Archived Information

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION & REHABILITATIVE SERVICES
OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20202

FISCAL YEAR 2007
APPLICATION FOR NEW GRANTS UNDER THE
INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA)

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND DISSEMINATION TO IMPROVE SERVICES AND RESULTS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CENTER FOR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES TO IMPROVE THE SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF YOUNG CHILDREN WITH OR AT RISK OF DISABILITIES

(CFDA 84.326B)



DATED MATERIAL - <u>OPEN IMMEDIATELY</u> CLOSING DATE: May 7, 2007

FORM APPROVED - OMB No. 1820-0028, EXP. DATE: 01/31/09

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dear Applicant Letter	A1
Priority (Competition) Description by Program	
Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities Technical Assistance Center for Evidence-Based Practices to Improve the Social-Emotional Development of Young Children With or At Risk of Disabilities (CFDA No. 84.326B)	B2
Selection Criteria and Format for 84.326B	B12
General Information on Completing an Application	C1
Application Transmittal Instructions and Requirements for Intergovernmental Review (Executive Order 12372)	D1
Notice to All Applicants (Ensuring Equitable Access) and Application Forms and Instructions	E1
Part I: Application for Federal Assistance (SF Form 424)	
Part II: Budget Information Non-Construction Programs and Instructions (ED Form 524)	
Part III: Application Narrative	
Part IV: Assurances and Certifications	
Assurances Non-Construction Program	
Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (ED Form 80-0013)	
Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	
Important Notice to Prospective Participants in USDE Contract and Grant Pro	ograms
Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants	
Notice to All Applicants: The Government Performance and Results Act (GP	RA)

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1820-0028. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 45 hours and 40 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:

U.S. Department of Education Washington, DC 20202-4651

If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:

Office of Special Education Programs U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., PCP 4106 Washington, DC 20202-2600

Dear Applicant:

This application packet contains information and the required forms for you to use in submitting a new application for funding under one program authorized by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This packet covers one competition under the Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities (CFDA 84.326) program—Technical Assistance Center for Evidence-Based Practices to Improve the Social-Emotional Development of Young Children With or At Risk of Disabilities (CFDA No. 84.326B).

An application for an award must be: (1) hand-delivered, submitted electronically, or mailed by the closing date; and, (2) for paper applications, have an <u>original</u> signature on at least one copy of the assurances and certifications (Part IV of the application form). It is also important to include the appropriate Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) numeric and alpha in Item #11 on SF Form 424 (e.g., CFDA No. 84.326B) for paper applications.

Please note the following:

- <u>APPLICATION SUBMISSION</u>. Based on the precautionary procedures the U.S. Postal Service is using to process mail, we are experiencing delays in the delivery of mail to the Department. Therefore, you may want to consider sending your application by overnight courier or submitting your application electronically.
- GRANTS.GOV APPLICATION SUBMISSION. Applications for grants under this competition may be submitted electronically using the Grants.gov Apply site (www.Grants.gov). Please read carefully the document that we have included immediately following this letter (see page A-4), which includes helpful tips about submitting electronically using the Grants.gov Apply site. Please note that you must follow the Application Procedures as described in the Federal Register notice announcing this grant competition. Information (including dates and times) about how to submit your application electronically, or by mail or hand delivery, can also be found in the Application Transmittal Instructions and Requirements for Intergovernmental Review section of this application package.
- MAXIMUM AWARD AMOUNT. In addition to providing detailed budget information for the total grant period requested, the competition included in this package has a maximum award amount (See Page B-9 of this package). Please be advised that for the priority in this package, the maximum award amount covers all project costs including indirect costs.
- STRICT PAGE LIMITS. The competition included in this package limits the Part III Application Narrative to a specified number of double-spaced pages. This page limitation applies to all material presented in the application narrative -- including, for example, any charts, tables, figures, and graphs. (Please refer to the specific requirements on page limits for the priority/competition to which you are submitting an application i.e., Section B-9 of this package). The Department will reject, and will NOT consider an application that does not adhere to the page limit requirements for the competition.

- **FORMAT FOR APPLICATIONS**. Please note that additional information regarding formatting applications has been included on Pages C-3 and 4 of the "General Information on Completing An Application" section of this package.
- PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH. The discretionary grant Application Form 424 (ED supplement to the SF 424 on Grants.gov) requires applicants to indicate whether they plan to conduct research involving human subjects at any time during the proposed project period. The Protection of Human Subjects in Research Attachment is an integral part of the SF 424 form (ED supplement to the SF 424 on Grants.gov). It includes information that applicants need to complete the protection of human subjects item and, as appropriate, to provide additional information to the Department regarding human subjects research projects. Additional information on completing the protection of human subjects item is also available and can be accessed on the INTERNET at:

http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocfo/gcsindex.html http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocfo/humansub.html

- RESPONSE TO GPRA. As required by the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993 OSEP has developed a strategic plan for measuring GPRA performance. The program included in this announcement is authorized under Part D National Activities to Improve Education of Children with Disabilities of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) will collect information to assess progress and performance. See Performance Measures included in the Priority Description section of this application package. Applicants are encouraged to consider this information, as applications are prepared.
- COPIES OF THE APPLICATION. Current Government-wide policy requires that an original and two copies need to be submitted. OSEP would appreciate receiving three additional copies to facilitate the peer review process. This means an original and two copies are required but we would appreciate your voluntarily submitting an additional three copies (six applications in all). If you are submitting your application electronically, you do not need to submit paper copies of the application. Please note: If an application is recommended for funding and a grant award is issued, we will contact the applicant to request a copy of the application on a diskette or CD. The Department is moving toward an electronic grant filing system and an electronic copy of all applications that are being funded will facilitate this effort.

A program officer is available to provide information to you regarding this competition. Please refer to the name of the program contact at the end of the priority description. For information about other U.S. Department of Education grant and contract opportunities, we encourage you to use the Department's grant information web page which can be accessed on the INTERNET at:

http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocfo/gcsindex.html

We appreciate	your efforts t	o improve	the provision	of services	for individuals	with
disabilities						

Sincerely,

Louis C. Danielson, Ph.D.
Director
Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs

IMPORTANT – PLEASE READ FIRST U.S. Department of Education

Grants.gov Submission Procedures and Tips for Applicants

To facilitate your use of Grants.gov, this document includes important submission procedures you need to be aware of to ensure your application is received in a timely manner and accepted by the Department of Education.

- 1) **REGISTER EARLY** Grants.gov registration may take five or more business days to complete. You may begin working on your application while completing the registration process, but you cannot submit an application until all of the Registration steps are complete. For detailed information on the Registration Steps, please go to:

 http://www.grants.gov/applicants/get_registered.jsp. [Note: Your organization will need to update its Central Contractor Registry (CCR) registration annually.]
- 2) SUBMIT EARLY We strongly recommend that you do not wait until the last day to submit your application. Grants.gov will put a date/time stamp on your application and then process it after it is fully uploaded. The time it takes to upload an application will vary depending on a number of factors including the size of the application and the speed of your Internet connection, and the time it takes Grants.gov to process the application will vary as well. If Grants.gov rejects your application (see step three below), you will need to resubmit successfully before 4:30 pm on the deadline date.

Note: To submit successfully, you must provide the DUNS number on your application that was used when your organization registered with the CCR (Central Contractor Registry).

3) **VERIFY SUBMISSION IS OK** – You will want to verify that Grants.gov and the Department of Education receive your Grants.gov submission timely and that it was validated successfully. To see the date/time your application was received, login to Grants.gov and click on the Track My Application link. For a successful submission, the date/time received should be earlier than 4:30 p.m. on the deadline date, AND the application status should be: Validated, Received by Agency, or Agency Tracking Number Assigned.

If the date/time received is later than 4:30 p.m. Washington, D.C. time, on the closing date, your application is late. If your application has a status of "Received" it is still awaiting validation by Grants.gov. Once validation is complete, the status will either change to "Validated" or "Rejected with Errors." If the status is "Rejected with Errors," your application has not been received successfully. Some of the reasons Grants.gov may reject an application can be found on the Grants.gov site: http://www.grants.gov/help/submit_application_faqs.jsp#10. For more detailed information on why an application may be rejected, you can review Application Error Tips http://www.grants.gov/section910/ApplicationErrorTips.pdf. If you discover your application is late or has been rejected, please see the instructions below. Note: You will receive a series of confirmations both online and via e-mail about the status of your application. Please do not rely solely on e-mail to confirm whether your application has been received timely and validated successfully.

Submission Problems – What should you do?

If you have problems submitting to Grants.gov before the closing date, please contact Grants.gov Customer Support at 1-800-518-4726 or use the customer support available on the Web site: http://www.grants.gov/applicants/applicant_help.jsp.

If electronic submission is <u>optional</u> and you have problems that you are unable to resolve before the deadline date and time for electronic applications, please follow the transmittal instructions for hard copy applications in the Federal Register notice and get a hard copy application postmarked by midnight on the deadline date.

If electronic submission is <u>required</u>, you must submit an electronic application before 4:30 p.m., unless you follow the procedures in the Federal Register notice and qualify for one of the exceptions to the electronic submission requirement <u>and</u> submit, no later than two weeks before the application deadline date, a written statement to the Department that you qualify for one of these exceptions. (See the Federal Register notice for detailed instructions.)

Helpful Hints When Working with Grants.gov

Please note, once you download an application from Grants.gov, you will be working offline and saving data on your computer. Please be sure to note where you are saving the Grants.gov file on your computer. You will need to logon to Grants.gov to upload and submit the application. You must provide on your application the DUNS number that was used when your organization registered with the CCR.

Please go to http://www.grants.gov/applicants/applicants/applicant_help.jsp for help with Grants.gov. For additional tips related to submitting grant applications, please refer to the Grants.gov Submit Application FAQs found on the Grants.gov http://www.grants.gov/help/submit_application_faqs.jsp.

Dial-Up Internet Connections

When using a dial up connection to upload and submit your application, it can take significantly longer than when you are connected to the Internet with a high-speed connection, e.g. cable modem/DSL/T1. While times will vary depending upon the size of your application, it can take a few minutes to a few hours to complete your grant submission using a dial up connection. If you do not have access to a high-speed connection and electronic submission is required, you may want to consider following the instructions in the Federal Register notice to obtain an exception to the electronic submission requirement no later than two weeks before the application deadline date. (See the Federal Register notice for detailed instructions.)

MAC Users

If you do not have a Windows operating System, you will need to use the Citrix solution discussed on Grants.gov or a Windows Emulation program to submit an application using Grants.gov. For additional information, review the FAQs for non-windows users

<u>http://www.grants.gov/resources/download_software.jsp#non_window</u>. Also, to view white paper for Macintosh users published by Pure Edge go to the following link:

http://www.grants.gov/section678/PureEdgeSupportforMacintosh.pdf, and/or contact Grants.gov Customer Support (http://www.grants.gov/contactus/contactus.jsp) for more information. If electronic submission is required and you are concerned about your ability to submit electronically as a non-windows user, please follow instructions in the Federal Register notice to obtain an exception to the electronic submission requirement no later than two weeks before the application deadline date. (See the Federal Register notice for detailed instructions.)

PRIORITY DESCRIPTION

AND

SELECTION CRITERIA

FOR THE

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND DISSEMINATION TO IMPROVE SERVICES AND RESULTS FOR FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CENTER FOR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES TO IMPROVE THE SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF YOUNG CHILDREN WITH OR AT RISK OF DISABILITIES

(CFDA 84.326B)

DEADLINE: 05/07/07

ABSOLUTE PRIORITY:

Background:

The early years in a child's life set the foundation for everything that follows. The social-emotional development of the young child is grounded in the interaction of early experiences and feelings with emerging intellectual, linguistic, sensory, and physical abilities. The formation of healthy personalities, appropriate emotions, mutually reinforcing relationships, and constructive behaviors establishes the framework for all other areas of growth, learning, and expression.

Young children with or at risk of disabilities, along with their families, have special needs for additional or different supports and guidance. These supports are necessary to ensure the healthy development of the child in affective, physical, and cognitive domains. Service providers, policymakers, and other early childhood experts are becoming increasingly aware of the need for early intervention to support healthy social-emotional development in young children. Children from birth through two years old have the highest rates of abuse and neglect, in comparison to children in older age groups, and the highest rates of death from victimization (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2004).

