1	
2	
3	U.S. Climate Change Science Program
4	
5	
6	Synthesis and Assessment Product 1.3
7	J
8	Reanalysis of Historical Climate
9	Data for Key Atmospheric Features:
10	Implications for Attribution of
11	Causes of Observed Change
12	Causes of Observed Change
13	
14 15	Lead Agency:
16	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
17	National Occame and Aumospheric Administration
18	Contributing Agencies:
19	Department of Energy
20	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35 36	Note to Reviewers: This report has not yet undergone rigorous copy-editing and will do so prior to layout for publication

2	Table of Contents	
3	ABSTRACT	!
4	PREFACE	
5	P.1 OVERVIEW OF REPORT P.2 PRIMARY REPORT FOCI	
7	P.2.1 Reanalysis of Historical Climate Data for Key Atmospheric Features	
8	P.2.2 Attribution of the Causes of Climate Variations and Trends Over North America	
9	P.3 TREATMENT OF UNCERTAINTY	
10	P.4 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT	
11	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
12	ES.1 PRIMARY RESULTS AND FINDINGS	1 (
13	ES.1.1 Strengths and Limitations of Current Reanalysis Data Sets for Representing Key	13
14	Atmospheric Features (From Chapter 2)	10
15	ES.1.2 Attribution of the Causes of Climate Variations and Trends Over North America During	
16	the Modern Reanalysis Period (From Chapter 3)	
17	ES.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	
18	CHAPTER 1. FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS	
19	FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS	
20	1.1 REANALYSIS	
21	1.2 ATTRIBUTION	32
22	1.3 CONNECTIONS BETWEEN REANALYSIS AND ATTRIBUTION	
23	1.3.1 Medical Diagnosis	
24 25	1.3.2 Relationships in Climate Science	
25 26	CHAPTER 1 REFERENCES	
27	CHAPTER 2. RE-ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL CLIMATE DATA FOR KEY ATMOSPHERIC	
28	FEATURES	40
29	KEY FINDINGS	
30	2.1. WHAT IS A CLIMATE REANALYSIS, AND WHAT ROLE DOES REANALYSIS PLAY	
31	WITHIN A COMPREHENSIVE CLIMATE OBSERVING SYSTEM?	
32	2.1.1 Introduction	
33	2.1.2 What is a Climate Analysis?	
34 35	2.1.3 What is a Climate Reanalysis?2.1.4. What Role Does Reanalysis Play within a Climate Observing System?	58
36	2.1.4. What Role Does Reanalysis Flay within a Climate Observing System?	رد
37	VERACITY OF CLIMATE MODELS?	61
38	2.2.1 Introduction	
39	2.2.2 Assessing Systematic Errors	
40	2.2.3 Inferences about Climate Forcing	
41	2.2.4 Outlook	7.
12	2.3. WHAT IS THE CAPACITY OF CURRENT REANALYSES TO HELP US IDENTIFY AN	ND
43	UNDERSTAND MAJOR SEASONAL-TO-DECADAL CLIMATE VARIATIONS, INCLUDIN	
14	CHANGES IN THE FREQUENCY AND INTENSITY OF CLIMATE EXTREMES SUCH AS	
45	DROUGHTS?	
46 17	2.3.1. Climate Variability	
47 48	2.3.2 Reanalysis and Climate Variability	ð.
+0 49	CLIMATE TRENDS IN SURFACE TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION DERIVED	
50	FROM REANALYSES AND THOSE DERIVED FROM INDEPENDENT DATA?	Q′
51	2.4.1. Trend Comparisons: Reanalyses Versus Independent Measurements	

