# FEDERAL EXECUTIVE TEAM

Director, Climate Change Science Program	William J. Brennan
Director, Climate Change Science Program Office	Peter A. Schultz
Lead Agency Principal Representative to CCSP; Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	Mary M. Glackin
Product Lead, Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	A.R. Ravishankara
Synthesis and Assessment Product Advisory Group Chair; Associate Director, EPA National Center for Environmental Assessment	Michael W. Slimak
Synthesis and Assessment Product Coordinator, Climate Change Science Program Office	Fabien J.G. Laurier
Special Advisor, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	Chad A. McNutt

# **EDITORIAL AND PRODUCTION TEAM**

Co-Chair	.A.R. Ravishankara, NOAA
Co-Chair	.Michael J. Kurylo, NASA
Scientific and Technical Editor	.Christine A. Ennis, NOAA/CIRES
Scientific Editor	.Jessica Blunden, STG, Inc.
Scientific Editor	.Anne M. Waple, STG, Inc.
Scientific Editor	.Christian Zamarra, STG, Inc.
Technical Advisor	.David J. Dokken, USGCRP
Graphic Design Lead	Sara W. Veasey, NOAA
Graphic Design Co-Lead	Deborah B. Riddle, NOAA
Designer	Brandon Farrar, STG, Inc.
Designer	Glenn M. Hyatt, NOAA
Designer	Deborah Misch, STG, Inc.
Copy Editor	Anne Markel, STG, Inc.
Copy Editor	Lesley Morgan, STG, Inc.
Copy Editor	Susan Osborne, STG, Inc.
Copy Editor	Susanne Skok, STG, Inc.
Copy Editor	Mara Sprain, STG, Inc.
Copy Editor	Brooke Stewart, STG, Inc.
Graphics Support	Debra A. Dailey-Fisher, NOAA
Technical Support	Jesse Enloe, STG, Inc.


This Synthesis and Assessment Product, described in the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) Strategic Plan, was prepared in accordance with Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-554) and the information quality act guidelines issued by the Department of Commerce and NOAA pursuant to Section 515 <a href="http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories/iq.htm">http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories/iq.htm</a>. The CCSP Interagency Committee relies on Department of Commerce and NOAA certifications regarding compliance with Section 515 and Department guidelines as the basis for determining that this product conforms with Section 515. For purposes of compliance with Section 515, this CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Product is an "interpreted product" as that term is used in NOAA guidelines and is classified as "highly influential." This document does not express any regulatory policies of the United States or any of its agencies, or provide recommendations for regulatory action.








# Trends in Emissions of Ozone-Depleting Substances, Ozone Layer Recovery, and Implications for Ultraviolet Radiation Exposure









### **EDITED BY:**

A.R. Ravishankara, Michael J. Kurylo, and Christine A. Ennis









Transmittal letter to Congress will go here.






Synopsis	VII
Preface/Motivation for Report	XI
Executive Summary	15
Contents:	
	23
Introduction	
2	29
Current Trends, Mixing Ratios, and Emissions of Ozone-Depleting	
Substances and Their Substitutes	
Introduction	33
2.1 Production and Consumption of Ozone-Depleting Chemicals and Their	
Substitutes Derived From Industry Estimates	
2.1.1 Production and Consumption: Global Trends	
2.1.2 Production and Consumption: Comparing UNEP and AFEAS Compilations	38
2.1.3 Production and Consumption of ODSs and Substitutes Not Reported by AFEAS	
or in UNEP Compilations	
2.1.4 Production and Consumption: United States Trends for ODSs and Substitutes	
2.1.5 United States Production and Consumption of ODSs and Substitutes Not Included in Published UNEP Compilations	
2.2 Emissions: Ozone-Depleting Chemicals and Their Substitutes	46
2.2.1 Global Emissions: Estimates Derived From Atmospheric Observations and Weight by Ozone Depletion Potentials	
2.2.2 Global Emissions: Estimates Derived From Atmospheric Observations and Weight	
by Global Warming Potentials	
2.2.3 Global Emissions: The Contribution of Banks and Bank Sizes	
2.2.4 Global Emissions: The Influence of Non-restricted Uses and Other Factors	53
2.2.5 United States Emissions and Banks: Estimates Derived by U.S. EPA	
Vintaging Models	53
2.2.6 United States Emissions: Derived From Atmospheric Data in Non-remote Areas	55
2.3 Changes in the Atmospheric Abundance of Ozone-Depleting Chemicals and Their Substitutes	56
2.3.1 Global Atmospheric Abundances	
2.3.2 The Untied States Contribution to Global Atmospheric Abundances	
2.4 The Atmospheric Abundance of Aggregated Chlorine and Bromine From	01
Long-Lived ODSs	63
2.4.1 Atmospheric Chlorine	
2.4.2 Atmospheric Bromine	
2.4.3 Equivalent Effective Stratospheric Chlorine and Equivalent Effective Chlorine	