In the spring of 2005, a national study conducted at Yale University (Gilliam, 2005) reported that preschool children, aged three through five years old, had the highest expulsion rates in the United States, three times that of school-aged children. These removals from services were primarily associated with "behavioral" issues. National survey data have documented that 10 to 25 percent of children in low-income families present problem behaviors in preschool settings, and their teachers have indicated that these disruptive behavior problems are the most challenging issues they face (Webster-Stratton & Hammond, 1998; Joseph & Strain, 2003).

Research has documented both short- and long-term benefits from early childhood interventions that promote positive social-emotional skills and address challenging behaviors. Specifically, evidence-based early interventions have been shown to decrease withdrawal, aggression, non-compliance, and disruption (Strain & Timm, 2001). Early interventions also foster positive peer relationships, understanding, friendship, cooperation, and sharing (Denham & Burton, 1998), as well as improvement in self-control, self-awareness, and self-satisfaction (Webster-Stratton, 1990). Research also has shown that children's social-emotional competence

is associated with later academic success or failure in elementary and secondary schools (Walker, et al., 1998; Reynolds, et al., 2001; Strain & Timm, 2001). In addition, early interventions that promote healthy social-emotional behavior are tied to long-term achievement in the post-secondary education and employment arenas (Barnett, 1993; Karoly, et al., 1998).

In response to findings that abused and neglected children under the age of three may be eligible for, and benefit from, early intervention services under Part C of IDEA, Congress amended the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) in June, 2003, to require State CAPTA agencies to have policies for the referral to the Part C program of children under the age of three who are involved in a substantiated case of abuse or neglect (CAPTA children). In December, 2004, section 637(a)(6) of IDEA was amended to require the Part C program lead agencies to have policies and procedures regarding the referral to the Part C program of children under the age of three who are CAPTA children, as well as those who are identified as affected by illegal substance abuse, or withdrawal symptoms resulting from prenatal drug exposure.

To further encourage a focus on the social-emotional development of infants, toddlers, and other young children receiving services under IDEA, and as part of the State Performance Plan (SPP) and Annual Performance Report (APR) reporting requirements, the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) requires States to report the percent of young children, birth through five years old, receiving services under Parts B and C of IDEA, who show improvement in positive social-emotional skills (including positive social relationships). Specialized technical assistance is needed to ensure that early intervention and early childhood service providers have the knowledge needed to support positive social-emotional development in infants, toddlers, and other young children (birth through five) receiving services under IDEA.

Priority:

This priority supports the establishment and operation of a Technical Assistance Center for Evidence-Based Practices to Improve the Social-Emotional Development of Young Children With or At Risk of Disabilities (Center). This Center must identify, disseminate, and assist in the implementation of the most successful, evidence-based practices available to improve the social, emotional, and behavioral functioning of young children with disabilities. For purposes of this priority, "young children with disabilities" means infants and toddlers from birth through age two with or at risk for developmental delays or disabilities, and young children ages three through five with or at risk for developmental delays or disabilities.

In carrying out its knowledge development activities, the Center must develop the conceptual framework and research base for intervention practices and a cohesive decision-making model related to implementing those practices. The Center's knowledge development activities must include, but are not limited to--

(a) Developing a conceptual framework for the work of the Center that includes evidence-based practices that have been shown to improve early social-emotional outcomes in the context of general learning and development, and a cohesive decision-making model related to implementing those practices. The model must incorporate and distinguish, where

appropriate, specific practices targeted toward infants, toddlers, and preschool-aged children with disabilities; and

- (b) In year one of the project period, identifying or conducting syntheses of research on evidence-based interventions or practices that have been shown to improve social-emotional outcomes for young children with disabilities, thereby increasing the likelihood that these children will enter school ready to succeed and participate in classrooms with their typically developing peers. To the extent possible, the Center must use the standards established by the What Works Clearinghouse
- (<u>http://www.whatworks.ed.gov/reviewprocess/study_standards_final/pdf</u>). If it is not possible to use these standards, other rigorous standards must be used to identify evidence-based interventions and practices. The research syntheses must at a minimum address--
- (1) Developmentally appropriate practices for providers of early intervention and other services to young children with disabilities that target the critical components of social-emotional development, such as social skills and peer interactions, relationships with adults, recognizing and communicating emotions and desires, problem solving, and adaptive skills including self-regulation (controlling anger and impulse);
- (2) Evidence-based intervention methods, materials, and curricula designed for young children that include a focus on social-emotional development and how these methods and materials can be successfully modified, adapted, or individualized for young children with disabilities:
- (3) The delivery of evidence-based interventions targeting social-emotional development in a variety of inclusive settings and natural environments;
- (4) The delivery of family-centered early intervention services that promote the socialemotional development of infants, toddlers, and other young children with disabilities eligible for services under Part C of IDEA;
- (5) Empirically-based assessments, including valid and reliable instruments, for measuring social-emotional development, monitoring individual growth and progress, supporting data-based decision making, aggregating individual child data to evaluate program efforts, and aligning assessments with State early learning, early child development, or school readiness standards and State reporting requirements. Assessments also should cover such areas as self-regulation, response to directions, communication/language, adaptive functioning, autonomy, affect, and social interaction; and
- (6) Effective technical assistance (TA) strategies that lead to knowledge utilization, sustainable changes in practice, and improved outcomes.

The Center's TA and dissemination activities must include, but are not limited to-

(a) Developing and coordinating a national TA network comprised of a cadre of experts that the Center will use to provide TA to States in early social-emotional development for young

children with disabilities. In their applications, applicants must describe their proposal for this network and identify the cadre of experts;

- (b) Providing general TA and information on evidence-based practices that promote the development of social-emotional skills for young children with disabilities to SEAs, lead agencies and other public agencies, service providers, and other stakeholders in all States. This TA and information must reflect the on-going work of the Center to provide up-to-date information on practices that enhance early social-emotional development of young children with disabilities. TA and information must be provided through a variety of vehicles (e.g., Web site; listsery; presentations at national, regional, or State conferences; and conducting national training institutes). The Center's TA and information must be designed to develop the capacity of service providers to use high quality, evidence-based practices in the various inclusive settings and natural environments in which young children with disabilities are served, including the home, community-based settings and programs for typically developing children, other early intervention settings (if identified as appropriate for infants and toddlers), and, for preschool children aged three through five, inclusive or other appropriately-identified preschool, early childhood special education, and related service settings. With respect to children receiving services under Part C of IDEA, the TA and information must include identification of familycentered early intervention services that promote children's social and emotional development. The application must describe the approaches being proposed to provide general TA and information;
- (c) In years one and two of the project period, identifying or developing and evaluating models that are based on evidence-based or promising practices and interventions, and that include assessments that promote the development of social-emotional competence in young children with disabilities in a minimum of five local communities of different types (rural, urban, suburban, etc.) serving children from diverse backgrounds in a variety of settings (such as Head Start Programs, early childhood special education programs, home-based programs, State-funded pre-kindergarten programs, etc). While the critical elements of the models should be consistent across the five settings, the models may contain modifications or adaptations that are based on the setting context. Models must be identified or developed for providers and programs serving young children with disabilities under Part C and Part B of IDEA. Models must include the creation and implementation of professional development plans that enhance early childhood professionals' implementation of evidence-based and high quality interventions and practices. Professional development plans must include early intervention and early childhood special education providers and may include other early care and education providers serving young children with disabilities, such as providers in Head Start/Early Head Start, child care, schoolbased preschools funded under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended (ESEA), and State-funded pre-kindergarten programs. The Center must document factors that facilitate fidelity of implementation and are necessary to sustain the model;
- (d) In years three, four, and five of the project period, facilitating the development of State-wide or regional TA networks specifically focused on increasing the use of evidence-based practices that improve social-emotional outcomes of young children with disabilities by scaling-up models identified and/or developed and evaluated in years one and two in accordance with paragraph (c). These TA networks must include systems for training and supporting State-

B-5

funded or program-funded "coaches" who will provide TA to regional, State, and local early intervention and early childhood special education programs and providers, and other early childhood professionals and agencies serving young children with disabilities (such as Head Start/Early Head Start, child care, school-based preschool programs funded under Title I of the ESEA, and State-funded pre-kindergarten programs). These TA networks also must include State and local early childhood program administrators (Part C early intervention lead agency staff and early intervention service providers, Part B early childhood staff, section 619 IDEA coordinators, child care administrators, Head Start administrators, and pre-kindergarten administrators), families, early childhood professional development experts (including experts from community colleges and other IHEs), researchers, early childhood TA experts, and others. The Center must allocate sufficient resources and time to develop strong TA networks and must give priority to working with States or regions most in need. Applicants must describe in their applications the process for creating sustainable networks and criteria for choosing the States or regions with whom they will work, including child outcome data reported on State Annual Performance Reports. This State selection process must involve consultation with OSEP;

- (e) Developing and implementing a plan for involving and communicating with families on the work of the Center. This plan must be developed in collaboration with OSEP-funded parent programs, including representatives from both the Parent Training and Information Centers and the Community Parent Resource Centers funded by the Department, and must include strategies to ensure involvement and communication with diverse and hard-to-reach families;
- (f) Coordinating the Center's and the TA networks' activities with other IDEA-funded early intervention and early childhood special education TA centers. For example, the Center must build on the work of other federally funded early childhood projects where applicable, such as the Research and Training Center in Early Childhood Development and the Center for Evidence-Based Practice: Young Children with Challenging Behavior. The Center must coordinate activities with the National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Center (NECTAC), the Regional Resource Centers (RRCs), and the Early Childhood Outcomes (ECO) Center;
- (g) Coordinating the Center's and the TA networks' activities with other national, regional, State, and local early childhood training and TA efforts, including but not limited to efforts that target Head Start/Early Head Start, child care, school-based preschool programs under Title I of the ESEA, and State-funded pre-kindergarten programs. The Center should coordinate with the Center on the Social and Emotional Foundations for Early Learning (CSEFEL), a joint project of the Office of Head Start and the Child Care Bureau in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services;
- (h) Evaluating the Center's and TA networks' activities by measuring the impact of TA activities on early childhood program and service professionals and families of young children with disabilities. Specifically, the Center must document what these practitioners and families learned and how TA affected their use of evidence-based practices that promote positive social-emotional development in young children with disabilities; and

B-6

(i) Maintaining a Web site that is available to early childhood professionals and families and includes all TA materials prepared by the Center in a format that meets a government or industry-recognized standard for accessibility.

The Center also must--

- (a) Establish, maintain, and meet at least annually with a national advisory group that includes families of young children with disabilities, early intervention service providers and early childhood special education providers, experts in early childhood psychology and development, national early childhood organizations, and community members involved with young children with disabilities. The national advisory group will be responsible for providing annual feedback on the plans, activities, and accomplishments of the Center;
- (b) Maintain ongoing communication with the OSEP Project Officer, including monthly conference calls. Budget for a three-day Project Directors' meeting in Washington, DC during each year of the project, plus one additional two-day trip annually to Washington, DC to attend additional national meetings and to meet and collaborate with the OSEP Project Officer and other funded projects for purposes of cross-project collaboration and information exchange; and
- (c) Budget five percent of the grant amount annually to support emerging needs as identified jointly through consultation with the OSEP project officer.

Fourth and Fifth Years of the Project:

In deciding whether to continue funding the Center for the fourth and fifth years, the Secretary will consider the requirements of 34 CFR 75.253(a), and in addition--

- (a) The recommendation of a review team consisting of experts selected by the Secretary, which review will be conducted during the last half of the project's second year in Washington, DC. Projects must budget for travel expenses associated with this one-day intensive review;
- (b) The timeliness and effectiveness with which all requirements of the negotiated cooperative agreement have been or are being met by the Center; and
- (c) The degree to which the project promotes best practices in the area of IDEA and other services to young children with disabilities.

References

- Barnett, W.S. (1993). Benefit-cost analysis of preschool education: Findings from a 25—year follow-up. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 63, 500-508.
- Denham, S.A., & Burton, R. (1996). A social-emotional intervention for at-risk 4-year-olds. Journal of School Psychology, 34, 225-245.