1	2.4.2. Factors Complicating the Calculation of Trends	. 104
2	2.4.3. Outlook	. 110
3	2.5 WHAT STEPS WOULD BE MOST USEFUL IN REDUCING SPURIOUS TRENDS AND)
4	OTHER MAJOR UNCERTAINTIES IN DESCRIBING THE PAST BEHAVIOR OF THE	
5	CLIMATE SYSTEM THROUGH REANALYSIS METHODS? SPECIFICALLY, WHAT	
6	CONTRIBUTIONS COULD BE MADE THROUGH IMPROVEMENTS IN DATA RECOVE	ERY
7	OR QUALITY CONTROL, MODELING, OR DATA ASSIMILATION TECHNIQUES?	
8	2.5.1 Instrument and Sampling Issues	
9	2.5.2 Modeling and Data Assimilation Issues	
$\hat{0}$	CHAPTER 2 REFERENCES	
1	APPENDIX 2.A DATA ASSIMILATION	
. 1		
2	CHAPTER 3. ATTRIBUTION OF THE CAUSES OF CLIMATE VARIATIONS AND TRENDS	
3	OVER NORTH AMERICA DURING THE MODERN REANALYSIS PERIOD	156
4	KEY FINDINGS	154
5		
_	INTRODUCTION	
6		
7	USED FOR ESTABLISHING ATTRIBUTION?	
8	3.1.1 What is Attribution?	. 163
9	3.1.2 How is Attribution Performed?	. 167
20	3.2 WHAT IS THE PRESENT UNDERSTANDING OF THE CAUSES FOR THE NORTH	
21	AMERICAN CLIMATE TRENDS IN ANNUAL TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION	
22	DURING THE REANALYSIS PERIOD?	
23	3.2.1 Summary of IPCC Fourth Assessment Report	
24	3.2.2 North American Annual Mean Temperature	. 188
25	3.3 WHAT IS THE PRESENT UNDERSTANDING OF THE CAUSES FOR THE SEASONAI	_
26	AND REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN UNITED STATES TEMPERATURE AND	
27	PRECIPITATION TRENDS DURING THE REANALYSIS PERIOD?	
28	3.3.1 Introduction	
29	3.3.2 Temperature Trends	
30	3.3.3 Precipitation Trends	. 222
31	3.4 WHAT IS THE NATURE AND CAUSE OF APPARENT RAPID CLIMATE SHIFTS,	
32	HAVING MATERIAL RELEVANCE TO NORTH AMERICA, OVER THE REANALYSIS	
33	PERIOD?	231
34	3.4.1 Introduction	. 231
35	3.4.2 Defining Rapid Climate Shifts	. 232
36	3.4.3 Mechanisms for Rapid Climate Shifts	. 233
37	3.4.4 Rapid Climate Shifts since 1950	
88	3.5 WHAT IS OUR PRESENT UNDERSTANDING OF THE CAUSES FOR HIGH-IMPACT	
39	DROUGHT EVENTS OVER NORTH AMERICA OVER THE REANALYSIS RECORD?	
10	3.5.1. Introduction	
11	3.5.2 Definition of Drought	
12	3.5.3 Drought Causes	
13	CHAPTER 3 REFERENCES	
14	APPENDIX 3.A	
15	DATA AND METHODS USED FOR ATTRIBUTION	
16	3.A.1 OBSERVATIONAL DATA	
17	3.A.2 CLIMATE MODEL SIMULATION DATA	
18	3.A.3 DATA ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT	
19	SUMMARY OF MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS	
50	4.1 ON THE NEED FOR A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO CLIMATE ANALYSIS AND	. 200
51	REANALYSIS	201
52	4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING FUTURE CLIMATE ANALYSES AND	. 291
53	REANALYSES	202
54	4.3 ON THE NEED FOR IMPROVED CLIMATE ATTRIBUTION	
, -	7.5 ON THE NEED FOR HYILKUYED CLIPIATE ATTRIBUTION	. 500

1	4.4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING CLIMATE ATT	TRIBUTION CAPABILITIES
2		
3	CHAPTER 4 REFERENCES	
4	GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS	324
5	GLOSSARY	
6	ACRONYMS	330

Abstract

1 2

3 This Climate Change Science Program Synthesis and Assessment Program (SAP) Report 4 addresses current capabilities to integrate observations of the climate system into a 5 consistent description of past and current conditions through the method of reanalysis. In 6 addition, it assesses present capabilities to attribute causes for climate variations and 7 trends over North America during the reanalysis period, which extends from the mid-8 twentieth century to the present. 9 10 This Report reviews the strengths and limitations of current atmospheric reanalysis 11 products for documenting and advancing knowledge of the causes and impacts of global-12 scale and regional-scale climate phenomena. It finds that reanalysis data play a crucial 13 role in a broad range of climate research problems, in particular those addressing the 14 circulation features and physical mechanisms that produce high-impact climate anomalies 15 such as droughts and floods. Reanalysis data also play a critical role in assessing the 16 ability of climate models to simulate the mean climate and its variations, and in 17 identifying fundamental errors in the physical processes that create climate model biases. 18 The Report finds that current reanalyses have a number of deficiencies that limit their 19 usefulness for climate research and applications. In particular, it highlights the limitations 20 imposed by the inhomogeneous nature of the input observations, and the deficiencies in 21 current climate models in simulating various aspects of the hydrological cycle. The 22 Report emphasizes that significant improvements are possible by developing new 23 methods to address observing system inhomogeneities, by developing estimates of the 24 reanalysis uncertainties, by improving our historical observational database, and by

developing integrated Earth system models and analysis systems that incorporate key

