calculated by the existing DS86 system and as measured in material exposed to neutrons at the time of the bombing. During the course of this reassessment, the working groups, with members and associates from American, German and Japanese universities and national laboratories, have recomputed all aspects of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki radiation calculations, made new fast-neutron and low-background thermal-neutron measurements, upgraded the calculation of the radiation shielding provided by terrain and large buildings, and conducted a comprehensive reassessment of all radiation measurements in activated material. The new calculations produced during this reassessment agree with both gamma and neutron measurements out to distances from the detonations at which sample measurements become indistinguishable from background, effectively resolving the long-standing neutron dose discrepancy. The calculations that produce this agreement are the basis for the new RERF dosimetry system, DS02.

The RERF is supported by the DOE Office of Health Studies and the dosimetry reassessment effort in the U.S. was funded both by the DOE Office Biological and Environmental Research (SC-72) and EH-6. The current reassessment was made possible largely by the development of techniques such as accelerator mass spectrometry for the measurement of trace-amounts of neutron activation remaining from 1945, and by the availability of massively-parallel computing capacity that permits three-dimensional calculation of a problem as vast and complex as an atomic detonation.

The new calculations and measurements produced during this reassessment have confirmed the yield and epicenter for the Nagasaki detonation while refining both these values for Hiroshima. Current measurements and calculations confirm a 21-kiloton-yield for the Nagasaki bomb and a burst point to within 2 meters of previous assessments. In Hiroshima, the estimated yield has been increased from 15 kilotons to 16 kilotons and the epicenter has been repositioned 20 meters higher and 15 meters to the west. While supercomputing technology made recalculation of the nuclear explosions possible, application of current geographic information systems technology has reconciled the exact hypocenter locations on new, more accurate maps with World War II vintage maps. The new dosimetry system will be implemented at RERF as quickly as possible. (*The current DS86 report is available on the RERF website: <http://www.rerf.or.jp/shared/ds86/ds86a.html> <http://www.rerf.or.jp/shared/ds86/ds86b.html>*). Although significant changes in risk estimates are not expected, the improved calculation and refined shielding considerations should reduce the uncertainties and provide much confidence in the validity of risk assessments.

DOE is grateful to the following for their work on this project:

U.S. members of the Joint Senior Review Group:

Warren K. Sinclair, President Emeritus, National Council on Radiation Protection

Harold L. Beck, Environmental Measurements Laboratory (retired)

Richard E. Faw, Kansas State University (retired)

Nolan E. Hertel, Georgia Institute of Technology

U.S. members of the Joint Working Group:

Robert W. Young, Chairman, Defense Nuclear Agency (retired)

George D. Kerr, Coordinator, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (retired)

Robert F. Christy, Professor Emeritus, California Institute of Technology

Harry M. Cullings, Radiation Effects Research Foundation

Stephen D. Egbert, Science Applications International Corporation

Alexandra R. Heath, Los Alamos National Laboratory

Dean C. Kaul, Science Applications International Corporation

Robert T. Santoro, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Tore Straume, University of Utah

Paul P. Whalen, Los Alamos National Laboratory

Stephen W. White, Los Alamos National Laboratory

Contributing Associates:

Joe V. Pace, John M. Barnes, Yousry Y. Azmy, Charles O. Slater, Richard A Lillie,

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Alfredo A. Marchetti, Jeffrey E. McAninch, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

James A. Roberts, Science Applications International Corporation

Werner Ruehm, Ludwig Maximilians Universitaet, Munich

Gunther Korschinek, Technical University of Munich

DOE Liaison Officers:

Joseph F. Weiss, Office of Environment, Safety and Health

David G. Thomassen, Office of Science

Scientific Findings of the Joint Senior Review Group

I - INTRODUCTION

The draft report of the Working Groups of Japan and the U.S. on DS02 consists of

- (1) Descriptions of the proposed new dose system itself; i.e. the revised calculations of the source terms and of the fluence of neutrons and gamma rays released as a function of energy, angle, height above ground, and distance from the epicenter, together with some new revised estimates of shielding of individuals at various locations.
- (2) Descriptions of older and recent new measurements of gamma rays by thermoluminescence methods (TLD) and of neutron doses inferred from the activation of materials exposed at known distances from the hypocenter by several methods including those of the radionuclides ^{152}Eu , ^{60}Co , ^{36}Cl , ^{63}Ni and ^{32}P . These measurements are used to validate the new DS02 gamma ray and neutron fluence calculations.