- Gilliam, W.S. (2005). Prekindergarteners left behind: Expulsion rates in state prekindergarten systems. Amherst: Yale (Retrieved July 20, 2005, from http://www.fcd-us.org/PDFs/National PreKExpulsionPaper03.02_new.pdf)
- Joseph, G.E., & Strain, P.S. (2003). Comprehensive evidence-based social emotional curricula for young children: An analysis of efficacious adoption potential. Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 23, 65-76.
- Karoly, L.A., Greenwood, P.W., Everingham, S.S., Hoube, J., Kilburn, M.R., Rydell, C.P., Sanders, M., & Chiesa, J. (1998). Investing in our children: What we know and don't know about the costs and benefits of early childhood interventions. Santa Monica, CA: Rand.
- Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., Robertson, D.L., & Mann, E.A. (2001). Long-term effects of an early childhood intervention on educational achievement and juvenile arrest: A 15-year follow-up of low-income children in public schools. Journal of the American Medical Association, 285, 2339-2346.
- Strain, P.S., & Timm, M.A. (2001). Remediation and prevention of aggression: An evaluation of the Regional Intervention Program over a quarter of a century. Behavioral Disorders, 26, 297-313.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration on Children, Youth and Families. Child Maltreatment 2004 (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2006).
- Walker, H.M., Kavanagh, K., Stiller, B., Golly, A., Severson, H.H., & Feil, E.G. (1998). First Step to Success: An early intervention approach for preventing school antisocial behavior. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 6, 66-80.
- Webster-Stratton, C. (1990). Long-term follow-up of families with young conduct-problem children: From preschool to grade school. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 19, 144-149.
- Webster-Stratton, C. & Hammond, M. (1998). Conduct problems and level of social competence in Head Start children: Prevalence, pervasiveness, and associated risk factors. Clinical Child Psychology, 65, 93-109.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1463 and 1481(d).

PERFORMANCE MEASURES: Under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), the Department has developed measures that will yield information on various aspects of the Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities program. These measures focus on: the extent to which projects provide high quality products and services, the relevance of project products and services to educational and

early intervention policy and practice, and the use of products and services to improve educational and early intervention policy and practice.

Grantees will be required to provide information related to these measures.

Grantees will also be required to report information on their projects' performance in annual reports to the Department (34 CFR 75.590).

APPLICATIONS AVAILABLE: March 23, 2007.

DEADLINE FOR TRANSMITTAL OF APPLICATIONS: May 7, 2007.

DEADLINE FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW: July 5, 2007.

ESTIMATED AVAILABLE FUNDS: \$700,000.

<u>MAXIMUM AWARDS</u>: We will reject any application that proposes a budget that does not equal \$700,000 for a single budget period of 12 months.

NUMBER OF AWARDS: 1.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

PROJECT PERIOD: Up to 60 months.

PAGE LIMITS: If you are an applicant, Part III of an application submitted under this notice, the application narrative is where an applicant addresses the selection criteria that are used by reviewers to evaluate the application. You must limit Part III to the equivalent of no more than 70 pages, using the following standards:

- A "page" is 8.5" x 11", (on one side only) with 1" margins (top, bottom, and sides).
- Double-space (no more than three lines per vertical inch) all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures, and graphs.
- Use a font that is either 12-point or larger or no smaller than 10 pitch (characters per inch).

The page limit does not apply to Part I, the cover sheet; Part II, the budget section, including the narrative budget justification; Part IV, the assurances and certifications; or the abstract, the resumes, the bibliography, references, or the letters of support. However, you must include all of the application narrative in Part III.

We will reject any application if --

- You apply these standards and exceed the page limit; or
- You apply other standards and exceed the equivalent of the page limit.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

- (a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities (see section 606 of IDEA); and
- (b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects (see section 682(a)(1)(A) of IDEA).

APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:

(a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 85, 86, 97, 98, and 99; and (b) The selection criteria for this program are drawn from EDGAR in 34 CFR 75.210.

<u>Note</u>: The regulations in 34 CFR part 79 apply to all applicants except federally recognized Indian tribes.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to institutions of higher education only.

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: State educational agencies (SEAs), local educational agencies (LEAs), public charter schools that are LEAs under State law, institutions of higher education (IHEs), other public agencies, private nonprofit organizations, outlying areas, freely associated States, Indian tribes or tribal organizations, and for-profit organizations.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW:

The program in this notice is subject to the requirements of Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive Order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of our specific plans and actions for this program.

<u>FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE</u>: Published March 23, 2007. See http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/aces/fr-cont.html

For further information about this priority contact:

Beth Caron, Competition Manager

Research to Practice Division
Office of Special Education Programs

Telephone: 202-245-7293

FAX: 202-245-7617 TTY: 202-260-8875

E-mail: Beth.Caron@ed.gov

SELECTION CRITERIA AND FORMAT FOR THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CENTER FOR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES TO IMPROVE THE SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF YOUNG CHILDREN WITH OR AT RISK OF DISABILITIES (CFDA 84.326B) COMPETITION

Part III of the application form requires a narrative that addresses the selection criteria that will be used by reviewers in evaluating individual proposals. Applications are more likely to receive favorable reviews by panels when they are organized according to the format suggested below. This format was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as an appendix to the program regulations, and it addresses all the selection criteria used to evaluate applications required by regulations. If you prefer to use a different format, you may wish to cross-reference the sections of your application to the selection criteria to be sure that reviewers are able to find all relevant information.

The selection criteria that will be used to evaluate applications submitted to the **Technical Assistance Center for Evidence-Based Practices to Improve the Social-Emotional Development of Young Children With or At Risk of Disabilities (CFDA 84.326B)**competition are the selection criteria for new grants required by the EDGAR general selection criteria menu. The maximum score for all of the criteria is 100 points.

An **abstract**, not to exceed two pages, should precede the application narrative of all applications and it would be helpful if it included the following information: Purpose of the project; disability addressed by the project; age group (e.g., 0-3, preschool, elementary school, middle school, high school, secondary transition, and postsecondary); geography (e.g., rural, suburban, urban); severity (e.g., mild, moderate, and severe); proposed products; proposed outcomes; names/affiliations of key collaborators. It would be helpful if the abstract includes: (a) the title of the program, (b) the name of the Absolute Priority, and (c) the CFDA Number (e.g., 84.326B).

For Technology and Technical Assistance and Dissemination applications, the abstract should include (a) design and (b) project evaluation, including measures.

The application narrative should include the following sections in this order:

(a) Significance (15 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (i) The potential contribution of the proposed project to increased knowledge or understanding of educational problems, issues, or effective strategies;
 - (ii) The likelihood that the proposed project will result in system change or improvement;

- (iii) The extent to which the proposed project is likely to build local capacity to provide, improve, or expand services that address the needs of the target population;
- (iv) The likely utility of the products (such as information, materials, processes, or techniques) that will result from the proposed project, including the potential for their being used effectively in a variety of other settings; and
- (v) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project.

(b) Quality of project services (30 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the Secretary considers the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.
 - (3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to the needs of the intended recipients or beneficiaries of those services;
- (ii) The extent to which entities that are to be served by the proposed technical assistance project demonstrate support for the project;
- (iii) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project reflect up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice;
- (iv) The likely impact of the services to be provided by the proposed project on the intended recipients of those services;
- (v) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project involve the collaboration of appropriate partners for maximizing the effectiveness of project services; and
- (vi) The extent to which the technical assistance services to be provided by the proposed project involve the use of efficient strategies, including the use of technology, as appropriate, and the leveraging of non-project resources.

(c) Quality of project personnel (20 points)

(1) The Secretary considers the quality of the personnel who will carry out the proposed project.

- (2) In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.
 - (3) In addition, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel; and
- (ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of project consultants or subcontractors.

(d) Quality of the management plan (25 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks;
- (ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project;
- (iii) The adequacy of mechanisms for ensuring high-quality products and services from the proposed project;
- (iv) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project; and
- (v) How the applicant will ensure that a diversity of perspectives are brought to bear in the operation of the proposed project, including those of parents, teachers, the business community, a variety of disciplinary and professional fields, recipients or beneficiaries of services, or others, as appropriate.

(e) Adequacy of resources (10 points)

- (1) The Secretary considers the adequacy of resources for the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

- (i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization;
 - (ii) The extent to which the budget is adequate to support the proposed project; and
- (iii) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and to the anticipated results and benefits.

GENERAL INFORMATION ON COMPLETING AN APPLICATION

GENERAL INFORMATION ON COMPLETING AN APPLICATION

Potential applicants frequently direct questions to officials of the Department regarding application notices and programmatic and administrative regulations governing various direct grant programs. To assist potential applicants, the Office of Special Education Programs staff have assembled the following most commonly raised issues. In general, this information applies to the grant competitions covered by this application package.

EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

Waivers for individual applications are not granted, regardless of the circumstances. Under very extraordinary circumstances a closing date may be changed. Such changes are announced in the Federal Register.

• COPIES OF THE APPLICATION

Current Government-wide policy is that only an original and two copies need to be submitted. OSEP would appreciate receiving three additional copies to facilitate the peer review process. This would mean an original and two copies need to be submitted and we would appreciate your voluntarily submitting an additional three copies (six applications in all). Copies of the application may be bound, but it is not necessary or required. If bound, one copy should be left unbound to facilitate electronic scanning and any necessary reproduction. Applicants should not use colored paper, foldouts, photographs, or other materials that are hard to duplicate.

Please Note: If an application is recommended for funding and a grant award is issued, we will contact the applicant to request a copy of the application on a diskette or CD. The Department is moving toward an electronic grant filing system and an electronic copy of all applications that are being funded will facilitate this effort.

• MAKING APPLICATIONS MORE ACCESSIBLE TO REVIEWERS WHO ARE BLIND OR HAVE LOW VISION

The Department will accept one copy of the application in an accessible format (i.e., IBM PC compatible WordPerfect or ASCII code diskette) along with the original and two print copies of the application. The accessible format copy can be used with available software to convert the text of the application into Braille, or with text to voice applications. If there are any differences in the print original provided on the disk and in print, the print original is assumed to be the correct version. Please note that it is not a requirement that one copy of the application be in an accessible format.

MISSED DEADLINES AND SUBMISSION UNDER OTHER COMPETITIONS

Should an application miss the deadline for a particular competition, it may be submitted to another competition. However, if an application is properly prepared to meet the

specifications of one competition, it is extremely unlikely that it would be favorably evaluated under a different competition.

SUBMISSION TO MORE THAN ONE PROGRAM

Applications may be submitted to more than one Federal program if you are unsure of the most appropriate program. Each application should be prepared following the instructions for that particular program as closely as possible (which may require some reformulation). It is very helpful if each program is notified that an identical or similar application is being submitted to another program.

HELP PREPARING APPLICATIONS

We are happy to provide general program information. Clearly it would <u>not</u> be appropriate for staff to participate in the actual writing of an application, but we can respond to specific questions about our application requirements and evaluation criteria, or about the announced priorities. Applicants should understand that such previous contact is not required, nor does it guarantee the success of an application.

• NOTIFICATION OF FUNDING

The time required to complete the evaluation of applications is variable. Once applications have been received staff must determine the areas of expertise needed to appropriately evaluate the applications, identify and contact potential reviewers, convene peer review panels, and summarize and review the recommendations of the review panels. You can expect to receive notification within 3 to 6 months of the application closing date, depending on the number of applications received and the number of competitions with closing dates at about the same time. The requested start date can be no later than January 1 of the year following the closing date of the competition.

• POSSIBILITY OF LEARNING THE OUTCOME OF REVIEW PANELS PRIOR TO OFFICIAL NOTIFICATION

Every year we are called by a number of applicants who have legitimate reasons for needing to know the outcome of the review prior to official notification. Some applicants need to make job decisions, some need to notify a local school district, etc. Regardless of the reason, we cannot share information about the review with <u>anyone</u> until the Assistant Secretary has approved a slate of projects recommended for funding. You will be notified as quickly as possible either by telephone (if your application is recommended for funding), or through a letter (if your application is not successful).

FORMAT FOR APPLICATIONS

The application narrative (Part III of the application form) should be organized to follow the exact sequence of the components in the selection criteria used to evaluate applications. (The selection criteria for the competitions covered by this packet are listed following the

specific competition information in section "B" of this packet.) A table of contents, list of priority requirements, and an abstract (see page B-12) should precede the application narrative. If you prefer to use a different format, you may wish to cross-reference the sections of your application to the selection criteria to be sure that reviewers are able to find all relevant information.