2 climate elements not included in atmospheric reanalyses to date.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The Report provides an assessment of current understanding of causes of observed North American climate variability and trends over the period 1951-2006, based on a synthesis of results from research studies, climate model simulations, reanalysis and observational data. For annual- and area-averaged surface temperatures over North America, more than half of the observed surface warming since 1951 is likely the result of increases in anthropogenic greenhouse gas forcing. However, anthropogenic greenhouse gas forcing alone is unlikely to be the main cause for regional and seasonal differences of surface temperature changes, such as the absence of a summertime warming trend over the Great Plains of the United States, and the absence of a warming trend in both winter and summer over portions of the southern United States. The regional and seasonal variations in temperature trends are related to the principal atmospheric flow patterns that affect North American climate and which are well captured in climate re-analyses. It is likely that variations in regional sea surface temperatures have played an important role in forcing these atmospheric flow patterns, although there is evidence that some flow changes are also due to anthropogenic forcing. In contrast to temperature, there is no discernible trend during this period in annual-average North American precipitation, although there is substantial interannual to decadal variability. Part of the observed interannual to decadal variability in precipitation appears to be related to observed regional variations of sea surface temperatures during this period.

Preface

/.

3

1

Convening Lead Author: Dr. Randall Dole, NOAA

4

7

9

10

5 A primary objective of the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) is to provide

6 the best possible scientific information to support public discussion and government and

private sector decision making on key climate-related issues. To help meet this objective,

8 the CCSP has identified 21 Synthesis and Assessment Products (SAPs) that address its

highest priority research, observational, and decision-support needs. This SAP Report

focuses on the topic of "Re-Analysis of Historical Climate Data for Key Atmospheric

11 Features: Implications for Attribution of Causes of Observed Change."

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

P.1 OVERVIEW OF REPORT

14 New climate observations are most informative when they can be put in the context of

15 what has occurred in the past. Are current conditions unusual, or have they been observed

frequently before? Are the current conditions part of a long-term trend, or more likely a

manifestation of climate variability that may be expected to reverse over months, seasons,

or years? Are similar or related changes occurring in other parts of the globe? What are

the processes and mechanisms that can explain current conditions, and how are they

similar to, or different from, what has occurred in the past?

21

22 The scientific methods of climate re-analysis (henceforth, reanalysis) and attribution are

central to addressing such questions. In brief, reanalysis is a method for integrating a

Do Not Cite or Quote 7 of 332 Public Review Draft

1 diverse array of observations together within a model of the climate system (or of one of 2 its components, such as the atmosphere, ocean, or land surface) to describe past climate 3 conditions over an extended time period, typically multiple decades. An important goal of 4 reanalysis is to provide comprehensive, consistent long-term climate data sets that are 5 reliable on hourly to decadal and longer time scales. Attribution is the process of 6 establishing the most likely cause (or causes) for an observed climate variation or change, 7 and generally involves the use of both observational data and model simulations. 8 9 Current reanalysis products provide a foundation for a broad range of weather and 10 climate research. As one measure of their extraordinary research impact, the initial 11 overview paper describing one of the first-generation reanalyses produced in the United 12 States, Kalnay et al. (1996), has received 5,300 literature citations as of early 2008, 13 making it the most widely cited paper in the geophysical sciences (ISI Web of 14 Knowledge). A follow-up paper five years later that included a small set of products 15 derived from the same reanalysis (Kistler et al., 2001) has already received nearly 750 16 citations. Beyond their research applications, reanalysis data are used in an increasing 17 range of commercial and business applications. Some examples include energy 18 (supply/demand analysis), assessing locations for wind power generation, agriculture, 19 water resource management, insurance and reinsurance (e.g., Parry et al., 2007, Chapter 20 17). 21 22 This Report addresses the strengths and limitations of current reanalysis products in 23 documenting, integrating, and advancing knowledge of the climate system. It also

Do Not Cite or Quote 8 of 332 Public Review Draft

assesses our ability to attribute causes for weather and climate variations and trends over 1 2 North America during the reanalysis period, and discusses the uses, limits and 3 opportunities of improvement of reanalysis data applied for this purpose. The Report is 4 intended to be of value to policymakers in assessing the present state of knowledge with 5 respect to our ability to describe and attribute causes of climate variations and change; for 6 users of reanalysis products in better understanding the strengths and limitations of these 7 products; and for science program managers in developing priorities for future observing, 8 modeling, and analysis systems required to advance national and international 9 capabilities in climate reanalysis and attribution. 10 11 Consistent with guidance provided by the Climate Change Science Program, this Report 12 is written primarily for the informed lay reader. For subject matter experts, more detailed 13 discussions are available through the original references cited herein. Because some 14 terms used in this Report will be new to non-specialists, a glossary and list of acronyms is 15 included at the end of this Report. 16 17 P.2 PRIMARY REPORT FOCI 18 This Report considers two general issues of broad interest, within which specific 19 questions are addressed. These are i) the reanalysis of historical climate data for key 20 atmospheric features, in particular, for past climate variations and trends, and ii) 21 attribution of the causes of climate variations and trends over North America during the 22 period from the mid-20th century to present. These topics are described in more detail 23 below.