II - DS02 DOSIMETRY SYSTEM

The main features of the new dosimetry system DS02 are:

1. - Calculations.

Energy spectra and angular distributions of neutrons and gamma rays issuing from the Hiroshima and Nagasaki weapons have been calculated using the most recent re-evaluated cross-section data and 3-dimensional codes more powerful than the 2-dimensional codes used in DS86. These new calculations resulted in only minor changes from DS86, notably increases in the low-energy and high-energy tails of the spectra. A very accurate simulation of the effect of the tilt of the weapon on the close-in neutron fluence is now possible. Revised calculations of the delayed gamma rays and neutrons from the fireball were also carried out using data not available at the time DS86 was published.

Both discrete ordinate and Monte Carlo methods were used to re-evaluate the air over ground fluence as a function of distance from the epicenter, the neutrons and gamma rays being grouped into a much larger number of energy bins compared to the calculations in DS86, thus providing much improved energy and angular resolution. The transport calculations of these radiations through air over ground were performed for the weather conditions at the time of the explosion, again using improved cross sections compared to those used in DS86. The effects of local variations in soil moisture and ground composition were also investigated. Although the new DS02 is based on the discrete ordinates calculations, the Monte Carlo calculations by independent investigators offer a powerful verification of the new air over ground fluences.

2. - Hiroshima Parameters.

Based on detailed reviews of the appropriate evidence by the Working Groups, new parameters have been adopted for some of the key features of the explosion at Hiroshima. These included adjustment of the yield of the Hiroshima weapon to 16 kt (from 15 kt) the height of the burst (HOB) for the Hiroshima weapon to 600 m (from 580 m) and the adjustment of the hypocenter to a position 15 m west of the former position.

3. - Gamma ray and neutron fluences.

New air over ground calculations together with newly revised fluence to dose factors resulted in re-calculated estimates of the free-in-air doses from the Hiroshima weapon of about 8- 10% average increase over DS86 in the total gamma-ray doses, and about 10% increase in the average neutron doses but approximately the same variation of dose with distance from the hypocenter as in DS86. Increasing the height of burst and incorporating new information on exact locations of sites relative to the epicenter did, however, improve the agreement with measurements near the hypocenter and with the slope of measurement data vs. distance.

4. - Organ or tissue doses.

The gamma ray and neutron doses to organs or tissues in the body of an individual survivor are less than free in air tissue kermas because of structural shielding of that survivor and shielding by his or her body tissues. Adjoint methods are used as in DS86 to determine revised individual tissue and organ doses. To present this process in manageable form, transmission factors applied to each individual circumstance have been established for both gamma rays and neutrons. The tissue kerma factors used in DS86 were re-evaluated for this study and will be incorporated into the new DS02 system.

5. - Gamma ray doses dominate.

The gamma rays account for the preponderance of absorbed radiation doses to the survivors, the neutron absorbed dose at most organ depths (and distances from the hypocenter) being about 1% of the gamma-ray absorbed dose at Hiroshima (Note that the equivalent dose from the neutrons depends on the appropriate RBE for the neutrons, e.g., RBE of 20 would yield an equivalent dose for the neutrons of perhaps 20% of the total equivalent dose. In future risk estimations the neutron contribution at Hiroshima, about 4 times that at Nagasaki, should be accounted for.) Because the new DS02 fluences do not differ by much from those in DS86, one would not expect large changes in organ dose estimates for individual survivors. However, improved estimates of shielding and survivor location may result in some changes in the organ doses to some survivors. These changes will be made as part of the installation of DS02.

6. - Nagasaki parameters.