To aid in screening and reviewing the application, applicants should list in Part II and prior to the abstract, all general, special, and other requirements for the priority and corresponding page number (s) where requirements are addressed within the application. Page limits do not apply to this list. (All requirements are found in each priority description included in this application package.) The format included below is an example of how you might provide this information in your application.

Page #	Requirements
	(a) Projects funded under this notice must make positive efforts to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities in project activities. (See Section 606 of IDEA)
	(b) Applicants and grant recipients funded under this notice must involve individuals with disabilities or parents of individuals with disabilities ages birth through 26 in planning, implementing, and evaluating the projects. (See Section 682(a)(1)(A) of IDEA)
	(c) Applicant must describe steps to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs. (See Section 427, GEPA)
	(d) Projects funded under these priorities must budget for a three-day Project's Directors' meeting in Washington, D.C. during each year of the project.

PAGE LIMITS

Please note that all applications submitted under the competition in this application package must adhere to the Part III - Application Narrative page limit requirements that are specified under each priority/competition description. Your application should provide enough information to allow the review panel to evaluate the importance and impact of the project as well as to make knowledgeable judgments about the methods you propose to use (design, subjects, sampling procedures, measures, instruments, data analysis strategies, etc.). It is often helpful to have:

(l) <u>Staff Vitae</u>--They should include each person's title and role <u>in the proposed project</u> and contain <u>only</u> information that is <u>relevant</u> to <u>this</u> proposed <u>project's</u> activities and/or publications. Vitae for consultants and Advisory Council members should be similarly brief.

- (2) Instruments--except in the case of generally available and well known instruments.
- (3) <u>Agreements</u>--when the participation of an agency other than the applicant is critical to the project. This is particularly critical when an intervention will be implemented within an agency, or when subjects will be drawn from particular agencies. Letters of cooperation should be specific, indicating agreement to implement a particular intervention or to provide access to a particular group of students.

The items listed above are not included under page limits.

MAKING SURE APPLICATION IS ASSIGNED TO THE CORRECT COMPETITION

Applicants should clearly indicate in Item 11 on the application (SF Form 424) the CFDA number of the program priority (e.g., 84.326B, etc.) representing the competition in which the application should be considered. If this information is not provided, your application may inadvertently be assigned and reviewed under a different competition from the one you intended.

RETURN OF NON-FUNDED APPLICATIONS

We do not return original copies of applications. Thus, applicants should retain at least one copy of the application. Copies of reviewer comments will be mailed to all applicants.

PROPOSED STAFF AVAILABILITY TO PROJECT

For each staff person named in the application, please provide documentation of all internal and external time commitments. In instances where a staff person is committed on a federally supported project, please provide the project name, Federal office, program title, the project Federal award number, and the amount of committed time by each project year. This information (e.g., <u>Staff</u>: Jane Doe; <u>Project Name</u>: Succeeding in the General Curriculum; <u>Federal office</u>: Office of Special Education Programs; <u>Program title</u>: Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities; <u>Award number</u>: H326A030002; <u>Time commitments</u>: Year 1—30%; Year 2—25% and Year 3—40%) can be provided as an Appendix to the application.

In general, we will not reduce time commitments on currently funded grants from the time proposed in the original application. Therefore, we will not consider for funding any application where key staff are bid above a time commitment level that staff have available to bid. Further, the time commitments stated in newly submitted applications will not be negotiated down to permit the applicant to receive a new grant award.

USE OF PERSON LOADING CHARTS

It is important for applicants to include proposed time commitments for all project personnel. Also, program officials and applicants often find person loading charts useful formats for showing project personnel and their time commitments to individual activities. A person loading chart is a tabular representation of major evaluation activities by number of days spent by each key person involved in each activity, as shown in the following example.

Table #
Person Loading Chart - Time in Day(s) by Person*

	Time in Day(s) by Person				
Activity	Person A	Person B	Person C	Person D	
Library Research	15	20	0	0	
Hire Staff Prepare Materials	5	25	0	50	
Train Raters	0	2	0	0	
Data Collection	60	60	0	0	
Data Analysis	0	0	25	5	
Dissemination (manuscripts, etc.)	0	1	0	10	

*Note: All figures represent FTE for the grant year.

DELIVERING/SENDING APPLICATIONS TO THE COMPETITION MANAGER

Applications can be mailed or hand delivered, or submitted electronically but in either case <u>must</u> go to the Application Control Center at the address listed in the <u>Application Transmittal Instructions</u>. Delivering or sending the application to the competition manager in the program office may prevent it from being logged in on time to the appropriate competition and may result in the application not being reviewed.

ALLOWED TRAVEL UNDER THESE PROJECTS

Travel is allowed if the travel specifically relates to the expressed goals of the project. Travel by students to further their education under the project's goals is also allowed. Travel to conferences is the travel item that is most likely to be questioned during negotiations. Such travel is sometimes allowed when it is for purposes of dissemination, when there will be results to be disseminated, and when it is clear that a conference presentation or workshop is an effective way of reaching a particular target group.

FUNDING OF APPROVED APPLICATIONS

It is often the case that the number of applications recommended for approval by the reviewers exceeds the dollars available for funding projects under a particular competition. When the panel reviews are completed for a particular competition, the individual reviewer scores and applications are ranked. The higher ranked, approved applications are funded first, and there are often lower ranked, approved applications that do not receive funding. Sometimes, one or two applications that are approved and fall next in rank order (after those projects selected for funding) are placed on hold. If dollars become available as a result of negotiations, or if a higher ranked applicant declines the award, the projects on hold may receive funding. If you receive a letter stating that you will not receive funding, then your project has neither been selected for funding nor placed on hold.

INDIRECT COST RATE

There is no maximum indirect cost for the competitions in this application package. An organization's current effective indirect cost rate is the rate that should be reflected in your proposed budget. The Department of Education (ED) reimburses grantees for its portion of indirect costs that a grantee incurs in projects funded by the Technical Assistance Center for Evidence-Based Practices to Improve the Social-Emotional Development of Young Children With or At Risk of Disabilities competition, 84.326B. Any grantee charging indirect costs to a grant from this program must use the indirect cost rate (ICR), negotiated with its *cognizant agency*, i.e., either the Federal agency from which it has received the most direct funding, subject to indirect cost support, the particular agency specifically assigned cognizance by the Office of Management and Budget or the State agency that provides the most subgrant funds to the grantee.

Note: Applicants should pay special attention to specific questions on the application budget form (ED 524) about their cognizant agency and the ICR they are using in their budget.

If an applicant selected for funding under this program has not already established a current ICR with its cognizant agency as a result of current or previous funding, ED will require it to do so within 90 days after the date the grant was issued by ED. Applicants should be aware that ED is very often *not* the cognizant agency for its own grantees. Rather, ED accepts, for the purpose of funding its awards, the current ICR established by the appropriate cognizant agency.

An applicant that has not previously established an indirect cost rate with the Federal government or a State agency under a Federal program and that is selected for funding will not be allowed to charge its grant for indirect costs until it has negotiated a current indirect cost rate agreement with its cognizant agency.

Applicants are encouraged to use their accountant (or CPA) to calculate an indirect cost rate using information in the IRS Form 990, audited financial statements, actual cost data or a

cost policy statement that such applicants are urged to prepare (but NOT submit to ED) during the application process.

Applicants should use this proposed rate in their application materials and indicate which of the above methods was used to calculate the rate. Guidance for creating a cost policy statement can be obtained by sending an e-mail to *katrina.mcdonald@ed.gov*. Applicants with questions about using indirect cost rates under this program should contact the program contact person shown elsewhere in this application package.

ISSUES RAISED DURING DISCUSSIONS PRIOR TO AWARD

If your application is recommended for funding, discussions may be held prior to award to clarify technical or budget issues. These are issues that have been identified during panel and staff review. Generally, technical issues are minor issues that require clarification. Alternative approaches may be presented for your consideration, or you may be asked to provide additional information or rationale for something you have proposed to do. Sometimes, concerns are stated as "conditions". These are concerns that have been identified as so critical that the award cannot be made unless those conditions are met. Questions are also raised about the proposed budget during the discussion phase. Generally, budget issues are raised because there is inadequate justification or explanation of the particular budget item, or because the budget item does not seem critical to the successful completion of the project. A Federal project officer will present the issues to you and ask you to respond. If you do not understand the question, you should ask for clarification. In responding to discussion items you should provide any additional information or clarification requested. You may feel that an issue was addressed in the application. It may not, however, have been explained in enough detail to make it understood by reviewers, and more information should be provided. If you are asked to make changes that you feel could seriously affect the project's success, you may provide reasons for not making the changes, or provide alternative suggestions. Similarly, if proposed budget reductions will, in your opinion, seriously affect the proposed activities, you may want to explain why and provide additional justification for the proposed expenses. Your changes, explanations, and alternative suggestions will be carefully evaluated by staff. In some instances, an applicant may again be contacted for additional information. An award cannot be made until all issues have been resolved and conditions met.

• TREATING A PRIORITY AS TWO SEPARATE COMPETITIONS.

In the past, there have been problems in finding peer reviewers without conflicts of interest where applications are made by many entities throughout the country. The Standing Panel requirements also place additional constraints on the availability of reviewers. Therefore, The Department has determined that, for some discretionary priorities, applications may be ranked and selected for funding in two or more groups, which will ensure the availability of a much larger group of reviewers without conflicts of interest. This procedure will increase the quality, independence and fairness of the review process and will permit panel members to review applications under discretionary priorities to which they have also submitted applications.

SUCCESSFUL APPLICATIONS AND ESTIMATED/PROJECTED BUDGET AMOUNTS IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS

There is a maximum award amount specified for the priority/competitions included in this package. The Department rejects and does not consider an application that proposes a budget exceeding the maximum amount for any single budget period of 12 months for the priorities included in this package. Please refer to the priority description to determine the maximum award for any one particular competition. Since the yearly budgets for multi-year projects will be negotiated at the time of the initial award, applicants must include detailed budgets for each year of their proposed project. Generally, out-year funding levels most likely will not exceed 1st year budgets. However, budget modifications during the negotiation process, the findings from the previous year, or needed changes in the study design can affect your budget requirements in subsequent years, but in no case will out-year budgets exceed the maximum award amount.

• REQUIREMENT TO REPORT THE RESULTS OF GRANT ACTIVITIES

The Department shall, where appropriate, require recipients of all grants, contracts and cooperative agreements under Part D of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act to prepare reports describing their procedures, findings, and other relevant information. The Department shall require their delivery to the Department of Education and other networks as The Department may determine appropriate. (20 U.S.C. 1482)

• DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT AND A GRANT

A cooperative agreement is similar to a grant in that its principal purpose is to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation as authorized by a Federal statute. It differs from a grant in the sense that in a cooperative agreement substantial involvement is anticipated between the executive agency (in this case the Department of Education) and the recipient during the performance of the contemplated activity.

• DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN ABSOLUTE PRIORITY, AN INVITATIONAL PRIORITY. AND A COMPETITIVE PRIORITY

An absolute priority is a priority that an applicant must address in order to receive an award. If an applicant does not address an absolute priority, their application will be returned as being non-responsive to the priority.

An invitational priority is a priority that reflects a particular interest of the Department, and an applicant is encouraged to address the invitational priority along with the required absolute priority. However, an applicant choosing to address an invitational priority, will not receive any competitive preference over other applications.

A competitive priority is like an invitational priority in that it reflects a particular interest of the Department, and an applicant is encouraged to address the competitive priority along with the required absolute priority. A competitive priority may be handled in one of two ways: (1) an application may be awarded additional points depending on how effectively it addresses the competitive priority; or (2) an application that meets a competitive priority may be selected over an application of comparable merit that does not address the competitive priority. The type of competitive priority for a particular competition is always included in the FEDERAL REGISTER announcement.

• OBTAINING COPIES OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER, PROGRAM REGULATIONS AND FEDERAL STATUTES

Copies of these materials can usually be found at your local library. If not, they can be obtained by writing to:

Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, DC 20402

Telephone: (202) 512-1800

Information about the Department's funding opportunities, including copies of application notices for discretionary grant competitions, can be viewed on the Department's grant information web page which can be accessed on the INTERNET at:

http://www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/grantapps/index.html

However, the official application notice for a discretionary grant competition is the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW

Application Transmittal Instructions

ATTENTION ELECTRONIC APPLICANTS: Please note that you must follow the Application Procedures as described in the Federal Register notice announcing the grant competition. Some programs may require electronic submission of applications, and those programs will have specific requirements and waiver instructions in the Federal Register notice.

