For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Marsha Gamberoni, Project Manager, Project Directorate III–1.

Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 95–30047 Filed 12–8–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### [Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304]

### Commonwealth Edison Company; Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of exemptions from certain requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III, Leakage Testing Requirements, to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–39 and DPR–48, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee), for operation of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Lake County, Illinois.

#### Environmental Assessment

#### Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to the licensee's application dated November 28, 1995, as supplemented on December 6, 1995. The proposed action would exempt the licensee from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.C and III.D, to the extent that exemptions would be granted due to system and penetration design.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The current Type C containment leak rate test requirements for Zion Nuclear Power Station, pursuant to 10 CFR part 50, Appendix J, Sections III.C and III.D.3 are that local leak rate periodic tests shall be performed during reactor shutdown for refueling, or other convenient intervals, but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years. ComEd has determined that the required tests have not been performed previously on the penetrations that form the basis for this exemption request. The exemptions are needed to allow the licensee to use an alternate testing method and thereby realize considerable cost savings, less radiological exposure and fewer unit thermal cycles with no adverse impact on public health and safety.

## Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed exemptions would not increase the probability or consequences

of accidents previously analyzed and would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee has analyzed the possible leak paths, availability of the isolation valve seal water system, prior Type A leak test results as they are impacted by leaks from the types of valves in question and the probability of the sequences of events necessary for significant leakage to occur through the identified pathways. The licensee has provided an acceptable basis for concluding that in spite of the proposed exemptions the containment leak rates would still be maintained within acceptable limits.

Accordingly, the Commission has concluded that the exemptions do not result in a significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released nor do they result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemptions only involve Type C testing of the containment. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemptions.

# Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed exemptions, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to this action would be to deny the request for exemptions. Such action would not reduce the environmental impacts of plant operations.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Final Environmental Statement dated December 1972, related to the operation of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2.

#### Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 28, 1995, the NRC staff consulted with the Illinois State Official, Mr. Frank Niziolek; Head, Reactor Safety Section; Division of Engineering; Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety; regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

# Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's letters dated November 28, 1995, as supplemented on December 6, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 N. County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of December 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Clyde Y. Shiraki,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-2, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–30253 Filed 12–8–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Public Information Collection Requirements, Request for Public Input; Personal Reference Inquiry for Administrative Law Judge Positions; Notice of Intent To Request OMB Approval for Continuation of Form OPM–192

**AGENCY:** U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Announcement of information collection; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the U.S. Office of Personnel Management (Office) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on an information collection requirement concerning the Personal Reference Inquiry for Administrative Law Judge positions. This request for comment is being made pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Comments are requested by February 9, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to U.S. Office of Personnel Management,

63550

Administrative Law Judges Office, Room 6321, 1900 E. Street NW., Washington, DC 20415.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the form(s) and instructions should be directed to U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Attn: John E. Flannery, Room 6321, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415, Tel. (202) 606–0810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13; 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). The comments should address the accuracy of the burden estimates and ways to minimize the burden including the use of automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology, as well as other relevant aspects of the information collection. The comments that are submitted will be summarized and included in the Office's request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. In this document the Office is soliciting comments concerning the following information collection.

Title: Personal Reference Inquiry for Administrative Law Judge Positions. OMB Number: 3206–0043. Form Number: OPM Form 192. Abstract: OPM Form 192 is designed to collect information about an applicant's qualifications in as simple a manner as possible. It asks reference givers to circle statements indicating which of the behavioral statements describing the behavior of an attorney or a judge are most representative of the applicant. This format takes less time to complete and is less burdensome to the reference giver than the more traditional open-ended personal reference inquiry which asks for written statements assessing applicants' job qualifications. The circled marks on the returned form are easily scored.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes to the information collection. This submission is being submitted to extend the expiration date.

*Type of Review:* Extension (without change).

Affected Public: Personal Reference Inquiry forms are not sent to small businesses or entities as such. However, the person from whom information is sought may be an employee or member of a small business or law firm. As explained in the above Abstract, the form is designed in a brief, six-question format which can be answered by including responses. Thus, the information collection burden on respondents is minimal.

*Éstimated Number of Respondents:* Approximately 3,000 reference givers respond each year.

*Estimated Time Per Respondent:* 10 minutes. *Estimated Total Annual Burden* 

Hours: 500 hours.

*Legal Citations:* Under the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act of 1946 in pertinent part, now 5 U.S.C. 553–559 and 3105, and 5 U.S.C. 1104 and 3304, the U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is required to identify through competitive examination qualified applicants for appointment to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) positions. Further, under 5 U.S.C. 1305, OPM is specifically authorized to collect such information and reports as it needs to carry out its responsibility for examining applicants for ALJ positions.

Dated: November 7, 1995.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management. Lorraine A. Green, *Deputy Director.* [FR Doc. 95–29881 Filed 12–8–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–36550; File No. SR-Amex-95-47]

# Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by American Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Listing Standards for Options on Equity Securities Issued in a Reorganization Transaction Pursuant to a Public Offering or a Rights Distribution

December 4, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on November 29, 1995, the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Amex. The Commission is published this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons. I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to amend its listing standards in respect of options on equity securities issued in a spin-off, reorganization, recapitalization, restructuring or similar transaction where the issuance is made pursuant to a public offering or a rights distribution.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, Amex, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, Amex included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the special listing standards set forth in Amex Rule 915, Commentary .05 that apply to options on equity securities issued in certain spin-offs, reorganizations, recapitalizations, restructurings or similar transactions (referred to herein as "restructuring transactions") so as to also include securities issued pursuant to a public offering or a rights distribution that is part of a restructuring transaction.

The proposed amendment to Rule 915, Commentary .05 is intended to facilitate the listing of options on equity securities issued in restructuring transactions (referred to as "Restructure Securities") by permitting the Exchange to base its determination as to the satisfaction of certain of the listing standards set forth in Exchange Rule 915 and Commentary .01 thereunder by reference to (1) specified characteristics of the "Original Security" in respect of which the Restructure Security was issued or distributed; (2) the trading market of the Original Security; (3) the number of shares of the Restructure Security issued and outstanding; or (4) to the listing standards of the exchange on which the Restructure Security is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.