Recalculations were also performed for the Nagasaki weapon using the same methods as for Hiroshima. In this case no change in the explosion parameters were deemed necessary - - the yield still at 21-kt, HOB 503-m, hypocenter unchanged. Nevertheless, as a result of the improved delayed source calculations and improved air-over-ground transport, the gamma ray doses increased by about 10-12% and the neutron dose decreased on average about 17% compared to DS86.

7. - Map Alignment and Referencing.

In DS86 survivor locations and sample collection sites were based on U.S. Army maps of the 1940's. Larger scale and more precise Japanese city plan maps have become available, offering a new template for map work at RERF. Geo-referencing each U.S. Army map into the new city plan maps has permitted the DS02 system to be based on and to employ much more accurate survivor and sampling locations, especially for Hiroshima.

8. - Shielding re-evaluations.

At Nagasaki, the factory workers' shielding was re-evaluated which lowered the earlier estimates of dose for that group of survivors. Other structural shielding estimates made in DS86 were also re-evaluated, remodeled and revised. Estimates of shielding are still very uncertain, however, due to lack of specific information regarding the shielding of some individuals. Similarly, some special shielding adjustments were made based on new model calculations for large terrain features, for example at Hiroshima for the survivors behind Hijiyama, where the hill shielded them from some of the radiation trajectories.

9. - Uncertainty analysis.

The DS02 will include a comprehensive uncertainty analysis evaluating all sources of systematic and random uncertainty. DS86 lacked such a study and the National Academy of Sciences senior committee in 1987 strongly supported inclusion of such an analysis in a future revision of the dosimetry system (NAS-NRC 1987).

Furthermore, although a thorough, critical study of the various important biological endpoints examined in the atomic-bomb survivors in recent years (e.g. chromosome aberrations and ESR analysis of doses in teeth) would be very useful, it has not been undertaken as part of the DS02 work. However, it is noted by the JSRG that the biological studies to date broadly confirm both the organ doses estimated in DS02 (see NAS-NRC 2001) and possibly the range of the uncertainties determined in this study (Working Group Report Chapter 12).

This uncertainty analysis indicates that the major contributor to the overall uncertainty in the dose to any particular individual is the error or uncertainty in the position and orientation of the individual at the time of the explosion and in the radiation attenuation provided by structures around the individual.

III - NEUTRON AND GAMMA-RAY MEASUREMENTS

The new DS02 calculated fluences are strongly supported by new or re-evaluated measurements. These comparisons are described in a number of chapters of the working group report. The results are summarized below.

1. - Gamma rays.

Gamma ray measurements made in thermoluminescent materials, such as roof tiles, etc. used in DS86 to confirm the gamma ray calculations, have increased in number and quality over the years and have been carefully reviewed in DS02. Agreement between these measurements and DS02 calculations is very good, better than for DS86.

2. - Thermal neutrons.

A wealth of measurements of materials activated by *thermal* neutrons from the explosion is available for comparison with DS02 calculations as compared with the rather few measurements on ^{152}Eu and ^{60}Co available originally at the time of DS86. These now include both new and older re-evaluated measurements from Japanese and American investigators on ^{152}Eu in roof tiles, granite pillars and rocks, ^{60}Co in steel in concrete reinforcements, and measurements of ^{36}Cl in granite (or concrete). Extensive ^{36}Cl measurements have been carried out in the U.S., in Japan and in Germany on samples from Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Previously reported (1990's) ^{36}Cl data have been re-evaluated and problems identified, which led to new and improved measurements.

3. - Fast neutrons.

Most importantly (because fast neutrons contribute directly to the neutron dose, while thermal neutrons do not and are also heavily influenced by local effects such as moisture) *fast* neutron activation of ^{63}Cu to ^{63}Ni (n, p) has recently been measured in samples from Hiroshima by accelerator mass spectrometry in both the U.S. and Germany and by measurements of the radioactivity of ^{63}Ni ($T_{1/2} = 100$ y) made in Japan. These new measurements are in striking agreement with DS02 calculations, although some questions about the composition of the measured fast neutron background remain. Older measurements of fast neutron activation of ^{32}S to ^{32}P (n, p) made soon after the explosion at Hiroshima have been re-evaluated and demonstrate agreement with calculation.

4. - Measurement uncertainties.