If you want to apply for a grant and be considered for funding, you must meet the following deadline requirements:

Applications Submitted Electronically

You must submit your grant application through the Internet using the software provided on the Grants.gov Web site (http://www.grants.gov) by 4:30 p.m. (Washington, D.C. time) on the application deadline date.

If you submit your application through the Internet via the e-Grants Web site, you will receive an automatic acknowledgment when we receive your application.

For more information on using Grants.gov, please refer to the Notice Inviting Applications that was published in the Federal Register, the Grants.gov Submission Procedures and Tips document found in the application package instructions, and visit http://www.grants.gov.

Applications Sent by Mail

You must mail the original and two copies of the application on or before the deadline date to. To help expedite our review of your application, we would appreciate your voluntarily including an additional 3 copies of your application.

Please mail copies to:

U.S. Department of Education Application Control Center Attention: CFDA# 84.326B 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20202-4260

You must show one of the following as proof of mailing:

- (1) A legibly dated U. S. Postal Service Postmark.
- (2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U. S. Postal Service.
- (3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.
- (4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the Secretary.

If you mail an application through the U.S. Postal Service, we do not accept either of the following as proof of mailing:

- (1) A private metered postmark.
- (2) A mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Services.

An applicant should note that the U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, an applicant should check with its local post office.

Applications Delivered by Commercial Carrier:

Special Note: Due to recent disruptions to normal mail delivery, the Department encourages you to consider using an alternative delivery method (for example, a commercial carrier, such as Federal Express or United Parcel Service; or U. S. Postal Service Express Mail) to transmit your application for this competition to the Department. If you use an alternative delivery method, please obtain the appropriate proof of mailing under "Applications Sent by Mail," then follow the mailing instructions under the appropriate delivery method.

Applications that are delivered by commercial carrier, such as Federal Express, United Parcel Service, etc. should be mailed to the:

U.S. Department of Education Application Control Center – Stop 4260 Attention: CFDA# 84.326B 7100 Old Landover Road Landover, MD 20785-1506

Applications Delivered by Hand

You or your courier must hand deliver the original and number of copies requested of the application by 4:30 p.m. (Washington, DC time) on or before the deadline date. To help expedite our review of your application, we would appreciate your voluntarily including an additional 3 copies of your application.

Please hand deliver copies to:

U.S. Department of Education Application Control Center Attention: CFDA# 84.326B 550 12th Street, S.W. PCP - Room 7041 Washington, DC 20202 – 4260

The Application Control Center accepts application deliveries daily between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (Washington, DC time), except Saturdays, Sundays and Federal holidays.

Appendix

Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs

This appendix applies to each program that is subject to the requirements of Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs) and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79.

The objective of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and to strengthen federalism by relying on State and local processes for State and local government coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

Applicants must contact the appropriate State Single Point of Contact to find out about, and to comply with, the State's process under Executive Order 12372. Applicants proposing to perform activities in more than one State should immediately contact the Single Point of Contact for each of those States and follow the procedure established in each of those States under the Executive order. A listing containing the Single Point of Contact for each State is included in this appendix.

In States that have not established a process or chosen a program for review, State, areawide, regional, and local entities may submit comments directly to the Department.

Any State Process Recommendation and other comments submitted by a State Single Point of Contact and any comments from State, areawide, regional, and local entities must be mailed or hand-delivered by the date indicated in the actual application notice to the following address:

The Secretary
EO 12372--CFDA# [commenter must insert number--including suffix letter, if any]
U.S. Department of Education, FB6 7W301
400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20202

Proof of mailing will be determined on the same basis as applications (see 34 CFR 75.102). Recommendations or comments may be hand-delivered until 4:30 p.m. (Washington, D.C. time) on the date indicated in the actual application notice.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE ABOVE ADDRESS IS NOT THE SAME ADDRESS AS THE ONE TO WHICH THE APPLICANT SUBMITS ITS COMPLETED APPLICATION. <u>DO NOT SEND APPLICATIONS TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS</u>.

STATE SINGLE POINTS OF CONTACT (SPOCs)

It is estimated that in 2004 the Federal Government will outlay \$400 billion in grants to State and local governments. Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," was issued with the desire to foster the intergovernmental partnership and strengthen federalism by relying on State and local processes for the coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance and direct Federal development. The Order allows each State to designate an entity to perform this function. Below is the official list of those entities. For those States that have a home page for their designated entity, a direct link has been provided on the official version: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/spoc.html.

States that are not listed on this page have chosen not to participate in the intergovernmental review process, and therefore do not have a SPOC. If you are located within one of these States, you may still send application materials directly to a Federal awarding agency.

Contact information for Federal agencies that award grants can be found in <u>The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog Contents Page</u>. You can access Appendix IV by Agency [http://12.46.245.173/CFDA/appx4_web.pdf] or by State [http://12.46.245.173/CFDA/appx4 web state.pdf].

ARKANSAS

Tracy L. Copeland Manager, State Clearinghouse Office of Intergovernmental Services Department of Finance and Administration 1515 W. 7th Street, Room 412

Little Rock, Arkansas 72203 Telephone: (501) 682-1074 FAX: (501) 682-5206

tracy.copeland@dfa.state.ar.us

DELAWARE

Jennifer L. Carlson Assoc. Fiscal & Policy Analyst Office of Management and Budget Budget Development, Planning & Admin. Haslet Armory, Third Floor

122 William Penn Street
Dover, Delaware 19901 SLC D570E

Telephone: (302) 739-4206

FAX: (302) 739-5661 jennifer.carlson@state.de.us

CALIFORNIA

Grants Coordination
State Clearinghouse
Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 222

Sacramento, California 95812-3044 Telephone: (916) 445-0613

FAX: (916) 323-3018

State.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Marlene Jefferson

DC Government Office of Partnerships and

Grants Development 414 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: (202) 727-6518 FAX: (202) 727-1652 marlene.Jefferson@dc.gov

FLORIDA

Lauren P. Milligan

Florida State Clearinghouse

Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection

3900 Commonwealth Boulevard

Mall Station 47

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Telephone: (850) 245-2161

FAX: (850) 245-2190

Lauren.Milligan@dep.state.fl.us

GEORGIA

Barbara Jackson

Georgia State Clearinghouse

270 Washington Street, SW, 8th Floor

Atlanta, Georgia 30334 Telephone: (404) 656-3855

FAX: (404) 656-7901

gach@mail.opb.state.ga.us

ILLINOIS

Roukaya McCaffrey

Department of Commerce and Economic

Opportunities

620 East Adams, 6th Floor Springfield, Illinois, 62701 Telephone: (217) 524-0188

FAX: (217) 558-0473

roukaya_mccaffrey@illinoisbiz.biz

IOWA

Kathy Mable

Iowa Department of Management State Capitol Building Room G12

1007 E Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Telephone: (515) 281-8834 FAX: (515) 242-5897

Kathy.Mable@iowa.gov

KENTUCKY

Ron Cook

The Governor's Office for Local Development

1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Telephone: (502) 573-2382 / (800) 346-5606

FAX: (502) 573-2512 Ron.Cook@Ky.Gov

MAINE

Joyce Benson

State Planning Office

184 State Street

38 State House Station

Augusta, Maine 04333 Telephone: (207) 287-3261

(direct): (207) 287-1461 FAX: (207) 287-6489

joyce.benson@state.me.us

MARYLAND

Linda C. Janey, J.D.

Director, Capital Planning and Development

Review

Maryland Department of Planning 301 West Preston Street, Room 1104 Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2305

Telephone: (410) 767-4490

FAX: (410) 767-4480 linda@mail.op.state.md.us

MICHIGAN

William Parkus

Southeast Michigan Council of Governments

535 Griswold, Suite 300 Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 961-4266

FAX: (313) 961-4869 parkus@semcog.org

MISSISSIPPI

Janet Riddell

Clearinghouse Officer

Department of Finance and Administration

1301 Woolfolk Building, Suite E

501 North West Street Jackson, Mississippi 39201 Telephone: (601) 359-6762 Fax: (601) 359-6758

JRiddell@dfa.state.ms.us

NEVADA

Zofia Targosz

Department of Administration

State Clearinghouse

209 E. Musser Street, Room 200

Carson City, Nevada 89701 Telephone: (775) 684-0209 FAX: (775) 684-0260

clearinghouse@budget.state.nv.us

MISSOURI

Sara VanderFeltz

Federal Assistance Clearinghouse

Office of Administration Commissioner's Office Capitol Building, Room 125 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Telephone: (573) 751-0337

FAX: (573) 751-1212 sara.vanderfeltz@oa.mo.gov

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Jack Ruderman

Acting Director, New Hampshire Office of

Energy and Planning

Attn: Intergovernmental Review Process

James P. Taylor 57 Regional Drive

Concord, New Hampshire 03301-8519

Telephone: (603) 271-2155 FAX: (603) 271-2615

irp@nh.gov

NEW YORK

Linda Shkrell

Office of Public Security

Homeland Security Grants Coordination

633 3rd Avenue

New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 867-1289

FAX: (212) 867-1725

NORTH DAKOTA

Jim Boyd

ND Department of Commerce

1600 East Century Avenue, Suite 2

P.O. Box 2057

Bismarck, North Dakota 58502-2057

Telephone: (701) 328-2676 FAX: (701) 328-2308 jboyd@state.nd.us

RHODE ISLAND

Joyce Karger

Department of Administration

One Capitol Hill

Providence Rhode Island 02908-5870

Telephone: (401) 222-6181 FAX: (401) 222-2083 jkarger@doa.state.ri.us **SOUTH CAROLINA**

Jean Ricard

Office of State Budget 1201 Main Street, Suite 870 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Telephone: (803) 734-1314 FAX: (803) 734-0645 jricard@budget.sc.us

TEXAS

Denise S. Francis

Director, State Grants Team

Governor's Office of Budget and Planning

P.O. Box 12428 Austin, Texas 78711

Telephone: (512) 305-9415 FAX: (512) 936-2681

dfrancis@governor.state.tx.us

UTAH

Sophia DiCaro

Utah State Clearinghouse

Governor's Office of Planning and Budget

Utah Capitol Complex

Suite E210, P.O. Box 142210 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-2210 Telephone: (801) 538-1027

FAX: (801) 538-1547 ddicaro@utah.gov

WEST VIRGINIA

Bobby Lewis, Director

Community Development Division West Virginia Development Office

Building #6, Room 553

Charleston, West Virginia 25305

Telephone: (304) 558-4010 FAX: (304) 558-3248 rlewis@wvdo.org

WISCONSIN

Division of Intergovernmental Relations Wisconsin Department of Administration

101 East Wilson Street, 10th Floor

P.O. Box 8944

Madison, Wisconsin 53708 Telephone: (608) 266-7043 FAX: (608) 267-6917 SPOC@doa.state.wi.us

AMERICAN SAMOA

Pat M. Galea'i

Federal Grants/Programs Coordinator Office of Federal Programs / Office of

the Governor

Department of Commerce American Samoa Government Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799

Telephone: (684) 633-5155

Fax: (684) 633-4195

pmgaleai@samoatelco.com

GUAM

Director

Bureau of Budget and Mgmt. Research

Office of the Governor

P.O. Box 2950

Agana, Guam 96910

Telephone: 011-671-472-2285 FAX: 011-671-472-2825

jer@ns.gov.gu

NORTH MARIANA ISLANDS

Ms. Jacoba T. Seman

Federal Programs Coordinator Office of Management and Budget

Office of the Governor Saipan, MP 96950

Telephone: (670) 664-2289 FAX: (670) 664-2272 omb.jseman@saipan.com

PUERTO RICO

Jose Caballero / Mayra Silva Puerto Rico Planning Board Federal Proposals Review Office Minillas Government Center

P.O. Box 41119

San Juan, Puerto Rico 00940-1119

Telephone: (787) 723-6190 FAX: (787) 722-6783

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Ira Mills

Director, Office of Management and Budget # 41 Norre Gade Emancipation Garden Station, Second Floor Saint Thomas, Virgin Islands 00802

Telephone: (340) 774-0750 FAX: (787) 776-0069 Irmills@usvi.org

Changes to this list can be made only after OMB is notified by a State's officially designated representative. E-mail messages can be sent to Hai_M._Tran@omb.eop.gov. If you prefer, you may send correspondence to the following postal address:

Attn: Grants Management
Office of Management and Budget
New Executive Office Building, Suite 6025
725 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20503

Please note: Inquiries about obtaining a Federal grant should not be sent to the OMB email or postal address shown above. The best source for this information is the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance or CFDA http://www.cfda.gov and the Grants.gov website (http://www.grants.gov).