2.5 Changes in Radiative Forcing Arising From Ozone-Depleting Chemicals	
and Substitutes	
2.5.1 Changes in Direct Radiative Forcing	68
2.5.2 Changes in Net Radiative Forcing	70
2.6 Summary of Findings Related to the Role of the United States in Influencing	
Past Changes in Production, Consumption, Emissions, and Mixing Ratios of	
Ozone-Depleting Substances and Their Substitutes	7I
Appendix 2A	73
3	79
Ozone and UV Observations	
3.1 Introduction	81
3.2 Ozone	84
3.2.1 Total Ozone Observations	84
3.2.2 Vertical Distribution of Ozone	89
3.2.3 Processes That Affect Ozone	
3.3 Ultraviolet Radiation at the Earth's Surface	
3.3.1 Background (Factors Controlling UV Surface Irradiance)	
3.3.2 UV in the Polar Regions	
3.3.3 Human Exposure to UV	
3.3.4 UV Summary	
Appendix 3A	
Appendix 3B	
4	
How Do Climate Change and Stratospheric Ozone Loss Interact?	·•• III
4.1 Introduction	114
4.2 Radiative Forcing of Climate by Ozone-Depleting Substances and	
Ozone Changes	115
4.2.1 Direct Radiative Forcing by Ozone-Depleting Substances	
4.2.2 Radiative Forcing From Ozone Changes	119
4.3 The Response of Ozone to Climate Change Parameters	120
4.3.1 Calculating the Response of Ozone to Climate Change Parameters	
with CCMs	121
4.3.2 Stratospheric Temperature Changes	122
4.3.3 Stratospheric Water Vapor Changes	125
4.3.4 Changes in Ozone From Increases in Long-Lived Gases in the Stratosphere	126
4.4 The Effect of Ozone Changes on Climate Parameters	127
4.4.1 Response of Stratospheric and Tropospheric Temperatures to Ozone Depletion	128
4.4.2 Response of Surface Temperature to Antarctic Ozone Depletion	
4.5 Importance of Volcanoes	
4.5.1 The Effect of Volcanic Aerosol on Ozone	
4.6 Summary	
4.6.1 Relevance for the United States	131







# **AUTHOR TEAM FOR THIS REPORT**

Preface Authors: A.R. Ravishankara, NOAA; Michael J. Kurylo, NASA

Executive Summary Convening Lead Authors: A.R. Ravishankara, NOAA; Michael J. Kurylo, NASA

**Lead Authors:** Richard Bevilacqua, Naval Research Laboratory; Jeff Cohen, U.S. EPA; John S. Daniel, NOAA; Anne R. Douglass, NASA; David W. Fahey, NOAA; Jay R. Herman, NASA; Terry Keating, U.S. EPA; Malcolm Ko, NASA; Stephen A. Montzka, NOAA; Paul A. Newman, NASA; V. Ramaswamy, NOAA; Anne-Marie Schmoltner,

NSF; Richard Stolarski, NASA; Kenneth Vick, USDA

Chapter 1 Convening Lead Authors: A.R. Ravishankara, NOAA; Michael J. Kurylo, NASA;

Anne-Marie Schmoltner, NSF

Chapter 2 Convening Lead Author: Stephen A. Montzka

Lead Authors: John S. Daniel, NOAA; Jeff Cohen, U.S. EPA; Kenneth Vick, USDA

Chapter 3 Convening Lead Authors: Paul A. Newman, NASA; Jay R. Herman, NASA

Lead Authors: Richard Bevilacqua, Naval Research Laboratory; Richard Stolarski,

NASA; Terry Keating, U.S. EPA

Chapter 4 Convening Lead Author: David W. Fahey, NOAA

Lead Authors: Anne R. Douglass, NASA; V. Ramaswamy, NOAA; Anne-Marie

Schmoltner, NSF

Chapter 5 Convening Lead Author: Malcolm Ko, NASA

Lead Authors: John S. Daniel, NOAA; Jay R. Herman, NASA; Paul A. Newman,

ASA; V. Ramaswamy, NOAA

Chapter 6 Convening Lead Authors: A.R. Ravishankara, NOAA; Michael J. Kurylo, NASA