Do Not Cite or Quote 9 of 332 Public Review Draft

1

2

- 3 The availability and usefulness of reanalysis data has led to many important scientific
- 4 advances, as well as a broad range of new applications. However, limitations of past and
- 5 current observations, models, and reanalysis methods have also contributed to
- 6 uncertainties in describing climate system behavior. Chapter 2 of the Report focuses on
- 7 the strengths and limitations of current reanalysis data for identifying and describing past
- 8 climate variations and trends. The "first-generation" climate reanalyses developed over
- 9 the past decade focused on reconstructing past atmospheric conditions from the second
- 10 half of the twentieth century to the present. Because of the greater maturity and more
- extensive use of these atmospheric reanalyses, they constitute the primary focus of this
- Report. However, efforts are now underway to create reanalyses for the ocean and land
- surface, and so emerging capabilities in these areas will also be briefly discussed.

14

- 15 The specific questions addressed in this Chapter are:
- What is a climate reanalysis, and what role does reanalysis play within a
- 17 comprehensive climate observing system?
- What can reanalysis tell us about climate forcing and the veracity of climate
- models?
- What is the capacity of current reanalyses to help us identify and understand
- 21 major seasonal-to-decadal climate variations, including changes in the frequency
- and intensity of climate extremes such as droughts?

 To what extent is there agreement or disagreement between climate trends in surface temperature and precipitation derived from reanalyses and those derived from independent data?

• What steps would be most useful in reducing spurious trends and other major uncertainties in describing the past behavior of the climate system through reanalysis methods? Specifically, what contributions could be made through improvements in data recovery or quality control, modeling, or data assimilation techniques?

This part of the Report should prove useful for science program managers in developing priorities to reduce uncertainties and improve capabilities to describe past and ongoing climate variability and change through reanalysis methods. The assessment of capabilities and limitations of current reanalysis products should also be of value to users of reanalysis products.

P.2.2 Attribution of the Causes of Climate Variations and Trends Over North

America

Chapter 3 discusses progress and limits in our understanding of the causes of climate variations and trends over the North American region from the mid-twentieth century to the present, the time period encompassed by current atmospheric reanalysis products. It also addresses strengths and limitations of reanalysis products in supporting research to attribute the causes of climate variations and trends over North America during this time period. The specific questions considered in this Section are:

Do Not Cite or Quote 11 of 332 Public Review Draft

• What is climate attribution, and what are the scientific methods used for

1

2	establishing attribution?		
3	What is the present understanding of the causes for North American climate		
4	trends in annual temperature and precipitation during the reanalysis record?		
5	• What is the present understanding of causes for seasonal and regional variations		
6	in United States temperature and precipitation trends over the reanalysis record?		
7	• What is the nature and cause of apparent rapid climate shifts, having material		
8	relevance to North America, over the reanalysis record?		
9	• What is our present understanding of the causes for high-impact drought events		
10	over North America over the reanalysis record?		
11			
12	The primary audience for this Section is policymakers, who will have an improved basis		
13	for ascertaining the present state-of-knowledge and key remaining uncertainties in		
14	attributing the causes of major climate variations and trends over North America and the		
15	United States during the past half-century. Resource managers and other decision makers,		
16	as well as the general public, may also benefit from a report assessing our present		
17	understanding of the causes of past climate variations and trends, especially those events		
18	that have high societal, economic, or environmental impacts, such as major droughts.		
19			
20	The concluding Chapter of this Report (Chapter 4) discusses steps needed to improve		
21	national capabilities in reanalysis and attribution in order to better address key issues in		
22	climate science and to increase the value of such products for applications and decision		
23	making. This Chapter may be of particular interest to scientists and research program		

- 1 managers who are engaged in efforts to advance national and international capabilities in
- 2 climate reanalysis and attribution.

3

4 P.3 TREATMENT OF UNCERTAINTY

- 5 In this Report, terms used to indicate the assessed likelihood of an outcome are consistent
- 6 with those used in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth
- 7 Assessment Report (AR4) (IPCC, 2007). This terminology is summarized in Table P.1:

8

Table P.1 IPCC AR4 terminology - likelihood of outcome.