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS

(ENSURING EQUITABLE ACCESS)

APPLICATION FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS

The purpose of this enclosure is to inform you about a new provision in the Department of Education's General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) that applies to applicants for new grant awards under Department programs. This provision is Section 427 of GEPA, enacted as part of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (Public Law (P.L.) 103-382).

To Whom Does This Provision Apply?

Section 427 of GEPA affects applicants for new grant awards under this program. ALL APPLICANTS FOR NEW AWARDS MUST INCLUDE INFORMATION IN THEIR APPLICATIONS TO ADDRESS THIS NEW PROVISION IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FUNDING UNDER THIS PROGRAM.

(If this program is a State-formula grant program, a State needs to provide this description only for projects or activities that it carries out with funds reserved for State-level uses. In addition, local school districts or other eligible applicants that apply to the State for funding need to provide this description in their applications to the State for funding. The State would be responsible for ensuring that the school district or other local entity has submitted a sufficient section 427 statement as described below.)

What Does This Provision Require?

Section 427 requires each applicant for funds (other than an individual person) to include in its application a description of the steps the applicant proposes to take to ensure equitable access to, and participation in, its Federally-assisted program for students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries with special needs. This provision allows applicants discretion in developing the required description. The statute highlights six types of barriers that can impede equitable access or participation: gender, race, national origin, color, disability, or age. Based on local circumstances, you should determine whether these or other barriers may prevent your students, teachers, etc. from such access or participation in, the Federally-funded project or activity.

The description in your application of steps to be taken to overcome these barriers need not be lengthy; you may provide a clear and succinct description of how you plan to address those barriers that are applicable to your circumstances. In addition, the information may be provided in a single narrative, or, if appropriate, may

be discussed in connection with related topics in the application.

Section 427 is not intended to duplicate the requirements of civil rights statutes, but rather to ensure that, in designing their projects, applicants for Federal funds address equity concerns that may affect the ability of certain potential beneficiaries to fully participate in the project and to achieve to high standards. Consistent with program requirements and its approved application, an applicant may use the Federal funds awarded to it to eliminate barriers it identifies.

What are Examples of How an Applicant Might Satisfy the Requirement of This Provision?

The following examples may help illustrate how an applicant may comply with Section 427.

- (1) An applicant that proposes to carry out an adult literacy project serving, among others, adults with limited English proficiency, might describe in its application how it intends to distribute a brochure about the proposed project to such potential participants in their native language.
- (2) An applicant that proposes to develop instructional materials for classroom use might describe how it will make the materials available on audio tape or in braille for students who are blind.
- (3) An applicant that proposes to carry out a model science program for secondary students and is concerned that girls may be less likely than boys to enroll in the course, might indicate how it intends to conduct "outreach" efforts to girls, to encourage their enrollment.

We recognize that many applicants may already be implementing effective steps to ensure equity of access and participation in their grant programs, and we appreciate your cooperation in responding to the requirements of this provision.

Estimated Burden Statement for GEPA Requirements

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is **1890-0007.** The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1.5 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** Director, Grants Policy and Oversight Staff, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202-4250.

APPLICATION FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS

The application is divided into four parts. These parts are organized in the same manner that the submitted application should be organized. These parts are as follows:

Part I: Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424) and Instructions.

Part II: Budget Information -- Non-Construction Programs (ED Form 524) and

Instructions.

Part III: Application Narrative.

Part IV: Assurances and Certifications --

Assurances -- Non-Construction Programs (Standard Form 424B).

Certifications Regarding Lobbying (ED Form 80-0013).

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.

An applicant may submit information on a photostatic copy of the application and budget forms, the assurances, and the certifications. However, the application form, the assurances, and the certifications must each have an <u>original signature</u>. No grant may be awarded unless a completed application form has been received.

Application for Federal Assistan	ce SF-424		Version 02
*1. Type of Submission:	*2. Type of Applicati	ion * If Revision, select appropriate letter(s)	
☐ Preapplication	☐ New		
☐ Application	☐ Continuation	*Other (Specify)	
☐ Changed/Corrected Application	Revision		
3. Date Received: 4.	Applicant Identifier:		
5a. Federal Entity Identifier:		*5b. Federal Award Identifier:	
State Use Only:			
6. Date Received by State:	7. State Ap	oplication Identifier:	
8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:			
*a. Legal Name:		_	
*b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification N	Number (EIN/TIN):	*c. Organizational DUNS:	
d. Address:			
*Street 1:			
Street 2:			
*City:			
County:			
*State:			
Province:			
*Country:			
*Zip / Postal Code			
e. Organizational Unit:			
Department Name:		Division Name:	
f. Name and contact information of	person to be contac	ted on matters involving this application:	
Prefix:	*First Name:		
Middle Name:			
*Last Name:			
Suffix:			
Title:			

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424		Version 02
Organizational Affiliation:		
*Telephone Number:	Fax Number:	
*Email:		
*9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:		
Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:		
Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:		
*Other (Specify)		
*10 Name of Federal Agency:		
11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:		
CFDA Title:		
*12 Funding Opportunity Number:		
*Title:		
riue.		
13. Competition Identification Number:		
Title:		

Application for Federal Assistance SF-42	Version 02
14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Countie	es, States, etc.):
*15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:	
,	
40. Oppose principal Pinteints Of	
16. Congressional Districts Of:	
*a. Applicant:	*b. Program/Project:
17. Proposed Project:	
*a. Start Date:	*b. End Date:
18. Estimated Funding (\$):	
*a. Federal	
*b. Applicant	
*c. State	
*d. Local	
*e. Other	
*f. Program Income *g. TOTAL	
9. 1017/12	
*19. Is Application Subject to Review By Sta	te Under Executive Order 12372 Process?
$\hfill \square$ a. This application was made available to the	e State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on
☐ b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has	not been selected by the State for review.
☐ c. Program is not covered by E. O. 12372	
*20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Fed	eral Debt? (If "Yes", provide explanation.)
☐ Yes ☐ No	

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424		Version 02
21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in tare true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provid resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U. S. Code, Title 218, Section 1	e the required assura fraudulent statement	nces** and agree to comply with any
□ ** I AGREE		
** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you magency specific instructions	ay obtain this list, is co	ontained in the announcement or
Authorized Representative:		
Prefix: *First Name:		
Middle Name:		
*Last Name:		
Suffix:		
*Title:		
*Telephone Number:	Fax Number:	
* Email:		
*Signature of Authorized Representative:		*Date Signed:

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424 (Revised 10/2005) Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SF-424

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0043), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

This is a standard form used by applicants as a required face sheet for pre-applications and applications submitted for Federal assistance. It will be used by Federal agencies to obtain applicant certification that States which have established a review and comment procedure in response to Executive Order 12372 and have selected the program to be included in their process, have been given an opportunity to review the applicant's submission.

Item:	Entry:	Item:	Entry:
1.	Select Type of Submission.	11.	Enter a brief descriptive title of the project. If more than one program is involved, you should append an explanation on a separate sheet. If appropriate (e.g., construction or real property projects), attach a map showing project location. For preapplications, use a separate sheet to provide a summary description of this project.
2.	Date application submitted to Federal agency (or State if applicable) and applicant's control number (if applicable).	12.	List only the largest political entities affected (e.g., State, counties, cities).
3.	State use only (if applicable).	13	Enter the proposed start date and end date of the project.
4.	Enter Date Received by Federal Agency Federal identifier number: If this application is a continuation or revision to an existing award, enter the present Federal Identifier number. If for a new project, leave blank.	14.	List the applicant's Congressional District and any District(s) affected by the program or project
5.	Enter legal name of applicant, name of primary organizational unit (including division, if applicable), which will undertake the assistance activity, enter the organization's DUNS number (received from Dun and Bradstreet), enter the complete address of the applicant (including country), and name, telephone number, e-mail and fax of the person to contact on matters related to this application.	15	Amount requested or to be contributed during the first funding/budget period by each contributor. Value of in kind contributions should be included on appropriate lines as applicable. If the action will result in a dollar change to an existing award, indicate only the amount of the change. For decreases, enclose the amounts in parentheses. If both basic and supplemental amounts are included, show breakdown on an attached sheet. For multiple program funding, use totals and show breakdown using same categories as item 15.
6.	Enter Employer Identification Number (EIN) as assigned by the Internal Revenue Service.	16.	Applicants should contact the State Single Point of Contact (SPOC) for Federal Executive Order 12372 to determine whether the application is subject to the State intergovernmental review process.
7.	Select the appropriate letter in the space provided. A. State B. County C. Municipal D. Township E. Interstate F. Intermunicipal G. Special District H. Independent School District Sale A. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning J. Private University K. Indian Tribe L. Individual M. Profit Organization N. Other (Specify) O. Not for Profit Organization	17.	This question applies to the applicant organization, not the person who signs as the authorized representative. Categories of debt include delinquent audit disallowances, loans and taxes.
8.	Select the type from the following list: "New" means a new assistance award. "Continuation" means an extension for an additional funding/budget period for a project with a projected completion date. "Revision" means any change in the Federal Government's financial obligation or contingent liability from an existing obligation. If a revision enter the appropriate letter: A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration D. Decrease Duration	18	To be signed by the authorized representative of the applicant. A copy of the governing body's authorization for you to sign this application as official representative must be on file in the applicant's office. (Certain Federal agencies may require that this authorization be submitted as part of the application.)
9.	Name of Federal agency from which assistance is being requested with this application.		
10.	Use the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number and title of the program under which assistance is requested.		

SF-424 (Rev. 7-97) Back

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

REQUIRED FOR DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

1. Project Direct	or:					
Prefix:		st Name:	Mi	ddle Name	: *Last N	ame:
	Suffix:					
Address:						
* Stre	eet1:					
Street	:2:					
* City	<i>7</i> :					
County	/:					
* Stat		* Zip Co		* Coun	_	
* Phone I	Number (give	e area co	de)	rax Nu	mber (give a	area
Email Ad	dress:					
2 Appli	ant Ernoria					
Z. Appii	<u>cant Experie</u>	ence:				
Novice to this	Applicant program		Yes	No	Not app	licable
3. <u>Human</u>	Subjects Re	search:				
any time	y research a during the ed project E No		s involvi	ng human	subjects pl	anned at
	L the resear rom the regu Provide Ex Provide As	lations? cemption(s) #:		ignated to	be
Please a	ttach an exp	lanation	Narrativ	<u>'e:</u>		
		Add Attachmen	nt Delete At	tachment Vie	w Attachment	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR SF 424

- **1. Project Director.** Name, address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application.
- **2. Novice Applicant.** Check **"Yes"** or **"No"** only if assistance is being requested under a program that gives special consideration to novice applicants. Otherwise, **leave blank.**

Check "Yes" if you meet the requirements for novice applicants specified in the regulations in 34 CFR 75.225 and included on the attached page entitled "Definitions for Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424." By checking "Yes" the applicant certifies that it meets these novice applicant requirements. Check "No" if you do not meet the requirements for novice applicants.

3. Human Subjects Research. (See I. A. "Definitions" in attached page entitled "Definitions for Department of Education Supplemental Information For SF 424.")

If Not Human Subjects Research. Check "No" if research activities involving human subjects are not planned at any time during the proposed project period. The remaining parts of Item 3 are then not applicable.

If Human Subjects Research. Check "Yes" if research activities involving human subjects are planned at any time during the proposed project period, either at the applicant organization or at any other performance site or collaborating institution. Check "Yes" even if the research is exempt from the regulations for the protection of human subjects. (See I. B. "Exemptions" in attached page entitled "Definitions for Department of Education Supplemental Information For SF 424.")

3a. If Human Subjects Research is Exempt from the Human Subjects Regulations. Check "Yes" if all the research activities proposed are designated to be exempt from the regulations. Insert the exemption number(s) corresponding to one or more of the six exemption categories listed in I. B. "Exemptions." In addition, follow the instructions in II. A. "Exempt Research Narrative" in the attached page entitled "Definitions for Department of Education Supplemental Information For SF 424."

