Statement for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, dated August 1973.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on September 30, 1997, the NRC staff consulted with the Michigan State official, Dennis Hahn of the Michigan Department of Public Health, Nuclear Facilities and Environmental Monitoring, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon its environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 5, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Maud Preston Palenske Memorial Library, 500 Market Street, St. Joseph, MI 49085.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 29th day of October 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John B. Hickman,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III–3, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 97–29139 Filed 11–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

SES Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the membership of the OPM SES Performance Review Board.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Lynn Horst, Office of Human Resources and EEO, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Washington, DC 20415, (202) 606–2165. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314 (c) (1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more SES performance review boards. The board reviews and evaluates the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor, along with any recommendations to the appointment authority relative to the performance of the senior executive.

Office of Personnel Management.

Janice R. Lachance,

Acting Director.

Following are the regular members of the SES Performance Review Board for the Office of Personnel Management:

- William F. Flynn, III, Associate Director, Retirement and Insurance Service
- Richard A. Ferris, Associate Director, Investigations Service
- Mary Lou Lindholm, Associate Director, Employment Service
- Carol Okin, Associate Director, Office of Merit Systems Oversight and Effectiveness
- Leigh M. Shein, Acting Chief of Staff Rose M. Gwin, Director, Office of

Human Resources and EEO

[FR Doc. 97–29121 Filed 11–3–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and To Conduct a Scoping Meeting for the Proposed Expansion of Flying Cloud Airport, Eden Prairie, MN

AGENCY: Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration. ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and to conduct public scoping.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared on the proposed expansion of the Flying Cloud Airport. The expansion consists of the extension of two parallel runways. The longer of the two runways (Runway 09R-27L) would be extended 1,100 feet to 5,000 feet from its current length of 3,900 feet. The other runway to be extended, (Runway 09L-27R) would be extended 300 feet to 3,900 feet from its current length of 3,600 feet. Two alternatives on the aircraft weight limitations currently in place at Flying Cloud Airport also are under consideration. The first alternative would retain the current limitation of 20,000 pounds maximum gross weight. The second alternative

would allow aircraft up to 30,000 pounds maximum gross weight. Land would be acquired for the expansion of State Safety Zones A and B at the west end of the parallel runways and for navigational aids and lights in the Runway Protection Zone. In addition, land would be acquired for the eventual construction of additional hangars south of the parallel runways and for an access road which would connect County Road 4 to the building area. To ensure that all significant issues related to the proposed action are identified, the FAA is soliciting information and comments from the public concerning this project and is advising Federal, State and local agencies and the public of the scoping process and scheduled meetings that will be conducted as a part of this process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Glen Orcutt, Airports District Office, Federal Aviation Administration, 6020– 28th Avenue South, Room 102, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55450 (612) 713–4354.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA, in cooperation with the Minneapolis Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC) will prepare a joint Federal/State EIS for the proposed expansion of Flying Cloud Airport. The EIS will evaluate a No-Action alternative, the proposed actions and other reasonable alternatives that may be identified during the agency and public scoping meetings. The EIS will compare all feasible alternatives, and will ensure that mitigating measures are considered to minimize adverse environmental consequences.

The Minnesota scoping process requires the preparation of an Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) and Draft Scoping Decision (DSD), combined in one document. The format for the EAW is the Minnesota Environmental Quality Board's Environmental Assessment Worksheet. A Scoping EAW and DSD has been prepared by the MAC and will be circulated to Federal, State and Local agencies for their review and comment. The Scoping EAW and DSD addresses the alternatives and potential impacts and issues to be addressed in the Federal/State EIS.

The environmental review of the project will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amendment (42 U.S.C. 4371, *et seq.*), CEQ regulations (40 CFR 1500–1508), FAA Orders 5050.4A and 1050.1D and all applicable Federal and State regulations and local ordinances.