Likelihood Terminology	Likelihood of occurrence/outcome
Virtually Certain	> 99% probability
Extremely Likely	> 95% probability
Very Likely	> 90% probability
Likely	> 66% probability
More Likely than Not	> 50% probability
About as Likely as Not	33% to 66% probability
Unlikely	< 33% probability
Very Unlikely	< 10% probability
Extremely Unlikely	< 5% probability
Exceptionally Unlikely	< 1% probability

10

- 11 Terms denoting levels of confidence on findings are also consistent with AR4 usage, as
- 12 specified in Table P.2:

13 14

Table P.2 IPCC AR4 terminology - degree of confidence.

Terminology	Degree of confidence in being correct
Very High Confidence	At least 9 out of 10 change of being correct
High Confidence	About 8 out of 10 chance
Medium Confidence	About 5 out of 10 chance
Low Confidence	About 2 out of 10 chance
Very Low Confidence	Less than 1 out of 10 chance

15

16

P.4 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT

- 17 The time period considered in this Report for describing and attributing the causes of
- climate variations and trends is limited to that of present-day reanalysis records, which

Do Not Cite or Quote 13 of 332 Public Review Draft

1

extend from approximately 1950 to the present. As discussed in the concluding Chapter, 2 an effort is now underway to extend reanalysis data back to at least the latter part of the 3 19th century. While initial results appear promising, this extended reanalysis project is 4 not yet completed and so it is premature to assess results of this effort within this Report. 5 6 As with any report of this type, the findings described here provide a snapshot of the 7 state-of-science at a given time; in this case, as of mid-2007. The fields of climate 8 analysis, reanalysis, and attribution are cutting edge areas of climate research, with new 9 results being obtained every month. Hence, within the next few years new results are 10 likely to appear that will supersede some of this Report's findings; for example, with 11 respect to the quality, types and lengths of reanalysis records that are available. 12 13 Finally, in preparing this Report, its scope was considered in light of other ongoing 14 assessments, especially the recently completed IPCC AR4 report and other synthesis and 15 assessment reports being developed within the Climate Change Science Program. While 16 it is inevitable, and perhaps even desirable, that there be some overlap with these other 17 assessments, we have attempted to minimize duplication and to focus on issues of special 18 relevance to the intended audience. Thus, while the IPCC AR4 Working Group I report 19 (Solomon et al., 2007) devotes a chapter to understanding and attributing climate change 20 (Hegerl et al., 2007), that report primarily emphasizes changes at global to continental 21 scales, whereas in this Report the focus is on the United States/North American sector 22 and considers regional climate variations and trends of specific interest to the United 23 States public and decision makers.

PREFAC	CE REF	EREN	JCES.

1

2	Hegerl, G.C., F.W. Zwiers, P. Braconnot, N.P. Gillett, Y. Luo, J. Marengo, N. Nicholls,
3	J.E. Penner, P.A. Stott, 2007: Understanding and Attributing Climate Change.
4	Chapter 9 in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
5	Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
6	on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis,
7	K.B. Averyt, M.Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
8	Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 663-745.
9	IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2007: Climate Change 2007: The
10	Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment
11	Report (AR4) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D.
12	Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller
13	(eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, 996 pp.
14	Available at http://www.ipcc.ch
15	Kalnay, E., M. Kanamitsu, R. Kistler, W. Collins, D. Deaven, L. Gandin, M. Iredell, S.
16	Saha, G. White, J. Woollen, Y. Zhu, A. Leetmaa, B. Reynolds, M. Chelliah, W.
17	Ebisuzaki, W. Higgins, J. Janowiak, K. Mo, C. Ropelewski, J. Wang, R. Jenne,
18	and D. Joseph, 1996: The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project. Bull. Amer.
19	Meteor. Soc., 77, 437–471.
20	Kistler, R., and Coauthors, 2001: The NCEP-NCAR 50-Year Reanalysis: Monthly
21	means CD-ROM and documentation. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 82, 247–267.
22	Parry M.L., O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof, P.J. van der Linden and C.E. Hanson, (eds.)
23	2007: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution
24	of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
25	Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1000pp.
26	Chapter 17: Assessment of Adaptation Practices, Options, Constraints and
27	Capacity.

Do Not Cite or Quote 15 of 332 Public Review Draft

1	Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and
2	H.L. Miller (eds.), 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis.
3	Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the
4	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press,
5	Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 996 pp.
6	

Do Not Cite or Quote 16 of 332 Public Review Draft