

Paragraph IV.A. above, Mr. Robert J. Nelson shall, within 20 days of his acceptance of his first employment offer involving NRC-licensed activities as defined in Paragraph IV.A above, provide notice to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, of the name, address, and telephone number of the employer or the entity where he is, or will be, involved in NRC-licensed activities. The notice shall include a statement of his commitment to compliance with regulatory requirements and the basis for why the Commission should have confidence that he will now comply with applicable NRC requirements.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may relax or rescind, in writing, any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Nelson of good cause.

V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Nelson must, and any other person(s) adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555, and include a statement of good cause for the extension. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Nelson or other person(s) adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, and to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW Suite 23T85, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 and to Mr. Nelson if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Nelson. If a person other than Mr. Nelson requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity

the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Nelson or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Nelson, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 18th day of August 1997.

Ashok C. Thadani,

Acting Deputy Executive Director for Regulatory Effectiveness.

[FR Doc. 97-22939 Filed 8-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for the clearance of an information collection. The questions are intended to elicit from Federal contractors descriptions of successes in hiring workers directly off the welfare

rolls. The submissions are entirely voluntary.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 27, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Donna Beecher, Director, Office of Contracting and Administrative Services, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E St., NW, Room 1340, Washington, DC 20415.

For information regarding administrative coordination, contact—Kent Bailey, Publications Services Division, 202-606-2260.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 8, 1997, the President called for the Federal Government to support welfare reform by joining with other employers in offering jobs to welfare recipients. On April 10, 1997, the Office of Management and Budget's Office of Federal Procurement Policy asked Federal Agencies to emphasize to their contractors the importance of hiring people off the welfare rolls.

Federal agencies regularly report their welfare-to-work hires and related experiences. They would like to include in their reports success stories from their contractors. Success stories provide examples that help to inform the public and encourage additional hiring by other non-Federal employers.

This information collection is entirely voluntary and can be submitted whenever the contractor chooses to do so. The information requested and reporting instructions will be posted on the Acquisition Reform Network home page on the Internet (www.arnet.gov) for a period of approximately four (4) years. A listing of agency contacts will be included in the posting. Government contractors will be invited to send their responses directly, via Internet e-mail, to their primary agency contact.

The information collection consists of a set of questions. Some of the questions are narrative and some statistical. The purpose of the statistical questions is not to gather statistically valid data but to provide a context for narrative descriptions of success. The responses should cover activity since March 8, 1997, and should apply to adults and teen heads of household who immediately prior to hiring were receiving assistance under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program, Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), or Tribal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program administered by an eligible Indian tribe.

The proposed information collection will consist of the following questions:

1. What is the success story you would like to submit?
 2. How many former welfare recipients have you hired? Please provide an estimate of the time period during which the hiring was done.
 3. What percent of these hires would you estimate were attributable to Federal contracts?
 4. What percent of these hires would you estimate were attributable to this Department's or Agency's contracts?
 5. Please share any comments on:
 - Recruitment strategies
 - Retention strategies
 - Welfare to Work hiring with subcontractors
 - Challenges overcome
- This Success Story submitted by:

Company Name _____
 Company Address _____
 Contact Person _____
 Phone Number _____
 E-mail _____

Burden: We estimate that 10,000 responses will be submitted annually, and that each response will take approximately 60 minutes to prepare. The annual estimated burden is 10,000 hours.

Comments Requested: We are asking for comments in order to:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Government, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Janice R. Lachance,
Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. 97-22868 Filed 8-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster #2975, Amdt. 1]

State of Colorado

In accordance with a notice from the Federal Emergency Management Agency dated August 12, 1997, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on

July 28, 1997 and continuing through August 12, 1997.

All other information remains the same, i.e., the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is September 30, 1997 and for economic injury the termination date is May 1, 1998.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: August 20, 1997.

Herbert L. Mitchell,

Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 97-22865 Filed 8-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Transport Airplane and Engine Issues—New Task

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of a new task assignment for the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC).

SUMMARY: Notice is given of a new task assigned to and accepted by the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC). This notice informs the public of the activities of ARAC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stewart R. Miller, Manager, Transport Standards Staff, ANM-110, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Ave. SW., Renton, WA 98055-4056, telephone (425) 227-2190, fax (425) 227-1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The FAA has established an Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee to provide advice and recommendations to the FA Administrator, through the Associate Administrator for Regulation and Certification, on the full range of the FAA's rulemaking activities with respect to aviation-related issues. This includes obtaining advice and recommendations of the FAA's commitment to harmonize its Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) and practices with the aviation authorities in Europe and Canada.

One area ARAC deals with is Transport Airplane and Engine Issues. These issues involve the airworthiness standard for transport category airplanes in 14 CFR part 25, 33, and 35 and parallel provisions in 14 CFR parts 121

and 135. The corresponding European airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes are contained in Joint Aviation Requirements (JAR)-25, JAR-E and JAR-P, respectively. The corresponding Canadian Standards are contained in Chapters 525, 533 and 535 respectively.

The Task

This notice is to inform the public that the FAA has asked ARAC to provide advice and recommendation on the following harmonization task:

FAR/JAR 25 Aging Aircraft

1. ARAC is tasked to review the capability of analytical methods and their validation; related research work; relevant full-scale and component fatigue test data; and tear down inspection reports, including fractographic analysis, relative to the detection of widespread fatigue damage (WFD). Since aircraft in the fleet provide important data for determining where and when WFD is occurring in the structure, ARAC will review fractographic data from representative "fleet leader" airplanes. Where sufficient relevant data for certain airplane models does not currently exist, ARAC will recommend how to obtain sufficient data from representative airplanes to determine the extent of WFD in the fleet. The review should take into account the Airworthiness Assurance Harmonization Working Group report "Structural Fatigue Evaluation for Aging Aircraft" dated October 14, 1993, and extend its applicability to all transport category airplanes having a maximum gross weight greater than 75,000 pounds.

2. ARAC will produce time standards for the initiation and completion of model specific programs (relative to the airplane's design service goal) to predict, verify and rectify widespread fatigue damage. ARAC will also recommend action that the Authorities should take if a program, for certain model airplanes, is not initiated and completed prior to those time standards. Actions that ARAC will consider include regulations to require Type Certificate holders to develop WFD programs, modification action, operational limits, and inspection requirements to assure structural integrity of the airplanes. ARAC will provide a discussion of the relative merits of each option.

This task should be completed within 18 months of tasking.