These neutron activation measurements, many made so long after the event, are intrinsically uncertain and subject to many, many experimental difficulties especially as the samples extend outward from the hypocenter and the signal in the sample becomes weaker and more difficult to distinguish from background signals and noise. Background features and measurements at great distances then become very important.

5. - New measurements.

However, some excellent new studies of activation have been made such as those of Komura on ^{152}Eu in the low background facility at Kanazawa University. A careful intercomparison for ^{152}Eu and ^{36}Cl measurements involving Japanese, US and German scientists using both new and re-evaluated data and appropriate background corrections showed good agreement. This provided strong confirmation of the new calculated DS02 fluences over a wide range of distances from the epicenter.

6. - Measurement and calculation.

Generally speaking and embracing the work of many investigators, Japanese, German and the American, the measurements of thermoluminescence and neutron activation taken as a whole agree very well with the DS02 calculations up to distances from the hypocenter where measurements become indistinguishable from background.

7. - Resolution of the neutron-dose anomaly.

Previous reports, including DS86, suggested that measured neutron fluences differed from calculations, being less close to the hypocenter and greater and increasing with distance at large distances from the hypocenter. Refinement of fluence calculations, especially those at short range influenced by height of burst at Hiroshima and at long range by reduced background and by more extensive background measurements have reconciled previously reported differences between calculations and measurements. The JSRG therefore is pleased to note and support the assertion that the neutron excess at large distances suspected after DS86, no longer exists.

IV - COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Joint Senior Review Group is impressed with the wide ranging and thorough DS02 study of the recalculated survivor dosimetry and finds it very comforting that the much more detailed calculations possible with today's more powerful computers using state-of-the-art codes and cross-sections resulted in only relatively small changes in the doses predicted by DS86 calculations. These changes, with correction for yield, height of burst and tilt of the weapon at Hiroshima now show improved agreement with measurements.

2. The measurement data now include new thermal neutron activation studies of ^{36}Cl to add to those of new and revised measurements of ^{152}Eu and ^{60}Co . These together with the new fast neutron activation studies of ^{63}Ni in ^{63}Cu are in remarkable agreement with calculation considering the difficulties involved in such measurements.

3. The JSRG notes that all the advisory recommendations of a recent U.S. NAS-NRC Panel reviewing DS86 (NAS-NRC, 2001) that are pertinent to DS02 have been carried out in the new work.

4. The JSRG is convinced the new DS02 system is distinctly superior to the present DS86 and state-of-the-art in all respects and that future changes in the fluence calculations in DS02 are unlikely to be of sufficient magnitude to warrant further revisions in the basic system for calculating doses to survivors by RERF.

5. The JSRG strongly recommends that the DS02 System be installed at RERF as soon as possible to provide improved survivor doses, replacing those presently based on DS86. The JSRG also recommends that (1) in DS02 the 40,000-history adjoint files for organ doses replace the 10,000-history files used in DS86, and (2) adjoint files for the 1st, 2nd, 3rd-trimester fetus be computed and incorporated into the DS02 system.

6. The Senior Group recommends that the report of the Joint Working Group be published promptly by RERF (RERF 2003) and widely disseminated. The JSRG also encourages the authors of the report to independently publish their work in the peer-reviewed literature.

7. The JSRG has based its conclusions and recommendations not on peer review of all the details in the reassessment but on its overall assessment of the information reported to it and in the presentations made by working group members.

8. The JSRG wishes to congratulate all those investigators who contributed to the successful work of DS02 and especially the leadership of Dr. Robert Young and Dr. Hiromi Hasai on what the group considers to be a fine achievement. DS02 is a major advance in the critically important dosimetry for survivors in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, and together with the health and mortality data, will provide a firmer basis for risk assessment of radiation effects than had been possible heretofore.

V - REFERENCES

- | | |
|--------------|--|
| NAS-NRC 1987 | An Assessment of the New Dosimetry for A-bomb survivors. |
| NAS-NRC 2001 | Status of the Dosimetry for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation (DS86).
http://books.nap.edu/books/0309075599/html/index.html |
| RERF 2003 | A new dosimetry for the atomic-bomb survivors, DS02. |