**Lead Authors:** John S. Daniel, NOAA; David W. Fahey, NOAA; Jay R. Herman, NASA; Stephen A. Montzka, NOAA; Malcolm Ko, NASA; Paul A. Newman, NASA; Richard

Stolarski, NASA

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Product 2.4 (SAP 2.4) was developed with the benefit of a scientifically rigorous, first draft peer review conducted by a committee appointed by the National Research Council (NRC). Prior to their delivery to the SAP 2.4 Author Team, the NRC review comments, in turn, were reviewed in draft form by a second group of highly qualified experts to ensure that the review met NRC standards. The resultant NRC Review Report was instrumental in shaping the final version of SAP 2.4, and in improving its completeness, sharpening its focus, communicating its conclusions and recommendations, and improving its general readability.

We thank the members of the NRC Review Committee: M. Joan Alexander (Chair), NorthWest Research Associates, Boulder, Colorado; Derek Cunnold, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta; Terry Deshler, University of Wyoming, Laramie; Steven Lloyd, The Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, Maryland; Mack McFarland, DuPont Fluoroproducts, Wilmington, Delaware; Michelle Santee, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California; Theodore G. Shepherd, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Margaret Tolbert, University of Colorado, Boulder; and Donald Wuebbles, University of Illinois, Urbana; and also the NRC Staff members who coordinated the process: Chris Elfring, Director, Board on Atmospheric Sciences and Climate; Leah Probst, Study Director; and Katherine Weller, Senior Program Assistant.

We also thank the individuals who reviewed the NRC Report in its draft form: James G. Anderson, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts; Greg Bodeker, National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Lauder, New Zealand; Mary Anne Carroll, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor; Veronika Eyring, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Wessling, Germany; Vitali Fioletov, Environment Canada, Downsview, Ontario, Canada; and Ross J. Salawitch, University of Maryland, College Park; and also Marvin Geller, The State University of New York, Stony Brook, the overseer of the NRC review.

We also thank the NOAA Research Council for coordinating a review conducted in preparation for the final clearance of this report. This review provided valuable comments from the following internal NOAA reviewers:

Craig Long (Climate Prediction Center)
Robert Portmann (Earth System Research Laboratory)
Susan Solomon (Earth System Research Laboratory)

The review process for SAP 2.4 also included a public review of the Second Draft, and we thank the individuals who participated in this cycle. The Author Team carefully considered all comments submitted, and a substantial number resulted in further improvements and clarity of SAP 2.4.

We are grateful to Daniel L. Albritton (NOAA) and Philip L. DeCola (NASA), who led the initial discussions that resulted in the inclusion of this topic in the suite of Synthesis and Assessment Products of the U.S. Climate Change Science Program. We also thank the following individuals for their insightful comments during the initial information-gathering stage: Alkiviadis Bais, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki; Donald Blake, University of California at Irvine; Greg Bodeker, National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, New Zealand; Martin Dameris, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Germany; Veronika Eyring, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Germany; Vitali Fioletov, Environment Canada; Claire Granier, NOAA/Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences; Neil Harris, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom; Randy Kawa, NASA; Kathy Lantz, NOAA/Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences; Rolf Müller, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany; Ronald Prinn, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Ross Salawitch, University of Maryland; Michelle Santee, Jet Propulsion Laboratory; Guus Velders, Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency; and Ray Weiss, Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

We also greatly appreciate the assistance of Krisa Arzayus (NOAA) and Fabien Laurier (CCSP) for expert guidance and coordination throughout the process of preparing SAP 2.4, and Chad McNutt (NOAA) and Rebecca Feldman (NOAA) for assistance with the approval processes.

Finally, it should be noted that the respective review bodies were not asked to endorse the final version of SAP 2.4, as this was the responsibility of the National Science and Technology Council.