3a. If Human Subjects Research is Not Exempt from Human Subjects Regulations. Check "No" if some or all of the planned research activities are covered (not exempt). In addition, follow the instructions in II. B. "Nonexempt Research

Narrative" in the page entitled "Definitions for Department of Education Supplemental Information For SF 424

3a. Human Subjects Assurance Number. If the applicant has an approved Federal Wide (FWA) on file with the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, that covers the specific activity, insert the number in the space provided. If the applicant does not have an approved assurance on file with OHRP, enter "None." In this case, the applicant, by signature on the SF-424, is declaring that it will comply with 34 CFR 97 and proceed to obtain the human subjects assurance upon request by the designated ED official. If the application is recommended/selected for funding, the designated ED official will request that the applicant obtain the assurance within 30 days after the specific formal request.

Note about Institutional Review Board Approval. ED does not require certification of Institutional Review Board approval with the application. However, if an application that involves non-exempt human subjects research is recommended/selected for funding, the designated ED official will request that the applicant obtain and send the certification to ED within 30 days after the formal request.

Paperwork Burden Statement. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1890-0017. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average between 15 and 45 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:

U.S. Department of Education Washington, DC 20202-4700

If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form write directly to:

Joyce I. Mays Application Control Center U.S. Department of Education, Potomac Center Plaza 550 12th Street, S.W. Room 7076 Washington, DC 20202-4260

DEFINITIONS FOR DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR SF 424

(Attachment to Instructions for Supplemental Information for SF 424)

Definitions:

Novice Applicant (See 34 CFR 75.225). For discretionary grant programs under which the Secretary gives special consideration to novice applications, a novice applicant means any applicant for a grant from ED that—

- Has never received a grant or subgrant under the program from which it seeks funding;
- Has never been a member of a group application, submitted in accordance with 34 CFR 75.127-75.129, that received a grant under the program from which it seeks funding; and
- Has not had an active discretionary grant from the Federal government in the five years before the deadline date for applications under the program. For the purposes of this requirement, a grant is active until the end of the grant's project or funding period, including any extensions of those periods that extend the grantee's authority to obligate funds.

In the case of a group application submitted in accordance with 34 CFR 75.127-75.129, a group includes only parties that meet the requirements listed above.

PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH

I. Definitions and Exemptions

A. Definitions.

A research activity involves human subjects if the activity is research, as defined in the Department's regulations, and the research activity will involve use of human subjects, as defined in the regulations.

-Research

The ED Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects, Title 34, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97, define research as "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge." If an activity follows a deliberate plan whose purpose is to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge it is research. Activities which meet this definition constitute research whether or not they are conducted or supported under a program that is considered research for other purposes. For example, some demonstration and service programs may include research activities.

-Human Subject

The regulations define human subject as "a living individual about whom an investigator (whether professional or student) conducting research obtains (1) data through intervention or interaction with the

individual, or (2) identifiable private information." (1) If an activity involves obtaining information about a living person by manipulating that person or that person's environment, as might occur when a new instructional technique is tested, or by communicating or interacting with the individual, as occurs with surveys and interviews, the definition of human subject is met. (2) If an activity involves obtaining private information about a living person in such a way that the information can be linked to that individual (the identity of the subject is or may be readily determined by the investigator or associated with the information), the definition of human subject is met. [Private information includes information about behavior that occurs in a context in which an individual can reasonably expect that no observation or recording is taking place, and information which has been provided for specific purposes by an individual and which the individual can reasonably expect will not be made public (for example, a school health record).]

B. Exemptions.

Research activities in which the <u>only</u> involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the following six categories of *exemptions* are not covered by the regulations:

- (1) Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, involving normal educational practices, such as (a) research on regular and special education instructional strategies, or (b) research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.
- (2) Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior, unless: (a) information obtained is recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; and (b) any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research could reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects' financial standing, employability, or reputation. If the subjects are children, exemption 2 applies only to research involving educational tests and observations of public behavior when the investigator(s) do not participate in the activities being observed. Exemption 2 does not apply if children are surveyed or interviewed or if the research involves observation of public behavior and the investigator(s) participate in the activities being observed. [Children are defined as persons who have not attained the legal age for consent to treatments or procedures involved in the research, under the applicable law or jurisdiction in which the research will be conducted.]
- (3) Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior that is not exempt under section (2) above, if the human subjects are elected or

appointed public officials or candidates for public office; or federal statute(s) require(s) without exception that the confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter.

- (4) Research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are publicly available or if the information is recorded by the investigator in a manner that subjects cannot be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects.
- (5) Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by or subject to the approval of department or agency heads, and which are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine: (a) public benefit or service programs; (b) procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs; (c) possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or (d) possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.
- (6) Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies, (a) if wholesome foods without additives are consumed or (b) if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at or below the level and for a use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at or below the level found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

II. Instructions for Exempt and Nonexempt Human Subjects Research Narratives

If the applicant marked "Yes" for Item 3 of Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424, the applicant must provide a human subjects "exempt research" or "nonexempt research" narrative. Insert the narrative(s) in the space provided. If you have multiple projects and need to provide more than one narrative, be sure to label each set of responses as to the project they address.

A. Exempt Research Narrative.

If you marked "Yes" for item 3 a. and designated exemption numbers(s), provide the "exempt research" narrative. The narrative must contain sufficient information about the involvement of human subjects in the proposed research to allow a determination by ED that the designated exemption(s) are appropriate. The narrative must be succinct.

B. Nonexempt Research Narrative.

If you marked "No" for item 3 a. you must provide the "nonexempt research" narrative. The narrative must address the following seven points. Although no specific page limitation applies to this section of the application, be succinct.

(1) **Human Subjects Involvement and Characteristics**: Provide a detailed description of the proposed involvement of human subjects. Describe the characteristics of the subject population, including their anticipated number, age range, and health status. Identify the

criteria for inclusion or exclusion of any subpopulation. Explain the rationale for the involvement of special classes of subjects, such as children, children with disabilities, adults with disabilities, persons with mental disabilities, pregnant women, prisoners, institutionalized individuals, or others who are likely to be vulnerable

- (2) **Sources of Materials**: Identify the sources of research material obtained from individually identifiable living human subjects in the form of specimens, records, or data. Indicate whether the material or data will be obtained specifically for research purposes or whether use will be made of existing specimens, records, or data.
- (3) **Recruitment and Informed Consent**: Describe plans for the recruitment of subjects and the consent procedures to be followed. Include the circumstances under which consent will be sought and obtained, who will seek it, the nature of the information to be provided to prospective subjects, and the method of documenting consent. State if the Institutional Review Board (IRB) has authorized a modification or waiver of the elements of consent or the requirement for documentation of consent.
- (4) **Potential Risks**: Describe potential risks (physical, psychological, social, legal, or other) and assess their likelihood and seriousness. Where appropriate, describe alternative treatments and procedures that might be advantageous to the subjects.
- (5) **Protection Against Risk**: Describe the procedures for protecting against or minimizing potential risks, including risks to confidentiality, and assess their likely effectiveness. Where appropriate, discuss provisions for ensuring necessary medical or professional intervention in the event of adverse effects to the subjects. Also, where appropriate, describe the provisions for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of the subjects.
- (6) Importance of the Knowledge to be Gained: Discuss the importance of the knowledge gained or to be gained as a result of the proposed research. Discuss why the risks to subjects are reasonable in relation to the anticipated benefits to subjects and in relation to the importance of the knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result.
- (7) **Collaborating Site(s)**: If research involving human subjects will take place at collaborating site(s) or other performance site(s), name the sites and briefly describe their involvement or role in the research.

Copies of the Department of Education's Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects, 34 CFR Part 97 and other pertinent materials on the protection of human subjects in research are available from the Grants Policy and Oversight Staff, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4250, telephone: (202) 245-6120, and on the U.S. Department of Education's Protection of Human Subjects in Research Web Site:

http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/OCFO/humansub.html
NOTE: The State Applicant Identifier on the SF 424 is for State
Use only. Please complete it on the OMB Standard 424 in the
upper right corner of the form (if applicable).



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION BUDGET INFORMATION NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

OMB Control Number:

1890-0004

Expiration Date: 10-31-2007

N T	c	T	. •	'		. •
Name	Oİ.	Institu	ition/	'Org	anıza	ıtıon

Applicants requesting funding for only one year should complete the column under "Project Year 1." Applicants requesting funding for multi-year grants should complete all applicable columns. Please read all instructions before completing form.

SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION FUNDS

Budget Categories	Project Year 1 (a)	Project Year 2 (b)	Project Year 3 (c)	Project Year 4 (d)	Project Year 5 (e)	Total (f)
1. Personnel						
2. Fringe Benefits						
3. Travel						
4. Equipment						
5. Supplies						
6. Contractual						
7. Construction						
8. Other						
9. Total Direct Costs (lines 1-8)						
10. Indirect Costs*						
11. Training Stipends						
12. Total Costs (lines 9-11)						

*Indirect Cost Information (To Be Completed by Your Business Office):

If you are requesting reimbursement for indirect costs on line 10, please answer the following questions:

- (1) Do you have an Indirect Cost Rate Agreement approved by the Federal government? ____Yes ____ No
- (2) If yes, please provide the following information:

Period Covered by the Indirect Cost Rate Agreement: From: __/__/____ To: __/____ (mm/dd/yyyy)

Approving Federal agency: ____ ED ____ Other (please specify): _____

(3) For Restricted Rate Programs (check one) -- Are you using a restricted indirect cost rate that:

___ Is included in your approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement? or ___ Complies with 34 CFR 76.564(c)(2)?

ED 524

Applicants requesting funding for only one year should complete the column Name of Institution/Organization under "Project Year 1." Applicants requesting funding for multi-year grants should complete all applicable columns. Please read all instructions before completing form. **SECTION B - BUDGET SUMMARY NON-FEDERAL FUNDS** Project Year 1 Project Year 2 Project Year 3 Project Year 4 Project Year 5 Total **Budget Categories** (a) (b) (d) (f) (c) (e) 1. Personnel 2. Fringe Benefits 3. Travel 4. Equipment 5. Supplies 6. Contractual 7. Construction 8. Other 9. Total Direct Costs (Lines 1-8) 10. Indirect Costs 11. Training Stipends 12. Total Costs (Lines 9-11)

Instructions for ED 524

General Instructions

This form is used to apply to individual U.S. Department of Education (ED) discretionary grant programs. Unless directed otherwise, provide the same budget information for each year of the multi-year funding request. Pay attention to applicable program specific instructions, if attached. Please consult with your Business Office prior to submitting this form.

Section A - Budget Summary U.S. Department of Education Funds

All applicants must complete Section A and provide a breakdown by the applicable budget categories shown in lines 1-11.

Lines 1-11, columns (a)-(e): For each project year for which funding is requested, show the total amount requested for each applicable budget category.

Lines 1-11, column (f): Show the multi-year total for each budget category. If funding is requested for only one project year, leave this column blank.

Line 12, columns (a)-(e): Show the total budget request for each project year for which funding is requested.

Line 12, column (f): Show the total amount requested for all project years. If funding is requested for only one year, leave this space blank.

Indirect Cost Information:

If you are requesting reimbursement for indirect costs on line 10, this information is to be completed by your Business Office. (1): Indicate whether or not your organization has an Indirect Cost Rate Agreement that was approved by the Federal government. (2): If you checked "yes" in (1), indicate in (2) the beginning and ending dates covered by the Indirect Cost Rate Agreement. In addition, indicate whether ED or another Federal agency (Other) issued the approved agreement. If you check "Other," specify the name of the Federal agency that issued the approved agreement. (3): If you are applying for a grant under a Restricted Rate Program (34 CFR 75.563 or 76.563), indicate whether you are using a restricted indirect cost rate that is included on your approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement or whether you are using a restricted indirect cost rate that complies with

34 CFR 76.564(c)(2). Note: State or Local government agencies may not use the provision for a restricted indirect cost rate specified in 34 CFR 76.564(c)(2). Check only one response. Leave blank, if this item is not applicable.

Section B - Budget Summary Non-Federal Funds

If you are required to provide or volunteer to provide matching funds or other non-Federal resources to the project, these should be shown for each applicable budget category on lines 1-11 of Section B.

Lines 1-11, columns (a)-(e): For each project year, for which matching funds or other contributions are provided, show the total contribution for each applicable budget category.

Lines 1-11, column (f): Show the multi-year total for each budget category. If non-Federal contributions are provided for only one year, leave this column blank.

Line 12, columns (a)-(e): Show the total matching or other contribution for each project year.

Line 12, column (f): Show the total amount to be contributed for all years of the multi-year project. If non-Federal contributions are provided for only one year, leave this space blank.

Section C - Budget Narrative [Attach separate sheet(s)] Pay attention to applicable program specific instructions, if attached.

- 1. Provide an itemized budget breakdown, and justification by project year, for each budget category listed in Sections A and B. For grant projects that will be divided into two or more separately budgeted major activities or sub-projects, show for each budget category of a project year the breakdown of the specific expenses attributable to each sub-project or activity.
- 2. If applicable to this program, provide the rate and base on which fringe benefits are calculated.
- 3. If you are requesting reimbursement for indirect costs on line 10, this information is to be completed by your Business Office. Specify the estimated amount of the base to which the indirect cost rate is applied and the total indirect expense. Depending on the grant program to which you are applying and/or your approved Indirect

Cost Rate Agreement, some direct cost budget categories in your grant application budget may not be included in the base and multiplied by your indirect cost rate. For example, you must multiply the indirect cost rates of "Training grants" (34 CFR 75.562) and grants under programs with "Supplement not Supplant" requirements ("Restricted Rate" programs) by a "modified total direct cost" (MTDC) base (34 CFR 75.563 or 76.563). Please indicate which costs are included and which costs are excluded from the base to which the indirect cost rate is applied.

When calculating indirect costs (line 10) for "Training grants" or grants under "Restricted Rate" programs, you must refer to the information and examples on ED's website at:

 $\frac{http://www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms}{.html}\;.$

You may also contact (202) 377-3838 for additional information regarding calculating indirect cost rates or general indirect cost rate information.

 Provide other explanations or comments you deem necessary.

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is **1890-0004**. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to vary from 13 to 22 hours per response, with an average of 17.5 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to (insert program office), U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

PART III - APPLICATION NARRATIVE

This narrative section of the application requires applicants to address the selection criteria that will be used by reviewers in evaluating individual applications. Please refer to the "Selection Criteria and Format" sections in this package for the competition to which you wish to submit an application.

Also, all of the competitions covered by this package have page limitations for the application narrative. Please refer to the "Page Limits" information for the competition to which you wish to submit an application.

ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

Note: Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

- Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance, and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management, and completion of the project described in this application.
- 2. Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States, and if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
- Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
- 4. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
- 5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
- Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of

- 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) §§ 523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§ 290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
- 7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
- Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.
- Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §\$276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §\$874) and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §\$ 327-333), regarding labor standards for federally assisted construction subagreements.
- 10. Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.

- 11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clear Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clear Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, (P.L. 93-523); and (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, (P.L. 93-205).
- 12 Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. §§1721 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.
- 13. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).

- 14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 16. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead- based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
- 17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
- 18. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations and policies governing this program.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL	TITLE	
		<u>. </u>
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION		DATE SUBMITTED

Standard Form 424B (Rev. 7-97) Back

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements.

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal Loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loam or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance.

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee or any agency, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Applicant's Organization	
Printed Name of Authorized Representative	Printed Title of Authorized Representative
Signature	Date

ED80-0013 08/05

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352 (See reverse for public burden disclosure)

1. Type of Federal Action:	2. Status of Fede a. bid/offe b. initial a c. post-aw	er/application ward	3. Report Type: a. initial filing b. material change For material change only: Year quarter Date of last report
4. Name and Address of Reporting En Prime Subawardee, if F	·	5. If Reporting and Address	Entity in No. 4 is Subawardee, Enter Name of Prime:
Congressional District, if known:			nal District, if known:
6. Federal Department/Agency:		C	ram Name/Description: f applicable:
8. Federal Action Number, if known:		9. Award Amou	nt, if known:
,,		\$	
10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying R (if individual, last name, first name, MI)		b. Individuals P different from No. (last name, firs	
11. Information requested through this form title 31 U.S.C. section 1352. This disclosure of is a material representation of fact upon whise placed by the tier above when this transaction entered into. This disclosure is required purs 1352. This information will be reported to the annually and will be available for public inspection who fails to file the required disclosure shall penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more each such failure.	of lobbying activities ch reliance was made or suant to 31 U.S.C. e Congress semi- dection. Any person be subject to a civil	Print Name:	Date:
Federal Use Only		Authorized for Lo Standard Form - I	•

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF SF-LLL, DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

This disclosure form shall be completed by the reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime Federal recipient, at the initiation or receipt of a covered Federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to title 31 U.S.C. section 1352. The filing of a form is required for each payment or agreement to make payment to any lobbying entity for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with a covered Federal action. Complete all items that apply for both the initial filing and material change report. Refer to the implementing guidance published by the Office of Management and Budget for additional information.

- 1. Identify the type of covered Federal action for which lobbying activity is and/or has been secured to influence the outcome of a covered Federal action.
- 2. Identify the status of the covered Federal action.
- 3. Identify the appropriate classification of this report. If this is a followup report caused by a material change to the information previously reported, enter the year and quarter in which the change occurred. Enter the date of the last previously submitted report by this reporting entity for this covered Federal action.
- 4. Enter the full name, address, city, State and zip code of the reporting entity. Include Congressional District, if known. Check the appropriate classification of the reporting entity that designates if it is, or expects to be, a prime or subaward recipient. Identify the tier of the subawardee, e.g., the first subawardee of the prime is the 1st tier. Subawards include but are not limited to subcontracts, subgrants and contract awards under grants.
- 5. If the organization filing the report in item 4 checks "Subawardee," then enter the full name, address, city, State and zip code of the prime Federal recipient. Include Congressional District, if known.
- 6. Enter the name of the federal agency making the award or loan commitment. Include at least one organizational level below agency name, if known. For example, Department of Transportation, United States Coast Guard.
- 7. Enter the Federal program name or description for the covered Federal action (item 1). If known, enter the full Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for grants, cooperative agreements, loans, and loan commitments.
- 8. Enter the most appropriate Federal identifying number available for the Federal action identified in item 1 (e.g., Request for Proposal (RFP) number; Invitations for Bid (IFB) number; grant announcement number; the contract, grant, or loan award number; the application/proposal control number assigned by the Federal agency). Included prefixes, e.g., "RFP-DE-90-001."
- 9. For a covered Federal action where there has been an award or loan commitment by the Federal agency, enter the Federal amount of the award/loan commitment for the prime entity identified in item 4 or 5.
- 10. (a) Enter the full name, address, city, State and zip code of the lobbying registrant under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 engaged by the reporting entity identified in item 4 to influence the covered Federal action.
 - (b) Enter the full names of the individual(s) performing services, and include full address if different from 10(a). Enter Last Name, First Name, and Middle Initial (MI).
- 11. The certifying official shall sign and date the form, print his/her name, title, and telephone number.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control Number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is OMB No. 0348-0046. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0046), Washington, DC 20503.

Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants

OMB No. 1890-0014 Exp. 02/28/09

Purpose: The Federal government is committed to ensuring that all qualified applicants, small or large, non-religious or faith-based, have an equal opportunity to compete for Federal funding. In order for us to better understand the population of applicants for Federal funds, we are asking nonprofit private organizations (not including private universities) to fill out this survey.

Upon receipt, the survey will be separated from the application. Information provided on the survey will not be considered in any way in making funding decisions and will not be included in the Federal grants database. While your help in this data collection process is greatly appreciated, completion of this survey is voluntary.

<u>Instructions for Submitting the Survey:</u> If you are applying using a hard copy application, please place the completed survey in an envelope labeled "Applicant Survey." Seal the envelope and include it along with your application package. If you are applying electronically, please submit this survey along with your application.

	CFDA Number:
Has the applicant ever received a grant or contract from the Federal government?	7. What is the size of the applicant's annual budget?
Yes No	(Check only one box.)
2. Is the applicant a faith-based organization?	Less Than \$150,000 \$150,000 - \$299,999
Yes No	\$300,000 - \$499,999
3. Is the applicant a secular organization?	\$500,000 - \$999,999
Yes No	\$1,000,000 - \$4,999,999
4. Does the applicant have 501(c)(3) status?	\$5,000,000 or more
Yes No	
5. Is the applicant a local affiliate of a national organization?	
Yes No	
6. How many full-time equivalent employees does the applicant have? (Check only one box).	
3 or Fewer 15-50	
4-5 51-100 over 100	

Survey Instructions on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants

Provide the applicant's (organization) name and DUNS number and the grant name and CFDA number.

- 1. Self-explanatory.
- 2. Self-identify.
- 3. Self-identify.
- 4. 501(c)(3) status is a legal designation provided on application to the Internal Revenue Service by eligible organizations. Some grant programs may require nonprofit applicants to have 501(c)(3) status. Other grant programs do not.
- 5. Self-explanatory.
- 6. For example, two part-time employees who each work half-time equal one full-time equivalent employee. If the applicant is a local affiliate of a national organization, the responses to survey questions 2 and 3 should reflect the staff and budget size of the local affiliate.
- 7. Annual budget means the amount of money your organization spends each year on all of its activities.

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1890-0014. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average five (5) minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** The Agency Contact listed in this grant application package.

OMB No. 1890-0014 Exp. 02/28/09

NOTICE TO ALL APPLICANTS:

Program Performance Measures Under The Government Performance And Results Act (GPRA)

What is GPRA

The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 is a straightforward statute that requires all Federal agencies to manage their activities with attention to the consequences of those activities. Each agency is to clearly state what it intends to accomplish, identify the resources required, and periodically report it's progress to the Congress. In doing so, it is expected that GPRA will contribute to improvements in accountability for the expenditures of public funds, improve Congressional decision-making through more objective information on the effectiveness of Federal programs, and promote a new government focus on results, service delivery, and customer satisfaction.

How has the United States Department of Education responded to the GPRA Requirements?

As required by GPRA, the United States Department of Education (the Department) has prepared a strategic plan for 2002-2007. This plan reflects the Department's priorities and integrates them with its mission and program authorities and describes how the Department will work to improve education for all children and adults in the United States. The Department's goals, as listed in the plan, are:

- Goal 1: Create a Culture of Achievement: Create a culture of achievement throughout the nation's education system by effectively implementing the new law, the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, and by basing all federal education programs on its principles: accountability, flexibility, expanded parental options and doing what works.
- Goal 2: **Improve Student Achievement:** Improve student achievement for all groups of students by putting reading first, expanding high-quality mathematics and science teaching, reforming high schools, and boosting teacher and principal quality, thereby closing the achievement gap.
- Goal 3: **Develop Safe Schools and Strong Character:** Establish disciplined and drug-free education environments that foster the development of good character and citizenship.
- Goal 4: Transform Education into an Evidence-based Field: Strengthen the quality of education research.
- Goal 5: Enhance the Quality of and Access to Postsecondary and Adult Education: Increase opportunities for students and the effectiveness of institutions.
- Goal 6: **Establish Management Excellence:** Create a culture of accountability throughout the Department of Education.

DUNS Number Instructions

D-U-N-S No.: Please provide the applicant's D-U-N-S Number. You can obtain your D-U-N-S Number at no charge by calling 1-800-333-0505 or by completing a D-U-N-S Number Request Form. The form can be obtained via the Internet at the following URL:

http://www.dnb.com/dbis/aboutdb/intlduns.htm

- The D-U-N-S Number is a unique nine-digit number that does not convey any information about the recipient. A built in check digit helps assure the accuracy of the D-U-N-S Number. The ninth digit of each number is the check digit, which is mathematically related to the other digits. It lets computer systems determine if a D-U-N-S Number has been entered correctly.
- Dun & Bradstreet, a global information services provider, has assigned D-U-N-S numbers to over 43 million companies worldwide.

GRANT APPLICATION RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

If you fail to receive the notification of application within fifteen (15) days from the closing date, call:

U.S. Department of Education Application Control Center 202-245-6288

GRANT AND CONTRACT FUNDING INFORMATION

The Department of Education provides information about grant and contract opportunities electronically in several ways:

ED Internet Home Page: www.ed.gov